Critically bound four-body molecules

J.-M. Richard

Institut des Sciences Nucléaires, Université Joseph Fourier, CNRS-IN2P3, 53, avenue des Martyrs, F-38026 Grenoble Cedex, France (Received 16 October 2002; published 21 March 2003)

The $(p, d, \overline{p}, \overline{d})$ molecule, with a proton, a deuteron, and their antiparticles, is stable againt spontaneous dissociation, but none of its three-body subsystems are stable. This molecule should be built by combining two atoms, for instance a protonium $(p\overline{p})$ and its heavier analog $(d\overline{d})$. Most other four-body molecules have at least one stable three-body subsystem and thus can be built by adding the constituents one by one.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.67.034702

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ge, 36.10.-k

Bressanini *et al.* [1] have studied the stability of fourcharge systems with masses (M^+, m^+, M^-, m^-) . For M = m, this corresponds to the positronium molecule (Ps₂), whose stability was first demonstrated in 1947 [2]. For $M \gg m$ or $M \ll m$, this is a hydrogen-antihydrogen HH system (without annihilation, strong interaction, etc.) which hardly competes with the deeply bound protonium (M^+M^-) involved in the lowest threshold $(M^+M^-) + (m^+m^-)$. Stability is thus restricted to an interval of M/m close to unity. The Monte-Carlo calculation of Ref. [1] leads to an estimate

$$\frac{1}{2.2} \lesssim \frac{M}{m} \lesssim 2.2,\tag{1}$$

which is confirmed by a powerful variational method [3].

The case of three unit charges is well documented [4-6], in particular, for the $(M^{\pm}, m^{\mp}, m^{\pm})$ configurations. For M = m, this is the stable positronium ion Ps⁻. For $M \ge m$, we have (p, e^-, e^+) , and for $M \le m$, (\bar{p}, p, e^-) , both unstable. Mitroy [7], using the same stochastic variational approach as in Ref. [3], found that stability is confined to

$$0.70 \leq M/m \leq 1.64. \tag{2}$$

Comparing the results (1) and (2) indicates a window for "Borromean" binding. For instance, for M/m=2, which is the deuteron-to-proton mass ratio, the (M^+,m^+,M^-,m^-) molecule is bound, but neither $(M^{\pm},m^{\mp},M^{\pm})$ nor $(m^{\pm},m^{\mp},M^{\pm})$ are stable.

The word "Borromean" has been proposed in nuclear physics to identify bound states whose subsystems are unbound [8]. It comes from the Borromean rings, which are interlaced in such a subtle topological way, that if any one of them is removed, the two others become unlocked. For instance, the ⁶He isotope of ordinary helium is stable, while ⁵He is not. In a three-body picture, this means that the (α,n,n) system is bound, whereas (α,n) and (n,n) are unbound.

For N>3 constituents, one might define Borromean binding as the property of all N'-body subsystems being unstable, with N'=2, or N'=N-1, or N'<N. We propose the following definition: A bound state is Borromean if there is no path to build the system via a series of stable bound states by adding the constituents one by one. Then, (p,d,\bar{p},\bar{d}) is Borromean. It is truly an atom-atom composite, more representative of larger molecules of ordinary chemistry. The same is true for neighboring systems $(m_1^+, m_2^+, m_3^-, m_4^-)$ with less symmetry. A minimal extension of the domain of stability can be derived using the variational principle [9].

In comparison, H₂ or Ps₂ systems appear to be more robust, with several three-body subsystems being stable, (p,e^+,e^-) or (p,p,e^-) for H₂, and (e^\pm,e^\pm,e^\pm) for Ps₂. The positronium hydride PsH (p,e^+,e^-,e^-) contains the unstable (p,e^+,e^-) , but also the stable (p,e^-,e^-) and (e^\pm,e^-,e^-) , and thus is not Borromean.

Note that if the antideuteron is replaced by the celebrated Ω^- hyperon (predicted by Gell-Mann by symmetry considerations which led to the quark model, and discovered by Samios *et al.* [10]), and if the deuteron is replaced by Ω^+ , the mass ratio M/m = 1.78 becomes close to one of the critical values of Eq. (2). If $A = (\Omega^- \overline{\Omega}^+)$, we have an effective (A, p, \overline{p}) three-body system with both (A, p) and (A, \overline{p}) energies vanishing. The Efimov effect [11] survives finite-size effects, since it is governed by the long-range part of the interaction. However, the Coulomb attraction between p and \overline{p} spoils the $-1/\rho^2$ behavior (ρ is the hyper-radius) necessary in the hypercentral potential for Efimov states to appear. See, e.g., the approach by Fedorov and Jensen, in Ref. [11]. Note that a partial and preliminary version of this paper was presented at the Few-Body Conference in Bled [9].

This investigation grew out of discussions with Dario Bressanini and André Martin. Comments by E. A. G. Armour, A. J. Cole, A. S. Jensen, and K. Varga are also gratefully acknowledged.

- D. Bressanini, M. Mella, and G. Morosi, Phys. Rev. A 55, 200 (1997).
- [4] A. Martin, J.-M. Richard and T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. A 46, 3697 (1992).
- [2] E.A. Hylleraas and A. Ore, Phys. Rev. 71, 493 (1947).
- [3] K. Varga, Few-Body Syst., Suppl. 10, 11 (1999).
- [5] E.A.G. Armour and W. Byers Brown, Acc. Chem. Res. 26, 168 (1993).

- [6] E.A.G. Armour, J.-M. Richard, and K. Varga, Phys. Rep. (to be published).
- [7] J. Mitroy, J. Phys. B 33, 5307 (2000).
- [8] M.V. Zhukov, B.V. Danilin, D.V. Fedorov, J.M. Bang, I.S. Thompson, and J.S. Vaagen, Phys. Rep. 231, 151 (1993).
- [9] J.-M. Richard, Few-Body Syst. Suppl. (to be published).
- [10] See, for instance, A. Pais, Inward Bound, of Matter and Forces

in the Physical World (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).

[11] V. Efimov, Phys. Lett. 33B, 560 (1970); Yad. Fiz. 12, 1080 (1970) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 12, 581 (1971)]; R.N. Amado and R.V. Noble, Phys. Lett. 35B, 25 (1971); Phys. Rev. D 5, 1992 (1972); S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn and T.T. Wu, Phys. Lett. 83A, 101 (1981); D.V. Fedorov and A.S. Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4103 (1993).