# Structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of $MB_n$ ( $M=Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni, n \le 7$ ) clusters

Xia Liu,<sup>1</sup> Gao-feng Zhao,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ling-ju Guo,<sup>1</sup> Qun Jing,<sup>1</sup> and You-hua Luo<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Electronics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

(Received 14 April 2007; published 6 June 2007)

We have investigated the stability, electronic, and magnetic properties of transition-metal-doped  $MB_n$  clusters (M=Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni,  $n \le 7$ ) using first-principles density functional theory with generalized gradient approximation. The equilibrium structures of  $MB_n$  ( $n \le 5$ ) clusters can be obtained by directly adding M atoms to the  $B_n$  clusters, while for n=6, hexagon or near-hexagon geometries with a boron atom at the center ring are regarded as the ground-state structures. n=7 marks the onset of three-dimensional geometries for  $MB_n$  clusters. According to the second-order energy differences, gaps between the highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital and vertical ionization potentials, we can conclude that CrB<sub>4</sub>, CrB<sub>7</sub>, MnB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>5</sub>, FeB<sub>7</sub>, and CoB<sub>7</sub> possess relatively higher stabilities. The relative orientation between the magnetic moments of the M atom and those of its neighboring B atoms mainly exhibits an antiferromagnetic alignment for CrB<sub>n</sub>, MnB<sub>n</sub>, and FeB<sub>n</sub>, while it mainly shows a ferromagnetic alignment for CoB<sub>n</sub> and NiB<sub>n</sub> clusters.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.75.063201

PACS number(s): 36.40.Cg

### I. INTRODUCTION

During the last two decades, amorphous materials have attracted much interest due to their potential industrial applications as suitable materials [1,2]. However, their properties, which are very different from those of crystalline materials [3], lack understanding. The study of the cluster size structure of amorphous systems is a prerequisite for understanding their special physical and chemical properties.

Transition-metal- (M-) based amorphous alloys as a kind of excellent magnetism material have been paid attention to by scientists [4,5]. In the cluster size, Sun *et al.* [6] investigated the structures and magnetic properties of Fe<sub>n</sub>B  $(n \leq 6)$  clusters using density functional theory (DFT). The variation of average moment with the atomic percentage of B atom is nonlinear in Fe<sub>n</sub>B clusters, different from the behavior of bulk amorphous Fe-B alloys. Fang and Hu [7] calculated the magnetism of  $\text{Fe}_n \text{B}_2$  (n=1-6). The calculated average magnetic moments were less than the relevant theory calculation results of  $Fe_n$  (n=1-6) clusters and the experimental value of pure metal Fe, and totally appeared soft magnetism. Yang et al. [8] calculated the magnetic properties of  $\text{FeB}_n$  (n=1-6) clusters. The magnetic moment of Fe atoms and the total magnetic moment decrease with an increase of cluster size except for FeB<sub>5</sub>. Deshpande *et al.* [9] studied the magnetic properties of Ni<sub>n</sub>B (n=1-8, 12) clusters. They pointed out that doping of boron enhances the binding energy but reduces the magnetic moments of Ni clusters. Torres et al. [10] investigated the element- and size-dependent electron stability of  $Au_nM^+$  clusters  $(M = \text{Sc}, \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Mn}, \text{Fe}, \text{Au}, n \leq 9)$ ; the environment and doping play an important role on the stability and magnetic properties of clusters. Sun et al. [11] studied the effect of boron impurities on the bonding in ordered intermetallic Ni<sub>3</sub>Al using the full-potential linear-muffin-tin-orbital method. They found that changes in the electronic structure induced by boron result from the hybridization of the *d* state of the nearest-neighbor Ni atoms with the B *p* states. In our previous work, Luo and co-workers also calculated the magnetic properties of NiB<sub>n</sub> [12] and CoBe<sub>n</sub> [13] clusters. They pointed out that the total magnetic moment of clusters oscillates with increasing size. However, in all cases, the local magnetism is very sensitive to its local structure and environment.

Stimulated by these previous investigations, in this paper we present spin-density functional calculations for a wider range of 3*d* dopant atom and cluster sizes—that is,  $MB_n$  $(M=Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni, n \le 7)$  clusters. Such calculations could offer a unique opportunity to study how magnetic properties change as the local electrons of an isolated atom start to delocalized in the cluster and how the magnetism of the  $MB_n$  clusters develop with increasing cluster size.

In the following section (Sec. II), we describe in brief the computational details. In Sec. III, we present the lowestenergy structures of  $MB_n$  (M=Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni) clusters and discuss their growth behavior. The electronic and magnetic properties of these clusters are also discussed. Finally, the conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

## **II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

All calculations are based on spin-polarized DFT in the DMOL 3 package [14]. In the electronic structure calculations, an all-electron treatment and double-numerical basis including *d*-polarization function (DND) [14] were chosen. The exchange-correlation interaction was treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the Perdew-Wang 1991 (PW91) [15] functional. Self-consistent field calculations were done with a convergence criterion of  $10^{-6}$  hartee on the total energy. The density mixing criteria for charge and spin were 0.02 and 0.05, respectively. In the geometry optimization, the converge thresholds were set to 0.002 hartree/Å for the forces, 0.005 Å for the displace-

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: zgf@henu.edu.cn

ment, and  $10^{-5}$  hartree for the energy change.

In order to test the quality of the scheme for the description of  $MB_n$  clusters, we have first considered FeB and NiB for which theoretical data are available for comparison. For FeB, the calculated binding energy of 1.846 eV, bond length of 1.744 Å, and multiplicity of 4 are all consistent with previous DFT results of 2.15 eV, 1.78 Å, and 4 by Sun et al. [6], while for NiB, the calculated binding energy of 2.024 eV, bond length of 1.705 Å, and multiplicity of 2 are all consistent with previous DFT results of 2.46 eV, 1.46 Å, and 2 by Deshpande *et al.* [9]. We also calculated the  $B_2$ dimer and the triplet  $B_2$  is the most stable structure; the B-B bond length, vibrational frequency, and binding energy per atom are 1.604 Å, 937.85 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 1.547 eV, respectively. This result is in good agreement with the experimental values of 1.59 Å, 1051.3 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 1.54 eV [16]. Therefore, we have confidence to use the same basis set throughout the whole calculation.

For each initial structure, previous studies of the boron, chromium, manganese, iron, cobalt, and nickel clusters are seen as a guide, and all possible  $MB_n$  clusters are considered. The stabilities of  $MB_n$  were examined by evaluating the harmonic frequencies. For each of these clusters, all computed frequencies were confirmed as real. In this paper, spinunrestricted calculations were performed for all allowable spin multiplicities. We started with a spin-singlet configuration for the even-electron systems and a spin-doublet configuration for the odd-electron systems until the energy minimum was reached. For each stable structure, binding energies per atom ( $E_b$ ), vertical ionization potentials (VIPs), and energy gaps ( $E_g$ ) of  $MB_n$  clusters were calculated and discussed. The on-site charges and magnetic moment were evaluated via Mulliken population analysis.

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### A. Equilibrium geometries

The lowest-energy structures of  $MB_n$  ( $M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, n \le 7$ ) clusters are shown in Fig. 1. The structures of  $B_n$  clusters are also presented for comparison. The grounds states of  $B_n$  clusters examined are all singlet with even n while those of the clusters with odd n are all doublet. The equilibrium structures of  $B_n$  clusters (n=2-8) are all planar geometries which can be obtained by adding one boron atom to one side of the  $B_{n-1}$  structures, performing a zigzag-ladder-like structure. This result is in good agreement with previous theoretical work [17].

 $MB_n$  ( $n \le 7$ ) clusters, according to For our calculations, the ground states (spin multiplicity) of  $MB_n$  (*M*=Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni,  $n \leq 7$ ) clusters follow the 6,3,4,5,6,3,4; 5,4,5,4,3,2,3; 4,3,4,3,2,3,2; sequence 3,2,3,2,3,2,1; and 2,1,2,1,2,1,2. As a general trend, we obtain planar geometries for the lowest-energy isomers of  $MB_n$ clusters with  $n \leq 6$  and three-dimensional (3D) geometries for  $MB_n$  clusters with n=7. The exceptions are  $CoB_6$  and NiB<sub>6</sub>, which are 3D. To confirm that the structures obtained by our calculations are the ground state, we also carried out geometry optimizations at the DFT/B3LYP/6-311+G (d)



FIG. 1. Equilibrium geometries of  $MB_n$  (M=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, B,  $n \leq 7$ ) clusters.

level for the  $MB_n$  ( $4 \le n \le 6$ ) clusters, and calculations using the GAUSSIAN 03 package [18] further confirm that  $MB_n$ ( $n \le 6$ ) clusters are favorable for forming planar structures (B3LYP denotes the Becke three-parameter Lee-Yang-Parr hybrid functional).

From another perspective, the ground-state structures of  $MB_n$  ( $n \le 5$ ) clusters can be obtained by directly adding M atoms to  $B_n$  clusters, resembling the  $B_{n+1}$  species, while for n=6, hexagon (CrB<sub>6</sub>, MnB<sub>6</sub>, and FeB<sub>6</sub>) or near-hexagon  $(CoB_6 and NiB_6)$  geometries with a boron atom at the center ring are regarded as the ground-state structures. In fact, we also find 2D isomers of  $MB_6$  clusters resembling the  $B_7$  species, but they are higher in energy than the equilibrium structures. It may be mentioned at this point that several planar geometries were optimized to verify the preference of  $CoB_6$ and NiB<sub>6</sub> to follow the trend of the planar structure motif and a few of the planar structures ultimately converged to a nonplanar structure. As one goes to MB7, hexagonal bipyramids with M atoms at the apical site were obtained as the lowestenergy structure except for NiB7 which is a side-adsorbed hexagonal pyramid. In a sense, we can say that n=7 marks the onset of 3D geometries for  $MB_n$  clusters. This result is in good agreement with previous DFT calculations for  $Au_n M^+$  $(M = \text{Sc}, \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Mn}, \text{Fe}, \text{Au}, n \leq 9)$  clusters which also shows that the 3D geometries begin at n=7 by Torres *et al.* [10]. As  $Au_n$  and  $B_n$  all hold planar geometries for small cluster size and have an electronic configuration of (Au)  $4d^{10}5s^1$  and (B)  $2s^22p^1$ , respectively, there may be similarity in geometry between the  $Au_n M$  and  $MB_n$  clusters, and such consistency further confirms the reliability of our calculations.

## **B.** Electronic and magnetic properties

We now discuss the size-dependent physical properties of these clusters. The binding energy per atom  $(E_b)$ , highest



FIG. 2. Binding energies per atom  $E_b$  (eV) for the most stable isomers of  $MB_n$  (M=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni,  $n \le 7$ ) and  $B_n$  ( $n \le 7$ ) clusters.

occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (HOMO-LUMO) gaps  $(E_g)$ , and VIPs of  $MB_n$  clusters are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Table I. As seen from Fig. 2, all curves show that the binding energies generally increase with cluster size. Thus the clusters can continue to gain energy during the growth process. The binding energies of  $MB_n$  ( $n \ge 2$ ) clusters are larger than those of pure  $B_n$  except for CrB<sub>4</sub>, MnB<sub>4</sub>, CrB<sub>5</sub>, and MnB<sub>5</sub>. So the doping of M atom improves the stability of  $B_n$  clusters.

In cluster physics, the second-order difference of cluster energies,  $\Delta_2 E(n) = E(n+1) + E(n-1) - 2E(n)$ , is a sensitive quantity that reflects the relative stability of clusters. Figure



FIG. 3. The second-order energy difference, HOMO-LUMO gaps (eV), and the vertical ionization potentials (eV) of  $MB_n$  (*M*=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni,  $n \le 7$ ) clusters.

3 shows the second-order difference of cluster total energies,  $\Delta_2 E(n)$ , as a function of the cluster size. Maxima are found at CrB<sub>4</sub>, CrB<sub>7</sub>, MnB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>5</sub>, FeB<sub>7</sub>, CoB<sub>7</sub>, and NiB<sub>7</sub>, indicating that these clusters possess higher stability. In Fig. 3, we also present the difference between the eigenvalues of the LUMO and HOMO, known as the HOMO-LUMO gap. In addition, the VIP is another factor that reflects cluster stability; such data are also presented in Fig. 3. It is currently believed that large gaps and high VIPs are good indicators of the stability of clusters. As seen from Fig. 3, all these factors show that CrB<sub>4</sub>, CrB<sub>7</sub>, MnB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>5</sub>, FeB<sub>7</sub>, and CoB<sub>7</sub> possess higher stability than their neighbor's sizes, while for NiB<sub>n</sub> ( $n \leq 7$ ), no magic number was found in the range of  $n \leq 7$  by our calculation, and this result is in agreement with our group's previous calculation [12]. So it will be necessary to extend the present study into the region of NiB<sub>n</sub> clusters of intermediate size, with  $8 \le n \le 20$ .

We perform Mulliken population analysis for the lowestenergy structures, and the atomic charges of the M atom of the  $MB_n$  clusters are listed in Table I. For  $MB_n$  clusters, charge transfers from M atom to B atoms. As seen from Table I, maxima are found at MnB<sub>3</sub>, CrB<sub>3</sub>, CrB<sub>7</sub>, FeB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>7</sub>, CoB<sub>3</sub>, CoB<sub>7</sub>, NiB<sub>3</sub>, and NiB<sub>6</sub>, which are consistent with the result shown in Fig. 3 except for  $NiB_3$  and  $NiB_6$ . Thus, to such an extent, we can say that the mechanism of charge transfer between atoms influences the stability of the clusters. The larger the charge transfer, which results in a stronger hybridization with the host, the higher the cluster stability. Table I also shows that the charge of the Cr atom is well below 0.40e except for  $CrB_7$  (0.419e) and there is a weak charge transfer [around (0.02-0.2)e, except for MnB<sub>7</sub> and  $\text{FeB}_7$  from the *M* atoms to B atoms for  $MB_n$ (M=Mn,Fe,Co,Ni) clusters. For the small cluster size, the M-B bond is mainly covalent, and the amount of charge transfer to B atoms follows roughly the sequence of the electronegativity difference between B and M atoms.

Based on the optimized geometries, the magnetic properties of  $MB_n$  clusters were investigated and the results are presented in Table I. For  $CrB_n$  and  $MnB_n$  ( $n \le 7$ ) clusters, the total magnetic moment [about  $(2-5)\mu_B$ ,  $(1-4)\mu_B$ ] is mainly located on the Cr or Mn atom; most of the local moments on B atoms were found to align antiferromagnetically with respect to that on the Cr or Mn atom expect for CrB, CrB<sub>5</sub>, and  $MnB_3$ , which show a ferromagnetic alignment. For  $FeB_n$  $(n \leq 7)$  clusters, the total magnetic moment is about  $(1.1-3.0)\mu_{\rm B}$  and is mainly located on the Fe atom. A small amount of spin [about  $(0.1-0.4)\mu_B$ ] was found on the B sites, while most of the local moments on B atoms were found to align antiferromagnetically with respect to that on Fe atom except for FeB<sub>3</sub>. However, the magnetic moment on Fe atom is smaller than the bulk moment  $(2.21\mu_{\rm B})$  except for that of the FeB<sub>3</sub> and FeB<sub>4</sub> which are little larger (0.384 $\mu_{\rm B}$ and  $0.104 \mu_{\rm B}$ , respectively) than the bulk moment.

The total magnetic moment of  $\text{CoB}_n$  ( $n \le 6$ ) as a function of the cluster size shows pronounced odd-even effects. The values are all  $2\mu_B$  with odd *n* while those of the clusters with even *n* are all  $1\mu_B$ . The total magnetic moment is mainly located on the Co atom [which is larger than the bulk moment (1.17 $\mu_B$ ) except for CoB<sub>6</sub> and CoB<sub>7</sub>], while most of the

TABLE I. Symmetries, binding energies per atom $E_b$ (eV), HOMO-LUMO gaps $E_g$ (eV), VIPs (eV) of									
$MB_n$ clusters, atomic charges (e) at the M atom, magnetic moment ( $\mu_B$ ) of the M atom, and the total									
magnetic moment $(\mu_B)$ of $MB_n$ clusters for the lowest-energy structures.									

Cluster	Symm	$E_b$	VIP	$E_g$	Charge	Magnetic moment on M	Total magnetic moment
B <sub>2</sub>	$D_{\infty h}$	1.546555		0.943568			
B <sub>3</sub>	$D_{3h}$	3.023729		1.190979			
$B_4$	$D_{2h}$	3.634514		2.334195			
B <sub>5</sub>	$C_{2v}$	3.946536		1.52777			
B <sub>6</sub>	$C_{2h}$	4.075993		0.852448			
<b>B</b> <sub>7</sub>	$C_1$	4.238226		0.583059			
CrB	$C_{\infty v}$	1.108306	6.890271	0.289707	0.107	4.79	5
CrB <sub>2</sub>	$C_{2v}$	2.41953	7.780718	0.791248	0.216	3.412	2
CrB <sub>3</sub>	$C_{2v}$	3.148527	7.392062	0.397283	0.239	3.37	3.001
CrB <sub>4</sub>	$C_s$	3.5986	7.45058	1.572813	0.187	4.118	4
CrB <sub>5</sub>	$C_s$	3.827947	6.942381	0.689139	0.203	4.415	4.999
CrB <sub>6</sub>	$C_s$	4.129403	7.656441	1.041787	0.182	3.133	2
CrB <sub>7</sub>	$C_{6v}$	4.357807	7.562963	1.778826	0.419	3.371	3
MnB	$C_{\infty v}$	1.310894	7.292976	0.756459	0.075	4.138	4
$MnB_2$	$C_{2v}$	2.471789	8.034608	1.334242	0.186	3.639	2.999
$MnB_3$	$C_s$	3.229426	7.781012	1.601672	0.228	3.929	4
$MnB_4$	$C_s$	3.536395	6.87699	0.378787	0.164	3.67	3.001
$MnB_5$	$C_s$	3.836799	7.16763	0.999192	0.147	3.17	2
MnB <sub>6</sub>	$C_s$	4.089634	7.434962	0.78113	0.119	2.016	1
MnB <sub>7</sub>	$C_1$	4.298999	7.076556	0.612952	0.329	2.334	1.999
FeB	$C_{\infty v}$	1.745874	7.19072	0.459952	0.106	3.259	3
FeB <sub>2</sub>	$C_{2v}$	2.75118	8.24738	1.028133	0.152	2.036	2
FeB <sub>3</sub>	$C_{2v}$	3.428576	7.942751	1.127685	0.202	2.594	2.999
FeB <sub>4</sub>	$C_s$	3.726762	7.270264	0.89507	0.161	2.314	2
FeB <sub>5</sub>	$C_s$	4.004731	7.383309	1.176699	0.134	1.832	1
FeB <sub>6</sub>	$C_s$	4.21362	7.24831	0.666699	0.245	2.121	2.001
FeB <sub>7</sub>	$C_{6v}$	4.429973	7.376711	1.070266	0.374	1.343	1
CoB	$C_{\infty v}$	2.154523	7.372503	0.91751	0.021	1.93	2
CoB <sub>2</sub>	$C_{2v}$	2.889009	8.273965	0.907011	0.083	1.148	1
CoB <sub>3</sub>	$C_{3v}$	3.479157	7.781131	0.936768	0.132	1.679	2
$CoB_4$	$C_s$	3.843271	7.574335	1.235043	0.064	1.279	1
CoB <sub>5</sub>	$C_s$	4.067747	7.243945	0.933586	0.062	1.544	2
CoB <sub>6</sub>	$C_s$	4.230231	7.473812	0.673254	0.029	0.751	1
CoB <sub>7</sub>	$C_1$	4.498489	7.948117	1.87155	0.17	0	0
NiB	$C_{\infty_{v}}$	2.024046	7.486631	1.085579	0.014	0.59	1
NiB <sub>2</sub>	$C_{2v}$	2.789783	8.470629	1.27296	0.084	0	0
NiB <sub>3</sub>	$C_{2v}$	3.377424	7.813913	1.293822	0.144	0.451	1
$NiB_4$	$C_s$	3.795772	7.841763	1.356491	0.065	0	0
NiB <sub>5</sub>	$C_s$	4.037291	7.479785	1.022312	0.08	0.216	0.999
NiB <sub>6</sub>	$C_1$	4.177024	7.507469	0.816435	0.179	0	0
NiB <sub>7</sub>	$C_1$	4.38536	7.176747	0.605091	0.054	0.026	1

local moments on B atoms were found to align ferromagnetically with respect to those on Co atoms except for  $CoB_2$  and  $CoB_4$ . However, the magnetic moment of Co atom is quenched at n=7. While for NiB<sub>n</sub> with odd n, the total magnetic moment is  $1\mu_B$ , whereas for even n, the value is zero. The  $1\mu_B$  moment is just required by the fact that the cluster possesses an odd number of total elements, and this result is in agreement with the results of Lei *et al.* [12]. Different from CrB<sub>n</sub>, MnB<sub>n</sub>, FeB<sub>n</sub>, and CoB<sub>n</sub> clusters, the magnetic moment located on the Ni atom is small [about

TABLE II. The charge (e) and magnetic moment ( $\mu_B$ ) of 3d, 4s, and 4p states for M atom in  $MB_n$  (M=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni,  $n \le 7$ ) clusters.

3d				4 <i>s</i>	4 <i>p</i>	
Cluster	Charge	Magnetic moment	Charge	Magnetic moment	Charge	Magnetic moment
CrB	4.884	4.086	0.9	0.694	0.12	0.013
CrB <sub>2</sub>	4.749	3.136	0.901	0.276	0.159	0.004
CrB <sub>3</sub>	4.841	3.115	0.775	0.244	0.168	0.017
CrB <sub>4</sub>	4.869	3.785	0.795	0.336	0.165	0.005
CrB <sub>5</sub>	4.874	4.076	0.762	0.335	0.171	0.013
CrB <sub>6</sub>	4.895	2.971	0.688	0.168	0.258	0.001
CrB <sub>7</sub>	4.848	3.257	0.37	0.06	0.374	0.063
MnB	5.867	3.421	0.944	0.725	0.118	-0.003
$MnB_2$	5.673	3.349	0.926	0.265	0.216	0.031
$MnB_3$	5.737	3.642	0.821	0.256	0.209	0.038
$MnB_4$	5.765	3.526	0.893	0.153	0.171	-0.002
$MnB_5$	5.896	3.004	0.808	0.178	0.141	-0.005
MnB <sub>6</sub>	5.983	1.85	0.665	0.176	0.228	-0.005
$MnB_7$	5.944	2.267	0.374	0.037	0.337	0.036
FeB	6.817	2.519	0.975	0.738	0.105	0.005
FeB <sub>2</sub>	6.801	1.851	0.886	0.176	0.162	0.011
FeB <sub>3</sub>	6.854	2.386	0.781	0.189	0.161	0.024
FeB <sub>4</sub>	6.865	2.234	0.833	0.087	0.136	-0.003
FeB <sub>5</sub>	6.977	1.744	0.768	0.094	0.117	-0.002
FeB <sub>6</sub>	6.859	1.939	0.613	0.126	0.278	0.061
FeB <sub>7</sub>	6.924	1.307	0.385	0.024	0.304	0.014
CoB	7.934	1.284	0.939	0.642	0.109	0.005
CoB <sub>2</sub>	7.829	0.952	0.853	0.194	0.234	0.005
CoB <sub>3</sub>	7.874	1.535	0.793	0.128	0.196	0.019
$CoB_4$	7.948	1.18	0.809	0.108	0.172	-0.006
CoB <sub>5</sub>	7.911	1.418	0.84	0.121	0.18	0.008
CoB <sub>6</sub>	7.938	0.686	0.705	-0.008	0.322	0.075
CoB <sub>7</sub>	8.031	0	0.423	0	0.36	0
NiB	9.004	0.051	0.904	0.535	0.079	0.003
NiB <sub>2</sub>	8.791	0	0.936	0	0.187	0
NiB <sub>3</sub>	8.921	0.4	0.768	0.045	0.162	0.007
NiB <sub>4</sub>	8.983	0	0.813	0	0.134	0
NiB <sub>5</sub>	8.974	0.169	0.802	0.037	0.139	0.011
NiB <sub>6</sub>	8.829	0	0.661	0	0.325	0
NiB <sub>7</sub>	8.958	0.026	0.842	-0.003	0.139	0.003

 $(0.027-0.059)\mu_B$ ] and is smaller than the bulk moment  $(0.6\mu_B)$ .

As shown by us, the relative orientation between the magnetic moments of the M atom and those of its neighboring B atoms exhibits an antiferromagnetic alignment if the M atom belongs to the early 3d series; it shows a ferromagnetic alignment of the M atom belongs to late 3d series. This result is in agreement with Andriotis and Menon's results [19]. As a result, the effective value of the magnetic moment of the Matom may appear to be enhanced or reduced. This is clearly justified in the case of  $CrB_n$  and  $NiB_n$  clusters where we find an antiferromagnetic alignment in the former and a ferromagnetic alignment in the latter; the magnetic moment for Cr atom is much larger than that of the Ni atom in NiB<sub>n</sub> clusters.

To further understand the magnetic properties of  $MB_n$  $(n \leq 7)$  clusters, we performed a detailed analysis of the onsite atomic charges and local magnetic moment of M atoms in  $MB_n$  clusters. The charge and spin of 3d, 4s, and 4p states for M atoms in  $MB_n$  clusters are summarized in Table II. Table II shows that the magnetic moment of M atoms is mainly from the 3d states, following this is the 4s state, and the 4p state contributes little to the magnetic moment of M atoms. For free Cr and B atoms, the configuration of valence electrons is  $3d^54s^1$  and  $2s^22p^1$ , respectively. In the case of  $CrB_n$  clusters, the 4s and 3d states all lose electrons; meanwhile, the 4p state of Cr gains some amount of electrons. Namely, there is internal electron transfer from 4s and 3dstates to the 4p state in Cr atoms. As mentioned above, charge transfers from Cr to B atoms. Thus, for the  $CrB_n$ clusters, the charge transfer mainly happens between Cr 4s, 3d, and 4p and B 2s and 2p states. So there exits sd-p hybridization in Cr atoms and s-p hybridization in B atoms, and there also exists strong hybridization between Cr 4s, 3d, and 4p and B 2s and 2p states.

To understand this effect, we have performed a detailed analysis of the molecular orbital by examining the electron density of the HOMO and LUMO states. As seen from Fig. 4, the *d* orbital of Cr exhibits a much stronger degree of hybridization with the orbitals of B, and there is strong hybridization between the *s* and *d* orbitals of Cr and the *s* and *p* orbitals of B. Thus, we may understand that there exists a mixed ionic and covalent bonding picture between Cr and B atoms, and the Cr 3*d* orbital contributes much to the Cr-B bonding.

Different from the  $\text{CrB}_n$  clusters, in the case of  $\text{MnB}_n$ ,  $\text{FeB}_n$ ,  $\text{CoB}_n$ , and  $\text{NiB}_n$  clusters, the 4s state loses electrons, and the 4p and 3d states gain some amount of electrons—that is, charge transfers from 4s state to 3d and 4p states of Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni—and there is strong hybridization between the 4s and 3d, 4p states—that is, *s*-dp hybridization. The HOMO and LUMO of  $MB_n$  (M=Mn,Fe,Co,Ni) clusters show that the d orbital of M exhibits little degree of hybridization with the orbitals of B compared with those of in the CrB<sub>n</sub> clusters; this may be due to the fact that the weak charge transfer from the M atom to the B atom [((0.2-0.2)e] for  $MB_n$  (M=Mn,Fe,Co,Ni) clusters compared with that of the CrB<sub>n</sub> [((0.1-0.4)e] clusters.

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Using first-principles DFT-GGA calculations we have studied systematically the geometric and magnetic properties of  $MB_n$  (M=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni,  $n \le 7$ ) clusters. All the results are summarized as follows.

(i) The equilibrium structures of  $MB_n$  ( $n \le 5$ ) clusters can be obtained by directly adding the *M* atom to the pure  $B_n$ clusters, while for n=6, hexagon or near hexagon geometries with a boron atom at the center ring are regarded as the LIU et al.



FIG. 4. The HOMO and LUMO orbitals of  $MB_n$  (*M*=Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni,  $n \leq 7$ ) clusters.

ground-state structures. n=7 marks the onset of 3D geometries for  $MB_n$  clusters.

(ii) From the analyses of the second-order energy difference, vertical ionization potentials, and HOMO-LUMO gaps, CrB<sub>4</sub>, CrB<sub>7</sub>, MnB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>3</sub>, FeB<sub>5</sub>, FeB<sub>7</sub>, and CoB<sub>7</sub> clusters possess relatively higher stabilities, while for NiB<sub>n</sub> ( $n \le 7$ ), no magic number was found. (iii) Mulliken population analyses show that charge transfers from M atoms to B atoms. There is internal charge transfer from 4s and 3d states to the 4p state in Cr atoms, while for MnB<sub>n</sub>, FeB<sub>n</sub>, CoB<sub>n</sub>, and NiB<sub>n</sub>, charge transfers from 4sstate to 3d and 4p states of Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni atoms.

(iv) The relative orientation between the magnetic moments of the M atom and those of its neighboring B atoms mainly exhibits an antiferromagnetic alignment for  $CrB_n$ ,  $MnB_n$ , and  $FeB_n$ , while it mainly shows a ferromagnetic alignment for  $CoB_n$  and  $NiB_n$  clusters.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge computational support from the institute of theoretical physics of Henan University. This work is also supported by the Chinese National Science Foundation under Grant No. 10174086 and the Henan University National Science Foundation under Grant No. 06YBZR021.

- [1] W. K. Klement et al., Nature (London) 187, 869 (1960).
- [2] A. Böhönyey et al., J. Non-Cryst. Solids 232-234, 490 (1998).
- [3] F. E. Lubrosky, Amorphous Metallic Alloys (Metallurgy Press, Beijing, 1989), p. 126.
- [4] Y. Yamaguchi et al., Adv. Cryst. Eng. 43, 469 (2003).
- [5] C. Y. Lin, H. Y. Tien, and T. S. Chin, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 162501 (2005).
- [6] Q. Sun, X. G. Gong, Q. Q. Zheng, and G. H. Wang, Acta Phys. Sin. 45, 1146 (1996).
- [7] Z. G. Fang and H. Z. Hu, Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. (to be published).
- [8] Z. Yang, Y. L. Yan, W. J. Zhao, X. L. Lei, G. X. Ge, and Y. H. Luo, Acta Phys. Sin. (to be published).
- [9] M. Deshpande, D. G. Kanhere, and R. Pandey, Phys. Rev. A 71, 063202 (2005).
- [10] M. B. Torres, E. M. Fernández, and L. C. Balbás, Phys. Rev. B

71, 155412 (2005).

- [11] S. N. Sun, N. Kioussis, S. P. Lim, A. Gonis, and W. H. Gourdin, Phys. Rev. B 52, 14421 (1995).
- [12] X. L. Lei, Y. L. Yan, W. J. Zhao, Z. Yang, and Y. H. Luo, Acta Phys. Sin. (to be published).
- [13] G. X. Ge and Y. H. Luo, Acta Phys. Sin. (to be published).
- [14] B. J. Delley, Chem. Phys. 92, 508 (2000).
- [15] J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 45, 13244 (1992).
- [16] R. Lide David, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 79th ed. (CRC Press, New York), pp. 51 and 80.
- [17] A. Abdurahman, A. Skukla, and G. Seifert, Phys. Rev. B 66, 155423 (2002).
- [18] M. J. Frisch *et al.*, Computer code GAUSSIAN 03, Rev. C.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- [19] G. Mpourmpakis, G. E. Frondakis A. N. Andriotis, and M. Menon, Phys. Rev. B 68, 125407 (2003).