## **Thermal entanglement in one-dimensional Heisenberg quantum spin chains under magnetic fields**

Shou-Shu Gong and Gang S[u\\*](#page-0-0)

*College of Physical Sciences, Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 4588, Beijing 100049,*

*People's Republic of China*

(Received 16 April 2009; published 20 July 2009)

The thermal pairwise entanglement (TE) of the  $S=1/2$  *XY* chain in a transverse magnetic field is exactly resolved by means of the Jordan-Wigner transformation in the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . It is found that the TE vanishes at a fixed point with temperature  $T_c \approx 0.484$  3*J*, which is independent of the magnetic field. A thermal quantity is proposed to witness the entangled state. Furthermore, the TE of the *S*= 1/2 antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic (AF-F) Heisenberg chain is studied by the transfer-matrix renormalizationgroup method. The TEs of the spins coupled by AF and F interactions are found to behave distinctively. The vanishing temperature of the field-induced TE of the spins coupled by F interactions is observed dependent on the magnetic field. The results are further confirmed and analyzed within a mean-field framework.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.80.012323](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.012323)

PACS number(s): 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 75.10.Jm

 $\langle P_i^{\uparrow} P_j^{\uparrow} \rangle \langle P_i^{\uparrow} \sigma_j^{-} \rangle \langle \sigma_i^{-} P_j^{\uparrow} \rangle \langle \sigma_i^{-} \sigma_j^{-} \rangle$  $\langle P_i^{\uparrow} \sigma_j^{+} \rangle \langle P_i^{\uparrow} P_j^{\downarrow} \rangle \langle \sigma_i^{-} \sigma_j^{+} \rangle \langle \sigma_i^{-} P_j^{\downarrow} \rangle$  $\langle \sigma_i^+ P_j^{\uparrow} \rangle \quad \langle \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- \rangle \quad \langle P_i^{\downarrow} P_j^{\uparrow} \rangle \quad \langle P_i^{\downarrow} \sigma_j^- \rangle$ 

where  $P^{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma^2)$ ,  $P^{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma^2)$ , and  $\sigma^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^x \pm \sigma^y)$ . The brackets denote the ground-state and thermodynamic average values at zero and finite temperatures, respectively, and  $\sigma$  are Pauli matrices. As the phenomenon that is of interest mainly exists in the nearest-neighbor TE, we shall concentrate only

The spin operators can be transformed into spinless fer-

where  $c_i^{\dagger}$  and  $c_i$  are the creation and annihilation operators of

 $S_i^+ = c_i^{\dagger} \exp\left(i\pi \sum_{j < i} c_j^{\dagger} c_j\right), \quad S_i^z = \left(c_i^{\dagger} c_i - \frac{1}{2}\right),$  (2)

 $(2)$ 

mions by the Jordan-Wigner (JW) transformation

<span id="page-0-1"></span>the spinless fermion, respectively.  $\hat{\rho}_{i,i+1}$  becomes

 $\mathsf{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \langle P_{i}^{\uparrow}\sigma_{j}^{+}\rangle & \langle P_{i}^{\uparrow}P_{j}^{\downarrow}\rangle & \langle \sigma_{i}^{-}\sigma_{j}^{+}\rangle & \langle \sigma_{i}^{-}P_{j}^{\downarrow}\rangle \ \langle \sigma_{i}^{+}P_{j}^{\uparrow}\rangle & \langle \sigma_{i}^{+}\sigma_{j}^{-}\rangle & \langle P_{i}^{\downarrow}P_{j}^{\uparrow}\rangle & \langle P_{i}^{\downarrow}\sigma_{j}^{-}\rangle \ \langle \sigma_{i}^{+}\sigma_{j}^{+}\rangle & \langle \sigma_{i}^{+}P_{j}^{\downarrow}\rangle & \langle P_{i}^{\downarrow}\sigma_{$ 

 $\hat{\rho}_{i,j}$  =  $\Big($ 

on  $\hat{\rho}_{i,i+1}$  in the following.

0 0

0.1 0.2 "ن

0.3 0.4

0.5

Quantum entanglement describes intrinsic correlations incurred in quantum mechanics. It plays the essential role in quantum information  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , quantum teleportation  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and quantum cryptography  $\lceil 3 \rceil$  $\lceil 3 \rceil$  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ . In condensed-matter physics, it provides a new perspective to understand the collective phenomena in many-body systems  $[4]$  $[4]$  $[4]$ .

The spin entanglement in quantum spin chains is of particular interest. Many types of entanglement at both zero and finite temperatures have been extensively studied in various spin systems (see reviews in Ref.  $[4]$  $[4]$  $[4]$ ). As the finitetemperature entanglement [thermal entanglement (TE)] can be witnessed theoretically  $[5]$  $[5]$  $[5]$  and detected experimentally [[6](#page-4-5)] by macroscopic variables, most efforts have been made to quantify the TE. The critical temperature (CT) below which the TE survives can even be estimated in experiment  $[7]$  $[7]$  $[7]$ . Interestingly, the numerical calculations indicate that the CT of the nearest-neighbor TE is a fixed point which is independent of the magnetic field in the  $S = 1/2$  Heisenberg chain  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \end{bmatrix}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \end{bmatrix}$ and two-qubit  $XY$  spins  $[9]$  $[9]$  $[9]$ . However, the features at the fixed point are unclear and no explanation exists. It is also a question whether the magnetic field independence of the CT is a universal phenomenon in quantum spin chains or there are exceptions. For these questions, in this paper, the field dependence of the CT of TE in the *S*= 1/2 *XY* and antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic (AF-F) Heisenberg chains are exactly resolved and studied by means of the transfermatrix renormalization-group (TMRG) method in the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively. An analysis will also be made within the mean-field framework.

The pairwise entanglement of two  $S = 1/2$  spins at sites *i* and *j* in the ground state and at finite temperature can be achieved from the corresponding reduced density matrix  $\hat{\rho}_{i,j}$ , which, in the standard basis  $\{|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\},\{|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\},\{$  can be expressed as

0  $T/J$ 1 1  $h/J$   $1\ 1\ 1\ 1/J$ FIG. 1. (Color online) Temperature and magnetic field depen-

dence of the thermal entanglement in the *S*= 1/2 *XY* chain. The entanglements vanish at a common temperature  $T_c$ .

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; gsu@gucas.ac.cn

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\hat{\rho}_{i,i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_i^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_i^+ & Z_i^* & 0 \\ 0 & Z_i & Y_i^- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_i^- \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
$$

where  $X_i^+ = \langle n_i n_{i+1} \rangle (n_i \equiv c_i^{\dagger} c_i), \quad Y_i^+ = \langle n_i (1 - n_{i+1}) \rangle, \quad Y_i^- = \langle n_{i+1} \rangle$  $(1-n_i)$ ,  $Z_i = \langle c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1} \rangle$ , and  $X_i = 1 - \langle n_i \rangle - \langle n_{i+1} \rangle + \langle n_i n_{i+1} \rangle$ . As defined, the concurrence of TE of two nearest neighbors is given through

$$
\tilde{C}_i = \mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3 - \mu_4, \tag{4}
$$

$$
C_i = \max\{0, \widetilde{C}_i\},\tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>where  $\mu_i$  are the square roots of the eigenvalues of  $\rho_{i,i+1}\tilde{\rho}_{i,i+1}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is the largest.  $\tilde{\rho}_{i,i+1}$  is a transformed matrix of  $\rho_{i,i+1}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\rho} = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \rho^* \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y$ . Thus, Eq. ([4](#page-1-0)) is transformed into

$$
\widetilde{C}_i = 2(|Z_i| - \sqrt{X_i^+ X_i}).\tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-1-6"></span>The concurrence can be calculated from the local-density, hopping term, and site-site correlations of the fermions.

As the observed field independence of the CT of TE is obtained by either numerical calculations  $[8]$  $[8]$  $[8]$  or only for two qubits  $[9]$  $[9]$  $[9]$ , a deep understanding is indeed necessary. Therefore, we shall exactly resolve the concurrence of the *S* = 1/2 *XY* chain in a transverse magnetic field within the thermodynamic limit to investigate this phenomenon analytically. The Hamiltonian of the *S*= 1/2 *XY* chain is given as

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} J(S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + \text{H.c.}) - h \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i^z, \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where  $J(>0)$  is the coupling, and *h* is the magnetic field. As the *XY* chain with F couplings can be obtained by a unitary transformation, which rotates the odd-site spins by  $\pi$  angle around the *z* axis, both the AF and F cases give the same results. Here, we take  $J>0$  for simplicity.

By applying the JW and Fourier transformations, the Hamiltonian ([7](#page-1-1)) can be diagonalized as

$$
H = \sum_{k} (J \cos k - h)c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k} = \sum_{k} [\varepsilon(k) - h] c_{k}^{\dagger} c_{k},
$$
 (8)

<span id="page-1-4"></span>and the elements in the reduced density matrix  $[Eq. (3)]$  $[Eq. (3)]$  $[Eq. (3)]$  can be explicitly expressed as

$$
Z_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k e^{ik} f(k), \quad \langle n_i \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k f(k), \tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
X_i^+ = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k_1, k_2} (1 - e^{i(k_1 - k_2)}) \langle c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_2}^\dagger c_{k_1} c_{k_2} \rangle, \tag{10}
$$

where  $f(k) = 1/[e^{\beta(\varepsilon(k)-h)}+1]$  ( $\beta$  is the inverse temperature and the Boltzmann constant is taken as  $k_B = 1$ ) is the Fermi distribution function. By the solution of the retarded Green's function  $G_r(t) = \ll c_{k_1}(t) c_{k_2}(t)$ ,  $c_{k_1}^{\dagger} c_{k_2}^{\dagger} \gg (k_1 \neq k_2)$  [[10](#page-4-9)], the expectation value  $\langle c_{k_1}^{\dagger} c_{k_2}^{\dagger} c_{k_1} c_{k_2} \rangle$  in Eq. ([10](#page-1-3)) is obtained as

$$
\langle c_{k_1}^{\dagger} c_{k_2}^{\dagger} c_{k_1} c_{k_2} \rangle = -f(k_1)f(k_2) \quad (k_1 \neq k_2), \tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-1-5"></span>and Eq.  $(10)$  $(10)$  $(10)$  is simplified as

$$
X_i^+ = \langle n_i \rangle^2 - Z_i^2. \tag{12}
$$

By substituting Eqs. ([9](#page-1-4)) and ([12](#page-1-5)) into Eq. ([6](#page-1-6)),  $\tilde{C}_i$  can be obtained as

<span id="page-1-7"></span>
$$
\widetilde{C}_{i} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}} (e^{\beta'(x - h')} + 1)} + \sqrt{\left( \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} dx} dx \right) \left( \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{1 - x}{1 + x} dx} dx \right)} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\times \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} dx} dx \right) - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{1 - x}{1 + x} dx} dx \right) - 1} \right],
$$
\n(13)

where  $\beta' = \beta J$  and  $h' = h/J$ . The result of Eq. ([13](#page-1-7)) is shown in Fig. [1,](#page-0-1) where the TEs in different fields vanish at a common CT  $(T_c)$ , which is a fixed point. This common CT indicates that the magnetic field cannot retrieve the intrinsic TE once it is destroyed by thermal fluctuations, even though the field changes the TE below the CT.

At  $T=T_c$ ,  $\tilde{C}_i=0$  and  $Z_i^2=X_i^*X_i^-$ . Thus, we can derive the equation

$$
\langle n_i \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle^2 = -\sqrt{2}Z_i - Z_i^2 \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-1-8"></span>at  $T_c$  for any fields. We define  $\Phi(\beta, h) = \langle n_i \rangle + \sqrt{2}Z_i + Z_i^2 - \langle n_i \rangle^2$ , which can be expressed as

$$
\Phi(\beta, h) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2}x)dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2} (e^{\beta'(x - h')} + 1)} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(xy - 1)dxdy}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} (e^{\beta'(x - h')} + 1) (e^{\beta'(y - h')} + 1)}.
$$
\n(15)

Thus,  $\Phi \le 0$  describes the entangled state, and  $\Phi$  must be zero at  $T_c$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(\beta_c, h) = 0$ , from which  $T_c$  can be determined. In the absence of magnetic field,  $\langle n_i \rangle = 1/2$  at any temperatures, thus,  $Z_{i, h=0}^{T_c} = (1 - \sqrt{2})/2$ , and  $T_c$  can be obtained by solving the equation

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2} = \frac{J}{\pi T_c} \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} dx}{1 + \cosh \frac{Jx}{T_c}},
$$
(16)

which indicates that  $T_c$  is proportional to *J*, i.e.,  $T_c = \alpha J$  with  $\alpha \approx 0.484$  3. At  $T_c$ ,  $\Phi(\beta_c, h)$  is independent of *h*, i.e.,  $\partial \Phi(\beta_c, h) / \partial h = 0$ , yielding the equation

$$
2(1+\sqrt{2}Z_i)\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial h} = \sqrt{2}(2\langle n_i \rangle - 1)\frac{\partial \langle n_i \rangle}{\partial h},\qquad (17)
$$

which is satisfied at  $T_c$  for any fields. This equation, as well as Eq.  $(14)$  $(14)$  $(14)$ , determines the fixed point completely. In Ref. [[9](#page-4-8)], the CT for the  $S=1/2$  two cyclic *XY* qubits is 0.567 3*J*, which is larger than the CT 0.484 3*J* in the thermodynamic limit. This is consistent with the result in Ref.  $[8]$  $[8]$  $[8]$ , where the CT of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chains with  $N=5 \sim 10$  is smaller than that with *N*=2.

In terms of the spin operators, the finite pairwise TE survives when

$$
\left(\langle S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right)^2 < \frac{1}{4} + \langle S_i^z \rangle^2,\tag{18}
$$

which indicates that the concurrence of TE is determined by the competition between the spin fluctuations and local magnetic moment at finite temperature. As the quantities in Eq. ([9](#page-1-4)) can be expressed as thermodynamic observables as

$$
Z_i = \frac{U + Mh}{NJ} + \frac{h}{2J}, \quad \langle n_i \rangle = \frac{M}{N} + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{19}
$$

where  $U = \langle H \rangle$  is the internal energy, and  $M = \sum_i \langle S_i^z \rangle$  is the total magnetization, the TE can be witnessed by the negative thermal quantity

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\Phi(U,M,h) = \left(\frac{U+Mh}{NJ} + \frac{h}{2J} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4},\tag{20}
$$

which can be measured in experiment. Without the field, the magnetization *M* vanishes, and the TE survives when

$$
\frac{|U|}{NJ} > \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2},\tag{21}
$$

which includes a wider parameter region than the sufficient condition  $|U|/N J > 1/4$  for the entangled state that is proposed for the spin chains with Heisenberg or *XY* interactions

[[5](#page-4-4)]. In a magnetic field, Eq.  $(20)$  $(20)$  $(20)$  also implies that the witness  $|U+Mh|/NJ$  1/4 proposed in Ref. [[5](#page-4-4)] can be improved to cover a wider parameter region for the entangled state. The exact solution not only confirms that the CT of the intrinsic TE which survives in the absence of field is a fixed point, but also reveals some features at the fixed point from the perspectives of the local spin competition and macroscopic thermodynamic behavior.

To investigate the possible exceptions of the field independence of the CT, we study the TE in an *S*= 1/2 AF-F alternating Heisenberg chain by means of the TMRG. The Hamiltonian of this alternating chain is given by

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
H = \sum_{j} (J_a \mathbf{S}_{2j-1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2j} + J_j \mathbf{S}_{2j} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2j+1}) - h \sum_{j} S_j^z, \qquad (22)
$$

where  $J_a > 0$ ,  $J_f < 0$  denote the AF and F couplings, respectively. *J<sub>a</sub>* is taken as the energy scale and  $J_f/J_a = -1$ . This AF-F chain has a Haldane gap  $\Delta \approx 0.6 J_a$  in the ground state [[11](#page-4-10)], and the saturation field  $h_s \approx 1.1 J_a$ . In experiment, this model has been realized and studied extensively  $[12]$  $[12]$  $[12]$ . The TMRG  $\lceil 13 \rceil$  $\lceil 13 \rceil$  $\lceil 13 \rceil$  method is a powerful tool for studying the thermodynamics of one-dimensional quantum systems in the thermodynamic limit  $[14]$  $[14]$  $[14]$ . In our calculations, the width of the imaginary time slice is taken as  $\varepsilon = 0.1$ , and the error caused by the Trotter-Suzuki decomposition is less than  $10^{-3}$ . During the TMRG iterations, 60 states are retained, and the truncation error is less than 10−6.

Owing to the alternation of the couplings, the TEs of the spins coupled by  $J_a$  and  $J_f$ , which are denoted as  $C_a$  and  $C_f$ , respectively, are expected to be distinct. Figure  $2(a)$  $2(a)$  shows the temperature dependence of the TE *Ca* at different fields. It is shown that in the absence of the field, the intrinsic TE survives, and  $C_a$  vanishes at  $T_c^a \approx 0.85 J_a$  due to thermal fluctuations. In the presence of the field, the CT keeps invariant. Although the alternation is involved, the CT of the intrinsic TE is still a fixed point.

However, the entanglement induced by the field does not comply to such rule. For the F couplings, the TE of the spins coupled by  $J_f$  is absent without a field. When the applied field closes the gap and increases up to about  $0.9J_a$ , the TE is induced by the field, as shown in Fig.  $2(b)$  $2(b)$ . With further increasing the field, the CT of the field-induced TE enhances to reach the maximum at the saturation field. A further increase in the field makes the field-induced TE vanish as the spins are fully polarized at zero temperature. It can be seen that—different from the intrinsic TE—the CT of the fieldinduced TE is dependent on the magnetic field.

Furthermore, the intrinsic TE of the *S*= 1/2 AF-AF-AF-F tetrameric Heisenberg chain  $[15]$  $[15]$  $[15]$  is studied using the TMRG, which are not presented here. It is shown that the CT retains a fixed point, which is also observed in the trimerized F-F-AF chain  $[16]$  $[16]$  $[16]$ . The observations suggest that the CT of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the thermal entanglement of (a)  $C_a$  and (b)  $C_f$  for the  $S=1/2$  AF-F chain at various fields obtained by means of the TMRG.

the intrinsic TE in one-dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnets might be a fixed point.

Next, we treat the AF-F chain within the mean-field framework, which may extend the discussions to the general *S*= 1/2 alternating Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains with nearest-neighbor interactions. Following the steps in Ref. [[17](#page-4-16)], we make the Hartree-Fock approximation to the Hamiltonian  $(22)$  $(22)$  $(22)$  after the JW and Fourier transforms and obtain the mean-field Hamiltonian after omitting a constant,

$$
H_{HF} = \sum_{k} \left\{ \left[ (J_a + J_f) \left( d_b - \frac{1}{2} \right) - h \right] a_k^{\dagger} a_k \right\} + \left[ (J_a + J_f) \left( d_a - \frac{1}{2} \right) - h \right] b_k^{\dagger} b_k \right\}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{k} \left[ J_a \left( \frac{1}{2} - p_{ab} \right) e^{ik/2} a_k^{\dagger} b_k + \text{H.c.}
$$

$$
+ J_f \left( \frac{1}{2} - p_{ba} \right) e^{ik/2} b_k^{\dagger} a_k + \text{H.c.} \right], \tag{23}
$$

where  $d_a = \langle a_j^{\dagger} a_j \rangle$ ,  $d_b = \langle b_j^{\dagger} b_j \rangle$ ,  $p_{ab} = \langle b_j^{\dagger} a_j \rangle$ , and  $p_{ba} = \langle a_{j+1}^{\dagger} b_j \rangle$ , which are obtained by self-consistent calculations. Then the Bogoliubov transformation is taken to diagonalize the above Hamiltonian. Thus, the TE can be calculated from the quasiparticle representation. Figure [3](#page-3-1) shows the mean-field results of the TE  $C_a$  and  $C_f$ . It is shown that although the values of the critical fields and CT are not accurate, the mean-field results still preserve the features of the CT. The intrinsic TE  $C_a$  vanishes at a common CT, while the field-induced TE  $C_f$ is dependent on the field. As shown in Fig.  $3(b)$  $3(b)$ , the CT of  $C_j$ enhances with increasing the field until to the maximum at the saturation field, which is analogous to the TMRG result.

In this fermion mapping  $Z_{ab} = p_{ab}^*$  and  $X_{ab}^+ = d_a d_b - Z_{ab}^2$ , where  $Z_{ab}$  and  $X_{ab}^+$  are the values defined in Eq. ([3](#page-1-2)) of the spins coupled by  $J_a$ . Thus, the concurrence  $C_a$  can be ex-pressed by Eq. ([6](#page-1-6)) using these quantities. At the CT  $(T_c^a)$ , we have

$$
[|p_{ab}|^2 - d_a(d_b - 1)][|p_{ab}|^2 - d_a(d_b - 1)] = 2|p_{ab}|^2. \quad (24)
$$

The calculations show that  $p_{ab}$  is real and  $d_a = d_b$ . Thus, the above equation can be simplified as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the thermal entanglement of (a)  $C_a$  and (b)  $C_f$  for the  $S=1/2$  AF-F chain at various fields obtained by the mean-field theory.

$$
d_a - d_a^2 = -\sqrt{2}p_{ab} - p_{ab}^2,\tag{25}
$$

which has the same form as that of the  $XY$  chain [Eq.  $(14)$  $(14)$  $(14)$ ], yielding the following inequality:

$$
\left(\langle S_{2j-1}^{+}S_{2j}^{-}\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)^{2} < \frac{1}{4} + \langle S_{2j-1}^{z}\rangle^{2},\tag{26}
$$

for the entangled  $C_a$ . For the field-induced TE  $C_f$ , we have  $d_a - d_a^2 = -\sqrt{2}p_{ba} - p_{ba}^2$  at the CT, which is satisfied at different CTs for different fields. The entangled  $C_f$  is described by  $(\langle S_{2j}^+ S_{2j+1}^- \rangle + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})^2 < \frac{1}{4} + \langle S_{2j}^z \rangle^2$ . Note that the thermal quantity witness cannot be written in a form as simple as Eq.  $(20)$  $(20)$  $(20)$ within the present self-consistent calculations.

In summary, we have studied the field dependence of the CT of TE in the  $S=1/2$  spin chains within the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The concurrence of the TE in the spin-1/2 *XY* chain is exactly resolved. It is found that the CT of the TE is a fixed point. An equation is given to determine the CT, which is found to be  $T_c \approx 0.484$  3*J* and smaller than that of the two-qubit system. The thermal witness for the entangled state is also proposed. Furthermore, the TE of an *S*  $= 1/2$  AF-F chain is studied by means of the TMRG method and mean-field treatment, which indicates that the CT of the intrinsic TE of the spins coupled by AF couplings is a fixed point, while that of the field-induced TE of the spins coupled by F couplings changes with the field. The exact solution of the *XY* chain as well as the mean-field result of the AF-F chain indicates that the disappearance of the TE is determined by the competition between the spin fluctuations and local magnetic moment at finite temperatures. The observations suggest that it may be a general phenomenon in onedimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnets that the CT of the intrinsic TE is a fixed point independent of the magnetic field.

This work is supported in part by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars of China Grant No. 10625419), the MOST of China (Grant No. 2006CB601102), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>1 M. A. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993); C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, *ibid.* **76**, 722 (1996).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991); Nature (London) **358**, 14 (1992).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] C. Brukner and V. Vedral, e-print arXiv:quant-ph/0406040; G. Tóth, Phys. Rev. A 71, 010301(R) (2005); L.-A. Wu, S. Bandyopadhyay, M. S. Sarandy, and D. A. Lidar, *ibid.* **72**, 032309 (2005); S. I. Doronin, E. B. Fel'dman, and A. N. Pyrkov, JETP Lett. 85, 519 (2007); L. Amico and D. Patanè, EPL 77, 17001 (2007); J. Hide, W. Son, I. Lawrie, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 022319 (2007).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] S. Ghosh, T. F. Rosenbaum, G. Aeppli, and S. N. Coppersmith, Nature (London) 425, 48 (2003); C. Brukner, V. Vedral, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 012110 (2006).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] T. Vértesi and E. Bene, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 134404 (2006); M. Continentino, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18, 8395 (2006); T. G. Rappoport, L. Ghivelder, J. C. Fernandes, R. B. Guimarães, and M. A. Continentino, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 054422 (2007).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>8 M. C. Arnesen, S. Bose, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 017901 (2001).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[9] X.-G. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 012313 (2001).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] K. Hida, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 2207 (1992).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>12 M. B. Stone, W. Tian, M. D. Lumsden, G. E. Granroth, D. Mandrus, J.-H. Chung, N. Harrison, and S. E. Nagler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 087204 (2007); A. Zheludev, V. O. Garlea, L.-P. Regnault, H. Manaka, A. Tsvelik, and J.-H. Chung, *ibid.* **100**, 157204 (2008).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] R. J. Bursill, T. Xiang, and G. A. Gehring, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, L583 (1996); X. Wang and T. Xiang, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 5061 (1997).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] For instance, B. Gu, G. Su, and S. Gao, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, 6081 (2005); B. Gu, G. Su, and S. Gao, Phys. Rev. B 73, 134427 (2006); B. Gu and G. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 089701 (2006); B. Gu and G. Su, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174437 (2007); S. S. Gong, B. Gu, and G. Su, Phys. Lett. A 372, 2322  $(2008).$
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[15] S.-S. Gong and G. Su, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104416 (2008).
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[16] Z.-Y. Sun, K.-L. Yao, W. Yao, D.-H. Zhang, and Z.-L. Liu, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 014416 (2008).
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>17 S. Yamamoto and K. Funase, Low Temp. Phys. **31**, 740  $(2005).$