# Vortex core structure and global properties of rapidly rotating Bose-Einstein condensates

Gordon Baym and C. J. Pethick

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA and NORDITA, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

(Received 16 August 2003; published 27 April 2004)

We develop an approach for calculating stationary states of rotating Bose-Einstein condensates in harmonic traps which is applicable for arbitrary ratios of the rotation frequency to the transverse frequency of the trap  $\omega_{\perp}$ . Assuming the number of vortices to be large, we write the condensate wave function as the product of a function that describes the structure of individual vortices times an envelope function varying slowly on the scale of the vortex spacing. By minimizing the energy, we derive Gross-Pitaevskii equations that determine the properties of individual vortices and the global structure of the cloud. For low rotation rates, the structure of a vortex is that of an isolated vortex in a uniform medium, while for rotation rates approaching the frequency of the trap (the mean-field lowest-Landau-level regime), the structure of the cloud is determined by minimizing the energy with respect to variations of the envelope function; for conditions appropriate to most experimental investigations to date, we predict that the transverse density profile of the cloud will be of the Thomas-Fermi form, rather than the Gaussian structure predicted on the assumption that the wave function consists only of components in the lowest Landau level for a regular array of vortices.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.69.043619

PACS number(s): 03.75.Lm, 67.40.Db, 05.30.Jp

## I. INTRODUCTION

Bose-Einstein-condensed atomic gases are very well suited to investigating quantized vortex lines. Single vortex lines were first made in atomic condensates by Matthews et al. [1], who induced rotation by phase imprinting in a spinor condensate. Subsequently, arrays containing many vortices were created in scalar condensates by inducing rotation mechanically, either by stirring the condensate [2,3] or by evaporating particles [4]. For a theoretical review, see Ref. [5]. In a seminal work, Ho [6] predicted that clouds of atoms confined in harmonic traps, when rotated at frequencies close to the transverse frequency  $\omega_{\perp}$  of the trap, should condense into the lowest Landau level (LLL) in the Coriolis force, similar to charged particles in the quantum Hall regime. This insight has led to extensive experimental studies in which rotation rates in excess of 0.99  $\omega_{\perp}$  have been achieved, and the structure of the condensate within a single cell of the vortex lattice has been examined [4,7].

To date, most theoretical work on vortices in harmonically trapped condensates rotating at frequencies close to  $\omega_{\perp}$  has been based on the use of wave functions in which particles occupy only the lowest Landau level. In contrast, for slowly rotating condensates, the usual approach to calculating vortex structure is to solve the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. In this paper we address the question of how this approach goes over to the mean-field lowest-Landau-level description when the rotation rate is increased [8]. We develop a unified method for calculating both the structure of individual vortices and the global structure of the cloud for arbitrary rotation rates. Writing the condensate wave function as the product of a slowly varying envelope function that determines the density averaged over a single cell of the vortex lattice, and a function that determines the variations of the wave function on length scales of order the vortex separation and core size, we derive, in Sec. II, an expression for the energy of the system. Then in Sec. III we derive the equation for the structure of the wave function within a single cell of the vortex lattice by variation of the energy functional. In Sec. IV we derive equations for the global structure of the cloud. We find that, if in the nonrotating system the density profile in the plane transverse to the rotation axis is of the Thomas-Fermi form, an inverted parabola, then at high rotation the shape remains Thomas-Fermi, rather than the Gaussian one predicted by the LLL calculation for a uniform array of vortices. Recent studies that relax the assumption of a uniform array of vortices indicate that the Thomas-Fermi profile persists to even lower interaction strengths [22,23]

# **II. BASIC FORMALISM**

We consider a system of weakly interacting bosons trapped in a harmonic potential,  $V(r) = \frac{1}{2}m(\omega^2 r_{\perp}^2 + \omega_z z^2)$ , where  $\vec{r}_{\perp} = (x, y)$ , rotating at angular velocity  $\Omega$  about the *z* axis. The angular momentum of the system is due to the presence of quantized vortices, of number  $N_v \ge 1$  at large rotation rates. We assume the vortices to be rectilinear and to form a regular triangular lattice. When  $N_v \ge 1$ , the limit in which we work, the angular velocity  $\Omega$  at which the lattice rotates is generally close to the angular velocity

$$\Omega_v = -\frac{\pi}{m} \hbar n_v, \qquad (1)$$

where  $n_v$  is the (two-dimensional) density of vortices. The angular velocity  $\Omega_v$  is the mean angular velocity identified via the condition for quantization of vorticity,  $\oint_C d\vec{\ell} \cdot \vec{v} = hN_v(C)/m$ , where  $N_v(C)$  is the number of vortices surrounded by the contour C. Generally,  $\Omega_v$  is smaller than  $\Omega$  [9].

In order to separate out the short-distance vortex structure from the large scale structure, we follow the approach of Fischer and Baym [10] and write the order parameter as

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = e^{i\Phi(\vec{r})} f(\vec{r}) \sqrt{n(\vec{r})}, \qquad (2)$$

the product of a (periodic) rapidly varying real function  $f(\vec{r})$ , which vanishes at each vortex core times a slowly varying real envelope function  $\sqrt{n(\vec{r})}$  and a phase factor. We normalize  $f^2$  so that it averages to unity over each unit cell of the lattice; thus  $n(\vec{r})$  is the smoothed density profile of the system, which varies slowly over the unit cells of the vortex lattice. The factor  $e^{i\Phi}f$  describes the local swirling of the fluid—with the phase  $\Phi$  wrapping by  $2\pi$  around each vortex—together with the overall rotation of the vortex lattice at  $\Omega$ . We generally set  $\hbar=1$ .

The total energy of the system in the laboratory frame is

$$E = \int d^3r \left\{ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |\vec{\nabla} \psi|^2 + V(r)n(r)f^2(r) + \frac{g}{2}n^2(r)f^4(r) \right\},$$
(3)

where we assume a two-body interaction described by an *s*-wave scattering length  $a_s$  with  $g=4\pi a_s \hbar^2/m$ . With Eq. (2), the kinetic energy in the laboratory frame becomes

$$\int d^{3}r \frac{1}{2m} |\vec{\nabla}\psi|^{2} \equiv K = \int d^{3}r \frac{1}{2m} \Biggl\{ (\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^{2} f^{2} + (\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^{2} n f^{2} + n(\vec{\nabla}f)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla}f^{2} \cdot \vec{\nabla}n \Biggr\}.$$
(4)

Since *n* varies slowly across a unit cell of the vortex lattice, we may replace the  $f^2$  in the first term by its average (=1). This replacement is accurate to the order  $N\hbar^2/2mR_{\perp}^2 \sim N\Omega_v/N_v$  to which we work, where *N* is the total number of particles in the system,  $N_v$  is the total number of vortices, and  $R_{\perp}$  is the transverse radius of the system. We integrate the final term by parts to give  $-\frac{1}{2}\int d^3rf^2\nabla^2 n$ , and similarly replace the  $f^2$  here by its average in the cell, so that the integral leaves only a vanishing surface term. Thus,

$$K = \int d^3r \frac{1}{2m} \{ (\vec{\nabla} \sqrt{n})^2 + (\vec{\nabla} \Phi)^2 n f^2 + n (\vec{\nabla} f)^2 \}.$$
 (5)

In the unit cell centered on vortex *j* at position  $\vec{R_j}$  in the plane transverse to the rotation axis, the velocity  $\vec{\nabla}\Phi/m$  is the sum of a solid body rotation at a rate determined by the vortex density and evaluated at the position of the vortex,  $\vec{\Omega_v} \times \vec{R_j}$ , plus the local velocity around the vortex, which we write as  $\vec{\nabla}\phi_j/m$ :

$$\vec{\nabla}\Phi(r) \simeq m\vec{\Omega}_v \times \vec{R}_j + \vec{\nabla}\phi_j.$$
(6)

The  $(\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^2$  term thus becomes

$$\int d^3r \frac{nf^2}{2m} (\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^2 = \sum_j \int_j d^3rnf^2 \Biggl\{ \frac{(\vec{\nabla}\phi_j)^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\Omega_v^2 R_j^2 + \vec{\Omega}_v \cdot (\vec{R}_j \times \vec{\nabla}\phi_j) \Biggr\};$$
(7)

the integration is over unit cell *j*, and the sum is over all cells. In the Wigner-Seitz approximation, which we employ below,  $\phi_j$  becomes the azimuthal angle measured with respect to the point  $\vec{R}_j$ . The final (cross) term does not vanish when the density *n* varies across the unit cell. Writing within cell *j*,  $\vec{R}_j = \vec{r}_{\perp} - \vec{\rho}$ , the middle term in Eq. (7) becomes  $\frac{1}{2}I\Omega_v^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\Omega_v^2\Sigma_j \int_j d^3rnf^2(\rho^2 - 2\rho \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp})$ , where  $I = \int d^3rmnf^2r_{\perp}^2$  is the total moment of inertia of the system. Similarly the transverse trapping potential term becomes  $\frac{1}{2}I\omega_{\perp}^2$ . The total energy is then

$$E = \frac{1}{2}I(\omega_{\perp}^{2} + \Omega_{v}^{2}) + \int d^{3}r \left(\frac{(\nabla \sqrt{n})^{2}}{2m} + n\frac{m}{2}\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right) + \sum_{j} \int_{j} d^{3}rn(r) \left\{\frac{(\nabla f)^{2}}{2m} + f^{2}\left(\frac{(\nabla \phi_{j})^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\Omega_{v}^{2}(\rho^{2} - 2\rho \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}) + \vec{\Omega}_{v} \cdot (\vec{R}_{j} \times \nabla \phi_{j})\right) + \frac{g}{2}n(r)f^{4}(r)\right\}.$$
 (8)

To determine the equilibrium structure, we work in the frame rotating at angular velocity  $\Omega$ , and minimize the energy in the rotating frame,  $E - \Omega L$ , where

$$L = \int d^3 r n(r) f^2(r) [\vec{r}_{\perp} \times \nabla \Phi(r)]_z$$
(9)

is the angular momentum along the *z* axis. [This procedure is equivalent to determining the equilibrium structure at fixed angular momentum *L* by minimizing the total energy taking the constraint of fixed *L* into account by a Lagrange multiplier  $\Omega$ .] Using Eq. (6), and again writing in cell *j*,  $\vec{r}_{\perp} = \vec{R}_j + \vec{\rho}$ , we have

$$L = I\Omega_v + \sum_j \int d^3 r n f^2 [(\vec{r}_\perp \times \vec{\nabla} \phi_j)_z - m\Omega_v \rho \cdot \vec{r}_\perp].$$
(10)

The first term is the angular momentum of the cloud for a velocity field corresponding to uniform rotation with angular velocity  $\Omega_v$ , and the second is the contribution due to the fact that flow within a cell does not correspond to rigid-body motion.

### **III. EQUILIBRIUM STRUCTURE OF VORTICES**

We turn now to determining the structure of the vortices within the unit cells. To do this we introduce the Wigner-Seitz approximation to evaluate the vortex sum, replacing the hexagonal unit cell by a circle of radius  $\ell = 1/(m\Omega_v)^{1/2}$ . Then *f* is cylindrically symmetric within each cell. In the following, we assume that the vortex spacing is small compared with the characteristic length scale in the axial direction. The term in Eq. (8) containing  $\partial f/\partial z$  can then be neglected, and f depends only on the transverse coordinate and the average local density. In cell j,  $\phi_j$  becomes the azimuthal angle with respect to the center of the cell. Again we write within cell j,  $\vec{r}_{\perp} = \vec{R}_j + \vec{\rho}$ , so that  $(\vec{\nabla}\phi_j)^2 = 1/\rho^2$ . Furthermore,  $(\vec{\rho} \times \vec{\nabla}\phi_j)_z$  becomes just  $\hbar$ , so that the angular momentum in the Wigner-Seitz approximation is

$$L = I\Omega_v + \sum_j \int_j d^3 r n f^2 (1 - m\Omega_v \vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{r}_\perp).$$
(11)

If the density is spatially uniform then by symmetry the factor  $\vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}$  can be replaced by  $\rho^2$ , and  $L = I\Omega + N(1 - \langle \rho^2 \rangle / \ell^2)$ , where  $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$  is the average of  $\rho^2$  within a given cell. For an incompressible fluid,  $\langle \rho^2 \rangle / \ell^2 = 1/2$ , and therefore the additional angular momentum per particle in the Wigner-Seitz approximation is  $\hbar/2$ , which is close to Tkachenko's result [11,12] for a triangular lattice in an incompressible fluid,  $(\pi/4\sqrt{3})\hbar \approx 0.453\hbar$ .

To evaluate the second term, we expand the spatially dependent mean density n(r) about the center of cell *j* as  $n(R_j) + \vec{\rho} \cdot \nabla n(R_j)$ , so that  $\sum_j \int_j d^3 rn f^2 \vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}$  $\simeq (1/2) \Sigma_j \vec{\nabla}_j \cdot [\vec{R}_j n(R_j)] \int_j d^3 r \langle \rho^2 \rangle \simeq (1/2) \int d^3 r \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\vec{r} n(r)] \langle \rho^2 \rangle$  $\simeq (1/2) \int d^3 r \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\vec{r}n(r)\langle \rho^2 \rangle] - (1/2) \int d^3 r n(r) \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \langle \rho^2 \rangle$ . In the case that the density falls to zero at large distances, the first term in the last expression vanishes. In addition, when  $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$  is independent of position, the second term also vanishes. As we shall see below, this is a good approximation at low rotation rates, when the density within one cell is essentially uniform, and also at high rotation rates, when interactions do not affect the structure within a single cell and the wave function locally is well approximated by the lowest-Landaulevel expression. At intermediate rotation rates we expect this term to be numerically small. It may be included straightforwardly, but we shall neglect it in our subsequent discussions. With this approximation, the second term in Eq. (11) vanishes to order unity, and  $L=I\Omega_{\nu}$ .

Similarly, when the density falls to zero at large distances, the term  $\vec{\Omega}_v \cdot (\vec{R}_j \times \nabla \phi_j)$  in Eq. (8) averages in the Wigner-Seitz approximation to  $-\Omega_v$ , while the factor  $\vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}$  in Eq. (8) averages to zero if one again neglects the spatial variation of  $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$ . Thus

$$E' = \frac{1}{2}I(\omega_{\perp}^{2} + \Omega_{v}^{2} - 2\Omega\Omega_{v}) + \int d^{3}r \left(\frac{(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^{2}}{2m} + \frac{m}{2}n\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}\right) + \sum_{j} \int_{j} d^{3}rn(r) \left\{\frac{(\vec{\nabla}f)^{2}}{2m} + f^{2}\left(\frac{(\vec{\nabla}\phi_{j})^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\Omega_{v}^{2}\rho^{2} - \Omega_{v}\right) + \frac{g}{2}n(r)f^{4}(r)\right\}.$$
(12)

Next we express *I* in terms of the moment of inertia  $\overline{I} = \int d^3 rmnr_{\perp}^2$  of the smoothed density distribution. When the spatial variation of  $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$  is neglected yet again, the result is

$$I = \overline{I} - m \sum_{j} \int_{j} d^{3}rn(f^{2} - 1)\rho^{2}$$
$$= \overline{I} + \frac{N}{2\Omega_{v}} - m \sum_{j} \int_{j} d^{3}rnf^{2}\rho^{2}.$$
 (13)

We therefore find

$$E' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{I} + \frac{N}{2\Omega_v} \right) (\omega_\perp^2 + \Omega_v^2 - 2\Omega\Omega_v) + \int d^3r \left( \frac{(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^2}{2m} + \frac{m}{2}n\omega_z^2 z^2 \right) - N\Omega_v + \sum_j E_j, \quad (14)$$

where

$$E_{j} = \int_{j} d^{3}rn(r) \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \right)^{2} + \frac{f^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \right] + \frac{1}{2}m(2\Omega_{v}\Omega - \omega_{\perp}^{2})\rho^{2}f^{2} + \frac{g}{2}nf^{4} \right\}$$
(15)

includes all terms dependent on f. The form of f within each cell is determined by minimizing  $E_j$  with respect to f, subject to  $\int_j d^2 \rho (f^2 - 1) = 0$ , with the boundary conditions that f(0) = 0 and  $\partial f / \partial \rho = 0$  at  $\rho = \ell$ . When analyzing this term, the difference of  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_v$  can be ignored. Since there are no terms coupling f at different values of z, the equilibrium f depends on z only through the dependence of the average density on z. Thus within a given cell, at given height z,

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left[ -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{f}{\rho^2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} m (2\Omega_v^2 - \omega_\perp^2) \rho^2 f + gn f^3$$
$$= \mu_{\text{cell}} [n(R_j, z)] f. \tag{16}$$

This equation describes the vortex structure for all values of parameters, provided that  $N_v$  is large. Equations (14) and (16) generalize the result of Ref. [10] through inclusion of the  $(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^2/2m$  and  $m\omega_{\perp}^2\rho^2 f^2/2$  terms, as well as further terms arising from the density gradients. The  $(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^2$  term allows us to go beyond the Thomas-Fermi approximation, when this energy dominates the interaction term. In the limit  $\Omega \gg \omega_{\perp}^2/2gn$ , appropriate to the regime described in Ref. [10], the  $\rho^2$  term in Eq. (16) can be neglected.

It is useful to define the averages over the unit cell,

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\ell^2 \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 + \frac{f^2}{\rho^2} \right\rangle,\tag{17}$$

$$b' = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \langle \rho^2 f^2 \rangle, \tag{18}$$

and

$$b = \langle f^4 \rangle; \tag{19}$$

these quantities depend on the distribution of the density within the cell. Then,



Radius in units of cell radius

FIG. 1. Density within a vortex core in units of the average density in the cell, as a function of the transverse radius in units of the core radius  $\ell$ : (a) the single vortex form (21); (b) the linear core approximation (22); (c) the lowest-Landau-level structure (30); and (d) the free particle Bessel function  $J_1$  (dashed). Curves *a* and *b* are calculated for  $\xi_0=0.1\ell$ .

$$E_j = \int_j d^3 r n \left\{ \Omega_v a + b' \left( \Omega - \frac{\omega_\perp^2}{2\Omega_v} \right) + \frac{g n b}{2} \right\}.$$
(20)

For slow rotation, the core structure is basically that of a single vortex [13], and is reasonably well approximated by [14]

$$f \sim \frac{\rho}{(2\xi_0^2 + \rho^2)^{1/2}},\tag{21}$$

where  $\xi_0 = \hbar / \sqrt{2mgn}$  is the Gross-Pitaevskii healing length. The corresponding density within this approximation to f is shown as curve a in Fig. 1 for the particular value  $\xi_0 = 0.1\ell$ . Reference [10] used a simple linear approximation for f for all rotation speeds, in which f rises linearly to the effective core radius  $\xi$  and then becomes constant to the edge of the cell,

$$f(\rho) = \frac{1}{(1 - \xi^2 / 2\ell^2)^{1/2}} \times \begin{cases} \rho/\xi, & 0 \le \rho \le \xi \\ 1, & \xi \le \rho \le \ell. \end{cases}$$
(22)

The corresponding density is shown as curve b in Fig. 1, for the value  $\xi = \sqrt{6}\xi_0$  with  $\xi_0 = 0.1\ell$ . In general one can solve Eq. (16) numerically for s, although we shall not do this here.

With the linear approximation (22), the individual vortex energy  $E_i$  is given by Eq. (20), with

$$a(\zeta) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \zeta}{1 - \zeta/2}, \quad b'(\zeta) = \frac{1 - \zeta^{2/3}}{2 - \zeta}, \tag{23}$$

and  $\zeta = \xi^2/\ell^2$  is the fractional area occupied by the vortex core. The fluctuations in the density within a cell renormalize the (long-wavelength) coupling constant [10] by a factor  $b = \langle n^2 \rangle / \langle n \rangle^2 > 1$ , given, for the ansatz (22), by



FIG. 2. Variation of the core size with rotational velocity, in the linear approximation to the core structure.

$$b(\zeta) = \frac{1 - 2\zeta/3}{(1 - \zeta/2)^2}.$$
 (24)

To determine the vortex density we minimize Eq. (14), with Eq. (20), with respect to  $\Omega_v$  at fixed  $\zeta$  and n(r), and find

$$\Omega_v = \Omega + \frac{N}{\bar{I}} \left\{ 1 - a - \frac{1}{2}b' + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{b'}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_\perp^2}{\Omega^2} - 1\right) \right\}.$$
(25)

The final term is of relative order  $1/N_v$ , and can be generally neglected, except in the very rapidly rotating mean-field lowest-Landau-level regime, where this term is needed to recover the exact energy.

The relative area occupied by the core at position  $(r_{\perp}, z)$  is found by minimizing the integrand of Eq. (20) at the density  $n(r_{\perp}, z)$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left\{ a(\zeta) + b'(\zeta) \left( 1 - \frac{\omega_{\perp}^2}{2\Omega^2} \right) + \frac{gn}{2\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} b(\zeta) \right\} = 0. \quad (26)$$

In the Thomas-Fermi regime, the sound velocity, s, in the center of the trap is given by

$$ms^{2} = gbn(0) = \frac{\omega_{\perp}}{2} \left[ \frac{15 \ Nba_{s}}{d_{\perp}} \frac{\omega_{z}}{\omega_{\perp}} \left( 1 - \frac{\Omega^{2}}{\omega_{\perp}^{2}} \right) \right]^{2/5}, \quad (27)$$

where  $d_{\perp} = 1/(m\omega_{\perp})^{1/2}$  is the oscillator length for transverse motion, and we set  $\Omega_v = \Omega$ . We show, in Fig. 2, the corresponding prediction for  $\zeta$  at the center of the trap as a function of rotational velocity  $\Omega$  for <sup>87</sup>Rb, taking the representative values  $N=2.5\times10^6$ ,  $\omega_{\perp}/2\pi=8.3$  Hz, and  $\omega_z/2\pi$ = 5.2 Hz.

As we see in Fig. 2, the core structure changes rapidly as  $\Omega$  approaches the transverse trap frequency  $\omega_{\perp}$ . In order to study rotational velocities comparable to the transverse trapping frequency it is useful to spread out the horizontal scale by measuring rotational rates in terms of the *rotational rapidity y* defined by [15]



FIG. 3. Mean-square radius of the core in units of  $\ell^2$ , as a function of rotational rapidity *y* computed for the linear core approximation. The solid line shows the exact limit for condensation in the lowest Landau level.

$$\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{\perp}} = \tanh y \tag{28}$$

or

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\omega_{\perp} + \Omega}{\omega_{\perp} - \Omega}.$$
 (29)

The rapidity variable essentially counts the number of 9's in the fraction  $\Omega/\omega_{\perp}$  as the fraction approaches unity (just as metal dealers describe the purity of metals). For example, the currently achieved [7]  $\Omega/\omega_{\perp}=0.995$  corresponds to a rapidity of 3.00, while  $\Omega/\omega_{\perp}=0.999$  corresponds to y=3.45, and  $\Omega/\omega_{\perp}=0.9999$  to y=4.61. In Fig. 3 we show same result, now plotted as the mean-square core radius,  $\zeta^2/3$ divided by  $\ell^2$ , as a function of rapidity.

In the mean-field lowest-Landau-level regime, where  $\Omega$  approaches  $\omega_{\perp}$ , the cloud expands to the point where the *gn* term becomes a small perturbation on the structure within a cell; to lowest order *f* assumes the particularly simple oscillator *p* state structure,

$$f = C \frac{\rho}{\ell} e^{-\rho^2/2\ell^2},$$
 (30)

plus small terms, where  $C = (1-2/e)^{-1/2}$ . The *p*-wave solution for a particle in a trap with frequency  $\Omega_v$  that satisfies the usual boundary condition  $f \rightarrow 0$  for  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  kindly has zero slope precisely at  $\rho = \ell$ . With this form of *f*,

$$E_{j} = \int_{j} d^{3}rn \left( 2\Omega_{v} - \frac{m}{2} (\omega_{\perp}^{2} + \Omega_{v}^{2} - 2\Omega\Omega_{v})\rho^{2}f^{2} + \frac{g}{2}nf^{4} \right),$$
(31)

so that

$$E' = \frac{1}{2}I(\omega_{\perp}^{2} + \Omega_{v}^{2} - 2\Omega\Omega_{v}) + \int d^{3}r \left(\frac{(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^{2}}{2m} + \frac{m}{2}n\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2} + \frac{gn^{2}b}{2}\right) + N\Omega_{v}.$$
 (32)

The average of  $\rho^2/\ell^2$  is given by

$$b' = \frac{\int d^2 \rho(\rho^2/\ell^2) f^2}{\int d^2 \rho} = \frac{2e-5}{e-2} = 0.608;$$
(33)

in the linear core approximation one finds instead 0.614; also, a=2-b'/2. The renormalization of the coupling constant by fluctuations in the density within a cell, the factor  $b=\langle n^2 \rangle/\langle n \rangle^2$ , is given in the lowest-Landau-level limit by [16,17],

$$b = \frac{\int d^2 \rho f^4}{\int d^2 \rho} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^2 - 5}{(e - 2)^2} = 1.158;$$
(34)

by comparison, the linear core approximation yields 1.192. Note that the total moment of inertia I equals  $\overline{I}-N(b'-1/2)/\Omega_v$ .

Again to determine  $\Omega_v$ , we minimize Eq. (32) with respect to  $\Omega_v$ , and find

$$\Omega_v = \Omega - N/I, \tag{35}$$

since b is independent of  $\Omega_v$  in this limit. Then

$$E' = \frac{1}{2}I(\omega_{\perp}^{2} - \Omega^{2}) + N\Omega - \frac{N^{2}}{2I} + \int d^{3}r \left(\frac{(\vec{\nabla}\sqrt{n})^{2}}{2m} + \frac{m}{2}n\omega_{z}^{2}z^{2} + \frac{gn^{2}b}{2}\right).$$
 (36)

This result for small  $gn/\omega_{\perp}$ , agrees with the exact result,  $E' = I(\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega \Omega_v)$ , for condensation only in the lowest Landau level [6].

# IV. GLOBAL STRUCTURE OF THE SYSTEM

We turn now to determining the global structure of the cloud. Minimizing Eq. (36) at fixed particle number, we derive the effective Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the smoothed density:

$$\left\{-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + \frac{m}{2}\left[\omega_z^2 z^2 + \left(\omega_\perp^2 - \Omega^2 + \frac{N^2}{I^2}\right)r_\perp^2\right] + gnb\right\}\sqrt{n} = \mu\sqrt{n}.$$
(37)

The structure in the axial direction will, for  $\Omega$  sufficiently close to  $\omega_{\perp}$ , always become Gaussian. The criterion for the axial structure to be Gaussian is that gn be small compared with the axial oscillator frequency  $\omega_z$ . Since the system density falls indefinitely with increasing  $\Omega$ , this condition will

eventually be satisfied. From Eq. (27), the criterion is

$$1 - \frac{\Omega^2}{\omega_\perp^2} \ll \left(\frac{\omega_z b}{\omega_\perp}\right)^{3/2} \frac{2^{5/2} d_\perp}{15 N a_s} \tag{38}$$

or in terms of rapidity,

$$y \gg \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_{\perp}}{\omega_z} \right)^{3/2} \frac{15 N a_s}{2^{1/2} d_{\perp}} \right].$$
(39)

For very weak interaction the transverse structure is Gaussian in the lowest-Landau-level limit [6]:

$$n(r_{\perp},z) = \pi \sigma(z)^2 e^{-r^2/\sigma(z)^2} \mathcal{N}(z), \qquad (40)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(z)$  is the number of particles per unit length in the axial direction. As we shall see below, such a Gaussian describes the system only for  $Na_s \ll d_z$ , where  $d_z = 1/(m\omega_z)^{1/2}$  is the axial oscillator length. If we adopt this Gaussian as a trial wave function, we find

$$E' = \int dz \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{2m} \Biggl( \frac{d\sqrt{\mathcal{N}(z)}}{dz} \Biggr)^2 + \frac{m}{2} [\omega_z^2 z^2 + (\omega_\perp^2 - \Omega^2)\sigma(z)^2] \mathcal{N}(z) + \frac{bg}{4\sigma(z)^2} \mathcal{N}(z)^2 \Biggr\} + N\Omega.$$
(41)

Minimizing with respect to  $\sigma(z)$  at fixed  $\mathcal{N}(z)$ , we find

$$\sigma(z) = d_{\perp} [2 \pi b \mathcal{N}(z) a_s]^{1/4} \left( \frac{\omega_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2} \right)^{1/4}, \qquad (42)$$

in agreement with Ref. [6]. In the Gaussian limit, the relation of the angular velocity of the vortex lattice to  $\Omega$ , Eq. (36), is given by

$$\Omega_v = \Omega - N \bigg/ \int dz \ m\sigma(z)^2 \mathcal{N}(z), \qquad (43)$$

in effective agreement with Ref. [6].

However, if the transverse structure of the nonrotating cloud is Thomas-Fermi, it will remain Thomas-Fermi as the cloud is spun up, even to the lowest-Landau-level limit. The criterion for the transverse structure to be Gaussian is different than in the axial direction, since the effective transverse oscillator frequency  $(\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2)^{1/2}$  goes to zero. The criterion becomes instead that the interaction energy gn be small compared with the transverse kinetic energy:  $gn \sim gN/ZR_{\perp}^2$  $\ll 1/2mR_{\perp}^2$ , where Z is the axial height and  $R_{\perp}$  the transverse radius. This condition implies that  $Na_s/Z$  be  $\ll 1$ . Since the total density per unit height, N/Z, increases with increasing  $\Omega$  as the system flattens out, the structure in the transverse direction can only be Gaussian if the transverse structure in the nonrotating cloud is itself Gaussian. The maximum that N/Z can become is  $\sim N/d_z$ , where  $d_z$  is the axial oscillator length. For  $Na_s/d_z \gg 1$  the structure in the radial direction will be Thomas-Fermi at large  $\Omega$ , even if it is Gaussian at small  $\Omega$ .

Note that in the lowest-Landau-level limit, even though the interaction energy plays only a perturbative role in determining the structure within each cell of the lattice, it is crucial in determining the global structure. In particular, it is responsible for the ground state in the rotating system having nonzero vorticity. As we see in Eq. (42), interactions prevent the collapse of the system to a Gaussian of size  $d_{\perp}$ . They are furthermore responsible for inclusion of components from higher Landau levels required to produce a Thomas-Fermi profile if the vortex lattice is uniform.

The final axial-Gaussian, transverse-Thomas-Fermi structure at high rotation has the form

$$n(\vec{r}) = e^{-z^2/d_z^2} \left( n(0) - \frac{m}{2gb} (\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2) r_{\perp}^2 \right).$$
(44)

Using  $\int d^3 r n = N$ , we find

$$N = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2} d_z R_{\perp}^2 n(0), \qquad (45)$$

where the transverse size  $R_{\perp}$  is given by the point where  $n(\vec{r})$  falls to zero,

$$R_{\perp} = \left(\frac{2gbn(0)}{m(\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2)}\right)^{1/2} = [8\pi ba_s d^2 n(0)]^{1/2} \left(\frac{\omega_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(46)

In terms of the total number N,

$$\frac{R_{\perp}}{d} = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/8}} \left( Nb \frac{a_s}{d_z} \frac{\omega_{\perp}^2}{\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2} \right)^{1/4}$$
(47)

and

$$n(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{5/4}} \left( \frac{N}{bd^4 d_z a_s} \frac{\omega_{\perp}^2 - \Omega^2}{\omega_{\perp}^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$
 (48)

#### **V. MEASURING THE CORE SIZE**

Several quantitative measures can be used to compare predicted core sizes with experiment, and with theory in the lowest-Landau-level regime. The first is simply to compare the slopes of *f* at the origin. The slope of the order parameter in the linear approximation, Eq. (22), is  $1/\ell[\zeta(1-\zeta/2)]^{1/2}$ , which approaches  $1.62/\ell$  as  $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$ . On the other hand the lowest-Landau-level wave function (30) has slope  $1/\ell(1-2/\ell)^{1/2} = 1.95/\ell$ .

The second is to measure the mean-square radius  $r_c^2$  of the density deficit in the core, defined by

$$r_{c}^{2} = \frac{\int_{j}^{j} d^{2}\rho[f(\ell)^{2} - f(\rho)^{2}]\rho^{2}}{\int_{j}^{j} d^{2}\rho[f(\ell)^{2} - f(\rho)^{2}]}.$$
(49)

In the linear approximation,  $r_c^2/\ell^2 = \zeta^2/3$ . At small rotational velocities,  $r_c^2/\ell^2 = \Omega/g\bar{n} \equiv \Gamma_{\text{LLL}}^{-1}$ , where  $\bar{n}$  is the average density in the system. For the lowest-Landau-level wave

function,  $r_c^2/\ell^2 = [(11/2) - 2e]/(3-e) \approx 0.225$ , in good agreement with the measurements of Ref. [7] at small  $\Gamma_{LLL}$ . To compare with the result from the linear approximation to f, we note that as  $\Omega$  approaches  $\omega_{\perp}$ , the value of  $\zeta$  is found from the minimum of  $a(\zeta) + b'(\zeta)/2$ , which is at  $\zeta \simeq 0.519$ ; thus in this limit,  $r_c^2/\ell^2 \simeq 0.173$ . Although good at small  $\Omega$ , the linear approximation is less accurate as  $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$ . The initial slope of the lowest-Landau-level wave function is larger than that in the linear approximation; nonetheless, the mean-square radius of the depression is also larger, since the depression in the quantum Hall wave function extends over the entire cell. Figure 3 shows the mean-square radius for the linear approximation, as well as the limiting result for condensation in the lowest Landau level. The linear rise of  $r_c^2/\ell^2$  and its eventual saturation agrees very well with recent measurements of the core size [7].

Experimentally, core properties are investigated after the rotating cloud has expanded. In the JILA experiments, the atoms are transferred to a state in which the magnetic forces tend to drive the cloud apart. It is therefore necessary to investigate how the vortex-core structure is affected by the transfer to the new state and the subsequent expansion of the cloud. Under expansion, the density drops, eventually reaching the point where the interaction energy no longer plays a role in determining the structure within the individual cells. The centrifugal force plays no role within a cell. If the potential is adiabatically turned off, the system expands slowly to the point where the interaction within a cell is small compared with the bending energy of the order parameter within a cell, or  $1/2m\ell^2 = N_v/2mR_{\perp}^2 \gg gN/R_{\perp}^2Z$ , which is the case when the axial height expands to the point where Z $\geq 8\pi a_s N/N_v$ . Then the structure within the individual cells, given by Eq. (16), is the Bessel function  $C_1 J_1(x_0 r/\ell')$ , where  $x_0=1.84$  is the location of the first maximum of the  $J_1(x)$ ,  $C_1=2.05$ , and  $\ell'$  is the cell size in the expanded cloud. In fact, the Bessel function solution is always within 0.015 of the lowest-Landau-level solution, and the two solutions would be effectively indistinguishable in practice (see Fig. 1). The slope at the origin of the Bessel function is 1.88, compared with 1.85 for the lowest-Landau-level solution, while the mean-square radius  $r_c^2/\ell^2$  of the depression is 0.231, compared with 0.225 for the lowest-Landau-level solution.

One can distinguish two stages in the evolution of the cloud during release and the subsequent expansion. The first is the period when the atoms are transferred to an untrapped state, and the second is expansion of the cloud in a modified trapping potential. The transfer of atoms occurs on a time scale short compared with dynamical times for the particles. Therefore the sudden approximation should be good, and changes in the coordinate-space wave function during the transfer should be negligible. This implies that both the global structure of the cloud and the structure of an individual cell of the vortex lattice are unchanged. After transfer of atoms to the new state, the structure within a cell will not correspond to the equilibrium configuration for the particular rotation rate because of the change in the trapping potential, which is determined by the instantaneous value of  $\omega_{\perp}$ . The

calculations described in the previous paragraph demonstrate that when interaction effects are small, the structure of the condensate wave function within a single cell depends only weakly on  $\omega_{\perp}$ . Therefore, after transfer, the wave function within a cell will be the lowest state for the new value of  $\omega_{\perp}$ , apart from corrections of order 1%. Likewise, for rotation rates so small that interaction effects dominate, we expect a similar conclusion to hold because the oscillator potential plays little role in determining the structure of an individual vortex.

We now consider the degree to which the vortex cores adjust adiabatically in the expansion. To do this, we compare the time scale  $\tau_{cell}$  for response of the structure of a cell of a vortex lattice with the expansion time scale  $\tau_{exp}$ . When the vortex-core radius is small compared with the cell radius, the time for adjustments of the core is of order the core radius,  $\sim (mgn)^{-1/2}$ , divided by the sound speed s, or  $\tau_{cell} \sim \hbar/gn$ . When the core radius becomes comparable to the cell radius, i.e.,  $\hbar\Omega \gtrsim gn$ , the inverse response time becomes of order the kinetic energy associated with a particle confined within a volume of radius  $\ell$ , divided by  $\hbar$ , or  $\tau_{\text{cell}} \sim m \ell^2 / \hbar = 1/\Omega$ . Thus  $1/\tau_{cell}$  is always the larger of  $gn/\hbar$  and  $\Omega$ . These estimates should apply at all stages in the evolution, provided that *n* and  $\Omega$  are the instantaneous values of these quantities. We note that if the expansion is purely two dimensional, a good approximation for the recent experiments [7], the density and  $\Omega$  both scale as  $1/R_{\perp}^2$ ; therefore, the ratio  $gn/\hbar\Omega$ remains constant, and the core expansion rate always remains  $gn/\hbar$  or  $\Omega$ .

There are similarly two regimes for the expansion. At low rotation rates, when the interaction energy per particle gn is large compared with  $\hbar \omega_{\perp}$ , the expansion velocity is determined by the interaction energy of the cloud, and is typically of order the sound velocity  $s_0$  in the cloud before release (the subscript 0 denotes quantities just prior to release). On the other hand, when the typical initial orbital velocity  $\Omega_0 R_{\perp 0}$  exceeds the sound velocity  $s_0$ , the dominant contribution to the expansion velocity after switching off the trap potential is the orbital motion, and therefore the expansion velocity is of order  $\Omega_0 R_{\perp 0}$ . The typical expansion rate  $1/\tau_{\rm exp}$  is thus always the larger of  $s_0/R_{\perp}$  and  $\Omega_0 R_{\perp 0}/R_{\perp}$ .

Now let us compare time scales. For low rotation velocities  $\Omega_0 \leq s_0/R_{\perp 0}$ , we have

$$\frac{\tau_{\text{cell}}}{\tau_{\text{exp}}} \sim \frac{1}{ms_0 R_{\perp 0}} \frac{n_0 R_{\perp 0}}{n R_{\perp}} \sim \frac{1}{ms_0 R_{\perp 0}} \frac{R_{\perp}}{R_{\perp 0}},\tag{50}$$

where the latter estimate holds for two-dimensional expansion. This ratio is initially smaller than unity, implying that the cell initially adiabatically adjusts during the expansion, but if the cloud expands to a radius  $\geq R_{\perp 0}^2/\xi_0$ , where  $\xi_0$  is the Gross-Pitaevskii healing length, the condition for adiabaticity will be violated. For intermediate rotation rates,  $s_0/R_{\perp 0} \leq \Omega_0 \leq g n_0/\hbar$ , the ratio of times is given by

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm cell}}{\tau_{\rm exp}} \sim \frac{\hbar\Omega_0}{gn} \frac{R_{\perp 0}}{R_{\perp}}.$$
(51)

This ratio starts at a value less than unity but increases  $\propto R_{\perp}/R_{\perp 0}$  as the cloud expands. For the final case of fast rotation,  $\Omega_0 \gtrsim g n_0/\hbar$ , the ratio is

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm cell}}{\tau_{\rm exp}} \sim \frac{\Omega_0 R_{\perp 0}}{\Omega R_{\perp}} \sim \frac{R_{\perp}}{R_{\perp 0}}.$$
(52)

In this case the adiabatic assumption is marginally satisfied initially, and is violated during the subsequent expansion. We conclude that one may draw no general conclusions about the development of vortex-core structure during expansion on the basis of arguments about time scales; more detailed studies are needed.

It is interesting to note that states made up only of components in the lowest Landau level expand homologously when the effects of interaction are neglected [18]. In this case the structure of a single cell remains invariant, with only changes in scale, independent of the transverse length entering the wave function [cf. Eq. (30)]. Even though the condition for adiabaticity is violated, the structure of the single cell would be precisely that predicted assuming adiabatic behavior.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have developed a unified framework for describing the structure of rotating Bose-Einstein condensates containing a large number of vortices. We have derived a Gross-Pitaevskii equation which describes the structure of individual vortices and have demonstrated how the meanfield lowest-Landau-level description emerges as a simple continuation of the structure for small rotation rates. We find that the global density profile of the rotating clouds in the transverse direction is generally of the Thomas-Fermi form, rather than the Gaussian that emerges if only the lowest-Landau-level is occupied and the vortex lattice is regular.

A number of open problems remain for future work. One is to understand microscopically the basic approximation (6) for the velocity field and possible corrections to it. A second is to explore in greater detail the relationship between the lowest-Landau-level wave function and other forms for the wave function. A related question concerns distortions of the vortex lattice; we note that work on rapidly rotating condensates carried out recently has demonstrated that the density profile is of the Thomas-Fermi form as long as the number of vortices is  $\geq 1$ , and that the LLL wave function with a nonuniform array of vortices is a good first approximation [22,23]. A third set of problems concerns effects of excited states, which have not been included in the Gross-Pitaevskii approach we have employed. One such effect is the zeropoint motion of collective modes [21,17], which broadens the density profile of individual vortices and makes the lowest density nonzero. The density at the center of the vortex can also become nonzero via anomalous modes of excitation of the condensate [5] which in the linear approximation have a negative excitation energy. Even at zero temperature, such modes will have a nonzero equilibrium population such that the energy required to add an extra quantum in an anomalous mode, including the effects of self-interaction, is just equal to zero. Explicit calculations are given in Ref. [19]. A third effect is the thermal population of excited states, which likewise will lead to a nonzero density at the center of the vortex. All of these effects must be taken into account in a detailed comparison of experiment with theory. Further problems include the quantitative delineation of the effect of expansion on the vortex-core structure, and inclusion of effects of the lattice beyond the Wigner-Seitz approximation, such as the rigidity to shear motion, which manifests itself, e.g., in Tkachenko modes [7,11,20,21].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank James Anglin, Jason Ho, and Volker Schweikhard for many good discussions at the Aspen Center for Physics, to which we are grateful for giving us the opportunity to carry out this research. We are also grateful to Ian Coddington and Eric Cornell for very helpful correspondence on measuring core sizes. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY00-98353.

- M. R. Matthews, B. P. Anderson, P. C. Haljan, D. S. Hall, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2498 (1999).
- [2] K. W. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 806 (2000); F. Chevy, K. W. Madison, and J. Dalibard, *ibid.* 85, 2223 (2000); K. W. Madison, F. Chevy, V. Bretin, and J. Dalibard, *ibid.* 86, 4443 (2001).
- [3] J. R. Abo-Shaeer, C. Raman, J. M. Vogels, and W. Ketterle, Science 292, 476 (2001).
- [4] P. C. Haljan, I. Coddington, P. Engels, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 210403 (2001); P. Engels, I. Coddington, P. C. Haljan, and E. A. Cornell, *ibid.* 89, 100403 (2002).
- [5] A. L. Fetter and A. A. Svidzinsky, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, 135 (2001).
- [6] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 060403 (2001).
- [7] I. Coddington, E. Cornell, P. Engels, and V. Schweikhard (private communication); V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, V. P. Mogendorff, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92,

040404 (2004). This paper provides the first experimental evidence for the reduction and eventual scaling of the core size with increasing rotation, predicted in Ref. [10] and here.

- [8] In this paper we confine ourselves to situations where the mean-field approximation is valid, and will not deal with the interesting highly correlated states arising at very high rotation from quantum fluctuations. See, e.g., N. K. Wilkin, J. M.F. Gunn, and R. A. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2265 (1998); N. K. Wilkin and J. M.F. Gunn, *ibid.* 84, 6 (2000); N. R. Cooper, N. K. Wilkin, and J. M. F. Gunn, *ibid.* 87, 120405 (2001); S. Viefers, T. H. Hansson, and S. M. Reimann, Phys. Rev. A 62, 053604 (2000); N. Regnault and Th. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 030402 (2003); J. W. Reijnders, F. J. M. van Lankvelt, K. Schoutens, and N. Read, *ibid.* 89, 120401 (2002).
- [9] For low densities of vortices, there is a net flow of fluid past the vortices when the number of vortices is finite. Thus  $m\Omega/\pi$ will differ slightly from the vortex density,  $N_v/\pi R_{\perp}^2$ . The rela-

tive flow past the vortex cores produces a net Magnus force on individual vortices, of relative order  $1 - mR_{\perp}^2 \Omega/N_v$ , leading, e.g., to small distortions of the lattice from triangular.

- [10] U. R. Fischer and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 140402 (2003).
- [11] V. K. Tkachenko, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 49, 1875 (1965) [Sov. Phys. JETP 22, 1282 (1966)]; 50, 1573 (1966) [23, 1049 (1966)].
- [12] G. Baym and E. Chandler, J. Low Temp. Phys. 50, 57 (1983);62, 119 (1986).
- [13] L. Pitaevskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 40, 646 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 451 (1961)].
- [14] A. Fetter, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, edited by K. Mahanthappa and W. E. Brittin (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964), Vol. XIB, p. 351.
- [15] We make this definition in direct analogy with the common concept of rapidity in high-energy physics, v/c=tanh y, where v is the particle velocity and c is the speed of light, introduced to distinguish speeds of ultrarelativistic particles.

- [16] J. Sinova, C. B. Hanna, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 030403 (2002).
- [17] G. Baym, Phys. Rev. A 69, 043618 (2004).
- [18] N. Read and N. R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. A 68, 035601 (2003).
- [19] S. M. M. Virtanen, T. P. Simula, and M. M. Salomaa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2704 (2001); J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, L819 (2001).
- [20] I. Coddington, P. Engels, V. Schweikhard, and E. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 100402 (2003).
- [21] G. Baym, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 110402 (2003).
- [22] As shown in G. Watanabe, G. Baym, and C. J. Pethick, e-print cond-mat/0403470, relaxation of the lattice from a perfect regular triangular configuration causes the system to assume a Thomas-Fermi profile, without excitation of higher Landau levels, as long as the number of vortices is ≥1.
- [23] N. R. Cooper, S. Komineas, and N. Read, e-print cond-mat/ 0404112.