Effects of an optically induced non-Abelian gauge field in cold atoms

Li-Hua Lu and You-Quan Li

Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China (Received 23 October 2006; published 16 August 2007)

We show that N-1 degenerate dark states can be generated by coupling N-fold degenerate ground states and a common excited state with N laser fields. Interferences between light waves with different frequencies can produce laser fields with time-dependent amplitudes, which can induce not only U(N) non-Abelian vector fields but also the scalar ones for the adiabatic motion of atoms in such laser fields. As an example, a time-periodic gauge potential is produced by applying specific laser fields to a tripod system. Some features of the Landau levels and the ground-state phase diagram of a rotating Bose-Einstein condensate for a concrete gauge field are also discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.76.023410

PACS number(s): 32.80.Pj, 32.80.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, cooling atoms in the laboratory is opening up a new playground for investigating various ingredients and distinct features occurring in condensed matter physics. Most of the prepared systems are described by Hamiltonians formally identical to those for electrons, which allows one to study the equivalent situations under well-defined controllable conditions. The electromagnetic field, as a kind of gauge field, is known to play a versatile role there. Like the emergence of the Coriolis force in a rotating frame, rotating cold atoms trapped in a potential is a useful way to provide an "artificial" magnetic field [1]. Although it was noticed two decades ago that the Berry phase [2,3] arising in the adiabatic dynamics of quantum-mechanical systems can be regarded as a gauge field, the propagation of slow light in a degenerate atomic gas was recently proposed to induce an effective magnetic field for an electrically neutral system [4]. Laser beams with orbital angular momenta have been suggested to induce either uniform or radially dependent fields [5], which makes it possible to discuss the quantum Hall effect and its incompressible nature in a degenerate gas of atomic fermions trapped in a two-dimensional (2D) confinement [6]. Alternatively, a method to realize an artificial magnetic field for neutral atoms in a 2D optical lattice was proposed [7].

The realization of non-Abelian gauge fields in cold atomic systems was theoretically suggested very recently [8-11]. One scheme [10] employs laser-assisted state-sensitive tunneling for atoms with multiple internal states, while another [11] is based on doubly degenerate dark states formed via a tripod-coupling system, which is a scheme developed for the dark state polariton [12]. As we know, the spatial components of the gauge potentials were obtained directly, whereas the time component was introduced through a projection procedure [11], which is normally of higher order.

The possible emergence of non-Abelian gauge fields in various branches of condensed matter physics has absorbed much attention recently. For example, Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit couplings in certain semiconductors were perceived to be non-Abelian gauge fields [13]. It is therefore worthwhile to investigate systematically the emergence of non-Abelian gauge structures in neutral atoms.

In this paper, we show that both non-Abelian vector potentials and scalar ones can be induced in neutral atomic systems as long as the amplitudes of the applied laser fields are time dependent. Taking a tripod system as an example, we give time-periodic vector and scalar gauge potentials using laser beams with particular time-dependent amplitudes. We also discuss some concrete examples, such as the features of the Landau levels and the ground-state phase diagram of a rotating Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) system in a definite gauge potential. We find that the gauge potential can make the phase diagram of a rotating BEC much richer even if the expression for the gauge potential is simple. In the next section, we consider a tripod system in a laser field with time-dependent amplitude. In Sec. III, we consider Landau levels and the ground-state phase diagram of a rotating system in a definite gauge potential. The main conclusions are summarized in the last section.

II. MODEL AND GENERAL FORMULATION

We consider cold atomic ensembles moving in a radiation field which resonantly couples the atomic ground states of *N*-fold degeneracy $|\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha=1,2,\ldots,N$) and a specific excited state $|e\rangle$. In terms of the Rabi frequencies, we have the following Hamiltonian in the representation of the Hilbert subspace of the internal atomic levels:

$$\hat{H}' = -\hbar \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_{\alpha}^{*} |\alpha\rangle \langle e| + \text{H.c.}$$
(1)

The Rabi frequency depends on the amplitude of the laser field where the atom is located. The dressed state reads formally $|\psi\rangle = (\mathcal{E} - \hat{H}_0)^{-1} \hat{H}' |\psi\rangle$ with \hat{H}_0 the bare atomic Hamiltonian, which gives rise to $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle = |\alpha\rangle + (\mathcal{E} - \hat{H}_0)^{-1} \hat{P} \hat{H}' |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle$ if the operator \hat{P} , projecting states outside of the subspace for the degenerate ground states { $|\alpha\rangle$ }, is introduced. By an iteration procedure, one reaches a formal expression up to any expected order:

$$\sum_{\alpha'} \left[\langle \alpha | \hat{H}' | \alpha' \rangle + \langle \alpha | \hat{H}' (\mathcal{E} - \hat{H}_0)^{-1} \hat{P} \hat{H}' | \alpha' \rangle + \cdots \right] a_{\alpha'}$$
$$= (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_0) a_{\alpha},$$

where \mathcal{E}_0 is the unperturbed ground-state energy, i.e., $\hat{H}_0 | \alpha \rangle = \mathcal{E}_0 | \alpha \rangle$. Since the lowest nonvanishing perturbation for the Hamiltonian (1) is of the second order, we have

$$\frac{\hbar}{\Delta \mathcal{E}} \sum_{\alpha'} w_{\alpha}^* w_{\alpha'} a_{\alpha'} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)} a_{\alpha}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\Delta \mathcal{E}$ is the energy difference between the excited state $|e\rangle$ and the degenerate ground states $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$, and $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_0+\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$. By solving this secular equation, we get N-1 degenerate eigenstates $|d_i\rangle$ with $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}=0$ and a single nondegenerate eigenstate $|s\rangle$ with $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}=\sum_{\alpha}\hbar^2|w_{\alpha}|^2/\Delta \mathcal{E}$, namely,

$$|s\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{w_{\alpha}^{*}}{\sqrt{|w_{1}|^{2} + |w_{2}|^{2} + \dots + |w_{N}|^{2}}} |\alpha\rangle,$$
$$|d_{i}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} a_{\alpha}^{i} |\alpha\rangle \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1).$$
(3)

The expanded coefficients in the state $|d_i\rangle$ yield

$$\sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha} a^{i}_{\alpha} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

which implies that its solutions refer to N-1 orthogonal vectors $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N)$ perpendicular to a common vector $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_N)$. Since $\langle e | \hat{H}' | d_i \rangle = 0$, as a consequence of (4), those degenerate states $|d_i\rangle$ are actually dark states, which means that optically induced absorption and emission occur simultaneously.

The translational motion of the atom is described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \partial_t |\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = [\mathbf{p}^2/2M + V(\mathbf{r})]|\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle$. Here *M* denotes the mass of the atoms and $V(\mathbf{r})$ an external potential. The electric dipole interaction $-\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t)$ between atoms and the laser will not be directly involved in the Schrödinger equation, although the frequency ω of the laser matches the energy difference between the aforementioned ground and exited states, i.e., $\hbar\omega = \Delta \mathcal{E}$. However, we will see that radiation fields with time-dependent amplitudes will induce non-Abelian gauge fields in the Schrödinger equation.

We apply a laser field with two-frequencies ω_+ and ω_- , instead of a single frequency. Here $\omega = (\omega_+ + \omega_-)/2$ matches the frequency of transition between the atomic ground states and the excited state. The coherent superposition of these two waves gives rise to a radiation field with a timedependent amplitude. One can find that the Rabi frequencies w_{α} are time dependent since they depend on the amplitude of the radiation field. This brings about (N-1)-fold degenerate dark states which depend on both time and spatial coordinates.

We apply such laser fields that the Born-Oppenheimer expansion is applicable. Substituting the state of the atom expanded in terms of the dark states (as the adiabatic basis)

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \psi_i(\mathbf{r},t) |d_i(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle$$

into the Schrödinger equation for its translational motion, we obtain that

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(\frac{(\mathbf{p}-\mathcal{A})^2}{2M} - \mathcal{A}_0 + V\right)\psi,\tag{5}$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{N-1})^T$, and the gauge potential $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A})$ and *V* are matrix valued. Their matrix elements are given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = i\hbar \langle d_i(\mathbf{r}, t) | \nabla | d_j(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle,$$

$$(\mathcal{A}_0)_{ij} = i\hbar \langle d_i(\mathbf{r}, t) | \partial_t | d_j(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle,$$

$$V_{ij} = \langle d_i(\mathbf{r}, t) | V(\mathbf{r}) | d_j(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle.$$
 (6)

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Note that the scalar potential in Eq. (6) differs from the one introduced in Ref. [11], where the scalar potential was obtained by means of a projection approach. The Hamiltonian (5) is invariant under a local U(N-1) gauge transformation. The simplest non-Abelian case is a tripod system which provides a U(2) gauge potential.

III. EXAMPLE OF A TRIPOD SYSTEM

As an example, we consider a tripod system that provides two degenerate dark states. For convenience, we parametrize the Rabi frequencies w_{α} with angle and phase variables according to $w_1 = w \sin \theta \cos \phi e^{iS_1}$, $w_2 = w \sin \theta \sin \phi e^{iS_2}$, $w_3 = w \cos \theta e^{iS_3}$, where $w = \sqrt{|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 + |w_3|^2}$, $\tan \phi$ $= |w_2|I|w_1|$, and $\tan \theta = \sqrt{(|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2)/|w_3|^2}$. According to Eq. (4), the two dark states are given by

$$|d_1\rangle = e^{-i\chi_1}(\sin\phi \ e^{-iS_1}|1\rangle - \cos\phi \ e^{-iS_2}|2\rangle),$$

$$|d_2\rangle = e^{-i\chi_2}(\cos\theta\cos\phi \ e^{-iS_1}|1\rangle + \cos\theta\sin\phi \ e^{-iS_2}|2\rangle$$

$$-\sin\theta \ e^{-iS_3}|3\rangle).$$
 (7)

where χ_1 and χ_2 are arbitrary phase variables. The matrix elements of the gauge potential $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A})$ are calculated easily, yielding

$$\mathcal{A}_{11} = \hbar [\nabla (S_1 + \chi_1) + \cos^2 \phi \ \nabla S_{21}],$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{12} = \hbar \cos \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\phi) \ \nabla S_{12} - i \ \nabla \phi\right) e^{i(\chi_1 - \chi_2)},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{22} = \hbar [\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi \ \nabla S_{12} + \cos^2 \theta \ \nabla S_{23} + \nabla (S_3 + \chi_2)],$$

(8)

and

$$(\mathcal{A}_0)_{11} = \hbar [\partial_t (S_1 + \chi_1) + \cos^2 \phi \partial_t S_{21}],$$
$$(\mathcal{A}_0)_{12} = \hbar \cos \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\phi) \partial_t S_{12} - i \partial_t \phi\right) e^{i(\chi_1 - \chi_2)}$$

EFFECTS OF AN OPTICALLY INDUCED NON-ABELIAN ...

$$(\mathcal{A}_0)_{22} = \hbar [\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi \partial_t S_{12} + \cos^2 \theta \partial_t S_{23} + \partial_t (S_3 + \chi_2)],$$
(9)

where $S_{ij}=S_i-S_j$. The gauge potentials $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A})$ corresponding to different χ_1 and χ_2 are related by a local U(2) gauge transformation.

We now construct specific radiation fields which lead to a time-periodic gauge field. For this purpose, we consider two copropagating laser beams in the z direction with slightly different frequencies, whose electric fields read

$$\mathbf{E}_{+} = \frac{1}{2} E_0 e^{i(k_+ z - \omega_+ t + \vartheta_+)} \hat{e}_z,$$
$$\mathbf{E}_{-} = \frac{1}{2} E_0 e^{i(k_- z - \omega_- t + \vartheta_-)} \hat{e}_z,$$

where k_{\pm} are the wave vectors of the two fields, ω_{\pm} the frequencies, and ϑ_{\pm} the initial phases. The coherent superposition of the two fields gives rise to

$$\mathbf{E}_{super} = E_0 \cos(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t + \Delta \vartheta) e^{i(k'z+\vartheta)} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{e}_z,$$

where $k_+ - k_- = 2\Delta k$, $k_+ + k_- = 2k'$, $\omega_+ - \omega_- = 2\Delta \omega$, $\omega_+ + \omega_- = 2\omega$, $\vartheta_+ - \vartheta_- = 2\Delta \vartheta$, and $\vartheta_+ + \vartheta_- = 2\vartheta$. Fixing the initial phase difference $2\Delta \vartheta$ as zero, we can get a field \mathbf{E}_{super} with the complex amplitude being

$$U_1 = E_0 \cos(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t)e^{i(k'z+\vartheta)}.$$
 (10)

The Rabi frequency corresponding to the above field is

$$w_1 = w_0 \cos(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t) e^{i(k'z+\vartheta)}, \qquad (11)$$

where $\hbar w_0 = -E_0(D_z)_{1e}$ and $(D_z)_{1e} = \langle e|D_z|1\rangle$, with D_z being the *z* component of the electric-dipole moment of the atom. Additionally, two copropagating and circularly polarized fields with opposite orbital angular momenta are applied. The Rabi frequencies of those fields are

$$w_{2,3} = w_0 \rho e^{i(kz \pm \varphi)},$$
 (12)

in cylindrical coordinates.

The gauge potential corresponding to the above fields Eqs. (11) and (12) can be calculated from Eqs. (8) and (9),

$$\mathcal{A} = \hat{e}_{\rho}\hbar\cos\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\cos(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t)}{\cos^{2}(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t) + \rho^{2}} + \hat{e}_{\phi}\frac{\hbar}{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^{2}\phi & -\frac{\cos\theta\sin(2\phi)}{2} \\ -\frac{\cos\theta\sin(2\phi)}{2} & \cos^{2}\theta\sin^{2}\phi - \sin^{2}\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ + \hat{e}_{z}\hbar \begin{pmatrix} k'\sin^{2}\phi + k\cos^{2}\phi & \cos\theta\left(\frac{\sin(2\phi)(k'-k)}{2} - i\frac{\Delta k\rho\sin(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t)}{\cos^{2}(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t) + \rho^{2}}\right) \\ \cos\theta\left(\frac{\sin(2\phi)(k'-k)}{2} + i\frac{\Delta k\rho\sin(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t)}{\cos^{2}(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t) + \rho^{2}}\right) & k + \cos^{2}\theta\cos^{2}\phi(k'-k) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{0} = \hbar\cos\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta\omega\rho\sin(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t)}{\cos^{2}(\Delta kz - \Delta\omega t) + \rho^{2}},$$
(13)

as long as χ_1 and χ_2 are chosen as zero. Here $\cos \phi = \cos(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t) / \sqrt{\rho^2} + \cos^2(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t)$ and $\cos \theta = \rho / \sqrt{2\rho^2} + \cos^2(\Delta kz - \Delta \omega t)$. We can easily find that the gauge potential $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A})$ is periodic in time with a periodicity of $2\pi/\Delta\omega$.

IV. EXAMPLE OF A ROTATING SYSTEM

We now take a two-dimensional rotating cold atomic system as an example to investigate the effect of the non-Abelian gauge fields. For the rotating cold atomic system, the Hamiltonian is written as

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_{\perp}^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_{\perp}^2 y^2 - \Omega \hat{L}(z) + H_{int} \quad (14)$$

in a rotating frame. Here ω_{\perp} denotes the frequency of the trapping potential, Ω the rotating frequency of the system,

 $\hat{L}(z) = (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p})_z$ the conventional angular momentum operator, and H_{int} the interaction between atoms. The application of laser fields

$$w_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar k - 2\gamma x}{2\hbar k}} e^{i(-ky+\delta_{1})},$$
$$w_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar k - 2\gamma x}{2\hbar k}} e^{i(-ky+\delta_{2})},$$
$$w_{3} = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma x}{\hbar k}} e^{i(-kz+\delta_{3})},$$

with δ_1 , δ_2 , and δ_3 being the initial phases and *k* the wave vector of the laser fields, introduces the non-Abelian gauge

potential \mathcal{A} into the above Hamiltonian for rotating Bose-Einstein condensates; accordingly,

$$H = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - \mathcal{A})^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_{\perp}^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_{\perp}^2 y^2 - \Omega[\mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathcal{A})]_z + H_{int}.$$
(15)

Here $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y)$, $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_x, \mathcal{A}_y)$, $\mathcal{A}_x = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma y \hat{\tau}_z + \frac{1}{2}\gamma y I$, and $\mathcal{A}_y = \frac{1}{2}\gamma x \hat{\tau}_z - \frac{1}{2}\gamma x I$, with $\hat{\tau}_z$ being the *z* component of the Pauli matrix. Collecting the harmonic trapping potential terms into the quadratic term $(\mathbf{p} - \mathcal{A})^2 / (2M)$, we can write Eq. (15) as

$$H = \frac{1}{2M} (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A})^2 + (\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\perp} - \Omega) \mathbb{L}(z) + H_{int}, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{A} = (A_x, A_y)$, $A_x = -M\omega_{\perp}y$, $A_y = M\omega_{\perp}x$, and $\mathbb{L}(z) = \hat{L}(z) - (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{A})_z = [\mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A})]_z$.

In the limit of $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$, the Hamiltonian is formally the same as that for a charged particle in the background of conventional and U(2) magnetic fields. In this case, (A_x, A_y) becomes $(-M\Omega y, M\Omega x)$, and the corresponding magnetic field reads $B_z = 2M\Omega$. The *i* component of the dynamical momentum is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{i} = \frac{M}{i\hbar} [r_{i}, \hat{H}] = \hat{p}_{i} - A_{i} - \mathcal{A}_{i}.$$
(17)

The commutator between different components of the dynamical momentum is shown to obey

$$\left[\mathbb{P}_{x},\mathbb{P}_{y}\right] = i\hbar(B_{z} + \mathbb{B}_{z}) = i\hbar\begin{pmatrix}B_{1} & 0\\0 & B_{2}\end{pmatrix},$$
(18)

where $\mathbb{B}_z = \gamma \hat{\tau}_z - \gamma I$ refers to the U(2) magnetic field which is defined by the corresponding U(2) gauge potential. In general, $\mathbb{B}_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \{\partial_j \mathcal{A}_k - \partial_k \mathcal{A}_j - (i/\hbar) [\mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{A}_k]\}$. Clearly, different components of the dynamical momentum are noncommutative as long as either the U(2) magnetic field \mathbb{B}_z or the conventional magnetic field \mathcal{B}_z is not zero. Following the strategy of algebraic approach to Landau levels, we introduce the pseudomomentum, whose *i* component is $\mathbb{K}_i = \hat{p}_i + A_i + \mathcal{A}_i$. The Hamiltonian (in the absence of interactions between atoms) and the angular momentum operator can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2M} (\mathbb{P}_x^2 + \mathbb{P}_y^2),$$

$$\hat{L}_{z} = \frac{1}{2} (B_{z} + B_{z})^{-1} (\mathbb{K}_{x}^{2} + \mathbb{K}_{y}^{2} - \mathbb{P}_{x}^{2} - \mathbb{P}_{y}^{2}),$$
(19)

where $(B_z + B_z)(B_z + B_z)^{-1} = I$. We can thus define two sets of creation and annihilation operators with the above dynamical momentum and the pseudomomentum. Each operator has two species

$$\hat{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a}_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbb{P}_x + i\mathbb{P}_y) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar B_1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar B_2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\hat{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{b}_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbb{K}_x - i\mathbb{K}_y) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar B_1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar B_2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In terms of those creation and annihilation operators, the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = (\mathbb{P}_x^2 + \mathbb{P}_y^2)/2M$ is expressed as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1,2} \hbar \omega_i \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i, \qquad (20)$$

whose eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are $(|n_1,m_1\rangle,|n_2,m_2\rangle)^T$ and $E_{n_1n_2}=\hbar\omega_1(2n_1+1)+\hbar\omega_2(2n_2+1)$, respectively, where $\omega_1=\omega_{\perp}$ and $\omega_2=\omega_{\perp}-\gamma/M$. Here the states $|n_1,m_1\rangle$ and $|n_2,m_2\rangle$ are Landau levels with n_1,m_1 and n_2,m_2 being integers. The integers n_1 and n_2 are the Landau level indices, and m_1 and m_2 label the degenerate states within the same Landau level.

In the following discussion, we assume that the system is in the lowest Landau level (LLL), i.e., $n_1, n_2=0$. The assumption is valid in the limit of $\Omega \sim \omega_{\perp}$. The wave function of the system is written as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{m_1} c_{m_1} r^{m_1} e^{im_1 \varphi} e^{-r^2/2a_1^2},$$

$$\Psi_2 = \sum_{m_2} c_{m_2} r^{m_2} e^{im_2 \varphi} e^{-r^2/2a_2^2},$$
 (21)

where $a_1 = \sqrt{\hbar/(M\omega_1)}$ and $a_2 = \sqrt{\hbar/(M\omega_2)}$. The expectation value of L(z) for the wave function (21) is given by

$$\langle \mathbb{L}(z) \rangle = \hbar \int [(r/a_1)^2 - 1] |\Psi_1|^2 d\mathbf{r} + \hbar \int [(r/a_2)^2 - 1] |\Psi_2|^2 d\mathbf{r}$$

+ $\gamma \int r^2 |\Psi_2|^2 d\mathbf{r}.$ (22)

Then the expectation value of Eq. (16) can be evaluated as

$$\langle \hat{H} \rangle = (\omega_{\perp} - \Omega) M \omega_{\perp} (\langle r^2 \rangle_1 + \langle r^2 \rangle_2) + 2\hbar \Omega + H_{int},$$

$$H_{int} = \int d^2 r \left(\frac{1}{2} g_1 |\Psi_1|^4 + \frac{1}{2} g_2 |\Psi_2|^4 + g_{12} |\Psi_1|^2 |\Psi_2|^2 \right),$$

$$(23)$$

where $\langle r^2 \rangle_1 = \int r^2 |\Psi_1|^2 d\mathbf{r}$ and $\langle r^2 \rangle_2 = \int r^2 |\Psi_2|^2 d\mathbf{r}$. The strengths of the effective two-body interactions are g_1 $= 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{s1} N/(ZM)$, $g_2 = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{s2} N/(ZM)$, and g_{12} $= 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{s12} N/(ZM)$. Here, the variation of the trapping potential along the *z* axis is neglected, and the density of atoms along the *z* axis is assumed to be a constant N/Z.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Ground-state phase diagrams with different choices of the parameters for a rotating BEC in the presence of gauge potentials in the $\xi - \Omega/\omega_{\perp}$ plane. The boundaries separating different phases are plotted. σ_i , σ_{12} , Ω/ω_{\perp} , and ξ are all dimensionless quantities.

For sufficiently weak interactions, the energy of atoms in the rotating frame is minimized when the wave functions Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are composed of only one component, respectively, i.e., $\Psi_{m_1,m_2} = (r^{m_1}e^{im_1\varphi}e^{-r^2/2a_1^2}, r^{m_2}e^{im_2\varphi}e^{-r^2/2a_2^2})^T$ [14]. In this case the energy of the system becomes

$$\mathcal{E}_{m_1,m_2} = \left(1 - \frac{\Omega}{\omega_\perp}\right) \left(m_1 + 1 + \frac{m_2 + 1}{1 - \xi}\right) + \sigma_1 \frac{(2m_1)!}{2^{2m_1}(m_1!)^2} + \sigma_2(1 - \xi) \frac{(2m_2)!}{2^{2m_2}(m_2!)^2} + \sigma_1 \frac{(m_1 + m_2)!(1 - \xi)^{(m_2 + 1)}}{2^{m_1 + m_2 - 1}m_1!m_2!}, \quad (24)$$

in units of $\hbar \omega_{\perp}$. Here $\xi \equiv \gamma/(M\omega_{\perp})$, $\sigma_i \equiv a_{si}N/Z$, and $\sigma_{12} \equiv a_{s12}N/Z$. For definite values of Ω and ξ , the energy of the system depends on the quantum numbers m_1 and m_2 . The optimal values of m_1 and m_2 corresponding to the ground state can be determined by minimizing the energy Eq. (24).

From Eq. (24) we can see that $\mathcal{E}_{1,0}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{0,1}$ become lower than $\mathcal{E}_{0,0}$ at critical frequencies of rotation given by

$$\frac{\Omega_{1,0}}{\omega_{\perp}} = 1 - \frac{\sigma_1}{2} - (1 - \xi)\sigma_{12},$$
$$\frac{\Omega_{0,1}}{\omega_{\perp}} = 1 - \frac{(1 - \xi)^2 \sigma_2}{2} - \sigma_{12}(1 + \xi)(1 - \xi)^2, \qquad (25)$$

respectively. The vortex begins to be created in one component of the system when the frequency of the rotation reaches the minimal value of $\{\Omega_{1,0}, \Omega_{0,1}\}$. For some values of ξ , vortices can be created in both components of the system when Ω reaches some critical value Ω_{m_1,m_2} . Thus the state Ψ_{m_1,m_2} with m_1 and m_2 being both larger than zero becomes more favorable in energy for $\Omega \ge \Omega_{m_1,m_2}$. This critical frequency Ω_{m_1,m_2} can be calculated from the two conditions

$$\mathcal{E}_{m_1,m_2} - \mathcal{E}_{m_1-1,m_2} = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{m_1,m_2} - \mathcal{E}_{m_1,m_2-1} = 0.$$

Thus we can plot the ground-state phase diagram of the rotating BEC in which the boundaries between different phases are obtained by the relation $\mathcal{E}_{m_1,m_2} - \mathcal{E}_{m'_1,m'_2} = 0$. Figure 1 is plotted for two different choices of the parameters, where the σ 's are small in order to satisfy the assumption of weak interactions. One can see that the shapes of the phase diagram for the two parameter choices change distinctly. This is because the differences of the scattering lengths can break the spatial symmetry of the ground state. From Fig. 1, we can see that the angular momentum of the system depends not only on the rotating frequency Ω but also on the strength of the gauge potential for given interactions. Discontinuous transitions may be observed through changing the value of ξ even if Ω is a constant. The presence of gauge potentials makes the phase diagram of the system much richer.

Since we have the expressions for the energy levels near the ground state, the phase diagram can be verified with the help of the derivative of the ground-state energy. In Fig. 2, we plot the derivative of the ground-state energy along the (1,-1) direction versus $(\xi, \Omega/\omega_{\perp})$,



FIG. 2. (Color online) The curve surfaces are the derivatives (in units of $\hbar\omega_{\perp}$) of the ground-state energy along (1,-1) direction versus $(\xi, \Omega/\omega_{\perp})$ for parameters $\sigma_1=0.2$, $\sigma_2=0.4$, $\sigma_{12}=0.3$ (a) and $\sigma_1=\sigma_2=\sigma_{12}=0.4$ (b), respectively. The discontinuous steps occur precisely at the boundaries separating different phases illustrated in Fig. 1.

$$F'(\xi,\Omega) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_g}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_g}{\partial (\Omega/\omega_+)},$$
 (26)

where \mathcal{E}_g is the ground-state energy of the system. One can see that discontinuous steps emerge in the $F'(\xi, \Omega)$ surface [i.e., singularities for the derivative of $F'(\xi, \Omega)$]. This exhibits the boundaries separating different phases as it is also an effective quantity to characterize quantum phase transitions [15]. These boundaries indeed coincide with those given in Fig. 1. As well known, there are various quantities for characterizing quantum phase transitions, such as the quantum entanglement [16] and the fidelity [17]. The former is convenient if the reduced density matrix for paring correlation is computable, while the latter is convenient if the ground state is expressible. In the present case, the derivative of the ground-state energy is the most convenient quantity for that purpose.

The above discussion is based on the assumption of weak interactions, which requires that the interaction energy must be much smaller than the kinetic and trapping potential energies for each component. The former is of order $\sim \rho_i g_i$ (i = 1, 2), and the latter is of order $\sim \hbar \omega_i$, where ρ_i is the particle density of the *i*th component in the *x*-*y* plane. The cross section of the *i*th component is πa_i^2 in the weak interaction limit. Thus, the density ρ_i is $1/\pi a_i^2$. So we can obtain the effective coupling constant $\sigma_i \ll 1$ from the condition $\rho_i g_i \ll \hbar \omega_i$.

If the value of σ_i violates the condition of weak interaction, the energy of the system will be minimized when many components in the expansion of Eq. (21) contribute to the wave function Ψ_i . In this case, Eq. (23) is still valid. To calculate the expectation value of r_i^2 and the interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} given in Eq. (23), the numerical simulation and the averaged vortex approximation [18] are efficient methods. Note that two sets of vortex lattices may be formed in this case. The structure of the vortex lattice depends on the strength of interactions as well as on that of the gauge potentials. The presence of gauge potentials can increase the diversity of vortex-lattice structures, so it is worthwhile to study these systems further.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have given a general description of the dark states and found that N-1 degenerate dark states can be generated with the help of N laser fields coupling N-fold degenerate ground states with a common exited state. Interferences between two waves with different frequencies can produce such radiation fields whose amplitudes are not only spatially dependent but also time dependent. They can induce vector and scalar gauge potentials. As an example, we have considered a tripod system for which one can obtain a time-periodic gauge potential using a specific laser field. We have given a configuration of the laser field that leads to a uniform U(2) magnetic field, in which we have discussed the features of Landau levels and studied the quantum phase transitions of a rotating BEC using the derivative of the ground-state energy. We have shown that the presence of gauge potentials will make the picture of rotating BECs much richer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NSFC Grants No. 10225419 and No. 10674117.

- K. W. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 806 (2000).
- [2] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 392, 45 (1984).
- [3] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 2111 (1984).
- [4] G. Juzeliunas and P. Öhberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 033602 (2004).
- [5] G. Juzeliunas, P. Öhberg, J. Ruseckas, and A. Klein, Phys. Rev. A 71, 053614 (2005).
- [6] P. Öhberg, G. Juzeliunas, J. Ruseckas, and M. Fleischhauer, Phys. Rev. A 72, 053632 (2005).
- [7] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, New J. Phys. 5, 56 (2003).
- [8] P. Zhang, Y. Li, and C. P. Sun, Eur. Phys. J. D 36, 229 (2005).
- [9] R. Dum and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1788 (1996).
- [10] K. Osterloh, M. Baig, L. Santos, P. Zoller, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 010403 (2005).
- [11] J. Ruseckas, G. Juzeliunas, P. Öhberg, and M. Fleischhauer,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 010404 (2005).

- [12] M. Fleischhauer and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5094 (2000); Phys. Rev. A 65, 022314 (2002).
- [13] P. Q. Jin, Y. Q. Li, and F. C. Zhang, J. Phys. A **39**, 7115 (2006).
- [14] A. D. Jackson and G. M. Kavoulakis, Phys. Rev. A 70, 023601 (2004).
- [15] L.-A. Wu, M. S. Sarandy, and D. A. Lidar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 250404 (2004).
- [16] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, Nature (London) 416, 608 (2002); S. J. Gu, H. Q. Lin, and Y. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. A 68, 042330 (2003); G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 227902 (2003).
- [17] P. Zanardi, M. Cozzini, and P. Giorda, e-print arXiv:cond-mat/ 0606130.
- [18] T. L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 060403 (2001).