Correlated imaging through atmospheric turbulence

Pengli Zhang, Wenlin Gong, Xia Shen, and Shensheng Han^{*}

Key Laboratory for Quantum Optics and Center for Cold Atom Physics, Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China

(Received 18 June 2010; published 17 September 2010)

Correlated imaging through atmospheric turbulence is studied, and the analytical expressions describing turbulence effects on image resolution are derived. Compared with direct imaging, correlated imaging can reduce the influence of turbulence to a certain extent and reconstruct high-resolution images. The result is backed up by numerical simulations, in which turbulence-induced phase perturbations are simulated by random-phase screens inserted into propagation paths.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.82.033817

PACS number(s): 42.30.Va, 42.30.Wb, 42.68.Bz

As correlated imaging has been well developed in recent years [1-4], more attention has been focused on how to apply this technique to practical applications to overcome the limits in conventional optical systems. For an imaging system that must look through atmosphere, turbulence-induced wavefront variations distort the point spread function (PSF) of the system from its ideal diffraction-limited shape, which leads to the degradation of image resolution [5]. To mitigate turbulence effects, a number of methods, such as speckle imaging and adaptive optics techniques [5], have been proposed and applied in optical astronomy. Nonetheless, each of these techniques has its own set of performance limits and hardware and software requirements. New approaches to the problem of reducing these effects are still of much interest. Here we investigate the performance of correlated imaging through atmospheric turbulence and find that the influence of turbulence can be weakened by the second-order intensity correlation.

A schematic of correlated imaging through the atmosphere is depicted in Fig. 1. The beam splitter (BS) divides thermal light into two beams propagating through two distinct optical paths. One is the test arm, which includes an unknown object and a telescope setup consisting of a lens with focal length fand a detector D_t . The object is located at a distance d_1 from the source as well as d_2 to the telescope setup. The other path is the reference arm, where another telescope setup consisting of a lens and a detector D_r is placed at $d_0 = d_1 + d_2$ from the source. For remote sensing (i.e., $d_1, d_2 \gg f$), the detector D_t (or D_r) generally lies close to the back focal plane of the lens (i.e., $d_3 \approx f$). The test arm is imbedded in the atmosphere, and turbulence-induced wavefront fluctuations in propagation paths d_1 and d_2 are represented by Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 , respectively. The reference arm corresponds to free-space propagation through the distance d_0 by assuming that there exists no turbulence. The assumption is based on the fact that the optical field in the reference arm is totally predictable if the field distribution of the source is well known [1,2]. In practice, the far-field distribution of the reference arm can be also obtained by the f-f system, where D_r is fixed on the focal plane of a lens with focal length f [6,7].

In the test arm, the field $E_t(x_t)$ at the detector D_t can be given by

$$E_t(x_t) = \iint dx \, d\xi \, E_s(x) h_1(\xi, x) t(\xi) h_2(x_t, \xi), \qquad (1)$$

where $E_s(x)$ corresponds to the source field, and $t(\xi)$ denotes the transmission function of the object. $h_1(\xi,x)$, $h_2(x_t,\xi)$ are the impulse response functions from the source to the object and from the object to the detector D_t , respectively.

Furthermore, according to the extended Huygens-Fresnel integral [8], $h_1(\xi, x)$ and $h_2(x_t, \xi)$ have the forms

$$h_1(\xi, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j\lambda d_1}} e^{\frac{jk}{2d_1}(x-\xi)^2 + \Psi_1(x,\xi)},$$
 (2a)

$$h_2(x_t,\xi) = \frac{1}{j\lambda\sqrt{d_2d_3}} \int d\eta e^{-\frac{jk}{d_2}(\xi - x_t/M)\eta + \Psi_2(\xi,\eta)},$$
 (2b)

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number with λ being the wavelength, and $M = -d_3/d_2$ is the magnification of the telescope setup. $\Psi_1(x,\xi)$ and $\Psi_2(\xi,\eta)$ account for the random parts (due to atmospheric turbulence) of the complex phases of the fields in the propagation paths d_1 and d_2 , respectively.

The field $E_r(x_r)$ at the detector D_r is connected to the source field $E_s(x)$ by the Fresnel diffraction integral

$$E_r(x_r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j\lambda d_1 |M|}} \int dx E_s(x) e^{\frac{jk}{2d_1}(x - x_r/M)^2}.$$
 (3)

It's worth pointing out that the apertures of the lenses are regarded as being large enough that the diffraction limit of the lenses can be neglected.

Performing the intensity correlation measurement between the test arm and the reference arm, we get

$$G(x_{t},x_{r}) = \langle I_{t}(x_{t})I_{r}(x_{r})\rangle - \langle I_{t}(x_{t})\rangle\langle I_{r}(x_{r})\rangle$$

$$= c_{0} \int dx \, dx' \, dx'' \, dx''' \, d\xi \, d\xi' \langle E_{s}(x)E_{s}^{*}(x''')\rangle$$

$$\times \langle E_{s}^{*}(x')E_{s}(x'')\rangle\langle h_{1}(\xi,x)h_{1}^{*}(\xi',x')\rangle$$

$$\times \langle h_{2}(x_{t},\xi)h_{2}^{*}(x_{t},\xi')\rangle t(\xi)t^{*}(\xi')$$

$$\times e^{\frac{jk}{2d_{t}}[(x''-x_{r}/M)^{2}-(x'''-x_{r}/M)^{2}]}.$$
(4)

where c_0 is a constant $(\lambda^3 d_1 d_2 d_3 |M|)^{-1}$, and $I_t(x_t)$ and $I_r(x_r)$ represent the intensity distributions at D_t and D_r , respectively. Here, we have supposed that the thermal field and the two turbulent regions are statistically independent of each other.

^{*}sshan@mail.shcnc.ac.cn

^{1050-2947/2010/82(3)/033817(4)}



FIG. 1. Schematic of correlated imaging through atmospheric turbulence.

If the source is fully spatially incoherent and its intensity distribution is of the Gaussian type, the first-order correlation function of the source has the form

$$\langle E_s(x)E_s^*(x')\rangle = I_0 e^{-\frac{x^2+x'^2}{r_c^2}}\delta(x-x'),$$
 (5)

where I_0 denotes the mean intensity at the center of the source, and r_e is the $1/e^2$ intensity radius. With the help of Eqs. (2a), (2b), and (5), Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$G(x_{t},x_{r}) = I_{0}^{2} \int dx \, dx' \, d\eta \, d\eta' \, d\xi \, d\xi' \, t(\xi) t^{*}(\xi')$$

$$\times e^{-\frac{2(x^{2}+x'^{2})}{r_{c}^{2}}} e^{\frac{jk}{2d_{1}}[(x'-x_{r}/M)^{2}-(x-x_{r}/M)^{2}]}$$

$$\times e^{\frac{jk}{2d_{1}}[(x-\xi)^{2}-(x'-\xi')^{2}]} \langle e^{\Psi_{1}(x,\xi)+\Psi_{1}^{*}(x',\xi')} \rangle$$

$$\times e^{\frac{jk}{d_{2}}[(\xi-x_{r}/M)\eta-(\xi'-x_{r}/M)\eta']}$$

$$\times \langle e^{\Psi_{2}(\xi,\eta)+\Psi_{2}^{*}(\xi',\eta')} \rangle.$$
(6)

The ensemble average of phase variations arising from turbulence can be approximated by [8]

$$\langle e^{\Psi_i(x,\xi)+\Psi_i^*(x',\xi')}\rangle \cong e^{-\frac{1}{\rho_i^2}[(x-x')^2+(x-x')(\xi-\xi')+(\xi-\xi')^2]},$$
(7)

where $\rho_i = (0.545C_n^{2(i)}k^2d_i)^{-3/5}$ (i = 1,2) is the coherence length of a spherical wave propagating in the turbulent medium, and $C_n^{2(i)}$ corresponds to the refractive-index structure constants describing the strength of atmospheric turbulence along the propagation path d_i . It is worth emphasizing that we have adopted a quadratic approximation of the Rytov phase structure function in Eq. (7) to obtain the analytical formula, and this approximation has been used widely in the literature [4,8–11].

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) and integrating over η, η', x, x' , we have

$$G(x_t, x_r) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} I_0^2 c_0}{\sqrt{\alpha \beta_2 (\alpha + 2\beta_1)}} \int d\xi |t(\xi)|^2 \times e^{-\frac{2A^2}{\alpha + 2\beta_1} (\xi - x_r/M)^2} e^{-\frac{B^2}{\beta_2} (\xi - x_t/M)^2}, \qquad (8)$$

where $A = k/2d_1$, $B = k/2d_2$, $\alpha = r_e^{-2}/2$, and $\beta_i = \rho_i^{-2}$.

By making $x_r = x_t$ in Eq. (8), we carry out a special pointto-point intensity correlation [12] and obtain the PSF of the



FIG. 2. Simulated (open circles) and theoretical (solid line) on-axis irradiance variance vs the propagation distance. The outer scale and inner scale of turbulence are $L_0 = 3$ m and $l_0 = 1$ cm, respectively.

correlated imaging system

$$h_g(x_r,\xi) = e^{-\frac{2A^2}{\alpha+2\beta_1}(\xi - x_r/M)^2} e^{-\frac{B^2}{\beta_2}(\xi - x_r/M)^2}.$$
 (9)

For the sake of comparison, we also present the intensity distribution at D_t ,

$$I_t(x_t) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} I_0 c_0}{\sqrt{\alpha \beta_2}} \int d\xi |t(\xi)|^2 e^{-\frac{B^2}{\beta_2} (\xi - x_t/M)^2}, \qquad (10)$$

and the PSF of the test arm

$$h_t(x_t,\xi) = e^{-\frac{B^2}{\beta_2}(\xi - x_t/M)^2}.$$
(11)

From Eqs. (9) and (11), we can see that the full widths at half maximum (FWHM) of h_g and h_t both broaden with the increase of β_i (apart from the influence of the size of the source), which indicates that the resolution, whether for correlated imaging or direct imaging, is degraded by atmospheric turbulence. Additionally, and most importantly, h_g has a narrower FWHM compared to h_t , which means that correlated imaging is helpful in reducing turbulent effects and achieving high-resolution images.

In simulations, we consider correlated imaging through horizontal paths in the atmosphere, and thus C_n^2 can be regarded as constant in the whole turbulent region. The numerical modeling of light propagation in turbulence is well developed [13,14]. The spatial power spectral density of the index of refraction fluctuations can be described by the Von Karman spectrum [13],

$$\Phi_n(K,z) = 0.033 C_n^2(z) \left(K^2 + L_0^{-2} \right)^{-11/6} e^{-(K l_0/2\pi)^2}, \quad (12)$$

where $K^2 = K_x^2 + K_y^2 + K_y^2$, *z* is the propagation distance from the source, and L_0 and l_0 represent the outer scale and inner scale of the turbulence, respectively. By using the spectrum in Eq. (12) to filter a complex Gaussian pseudorandom field and inverse transforming the result, one obtains a two-dimensional phase screen which has the same statistics as the turbulence-induced phase variations [13]. For long atmospheric paths, the multiple phase-screen model [14] has been used in simulations. The turbulent region with the propagation length d_i is broken into a number of layers with a thickness Δz . Phase fluctuations in each layer are represented



FIG. 3. Reconstructed images (from left to right) via the correlation in the atmosphere with turbulent levels $C_n^2 = 10^{-16}$, 2.5×10^{-16} , 5×10^{-16} , and 10^{-15} m^{-2/3}, respectively.

by a phase screen inserted at the middle of the layer. The effect of field propagation through these continuous layers can be calculated separately and then combined to characterize propagation through the entire turbulent region, provided the index of refraction fluctuations for each layer are statistically independent [5].

First of all, to verify the computer programm, we investigate the behavior of a Gaussian beam (waist radius $w_0 = 7$ cm and wavelength $\lambda = 2 \ \mu$ m) traveling through the atmosphere with a strong turbulence level ($C_n^2 = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^{-2/3}$). The thickness of each layer is $\Delta z = 50$ m. The on-axis normalized intensity variance, defined as [13]

$$\sigma_I^2 = \frac{\langle I^2 \rangle - \langle I \rangle^2}{\langle I \rangle^2},\tag{13}$$

is plotted as a function of the propagation distance in Fig. 2. The good coincidence between the simulated data (open circles) and the theoretical result (solid line) predicted by [15] proves the validity of the programm.

After the validation, we apply the program to simulate the correlated imaging system shown in Fig. 1. The thermal source $(\lambda = 0.532 \,\mu\text{m}$ and diameter $D = 2r_e = 5 \,\text{cm})$ was described by a grid of 512×512 with a sample spacing $\Delta x = \Delta y = 5 \,\text{mm}$. The distances were set as $d_1 = d_2 = 10 \,\text{km}$ and the focal length $f = 1 \,\text{m}$. The turbulence regions in the paths $d_i(i = 1, 2)$ were divided into 20 layers with a thickness $\Delta z = 500 \,\text{m}$, respectively. The turbulent parameters were assumed constant at the outer scale $L_0 = 100 \,\text{m}$ and the inner scale $l_0 = 5 \,\text{mm}$. By averaging over $10^4 \,\text{samples}$, simulated results [see Fig. 3] clearly show the image resolution decrease with the increase of the turbulent strength, which accords with the analytical calculation from Eq. (8).

To compare direct imaging and correlated imaging, a simple double slit (slit width 10 cm and center-to-center separation 20 cm) was used. After statistics over 10^4 samples, we obtained a blurred image detected by the test arm directly [see Fig. 4(a)] and a clear image reconstructed through the correlation [see Fig. 4(b)]. This confirms the analytical result that correlated imaging could reduce turbulent effects and improve resolution.



FIG. 4. Acquired images of the double slit in the atmosphere with turbulent level $C_n^2 = 10^{-15} \text{ m}^{-2/3}$. (a) Obtained by the test arm, and (b) extracted from the correlation. The normalized horizontal sections of the images are plotted in (c), where open circles correspond to the simulated data and solid lines show the theoretical predictions from Eqs. (8) (left plot) and (10) (right plot).

It is worth pointing out that the transmission object, for simplicity, has been used in the analytical calculations and the simulations, and thus the test arm is a unidirectional path. In practice, light incident on the object will be reflected. When illumination of the object is carried out from the ground, the detector of the test arm can be located at much the same position with the source. And then the test arm can be divided into up-atmospheric and down-atmospheric paths, in which turbulent effects should be dealt with separately.

In summary, by taking advantage of the extended Huygens-Fresnel integral, we have presented the theoretical expressions that describe how atmospheric turbulence corrupts the image resolution. Meanwhile, the analytical calculations and the numerical simulations have demonstrated that correlated imaging can provide imaging performance superior to direct imaging through the atmosphere. As a unique two-arm imaging method, correlated imaging can also be effectively combined with conventional phase-compensating techniques (e.g., adaptive optics) to further eliminate turbulent effects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Hi-Tech Research and development Program of China (Grant No. 2006AA12Z115), Shanghai Fundamental Research Project (Grant No. 09JC1415000), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 6087709).

- Y. Bromberg, O. Katz, and Y. Silberberg, Phys. Rev. A 79, 053840 (2009).
- [2] J. H. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. A 78, 061802(R) (2008).
- [3] K. W. C. Chan, M. N. O' Sullivan, and R. W. Boyd, Opt. Lett. 34, 3343 (2009).
- [4] J. Cheng, Opt. Express 17, 7916 (2009).
- [5] M. C. Roggemann and B. Welsh, *Imaging Through Turbulence* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996).
- [6] W. Gong, P. Zhang, X. Shen, and S. Han, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 071110 (2009).

- [7] W. Gong and S. Han, Phys. Lett. A 374, 3723 (2010).
- [8] J. C. Ricklin and F. M. Davidson, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 19, 1794 (2002).
- [9] H. T. Eyyuboglu, Y. Baykal, and E. Sermutlu, Opt. Commun. 265, 399 (2006).
- [10] Y. B. Zhu, D. M. Zhao, and X. Y. Du, Opt. Express 16, 18437 (2008).
- [11] K. C. Zhu, G. Q. Zhou, X. G. Li, X. J. Zheng, and H. Q. Tang, Opt. Express 16, 21315 (2008).
- [12] P. Zhang, W. Gong, X. Shen, D. Huang, and S. Han, Opt. Lett. 34, 1222 (2009).
- [13] A. Belmonte, Appl. Opt. 39, 5426 (2000).
- [14] D. L. Knepp, Proc. IEEE 71, 722 (1983).
- [15] L. C. Andrews, M. A. Al-Habash, C. Y. Hopen, and R. L. Phillips, Waves in Random and Complex Media 11, 271 (2001).