

Applications to soft-core lattice gases. Consider a lattice system with a nn "soft-core" repulsive potential, the configurational energy for a nn pair being positive but not infinite. We choose as covering sets all nn pairs, the partition function for a pair having the form

$$p_\alpha = 1 + z_1 + z_2 + C z_1 z_2, \quad 0 < C < 1.$$

Again we look for a region M_α such that P_α cannot vanish for $z_1, z_2 \in M_\alpha$. One choice is the exterior of a circle:

$$M_\alpha = \{z: |z| \geq |C^{-1}[-1 + (1-C)^{1/2}]|\}.$$

For a lattice with coordination number q each site belongs to q covering sets, so the zero-free region for $P(z)$ is the interior of the circle

$$L = (\sim M_\alpha)^q = \{z: |z| < |C^{-1}[-1 + (1-C)^{1/2}]|^q\}$$

and $R = |C^{-1}[-1 + (1-C)^{1/2}]|^q$.¹²

The author thanks Dr. L. K. Runnels of L. S. U. for enlightening discussions on the ideas presented.

*Work supported by N.I.H. Grant No. GM 13190-06.

¹L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **65**, 404 (1952).

²T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **87**, 410 (1952).

³M. Suzuki and M. E. Fisher, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 235 (1971).

⁴D. Ruelle, Phys. Rev. Letters **26**, 303 (1971).

⁵L. K. Runnels and J. B. Hubbard, J. Stat. Phys. (to be published).

⁶See *Statistical Mechanics*, edited by T. A. Bak (Benjamin, New York, 1967), p. 108.

⁷For details see Ref. 5.

⁸L. K. Runnels, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 842 (1970).

⁹O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Letters **24**, 1412 (1970).

¹⁰A proof of this is given in Ref. 5.

¹¹The Groeneveld lower bound is $R_G = [e(2q-1)]^{-1}$.

¹²For this case $R_G = [e(1+q(1-C))]^{-1}$.

ERRATA

Electron Correlations in the Unified Model for Stark Broadening, H. Capes and D. Voslamber [Phys. Rev. A **5**, 2528 (1972)]. Replace ω by $(\omega_0 + \omega)$ in line 16, column 1, p. 2532; line 17, column 2, p. 2534; the long equation on p. 2534; and line 21, column 1, p. 2534.

Spatial Period of Band Oscillations in the Dielectric Electrohydrodynamical Instability of a Nematic Liquid Crystal, Y. Galerne, G. Durand, and M. Veyssié [Phys. Rev. A **6**, 484 (1972)]. On p. 485, Eq. (3) should read

$$\omega_i \tau = (K_{33}/2\eta D) C_i \quad (i = m \text{ or } l),$$

and Eq. (4) should read

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{33} q^2/2\eta) \tau &= 0.5 + 0.23\omega\tau \\ &= (K_{33} q_0^2/2\eta) \tau + 0.23\omega\tau. \end{aligned}$$

On p. 486, line 18 should read $\eta = 0.15 \pm 0.07$ (cgs units) instead of 0.30 ± 0.15 , and line 21 should read $\eta = 0.22 \pm 0.1$ (cgs units) instead of $\eta = 0.45 \pm 0.25$.

On p. 486, line 22 should read: "These values of η are *close to* the one computed from Gähwiler's measurements⁹ ($\eta = 0.2$); the observed *uncertainty on* η is partly due to the..."

On p. 486, line 38 should read: "...using Eq. (3), we find $\eta D = 0.15 \times 10^{-7}$."