Dynamics of a movable micromirror in a nonlinear optical cavity

Tarun Kumar,¹ Aranya B. Bhattacherjee,² and ManMohan¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India* ²*Department of Physics, ARSD College, University of Delhi (South Campus), New Delhi-110021, India* (Received 7 October 2009; published 28 January 2010)

We consider the dynamics of a movable mirror (cantilever) of a nonlinear optical cavity. We show that a $\chi^{(3)}$ medium with a strong Kerr nonlinearity placed inside a cavity inhibits the normal mode splitting (NMS) due to the photon blockade mechanism. This study demonstrates that the displacement spectrum of the micromirror could be used as a tool to detect the photon blockade effect. Moreover the ability to control the photon number fluctuation by tuning the Kerr nonlinearity emerges as a new handle to coherently control the dynamics of the micromirror, which further could be useful in the realization of tuneable quantum-mechanical devices. We also found that the temperature of the micromechanical mirror increases with increasing Kerr nonlinearity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.81.013835](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.013835) PACS number(s): 42*.*50*.*Pq, 42*.*50*.*Lc, 05*.*40*.*−a

I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction between a movable mirror and the radiation field of an optical cavity has recently been the subject of extensive theoretical and experimental investigations. These optomechanical systems couple the mechanical motion to an optical field directly via radiation pressure buildup in a cavity. The coupling of mechanical and optical degrees of freedom via radiation pressure has been a subject of early research in the context of laser cooling $[1-3]$ and gravitational-wave detectors [\[4\]](#page-3-0). Recently there has been a great surge of interest in the application of radiation forces to manipulate the center-of-mass motion of mechanical oscillators covering a huge range of scales from macroscopic mirrors in the Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO) project [\[5,6\]](#page-3-0) to nanomechanical cantilevers [\[7–12\]](#page-3-0), vibrating microtoroids [\[13,14\]](#page-3-0), membranes [\[15\]](#page-3-0), and Bose-Einstein condensates [\[16–18\]](#page-3-0). The quantum optical properties of a mirror coupled via radiation pressure to a cavity field show interesting similarities to an intracavity Kerr-like interaction [\[19\]](#page-3-0). Recently, in the context of classical investigations of nonlinear regimes, the dynamical instability of a driven cavity having a movable mirror has been investigated [\[20\]](#page-3-0). Theoretical work has proposed to use the radiation-pressure coupling for quantum nondemolition measurements of the light field [\[21\]](#page-4-0). A robust stationary entanglement between the mechanical resonator and the output fields of the cavity can be generated, and that this entanglement can be transferred to atomic ensembles placed within the cavity [\[22\]](#page-4-0).

It has been shown that ground state cooling of micromechanical mirror is possible only in the resolved side band regime (RSB) where the mechanical resonance frequency exceeds the bandwidth of the driving resonator $[20,21]$ $[20,21]$. The cooling of mechanical oscillators in the RSB regime at high driving power can entail the appearance of normal mode splitting (NMS) [\[23\]](#page-4-0). Recently, it was shown that an optical parametric amplifier inside a cavity considerably improves the cooling of a micro-mechanical mirror by radiation pressure [\[24\]](#page-4-0).

Giant optical Kerr nonlinearities are obtained by placing a $\chi^{(3)}$ medium inside a cavity [\[25\]](#page-4-0). This gives rise to a strong nonlinear interaction between photons. A single photon in a cavity can block the injection of a second photon due to a photon blockade effect. This dispersive interaction could be

useful to realize a single-photon turnstile device for possible use in quantum computation [\[25\]](#page-4-0). Greentree *et al.* [\[26\]](#page-4-0), have shown that by adding photons to a two-dimensional array of coupled optical cavities each containing a single two-level atom in the photon-blockade regime, polaritons are formed that are both long-lived and strongly interacting. They proposed that this system could be extremely beneficial for quantum information processing. In this paper, we consider the dynamics of a movable mirror interacting with a nonlinear optical cavity mode in the light of these new and exciting developments and predict novel properties of the dynamics of the system. We show that due to the photon blockade mechanism, as the Kerr nonlinearity is increased, the NMS progressively decreases. The Kerr medium is found to be a new handle to efficiently control the micromirror dynamics and this suggests a possible quantum device.

II. THE MODEL

We consider an optical Kerr medium with $\chi^{(3)}$ nonlinearity inside a Fabry-Perot cavity with one fixed partially transmitting mirror and one movable totally reflecting mirror in contact with a thermal bath in equilibrium at temperature *T* , as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The movable mirror is treated as a quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator with effective mass m , frequency Ω_m , and energy decay rate Γ_m . The system is also coherently driven by a laser field with frequency ω_L through the cavity mirror with amplitude ϵ . It is well known that high-*Q* optical cavities can significantly isolate the system from its environment, thus strongly reducing decoherence and ensuring that the light field remains quantum-mechanical for the duration of the experiment. We also assume that the induced resonance frequency shift of the cavity and the nonlinear interaction coefficient η are much smaller than the longitudinal mode spacing, so that we restrict the model to a single longitudinal mode ω_c . We also assume that $\Omega_m \ll \pi c/L$ (adiabatic limit); *c* is the speed of light in vacuum and *L* the cavity length in the absence of the cavity field. The total Hamiltonian of the system in a frame rotating at the laser frequency ω_L can be written as

$$
H = \hbar(\omega_c - \omega_L)a^{\dagger}a - \hbar g_m a^{\dagger} aq + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + m\Omega_m^2 q^2 \right)
$$

$$
+ i\hbar \epsilon (a^{\dagger} - a) + \hbar \eta a^{\dagger 2} a^2. \tag{1}
$$

FIG. 1. (Color online) Optomechanical realization of parametric coupling of a mechanical oscillator to a optical mode of a nonlinear cavity.

Here *a* and a^{\dagger} are the annihilation and creation operators for the cavity field, respectively. Also *q* and *p* are the position and momentum operators for the movable mirror. The parameter $g_m = \omega_c/L$ is the coupling parameter between the cavity field and the movable mirror and η is the anharmonicity parameter and is proportional to the third-order nonlinear susceptibility *χ*⁽³⁾ of the Kerr medium: $η = 3\hbar\omega_c^2 Re[\chi^{(3)}]/2\epsilon_0 V_c$, ϵ_0 is the dielectric constant of the medium and V_c is the volume of the cavity. The input laser field populates the intracavity mode which couples to the movable mirror through the radiation pressure. The field in turn is modified by the back-action of the cantilever. It is important to notice the nonlinearity in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) arising from the coupling between the intracavity intensity and the position operator of the mirror. The system we are considering is intrinsically open as the cavity field is damped by the photon-leakage through the massive coupling mirror and the mirror is connected to a bath at finite temperature. In the absence of the radiation-pressure coupling, the cantilever would undergo a pure Brownian motion driven by its contact with the thermal environment. The motion of the system can be described by the following quantum Langevin equations:

$$
\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m},\tag{2}
$$

$$
\dot{p} = -m\Omega_m^2 q + \hbar g_m a^\dagger a - \Gamma_m p + \xi,\tag{3}
$$

$$
\dot{a} = i(\omega_L - \omega_c)a + ig_mqa + \epsilon - 2i\eta a^\dagger a^2 - \kappa a + \sqrt{2\kappa}a_{in}.
$$
\n(4)

Here *ain* is the input vacuum noise operator and it obeys the following correlation functions:

$$
\langle \delta a_{in}(t) \delta a_{in}^{\dagger}(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t'), \tag{5}
$$

$$
\langle \delta a_{in}(t) \delta a_{in}(t') \rangle = \langle \delta a_{in}^{\dagger}(t) \delta a_{in}^{\dagger}(t') \rangle = 0.
$$
 (6)

The force *ξ* is the Brownian noise operator resulting from the coupling of the movable mirror to the thermal bath, whose mean value is zero, and has the following correlation function at temperature *T* :

$$
\langle \xi(t)\xi(t')\rangle = \frac{\hbar\Gamma_m m}{2\pi} \int \omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \left[\coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right) + 1 \right] d\omega,\tag{7}
$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the thermal bath temperature. The steady state values of *p*, *q*, and *a* are obtained as

$$
p_s = 0,\t\t(8)
$$

$$
q_s = \frac{\hbar g_m |a_s|^2}{m \Omega_m^2},\tag{9}
$$

$$
a_s = \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa + i(\Delta + 2\eta |a_s|^2)},\tag{10}
$$

where $\Delta = \omega_c - \omega_L - g_m q_s$ is the effective cavity detuning which includes the radiation pressure effects. Here q_s denotes the new equilibrium position of the mirror while *as* denotes the steady state amplitude of the cavity field. Both *qs* and *as* displays multistable behavior due to the nonlinear interaction between the mirror and the cavity field. From the above equations we clearly see how the mirror dynamics affects the steady state of the intracavity field. The coupling to the mirror shifts the cavity resonance frequency and changes the field inside the cavity in a way to induce a new stationary intensity. The change occurs after a transient time depending on the response of the cavity and strength of the coupling to the mirror.

III. DYNAMICS OF SMALL FLUCTUATIONS

Here we show that the coupling of the mechanical oscillator and the cavity field fluctuations in the presence of the Kerr medium leads to modification of the normal mode splitting (NMS) spectra. As evident from Eqs. (2) – (4) , the problem is nonlinear but we assume that the nonlinearity is small. The optomechanical NMS however involves driving two parametrically coupled nondegenerate modes out of equilibrium. The NMS does not appear in the steady state spectra but rather manifests itself in the fluctuation spectra of the mirror displacement. To this end, we write each canonical operator of the system as a sum of its steady-state mean value and a small fluctuation with zero mean value, $a \rightarrow a_s + \delta a$, $p \rightarrow$ $p_s + \delta p$, $q \rightarrow q_s + \delta q$ and linearize to obtain the following Heisenberg-Langevin equations for the fluctuation operators:

$$
\delta q = \frac{\delta p}{m},\tag{11}
$$

$$
\delta p = -m\Omega_m^2 \delta q + \hbar g_m (a_s \delta a^\dagger + a_s^* \delta a) - \Gamma_m \delta p + \xi, \quad (12)
$$

$$
\dot{\delta} a = -\frac{i}{\Delta} \delta a + i a_s a \delta a - 2in(2|a|^2 \delta a - a^2 \delta a^\dagger)
$$

$$
\dot{\delta}a = -i\Delta\delta a + ig_m a_s \delta q - 2i\eta (2|a_s|^2 \delta a - a_s^2 \delta a^\dagger) \n- \kappa \delta a + \sqrt{2\kappa} \delta a_{in}.
$$
\n(13)

Here we will always assume $\Gamma_m \ll \kappa$. Equations (11), (12), (13), and their Hermitian conjugates constitute a system of four first-order coupled operator equations, for which the Routh-Hurwitz criterion implies that the system is stable for the following conditions:

$$
(\Delta + 4\eta |a_s|^2)^2 + \kappa^2 + \Omega_m^2 + 2\Gamma_m \kappa + 2i\eta (a_s^2 - a_s^{*2})
$$

× $(\kappa + \Gamma_m) - 2\eta^2 (a_s^4 + a_s^{*4}) > 0,$ (14)

$$
\Gamma_m(\Delta + 4\eta |a_s|^2)^2 + 2\Omega_m^2 \left\{ \kappa + i\eta \left(a_s^2 - a_s^{*2} \right) \right\} - \Gamma_m \eta^2 \left(a_s^2 + a_s^{*2} \right)^2 > 0,
$$
\n(15)

$$
\Omega_m^2 \left\{ \left[\kappa + i \eta \left(a_s^2 - a_s^{*2} \right) \right]^2 + \left[\Delta + 4 \eta |a_s|^2 \right]^2 \right\} \n+ \frac{\hbar g_m^2 \eta}{m} \left(a_s^2 + a_s^{*2} \right)^2 - \Omega_m^2 \eta^2 \left(a_s^2 + a_s^{*2} \right)^2 \n- \frac{2\hbar g_m^2 |a_s|^2}{m} (\Delta + 4 \eta |a_s|^2) > 0.
$$
\n(16)

The study of these conditions reveals the point at which the system enters an unstable regime. Here, we will restrict ourselves to the stable regime.

We now transform to the quadratures: $\delta x = \delta a^{\dagger} + \delta a$, $\delta y =$ $i(\delta a^{\dagger} - \delta a)$, $\delta x_{in} = \delta a_{in}^{\dagger} + \delta a_{in}$, and $\delta y_{in} = i(\delta a_{in}^{\dagger} - \delta a_{in})$. The position fluctuations of the movable mirror in Fourier space is given by

$$
\delta q(\omega) = \frac{1}{d(\omega)} \{ [(\kappa - i\omega)^2 + \delta'^2] \xi(\omega) - i\hbar g_m \sqrt{2\kappa} [(\omega + i\kappa + \delta) a_s^* \delta a_{in} + (\omega + i\kappa - \delta) a_s \delta a_{in}^{\dagger} \}], \tag{17}
$$

where $d(\omega) = m[\Omega_m^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\Gamma_m][(\kappa - i\omega)^2 + \delta^2]$ $2\hbar g_m^{\prime 2} \delta^{\prime\prime}$, $\delta^{\prime 2} = \Delta^{\prime 2} - 4\eta^{\prime 2}$, $\Delta^{\prime} = \Delta + 4\eta^{\prime}$, $\eta^{\prime} = \eta |a_s|^2$, $\delta = \Delta + 2\eta'$, $\delta'' = \Delta' - \eta'$, and $g'_m = g_m |a_s|$. In the above equation for δq , the term proportional to $\xi(\omega)$ arises from thermal noise, while the term proportional to *gm* originates from radiation pressure. The displacement spectrum is obtained from

$$
S_q(\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega e^{-i(\omega + \Omega)t} \langle \delta q(\omega) \delta q(\Omega) + \delta q(\Omega) \delta q(\omega) \rangle,
$$
\n(18)

together with the correlation functions:

$$
\langle \delta a_{in}(\omega)\delta a_{in}^{\dagger}(\Omega)\rangle = 2\pi \delta(\omega + \Omega), \tag{19}
$$

$$
\langle \xi(\omega)\xi(\Omega)\rangle = 2\pi\hbar\Gamma_m m\omega \left[1 + \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_BT}\right)\right] \delta(\omega + \Omega). \tag{20}
$$

The displacement spectrum in Fourier space is finally obtained as

$$
S_q(\omega) = \hbar |\chi|^2 \left\{ m \Gamma_m \omega \coth \left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \right) + \frac{4 \hbar \kappa g_m'^2 (\omega^2 + \kappa^2 + \delta^2)}{(\kappa^2 + \delta'^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \kappa^2 \omega^2} \right\},
$$
 (21)

where

$$
\chi^{-1}(\omega) = m \left[\Omega_{\text{eff}}^2 - \omega^2 \right] - i\omega \Gamma_{\text{eff}},\tag{22}
$$

$$
\Omega_{\text{eff}}^2 = \Omega_m^2 - \frac{2\hbar g_m'^2 \delta'' (\kappa^2 + \delta'^2 - \omega^2)}{m[(\kappa^2 - \omega^2 + \delta'^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \omega^2]},\qquad(23)
$$

$$
\Gamma_{\rm eff} = m \Gamma_m + \frac{4ng_m^2 \delta \kappa}{(\kappa^2 - \omega^2 + \delta^2)^2 + 4\kappa^2 \omega^2}.
$$
 (24)

Any information about the mirror's modified motion can be obtained from the study of $S_q(\omega)$. An immediate observation reveals that $S_q(\omega)$ is peaked at a frequency Ω_{eff} . In the expression for $S_a(\omega)$, the first term represents the contribution due to the thermal contact with the bath while the second term is attributed to the contribution due to radiation pressure. In the absence of radiation pressure any dependence from the effective detuning Δ vanishes and the resulting spectrum is simply that of a harmonic oscillator undergoing Brownian motion at temperature T . In Fig. 2, we show the plot of *S_q*(*ω*) as a function of *ω*/ Ω_m for $\eta'/\Omega_m = 0$, 0*.*04*,* 0*.*08 with successively increasing thickness of plot. In the absence of Kerr nonlinearity, a NMS is observed in the displacement spectrum with small amplitude. The NMS is associated to a mixing between the mechanical mode and the fluctuation around the steady state of the cavity field. With increasing Kerr

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of the displacement spectrum $S_a(\omega)$ with nonlinear coefficients, $\eta'/\Omega_m = 0, 0.04, 0.08$ with progressively increasing thickness of the plot. Parameters used are $\Gamma_m / \Omega_m =$ $0.01, κ/Ω_m = 0.1, g'_m/Ω_m = 0.4$, and $Δ/Ω_m = 1$. Clearly with increasing Kerr nonlinearity, the NMS slowly becomes less prominent.

nonlinearity, we notice that one of the peak increases while the other peak decreases. The progressive increase in amplitude of one peak and decrease in amplitude of the other peak with increasing Kerr nonlinearity is understood as follows: If $\eta \gg \kappa$, ϵ , the applied field will couple the vacuum state to the Fock state with single photon resonantly. The higher lying photon-number states may be neglected since they are out of resonance. Now if initially a photon from the driving field is injected in the cavity with a probability determined by the drive strength, injection of a second photon will be blocked, since the presence of two photons in the cavity will require an additional $\hbar\eta$ energy, which cannot be provided by the pump laser. Only after the first photon leaves the cavity can a second photon be injected. The strong interaction between the photons therefore causes a photon (Kerr) blockade of cavity transmission and this drastically reduces the photon number fluctuation. A decrease in photon number fluctuation implies a reduced mixing between the mechanical mode and the cavity field fluctuation. In the limit of high nonlinearity, NMS would be negligibly small. In any case if $\eta < \kappa$, ϵ , the cavity would contain more than one photon and the above argument is still valid due to the photon-photon repulsion. We now return to the linearized Heisenberg-Langevin equations [\(11\)](#page-1-0), [\(12\)](#page-1-0), [\(13\)](#page-1-0) and calculate the corresponding eigenfrequencies that determine the dynamics of NMS. In particular, we focus on the following: (i) $\kappa < \Omega_m/2$, (ii) $g_m < \Omega_m/2$, (iii) $\Gamma_m \ll \kappa$, and (iv) $\Delta - \Omega_m \ll \Omega_m$. The two eigenfrequencies are found to be

$$
\omega_{\pm} = \frac{\Delta_{\eta} + \Omega_m - i\kappa - i\Gamma_m}{2}
$$

$$
\pm \sqrt{g_{m,\text{eff}}^2 - \frac{[i(\Delta_{\eta} - \Omega_m) + (\kappa - \Gamma_m)]^2}{4}}, \quad (25)
$$

where $\Delta_{\eta} = \Omega_m + 6\eta'$ and $g_{m,eff} = 2g_m a_s \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m \Omega_m}}$. There is another pair of eigenfrequencies $-\omega_{\pm}^*$. For $\eta' = 0$, $\Delta = \Omega_m$ and $\kappa \gg \Gamma_m$, the square root term of ω_{\pm} is real for $g_{m,\text{eff}} > \kappa/2$ and shows NMS. On the other hand, for $\eta' \neq 0$, NMS is exhibited for $\Delta = \Omega_m - 6\eta'$.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Plot of $n/(kT/\hbar\Omega_m)$ as a function of η'/Ω_m . Parameters used are $\Gamma_m/\Omega_m = 0.01$, $\kappa/\Omega_m = 0.1$, $g'_{m}/\Omega_{m} = 0.4$, and $\Delta/\Omega_{m} = 1$.

Next we analyze the influence of the Kerr nonlinearity on the backaction cooling of the movable mirror. The effective temperature is defined by the total energy of the movable mirror, $k_B T = \frac{1}{2} m \Omega_m^2 \langle q^2 \rangle + \frac{\langle p^2 \rangle}{2m}$ [\[24\]](#page-4-0), where $\langle q^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int S_q(\omega) d\omega$, $\langle p^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int S_p(\omega) d\omega \rangle$, and $S_p(\omega) =$ $m^2\omega^2 S_q(\omega)$. This basically means that the effective temperature is proportional to the displacement spectrum. From Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) we observe that the displacement spectrum for $\eta \neq 0$ is always more than that for $\eta = 0$. From this we conclude that $T_{\text{eff}}(\eta \neq 0) > T_{\text{eff}}(\eta = 0)$. We can come to this conclusion also from the fact that there is a reduction in the number of photons (hence radiation pressure) in the cavity due to photon-photon repulsion in the presence of the Kerr medium and hence an increase in the temperature of the movable mirror. However, in order to make a more rigorous analysis, we refer to the definition of temperature given in [\[27\]](#page-4-0). Starting from temperature T the number of quanta $[27]$

$$
n = \frac{kT}{\hbar \Omega_m} \frac{\Gamma_m}{\Gamma_{\text{eff}}} \left(\frac{\Omega_m}{\Omega_{\text{eff}}} \right)^3 \tag{26}
$$

have to be reduced to bring the temperature below *T* . A plot of $n/(kT/\hbar\Omega_m)$ as a function of η/Ω_m is shown in Fig. 3.

The temperature of the cantilever is found to increase with the Kerr nonlinearity as concluded earlier from Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) An important point to note is that in order to observe the NMS, the energy exchange between the two modes(mechanical and photon number fluctuation) should take place on a time scale faster than the decoherence of each mode. Also the parameter regime in which NMS may appear implies cooling. On the negative detuning side, the observation of NMS is prevented by the onset of parametric instability.

To demonstrate that the dynamics investigated here are within experimental reach, we discuss the experimental pa-rameters from [\[28\]](#page-4-0): the mechanical frequency $\Omega_m = 2\pi \times$ 73.5 MHz and $\Gamma_m = 2\pi \times 1.3$ kHz. The coupling rate $g_m = 2\pi \times 2.0$ MHz. From [\[25\]](#page-4-0), the Kerr nonlinearity is numerically estimated to be about $\eta = 100$ MHz for extremely strong photon-photon repulsion. The energy of the cavity mode decreases due to the photon loss through the cavity mirrors, which leads to a reduced atom-field coupling. Photon loss can be minimized by using high-*Q* cavities. Our proposed detection scheme relies crucially on the fact that coherent dynamics dominate over the losses. It is important that the characteristic time-scales of coherent dynamics are significantly faster than those associated with losses (the decay rate of state-of-art optical cavities is typically 17 kHz [\[29\]](#page-4-0)).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary we have analyzed the influence of a Kerr medium on the dynamics of a micromechanical movable mirror. We have shown that as the Kerr nonlinearity increases, the normal mode splitting (NMS) progressively weakens. This is attributed to the photon blockade mechanism which decreases the photon fluctuations due to photonphoton repulsion. Further we found that the temperature of the micromechanical mirror is enhanced in the presence of the Kerr medium. The present study demonstrates that the Kerr medium emerges as a new handle to coherently control the dynamics of the micromirror and hence could be useful in the realization of tuneable quantum-mechanical devices.

- [1] T. W. Hansch and A. L. Schawlow, Opt. Commun. **13**, 68 (1975).
- [2] D. J. Wineland, R. E. Drullinger, and F. L. Walls, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 1639 (1978).
- [3] S. Chu, L. Hollberg, J. E. Bjorkholm, A. Cable, and A. Ashkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 48 (1985).
- [4] C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 75 (1980); R. Loudon, *ibid.* **47**, 815 (1981).
- [5] T. Corbitt and N. Mavalvala, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **6**, S675 (2004).
- [6] T. Corbitt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 150802 (2007).
- [7] C. Höhberger-Metzger and K. Karrai, Nature (London) 432, 1002 (2004).
- [8] S. Gigan *et al.*, Nature (London) **444**, 67 (2006).
- [9] O. Arcizet *et al.*, Nature (London) **444**, 71 (2006).
- [10] D. Kleckner and D. Bouwmeester, Nature (London) **444**, 75 (2006).
- [11] I. Favero *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **90**, 104101 (2007).
- [12] C. Regal, J. Teufel, and K. Lehnert, Nature Phys. **4**, 555 (2008).
- [13] T. Carmon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 223902 (2005).
- [14] A. Schliesser *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 243905 (2006).
- [15] J. D. Thompson *et al.*, Nature (London) **452**, 72 (2008).
- [16] F. Brennecke *et al.*, Science **322**, 235 (2008).
- [17] K. W. Murch *et al.*, Nature Phys. **4**, 561 (2008).
- [18] A. B. Bhattacherjee, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 043607 (2009).
- [19] C. Fabre *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1337 (1994); S. Mancini and P. Tombesi, *ibid.* **49**, 4055 (1994).
- [20] F. Marquardt, J. G. E. Harris, and S. Girvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 103901 (2006).
- [21] V. B. Braginsky and F. Khalili, *Quantum Measurements* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [22] C. Genes *et al.*, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **57**, 33 (2009).
- [23] J. M. Dobrindt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 263602 (2008).
- [24] S. Huang and G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013821 (2009).
- [25] A. Imamoglu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1467 (1997).
- [26] A. D. Greentree *et al.*, Nature Phys. **2**, 856 (2006).
- [27] M. Bhattacharya *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 073601 (2007).
- [28] A. Schliesser *et al.*, Nature Phys. **4**, 415 (2008).
- [29] J. Klinner, M. Lindholdt, B. Nagorny, and A. Hemmerich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 023002 (2006).