

Existence of the quantum action

H. Kröger*

Département de Physique, Université Laval, Québec, Québec G1K 7P4, Canada

(Received 14 January 2002; published 8 May 2002)

We have previously proposed a conjecture stating that quantum-mechanical transition amplitudes can be parametrized in terms of a quantum action. Here we give a proof of the conjecture and establish the existence of a local quantum action in the case of imaginary time in the Feynman-Kac limit (when temperature goes to zero). Moreover we discuss some symmetry properties of the quantum action.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.65.052118

PACS number(s): 03.65.Sq

I. INTRODUCTION: DEFINITION AND USE OF THE QUANTUM ACTION

Since the early days of quantum mechanics, many attempts have been made to link quantum mechanics to some concept of classical-like action. First, Wentzel, Kramers, Brillouin proposed the so-called WKB method [1]. Then there was Bohm's formulation of quantum mechanics [2]. More modern is the effective action [3] and the Gaussian effective action [4]. Also Gutzwiller's trace formula [5] should be mentioned here, which establishes an approximate expression of the quantum-mechanical density of states in terms of classical periodic orbits.

The physical reasons, why such a concept is attractive are the following: First of all, quantum mechanics eludes human intuition being shaped by macroscopic physics, i.e., classical physics. Thus a classical-like action in quantum physics has an intuitive appeal. Second, there are concepts playing an important role in modern physics, which have its origin in classical physics. Examples are quantum chaos and quantum instantons. A number of the above approaches have been explored to investigate quantum chaos. Instantons play a role in quantum mechanics: (a) tunneling and double-well potentials (chemical binding, reactions), (b) in high-energy physics in the mechanism of chiral symmetry breaking and the formation of quark-gluon plasma, (c) in cosmology in the inflationary scenario. Again classical-like actions have been employed to explore such physics. Finally, one should mention also the use of an effective action in the theory of superconductivity.

Recently, my co-workers and I have proposed a different kind of classical-like action, the quantum action [6–9]. The quantum action has the virtue of having a form as close as possible to the classical action, giving a local expression for quantum transition amplitudes. In Refs. [6–9] the quantum action has been postulated and also been explored numerically. Numerical studies showed in all cases that the quantum action is a good representation of the quantum amplitudes. It allows to give a new unambiguous definition of quantum instantons and quantum chaos. It allows to construct the quantum analogue of classical phase space and to obtain the quantum analogue of Poincaré sections and Lyapunov exponents [7,8].

The purpose of this paper is to give a mathematical proof of the existence of the quantum action in imaginary time in the limit of large transition time. This corresponds to thermodynamics in the low-temperature limit (Feynman-Kac limit of the Euclidean path integral). One should note that the limit of large transition time is not a marginal case but is of central importance in physics.

(a) The zero-temperature limit describes the ground-state properties of physical systems.

(b) Transition time (real time) going to infinity enters in scattering reactions, hence in the S matrix and cross sections.

(c) The limit of large time is also involved in nonlinear classical dynamics when computing Lyapunov exponents and Poincaré sections. Hence this limit plays a role when computing the quantum analog of Lyapunov exponents and Poincaré sections [8].

What is the quantum action? Let us recall its definition as proposed in Ref. [6]. We consider the quantum-mechanical (QM) transition amplitude

$$G(x_{fi}, t_{fi}; x_{in}, t_{in}) = \langle x_{fi} | \exp[-iH(t_{fi} - t_{in})/\hbar] | x_{in} \rangle = \int [dx] \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S[x]\right]_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}}. \quad (1)$$

Conjecture. For a given classical action

$$S[x] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 - V(x) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

there is a quantum action

$$\tilde{S}[x] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{x}^2 - \tilde{V}(x) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

which allows to express the QM transition amplitude by

$$G(x_{fi}, t_{fi}; x_{in}, t_{in}) = \tilde{Z} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\Sigma}_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}}\right], \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}} = \tilde{S}[\tilde{x}_{cl}]_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}} = \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{fi}} dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}^2 - \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}_{cl}) \right\}_{x_{in}}^{x_{fi}}.$$

Here \tilde{x}_{cl} denotes the classical path corresponding to the action \tilde{S} obeying the boundary conditions

*Email address: hkroger@phy.ulaval.ca

$$\tilde{x}_{cl}(t=t_{in})=x_{in}, \quad \tilde{x}_{cl}(t=t_{fi})=x_{fi}. \quad (5)$$

Here the following remarks are in order.

(a) It is known from classical mechanics that a classical path, being the solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion, makes the action extremal. Often this extremum is the minimum, but this is not always true. Mathematical conditions that decide whether the extremum is a minimum can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues of the corresponding Sturm-Liouville operator $\delta^2\tilde{S}$ [10]. All eigenvalues being positive correspond to a minimum. When $T=t_{fi}-t_{in}$ increases, the eigenvalues generally decrease and some eigenvalues may become negative. A boundary point, where the lowest eigenvalue takes the value zero, is called a conjugate (focal) point. In order to be on the safe side, we exclude here the occurrence of conjugate points and caustics, by making the assumption that there is a unique classical path that minimizes the quantum action. However, the existence of the quantum action is quite likely not limited by such conditions. For example, the harmonic oscillator is known to possess caustics [when $\partial^2 S/\partial a \partial b = -m\omega/\sin(\omega T)$ becomes infinite at $T=\pi/\omega$ [10]]. But for the oscillator, the classical action and the quantum action coincide, hence the latter exists.

(b) Another problem that may arise in classical mechanics with boundary conditions given by Eq. (5) is the following. When the potential has sufficiently stiff walls, i.e., $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ sufficiently rapidly when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then for such boundary conditions (infinitely) many classical paths may exist [11,12]. An example where this happens is the potential $V \sim x^4$. However, those paths differ in their value of the action. As we will deal below exactly with such kind of classical and quantum potentials, we have to specify which path and value of the quantum action enters in Eq. (4). We specify that the path of the quantum action chosen is that giving the smallest value of the quantum action.

(c) Eventually, we will consider the Feynman-Kac limit. Then only the ground-state properties of the quantum system will play a role.

\tilde{Z} denotes a dimensionful normalization factor. Equation (4) is valid with the *same* action \tilde{S} for all sets of boundary positions x_{fi}, x_{in} for a given time interval $T=t_{fi}-t_{in}$. The parameters of the quantum action depend on the time T . Any dependence on x_{fi}, x_{in} enters only via the trajectory \tilde{x}_{cl} . Likewise, \tilde{Z} depends on the action parameters and T , but not on x_{fi}, x_{in} . If we want to do thermodynamics we need to go over to imaginary time, $t \rightarrow -it$. Then the transition amplitude becomes the Euclidean transition amplitude

$$G_E(x_{fi}, t_{fi}; x_{in}, t_{in}) = \langle x_{fi} | \exp[-H(t_{fi}-t_{in})/\hbar] | x_{in} \rangle \\ = \int [dx] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_E[x]\right] \Bigg|_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}}, \quad (6)$$

the classical action becomes the Euclidean action

$$S_E[x] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 + V(x) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

and the quantum action becomes the Euclidean quantum action

$$\tilde{S}_E[x] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \tilde{V}(x) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

This allows us to express the Euclidean transition amplitude by

$$G_E(x_{fi}, t_{fi}; x_{in}, t_{in}) = \tilde{Z}_E \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S}_E|_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}}\right], \\ \tilde{S}_E|_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}} = \tilde{S}_E[\tilde{x}_{cl}]|_{x_{in}, t_{in}}^{x_{fi}, t_{fi}} = \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{fi}} dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{x}_{cl}^2 + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}_{cl}) \right\} \Bigg|_{x_{in}}^{x_{fi}}. \quad (9)$$

In order to compute thermodynamic functions from the partition function one has to impose periodic boundary conditions [13]. T is related to the temperature τ and the inverse temperature β via

$$\beta = \frac{1}{k_B \tau} = T/\hbar. \quad (10)$$

In Ref. [7] we have shown that the expectation value of a quantum-mechanical observable O at thermodynamical equilibrium can be expressed in terms of the Euclidean quantum action along its classical trajectory from $x_{in}, \beta_{in}=0$ to x, β .

II. PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF THE QUANTUM ACTION

Consider one dimension (1D) throughout (the generalization to $D=2,3$ is straightforward). We work in imaginary time in what follows. For simplicity of notation we drop the subscript Euclidean. Let us make some assumptions on the potential $V(x)$: Let $V(x) \geq 0$. Let $V(x)$ be a smooth (sufficiently differentiable) function of x and let $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Under those assumptions on the potential, the transition amplitude satisfies the following properties.

Proposition 1. For fixed T , $G(y, T; x, 0)$ has the following properties. (i) It is a real valued, positive function for all x, y . (ii) It is a symmetric function under exchange $x \leftrightarrow y$. Why is $G(y, T; x, 0) \geq 0$? I give two reasons:

(a) *Physical reason.* $G(y, T; x, 0)$ is the solution of a diffusion equation describing the motion from x to y . This process has a probability interpretation. A probability is positive.

(b) *Mathematical reason.* $G(y, T; x, 0)$ can be written in terms of a (Wiener) path integral [see Eq. (6)]. For each path $x(t)$ the weight factor $\exp(-S[x]/\hbar) \geq 0$ is positive [we have assumed that the classical potential $V(x) \geq 0$ is positive]. Hence the sum over paths is also positive. $G(y, T; x, 0)$ being real valued is a consequence of the fact that the weight factor $\exp(-S[x]/\hbar)$ of the path integral is real valued. The second property (ii) follows from the first property (i) and making the assumption that H is a self-adjoint operator. Next we define a new function η to parametrize G . In the following we keep T fixed.

Definition.

$$G(y, T; x, 0) = G_0 \exp[-\eta(y, x)] , \quad (11)$$

where G_0 is some constant (for fixed T) that takes care of the fact that G has a dimension $(1/L^D)$. Thus

$$\eta(y, x) = -\ln[G(y, T; x, 0)/G_0]. \quad (12)$$

Note. The function η is well defined, because G is a real, positive function. Via the previous definition, the properties of G translate into the following properties of η .

Proposition 2. (i) $\eta(y, x)$ is a real-valued function for all x, y . (ii) $\eta(y, x)$ is symmetric under exchange $x \leftrightarrow y$. Comparing the parametrization of G in terms of the function η , Eq. (11), with its parametrization in terms of the quantum action, Eq. (9), this suggests to identify

$$G_0 = \tilde{Z},$$

$$\eta(y, x) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S}[\tilde{x}_{cl}] \Big|_{x, t=0}^{y, t=T}. \quad (13)$$

The idea of the proof is the following. We assume that the previous identities hold. Then we analyze its implications. We will end up in finding an explicit equation for the kinetic term and the potential term of the quantum action. Then we start at the end and go backwards through the calculation. This establishes that the quantum action is consistent, and hence proves its existence.

Identifying $G_0 = \tilde{Z}$ is possible and trivial because both are constants. Let us identify η with \tilde{S} :

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(b, a) &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S} \Big|_{a, t=0}^{b, t=T} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S}[\tilde{x}_{cl}] \Big|_{a, t=0}^{b, t=T} \\ &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}^2 + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}_{cl}) \right\} \Big|_{a, t=0}^{b, t=T}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The question we want to answer is, can we find a parameter \tilde{m} and a local quantum potential \tilde{V} [e.g., parametrized by polynomial coefficients $\tilde{v}_k, \tilde{V}(x) = \sum_k \tilde{v}_k x^k$], such that $\eta(b, a) = 1/\hbar \tilde{S} \Big|_{a, t=0}^{b, t=T}$ holds for all a, b ? In order to analyze this question, we proceed by using the property that \tilde{S} is an action and that \tilde{x}_{cl} is the trajectory that makes \tilde{S} extremal. Let us consider the functional

$$\tilde{S}[x] = \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \tilde{V}(x) \right\} \quad (15)$$

and calculate the variation of the functional to first order (first-order functional derivative). Usually, one keeps initial and final coordinates fixed and varies the path in between. Now we consider the variation of the path, allowing also a variation if initial and final positions. Let us denote

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{x}_{cl}(t) + \tilde{h}(t),$$

$$\tilde{x}_{cl}(t=0) = a, \quad \tilde{x}_{cl}(t=T) = b,$$

$$\tilde{h}(t=0) = \delta a, \quad \tilde{h}(t=T) = \delta b,$$

$$\tilde{x}(t=0) = a + \delta a, \quad \tilde{x}(t=T) = b + \delta b. \quad (16)$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{S}[\tilde{x}] &= \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} (\dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl} + \dot{\tilde{h}})^2 + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}_{cl} + \tilde{h}) \right\} \Big|_{a+\delta a}^{b+\delta b} \\ &\quad - \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}^2 + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}_{cl}) \right\} \Big|_a^b \\ &= \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} (2\dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}\dot{\tilde{h}} + \dot{\tilde{h}}^2) + \frac{d\tilde{V}}{dx}(\tilde{x}_{cl})\tilde{h} \right\} \Big|_{\tilde{x}_{cl}=a, \tilde{h}=\delta a}^{\tilde{x}_{cl}=b, \tilde{h}=\delta b} \\ &\quad + O(\tilde{h}^2) \\ &= \tilde{m} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl} \tilde{h} \Big|_0^T + \int_0^T dt \left\{ -\tilde{m} \ddot{\tilde{x}}_{cl} \tilde{h} + \frac{d\tilde{V}}{dx}(\tilde{x}_{cl}) \tilde{h} \right\} + O(\tilde{h}^2) \\ &= \tilde{m} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}(T) \delta b - \tilde{m} \dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}(0) \delta a + \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\delta \tilde{S}}{\delta x(t)} \tilde{h}(t) \right\} \\ &\quad + O(\tilde{h}^2) \\ &= \tilde{p}_{cl}(T) \delta b - \tilde{p}_{cl}(0) \delta a + O(\tilde{h}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

because $\delta \tilde{S} / \delta x(t) = 0$ for $x(t) = \tilde{x}_{cl}(t)$. On the other hand, one has

$$\delta \eta(b, a) = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}(b, a) \delta b + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}(b, a) \delta a. \quad (18)$$

Comparing Eqs. (17) and (18) for terms linear in δa and δb , respectively, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{cl}(T) &= \hbar \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}(b, a), \\ \tilde{p}_{cl}(0) &= -\hbar \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}(b, a). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Those are conditions, which are both necessary and sufficient to guarantee that the partial derivatives of the functions $1/\hbar \tilde{S} \Big|_x^y$ and $\eta(y, x)$ coincide for any pair of boundary points (y, x) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S} \Big|_x^y &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \eta(y, x), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{S} \Big|_x^y &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \eta(y, x). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) implies

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \tilde{\Sigma}|_x^y = \eta(y, x) \text{ modulo a global constant.} \quad (21)$$

The global constant can be absorbed into the constants G_0 and \tilde{Z} , respectively, and this proves Eq. (13), and hence the existence of the quantum action.

However, to complete the proof it remains to be shown that the conditions (19) can be satisfied. This is not at all obvious from the outset. The terms on the right-hand side (rhs) of Eqs. (19) stem from the QM transition amplitude (6) derived from a classical action (7), with mass m and potential $V(x)$. The terms on the lhs represent the initial and final momenta, corresponding to the trajectory $\tilde{x}_{cl}(t)$. This trajectory is the solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion, which follows from the requirement $\delta\tilde{S}/\delta x(t) = 0$. As \tilde{S} depends on the quantum mass parameter \tilde{m} and the quantum potential $\tilde{V}(x)$, consequently also the trajectory $\tilde{x}_{cl}(t)$ will depend on \tilde{m} and \tilde{V} . The same is true, in particular, for the velocities at the boundaries $\dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}(0)$ and $\dot{\tilde{x}}_{cl}(T)$ and hence also for the momenta at the boundaries $\tilde{p}_{cl}(0)$ and $\tilde{p}_{cl}(T)$. In other words, requiring that the condition (19) holds, imposes a constraint on \tilde{m} and \tilde{V} . In the following we will show that Eq. (19) can be satisfied and that this condition guides us to find a suitable \tilde{m} and \tilde{V} . The guiding principle will be the principle of the conserved energy. Once Eq. (19) is established, Eqs. (20) and (21) follow.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF QUANTUM ACTION FROM ENERGY CONSERVATION

It remains to be shown how to construct a quantum action, such that the condition in Eqs. (19) is satisfied. We do this by employing the principle of conservation of energy. Any action of the form

$$\tilde{S}[x] = \int_0^T dt \left\{ \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \tilde{V}(x) \right\} = \int_0^T dt \{ \tilde{T}_{kin} + \tilde{V} \} \quad (22)$$

describes a conservative system, i.e., the force is derived from a potential and energy is conserved. This means that energy is conserved during the temporal evolution from $t = 0$ to $t = T$. In imaginary time, energy conservation reads

$$-\tilde{T}_{kin} + \tilde{V} = \epsilon = \text{const.} \quad (23)$$

Now let us choose a (positive) value of the mass parameter \tilde{m} . Let us look at the energy balance for the trajectory \tilde{x}_{cl} from a to b . Using Eq. (19), we find at $t=0$, denoting $\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in} \equiv \tilde{p}_{cl}(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{kin} &= \frac{(\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in})^2}{2\tilde{m}}, \quad \tilde{V} = \tilde{V}(a), \\ \epsilon &= -\frac{(\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in})^2}{2\tilde{m}} + \tilde{V}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Similarly, we find at $t=T$, denoting $\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi} \equiv \tilde{p}_{cl}(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{kin} &= \frac{(\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi})^2}{2\tilde{m}}, \quad \tilde{V} = \tilde{V}(b), \\ \epsilon &= -\frac{(\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi})^2}{2\tilde{m}} + \tilde{V}(b). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Energy conservation implies

$$-\frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in})^2 + \tilde{V}(a) = -\frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi})^2 + \tilde{V}(b), \quad (26)$$

or equivalently,

$$\tilde{V}(b) - \tilde{V}(a) = \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi})^2 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in})^2. \quad (27)$$

We recall from classical mechanics that the rhs represents the work done (in imaginary time) when the particle moves from a to b

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W} &= - \int_a^b d\tilde{x} \tilde{m} \frac{d^2\tilde{x}}{dt^2} \\ &= - \int_0^T dt \tilde{m} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{dt} \frac{d^2\tilde{x}}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{fi})^2 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{m}} (\tilde{p}_{cl}^{in})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Moreover, we recall from classical mechanics that if the work done by a force

$$\tilde{W} = \int_{\tilde{C}} d\tilde{x} \tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) \quad (29)$$

is the same for any path \tilde{C} going from a to b , or if the work is zero for any closed path, then we know that a potential exists and the system is conservative. Thus combining Eqs. (19) and (27), we find the following necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the quantum action: The quantum action exists and is local, if there is a mass \tilde{m} and a local potential $\tilde{V}(x)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2\tilde{m}}{\hbar^2} [\tilde{V}(b) - \tilde{V}(a)] \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}(b, a) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}(b, a) \right)^2 \text{ holds for all } a, b. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Finally, we should point out that the calculation has yielded a condition for the product $\tilde{m}\tilde{V}(x)$ but not for each of the terms \tilde{m} and $\tilde{V}(x)$ individually. The reason for this is some underlying symmetry discussed in Sec. VI.

IV. FEYNMAN-KAC LIMIT

In the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, or equivalently, when temperature goes to zero, the Feynman-Kac formula holds,

$$G(y, T; x, 0) \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} \langle y | \psi_{gr} \rangle e^{-E_{gr}T/\hbar} \langle \psi_{gr} | x \rangle, \quad (31)$$

where ψ_{gr} is the ground-state wave function and E_{gr} is the ground-state energy. Here we make the assumption that the ground state is not degenerate. Equation (11) implies

$$G_0 e^{-\eta(y,x)} \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} \langle y | \psi_{gr} \rangle e^{-E_{gr}T/\hbar} \langle \psi_{gr} | x \rangle. \quad (32)$$

Taking the logarithm yields

$$-\eta(y, x) + \ln G_0 \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} -E_{gr}T/\hbar + \ln[\psi_{gr}(y)] + \ln[\psi_{gr}(x)]. \quad (33)$$

From this we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \eta(y, x) \Big|_{y=b, x=a} \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} & - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \{ \ln[\psi_{gr}(y)] \\ & + \ln[\psi_{gr}(x)] \} \Big|_{x=a}^{y=b} = - \frac{\psi'_{gr}(b)}{\psi_{gr}(b)}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \eta(y, x) \Big|_{y=b, x=a} \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{\psi'_{gr}(a)}{\psi_{gr}(a)}. \quad (35)$$

Then the general condition, Eq. (30), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\tilde{m}}{\hbar^2} [\tilde{V}(b) - \tilde{V}(a)] \rightsquigarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} & \left(\frac{\psi'_{gr}(b)}{\psi_{gr}(b)} \right)^2 \\ & - \left(\frac{\psi'_{gr}(a)}{\psi_{gr}(a)} \right)^2 \quad \text{for all } a, b. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This means we need to find \tilde{m} and $\tilde{V}(x)$, which satisfy

$$\frac{2\tilde{m}}{\hbar^2} (\tilde{V}(x) - \tilde{V}_0) = \left(\frac{\psi'_{gr}(x)}{\psi_{gr}(x)} \right)^2 \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (37)$$

This condition can be satisfied. This establishes the existence of a local quantum action and finishes the proof.

Let us ask a question: Can the mass \tilde{m} of the quantum action and the local quantum potential $\tilde{V}(x)$ simultaneously be chosen to be positive and real? Equation (37) shows that the product $\tilde{m}(\tilde{V}(x) - \tilde{V}_0)$ is always real and positive semidefinite. \tilde{V}_0 is some constant, not determined from Eq. (37). This constant can occur in the quantum potential or in the normalization \tilde{Z} . In Ref. [9] we have argued that the

minimum of $\tilde{V}(x)$ gives the ground-state energy E_{gr} . Under the assumption that the classical potential $V(x)$ is positive semidefinite, this implies that the spectrum is positive and in particular $E_{gr} > 0$. This means $\tilde{V}(x) - \tilde{V}_{min} \geq 0$. The rapid increase of the classical potential (say faster than the harmonic oscillator) implies a rapid fall-off behavior of the wave function for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $[\psi'_{gr}(x)/\psi_{gr}(x)]^2 \rightarrow \infty$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Equation (37) then implies $\tilde{V}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Combining the property $\tilde{V}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ with Eq. (37) implies that $\tilde{m} > 0$.

V. CHECK OF RESULT FOR HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

Let us consider the harmonic oscillator in 1D (in imaginary time). For the harmonic oscillator, the QM transition amplitude is given by the classical action along its classical path. Thus the quantum action should agree with the classical action. This should hold for any temperature τ or time T . In order to check this let us compute the quantum potential and hence the quantum action from condition (30). The QM transition amplitude reads [14]

$$\begin{aligned} G(b, T; a, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh(\omega T)}} \exp \left[-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar \sinh(\omega T)} \right. \\ \left. \times [(b^2 + a^2) \cosh(\omega T) - 2ba] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

According to Eq. (11), we identify

$$G_0 = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh(\omega T)}},$$

$$\eta(y, x) = \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar \sinh(\omega T)} [(y^2 + x^2) \cosh(\omega T) - 2yx]. \quad (39)$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \eta(y, x)}{\partial y} &= \frac{m\omega}{\hbar \sinh(\omega T)} [y \cosh(\omega T) - x], \\ \frac{\partial \eta(y, x)}{\partial x} &= \frac{m\omega}{\hbar \sinh(\omega T)} [x \cosh(\omega T) - y]. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial \eta(b, a)}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \eta(b, a)}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar \sinh(\omega T)} \right)^2 [\{b \cosh(\omega T) - a\}^2 \\ - \{a \cosh(\omega T) - b\}^2] \\ = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^2 [b^2 - a^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Comparing this with Eq. (30) yields

$$\frac{2\tilde{m}}{\hbar^2} [\tilde{V}(b) - \tilde{V}(a)] = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^2 [b^2 - a^2]. \quad (42)$$

This is satisfied if we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m} &= m, \\ \tilde{V}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Thus the quantum potential coincides with the harmonic oscillator potential, i.e., the classical potential and hence the quantum action coincides with the classical action.

VI. INVARIANCE OF QM TRANSITION AMPLITUDE

One may wonder why Eq. (30) does not specify the quantum potential, but only the combination $\tilde{m}\tilde{V}(x)$? First, one notes that the stationary Schrödinger equation for the ground state is invariant (gives the same wave function) under the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} E_{gr} &\rightarrow \alpha E_{gr}, \\ \hat{V}(x) &\rightarrow \alpha \hat{V}(x), \\ m &\rightarrow m/\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Obviously, the following quantity is an invariant under this transformation:

$$m\hat{V}(x) \rightarrow m\hat{V}(x). \quad (45)$$

Let us now consider this symmetry in the general case of finite temperature, corresponding to some finite value of time T . Let us consider the following scale transformation of the classical mass m , the classical potential $V(x)$, and the transition time T , where α is some real positive number;

$$\begin{aligned} m &\rightarrow m/\alpha, \\ \hat{V}(x) &\rightarrow \alpha \hat{V}(x), \\ T &\rightarrow T/\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Then the QM Hamilton operator $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/2m + \hat{V}(x)$ transforms like

$$\hat{H} \rightarrow \alpha \hat{H}. \quad (47)$$

Because the QM transition amplitude G is a matrix element of an operator-valued function of $\hat{H}T/\hbar$, being an invariant under the above scale transformation, consequently the QM transition amplitude is an invariant also,

$$G(b, T; a, 0) \rightarrow G(b, T; a, 0). \quad (48)$$

Let us now look at invariance properties of the classical system. Consider the Lagrangian

$$L(x(t), \dot{x}(t)) = \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^2 + V(x), \quad (49)$$

and the action

$$S[x] = \int_0^T dt L(x(t), \dot{x}(t)). \quad (50)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion reads

$$-m\ddot{x}_{cl}(t) + \left. \frac{dV(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=x_{cl}(t)} = 0, \quad (51)$$

where $x_{cl}(t)$ denotes the solution corresponding to a given pair of boundary points $x_{cl}(t=0) = a$, $x_{cl}(t=T) = b$. A straightforward computation yields the following transformation rules.

(i) *Classical trajectory.*

$$x_{cl}(t) \rightarrow x'_{cl}(t) = x_{cl}(\alpha t). \quad (52)$$

(ii) *Lagrangian evaluated at classical trajectory.*

$$L(x_{cl}(t), \dot{x}_{cl}(t)) \rightarrow L'(x'_{cl}(t), \dot{x}'_{cl}(t)) = \alpha L(x_{cl}(\alpha t), \dot{x}_{cl}(\alpha t)). \quad (53)$$

(iii) *Action evaluated along classical trajectory.*

$$S[x_{cl}] \rightarrow S'[x'_{cl}] = S[x_{cl}]. \quad (54)$$

Thus we see that $\Sigma = S[x_{cl}]$ is an invariant in classical mechanics. Trivially, also $mV(x)$ is an invariant.

The invariance properties of the classical system immediately carry over to the quantum action. Consider the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m} &\rightarrow \tilde{m}/\alpha, \\ \tilde{V}(x) &\rightarrow \alpha \tilde{V}(x), \\ T &\rightarrow T/\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Consequently, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is an invariant and $\tilde{m}\tilde{V}(x)$ is also an invariant. Under the combined scale transformations, Eqs. (46), and (55), we have shown that both, the QM transition amplitude G and the quantum action $\tilde{\Sigma}$, are invariants. The lesson from this is that for a given fixed time (corresponding to finite temperature), Eq. (30) is not sufficient to determine the quantum potential, but one needs an independent determination of \tilde{m} . One way to do this is by use of the renormalization group equation proposed in Ref. [9]. In retrospective, one may consider the invariance properties (48) and (54) as a hint on a relation between the QM transition amplitude and the quantum action.

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The proof is nonperturbative. It does not require the system to be integrable. The proof can, without difficulty, be generalized to 3D (or higher dimensions). An interesting ob-

servation is the following: After the back transformation to real time, the corner stone equation of the proof, Eq. (19), reads

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}_{cl}(T) &= i\hbar \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}(b, a), \\ \tilde{p}_{cl}(0) &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}(b, a),\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

which relates the classical momentum \tilde{p}_{cl} to the QM mo-

mentum operator, $\hat{P}_x = i\hbar \partial / \partial x$, which reminds us of canonical quantization rules.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.K. is grateful for support by NSERC Canada. H.K. is very grateful also to W. Hengartner, T. Ransford, and L. S. Schulman. for discussions, critical reading, and constructive suggestions.

-
- [1] G. Wentzel, Z. Phys. **38**, 518 (1926); H.A. Kramers, *ibid.* **39**, 828 (1926); L. Brillouin, Comptes Rendus **183**, 24 (1926).
 [2] D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **85**, 166 (1952); **85**, 185 (1952).
 [3] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 1888 (1973); L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, *ibid.* **9**, 3320 (1974).
 [4] P.M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 1712 (1984); **32**, 1389 (1985).
 [5] M.C. Gutzwiller, *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
 [6] H. Jirari, H. Kröger, X.Q. Luo, K.J.M. Moriarty, and S.G. Rubin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 187 (2001).
 [7] H. Jirari, H. Kröger, X.Q. Luo, K.J.M. Moriarty, and S.G. Rubin, Phys. Lett. A **281**, 1 (2001).
 [8] L.A. Caron, H. Jirari, H. Kröger, X.Q. Luo, G. Melkonyan, and K.J.M. Moriarty, Phys. Lett. A **288**, 145 (2001).
 [9] H. Jirari, H. Kröger, X.Q. Luo, G. Melkonyan, and K.J.M. Moriarty, e-print hep-th/0103027.
 [10] For a discussion of conjugate (focal) points, caustics and Morse's index theorem see Sec. 12 of Ref. [14].
 [11] See Sec. 13 of Ref. [14].
 [12] C.S. Lam, Nuovo Cimento A **47**, 451 (1966); **50**, 504 (1967).
 [13] J.I. Kapusta, *Finite Temperature Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989).
 [14] L.S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration* (Wiley, New York, 1981).