Schrödinger-like equation for a nonrelativistic electron in a photon field of arbitrary intensity

Dong-Sheng Guo* and R. R. Freeman

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550

Yong-Shi Wu[†]

Institute for Advanced Study, Olden Lane, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

(Received 2 March 1998)

The Schrödinger equation with minimal coupling for a nonrelativistic electron interacting with a singlemode photon field is not satisfied by the nonrelativistic limit of the exact solutions to the corresponding Dirac equation. A Schrödinger-like equation valid for arbitrary photon intensity is derived from the Dirac equation without the weak-field assumption. The "eigenvalue" in the present equation is an operator in a Cartan subalgebra. An approximation consistent with the nonrelativistic energy level derived from its relativistic value replaces the eigenvalue operator by an ordinary number, recovering the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation used in the formal scattering formalism. The Schrödinger-like equation for the multimode case is also presented. [S1050-2947(98)07307-7]

PACS number(s): 32.80.Rm

The exact analytical solutions for an electron interacting with a photon field play a very important role in theories and calculations of multiphoton ionization (MPI) and multiphoton scattering processes [1-8]. These theoretical results have achieved notable agreement [2,4,8] with experiments done during late 1980s [9-11]. However, rigorously speaking, the theoretical treatments for a nonrelativistic (NR) electron interacting with a photon field [3-6] had a logical loophole; the purpose of this paper is to fill this loophole. A bonus of our efforts is the derivation of a Schrödinger-like equation for a NR electron interacting with a photon field that is valid for arbitrary photon intensity (within the range consistent with the NR motion of the electron).

Before proceeding to formal considerations, let us first point out an important feature of the MPI phenomenon. Namely, the total energy of the photons interacting with the electron in a strong radiation field can be comparable to the electron mass. For example, the photon energy of 1064 nm is of the order 1 eV and when the laser intensity is of the order 10^{13} W/cm², the ponderomotive number is of order of unity. This number is the photon number in a disk volume V_p with thickness as the electron classical radius r_c and the cross section made by the radius of the photon circular wavelength $\lambda/2\pi$. The interaction volume of an atomic electron V can be regarded as a disk volume with the thickness as the Bohr radius and the same cross section of V_p . Thus $V = 137^2 V_p$. At the mentioned intensity the background photon number is about 2×10^4 with total energy of the order 2×10^4 eV. If one increases the intensity of the light with the same wavelength to 2.5×10^{14} W/cm², the total interacting background photon energy will be around the electron mass. So the weak-field approximation used in the usual quantum electrodynamics does not apply here. We are confronting the problem to get a nonrelativistic approximation with arbitrary photon intensity. The problem addressed in this paper is realistic and in the range of current experiments.

The previous treatments [3-8] of the MPI theory adopt a second-quantized formulation for the laser field. The equations of motion, in the Heisenberg picture, for a relativistic (first-quantized) electron interacting with a photon field is the well-known Dirac equation, which, say, for the case of a single-mode laser, reads

$$[i\gamma\partial - e\gamma A(kx) - m_e]\Psi(x) = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$A(kx) = g(\epsilon a e^{-ikx} + \epsilon^* a^{\dagger} e^{ikx}), \qquad (2)$$

with *a* and a^{\dagger} , respectively, the photon annihilation and creation operator and $g = (2V_{\gamma}\omega)^{-1/2}$, V_{γ} being the normalization volume of the photon field and the polarization fourvector $\epsilon = (0, \epsilon)$. The Dirac equation has been solved exactly either with a single-mode photon field [1] or with a multimode photon field that propagates in one direction [7]. The NR limit of these exact solutions has been derived in Ref. [2] and one is tempted to use them in the theory for MPI in which the emitted electrons are nonrelativistic.

As usual, the MPI theory started with the Schrödinger equation with the standard minimum coupling [12], which in the Schrödinger picture was the eigenvalue equation

$$H\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}\Psi(\mathbf{r}),\tag{3}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_e} \left[-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \right]^2 + \omega N_a , \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}) = g(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}a + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}a^\dagger)$$
(5)

521

^{*}Permanent address: Department of Physics, Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA 70813.

[†]On leave from Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.

and $g = (2V_{\gamma}\omega)^{-1/2}$, V_{γ} being the normalization volume of the photon field. N_a is the photon number operator

$$N_a = \frac{1}{2} (aa^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger}a). \tag{6}$$

The polarization vectors $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$ are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{x} \cos(\xi/2) + i\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{y} \sin(\xi/2)] e^{i\Theta/2},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{*} = [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{x} \cos(\xi/2) - i\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{y} \sin(\xi/2)] e^{-i\Theta/2}$$
(7)

and satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* = 1, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \cos \xi e^{i\Theta}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* = \cos \xi e^{-i\Theta}.$$

The angle ξ determines the degree of polarization, such that $\xi = \pi/2$ corresponds to circular polarization and $\xi = 0$ to linear polarization. (The phase angle $\Theta/2$ is introduced to characterize the initial phase value of the photon mode in an earlier work [3]. With this phase, a full "squeezed light" transformation [13] can be fulfilled in the solution process. In multimode cases, the relative value of this phase for each mode will be important.)

In the following, we first show that the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation (3) with the NR Hamiltonian (4) is not satisfied by the NR wave functions obtained from the exact solutions to the corresponding Dirac equation (1). To see this, let us remove the coordinate dependence of the $A(-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})$ field by applying a canonical transformation [14,15]

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}N_a}\phi(\mathbf{r}). \tag{8}$$

Equation (3) then becomes

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m_e}\left(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}-\mathbf{k}N_a\right)^2 - \frac{e}{2m_e}\left[\left(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}\right)\cdot\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}\cdot\left(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}\right)\right] + \frac{e^2\mathbf{A}^2}{2m_e} + \omega N_a\right\}\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E}\phi(\mathbf{r}),$$
(9)

where $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ by transversality. Here \mathbf{A} is coordinate independent and defined as

$$\mathbf{A} = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}N_a}\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}N_a} = g(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}a + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*a^{\dagger}).$$
(10)

Setting $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\phi$, we obtain the coordinateindependent equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{2m_e} \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}N_a\right)^2 - \frac{e}{m_e} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{e^2 \mathbf{A}^2}{2m_e} + \omega N_a\right] \phi = \mathcal{E}\phi.$$
(11)

Now we note that the term $(\mathbf{k}N_a)^2 \equiv \mathbf{k}N_a \cdot \mathbf{k}N_a$ in Eqs. (9) and (11) does not exist in the Dirac equation (1) and its squared form, which contain the creation and annihilation operators only up to quadratic terms. The exact solutions to the Dirac equation and their NR limit were obtained from the photon Fock states, i.e., the number states, by only squeezed light and coherent light transformations [1]. Any equation satisfied by these states can consist of operators *a* or a^{\dagger} only up to quadratic ones. Thus the known NR wave functions [16] do not satisfy the NR Schrödinger eigenvalue equation (3).

To justify the use of the NR wave functions, one of the authors has introduced a special ansatz [3] that allows the replacement in Eq. (11) of the $\mathbf{k}N_a$ terms by $\kappa \mathbf{k}$, with κ a real number to be determined. The implicit assumption behind this ansatz is that the corrections caused by this replacement should be at most comparable to relativistic effects. With this ansatz and certain covariance requirements, the solutions turned out to be just the NR wave functions obtained by the NR limit from the exact solutions of the Dirac equations. Later, the ansatz was extended to the cases of multimode photon fields with multiple propagation directions [3,4,6]. Though this procedure leads to the correct NR wave functions in the single-mode case, the reasons why the ansatz works were not explained. Moreover, the validity of using the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation to describe a NR electron in a strong photon field and the validity of the ansatz in multimode cases have never been rigorously justified.

Unlike the classical-field treatment, where the light field is treated as an external field, our quantum field-theoretical approach for photons requires a careful treatment to maintain relativistic invariance for the photon field, while only the electron is to be considered as a NR particle. The correct equation of motion should be derived from the Dirac equation in the Schrödinger picture

$$(H_e + H_\gamma + V)\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = p_0\Psi(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (12)$$

where

$$H_{e} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}) + \beta m_{e},$$

$$H_{\gamma} = \omega N_{a} = \frac{\omega}{2} (aa^{\dagger} + a^{\dagger}a), \qquad (13)$$

 $V = e \, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}),$

with $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(\mathbf{r}) \\ \Psi_2(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where $\Psi_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Psi_2(\mathbf{r})$ are the major and minor components, respectively. Thus Eq. (12) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})]\Psi_2(\mathbf{r}) + (m_e + \omega N_a)$$
$$\times \Psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = p_0 \Psi_1(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (15a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})]\Psi_1(\mathbf{r}) + (-m_e + \omega N_a)$$
$$\times \Psi_2(\mathbf{r}) = p_0 \Psi_2(\mathbf{r}), \tag{15b}$$

From Eq. (15b) we have

$$\Psi_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = (p_{0} + m_{e} - \omega N_{a})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [-i \boldsymbol{\nabla} - e \mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})] \Psi_{1}(\mathbf{r});$$
(16)

Substituting $\Psi_2(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (15a) and ignoring the term $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [-e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})]\Psi_2(\mathbf{r})$ that pertains to the minor component, we obtain a solo equation for the major component

$$\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot [-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})]\}^2 \Psi_1(\mathbf{r})$$
$$= [(p_0 - \omega N_a)^2 - m_e^2] \Psi_1(\mathbf{r}). \tag{17}$$

By neglecting the coupling between electron spin and photon polarization, i.e., the term $[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*]$, we have (writing Ψ_1 as Ψ)

$$[-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A}(-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})]^2\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = [(p_0 - \omega N_a)^2 - m_e^2]\Psi(\mathbf{r}).$$
(18)

This equation can be written as an eigenvaluelike equation

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m_e}\left[-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}-e\boldsymbol{A}(-\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r})\right]^2+\omega N_a\right\}\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\mathcal{E}(N_a)\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}),\tag{19}$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}(N_a) \equiv \frac{1}{2m_e} \left[(p_0 - \omega N_a)^2 - m_e^2 \right] + \omega N_a \,. \tag{19'}$$

Equation (19) can be solved exactly. The following are the main steps to obtain the solutions. The canonical transformation given by Eq. (8) removes the coordinate dependence. Thus the equation becomes

$$(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{k}N_a)^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) = [(p_0 - \omega N_a)^2 - m_e^2]\phi(\mathbf{r}).$$
(20)

By setting $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\phi$, we get the coordinate-independent equation

$$[\mathbf{p}^2 - 2e\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{A} + e^2\mathbf{A}^2 + 2(p_0\omega - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{k})N_a]\phi = (p_0^2 - m_e^2)\phi.$$
(21)

A squeezed light transformation

$$a = \cosh \chi c + \sinh \chi e^{-i\Theta} c^{\dagger},$$

$$a^{\dagger} = \sinh \chi e^{i\Theta} c + \cosh \chi c^{\dagger}$$
(22)

and a coherent light transformation

$$\phi = D^{\dagger} |n\rangle_{c}, \quad D = \exp(-\delta c^{\dagger} + \delta^{*} c),$$

$$\delta = eg \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{c}^{*} / [(p_{0}\omega - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + e^{2}g^{2})^{2} - e^{4}g^{4}\cos^{2}\xi]^{1/2}$$
(23)

can be introduced to simplify the equation. Finally, we have exact solutions for the Schrödinger-like equation (19) or its equivalent form (18)

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = V_e^{-1/2} \exp[i(-\mathbf{k}N_a + \mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{r}] D^{\dagger} |n\rangle_c, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$|n\rangle_{c} = \frac{c^{\dagger n}}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle_{c} , \qquad (25)$$

$$|0\rangle_{c} = (\cosh \chi)^{-1/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\tanh \chi)^{s} \left(\frac{(2s-1)!!}{(2s)!!} \right)^{1/2} e^{-is\Theta} |2s\rangle,$$
$$\chi = -\frac{1}{2} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{2}g^{2} \cos \xi}{p_{0}\omega - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + e^{2}g^{2}} \right).$$

Here $|2s\rangle$ is the Fock state with 2s photons in the single mode. The number p_0 is determined by the algebraic equation

$$p_{0}^{2} - m_{e}^{2} = \mathbf{p}^{2} + 2C(n + \frac{1}{2}) - 2e^{2}g^{2}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{c})(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{c}^{*})C^{-1},$$

$$C \equiv [(p_{0}\omega - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + e^{2}g^{2})^{2} - e^{4}g^{4}\cos^{2}\xi]^{1/2}.$$
(26)

The solutions are also the eigenfunction of the momentum operator

$$(-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}+i\mathbf{k}N_a)\Psi(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{p}\Psi(\mathbf{r}),\tag{27}$$

which shows that **p** is the total momentum of this system. The total momentum **p** has a unique decomposition on the electron mass shell with lightlike component in the **k** direction [1,5]:

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{P} + \kappa \mathbf{k},$$

$$p_0 = m_e + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m_e} + \kappa \omega,$$

$$\kappa = \frac{C(n + \frac{1}{2})}{m_e \omega} - \frac{e^2 g^2 (\mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_c) (\mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_c^*)}{m_e \omega C},$$
(28)

 $\rightarrow (n + \frac{1}{2} + u_p)$ (in the strong laser field case),

with replacing $p_0\omega - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}$ by $m_e\omega$ in *C*. Here u_p is the ponderomotive energy in units of photon energy. With the help of Eq. (28), the solutions can be expressed as

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = V_e^{-1/2} \exp[i(-\mathbf{k}N_a + \mathbf{P} + \kappa \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{r}] D^{\dagger} |n\rangle_c. \quad (29)$$

This result agrees with the known NR limit [2] of the exact solutions to the Dirac equation (1) because of the following relation in the NR limit:

$$p_0 \boldsymbol{\omega} - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \left(m_e + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m_e} \right) \boldsymbol{\omega} - \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{k} \rightarrow m_e \boldsymbol{\omega}.$$
(30)

This provides us a consistency check for our Eqs. (19) and (19').

We emphasize that the Schrödinger-like equation (19) that we have derived in the NR limit is not an ordinary eigenvalue equation since the "eigenvalue" (19') is an operator (rather than a real number), which is a quadratic element in the commuting subalgebra generated by N_a in the enveloping algebra of a and a^{\dagger} . This subalgebra is also called a Cartan subalgebra

Though our Eq. (19) has the satisfying feature that the known NR wave functions solve it exactly, it does not fit well the formal scattering formalism, which requires the wave functions to satisfy a true eigenvalue equation. We propose to resolve this problem by numerizing the eigenvalue operator to its stationary values. In quantum mechanics, one can obtain the energy eigenvalues of a quantum system by the variational method. Actually, all the eigenvalues are stationary values of the operator, not necessarily the minimum value, except for the ground state. Here we do not need any variational method since the wave functions are exactly known. In the following we show that the stationary values of the operator $\mathcal{E}(N_a)$ do give the correct energy levels of the NR system. By setting

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(N_a)}{d(\omega N_a)} = 0, \tag{31}$$

and treating $\mathcal{E}(N_a)$ as a function of ωN_a we find that the stationary value, at $\omega N_a = (p_0 - m_e)I$, with *I* being the identity operator, is

$$\mathcal{E}(N_a) \equiv (p_0 - m_e)I + \frac{1}{2m_e} (p_0 - m_e - \omega N_a)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}I,$$
(32)

with

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv p_0 - m_e = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m_e} + \kappa \omega, \qquad (32')$$

which is nothing but the energy level [2] for the interacting system of the NR electron and the photon field without including the rest mass of the electron. The omission of the quadratic term in Eq. (32) is a NR limit process. We also observe that by replacing $N_a \omega$ in Eq. (19') either by $\kappa \omega$ or by $(n + 1/2)\omega$, \mathcal{E} has the same value within the tolerance of the NR limit, showing the stationary nature of the value (32). With the replacement of the eigenvalue operator by its stationary value (32') in the eigenvaluelike equation (19), we get an effective eigenvalue equation, which just recovers the Schrödinger eigenvalue equation (3) with the minimalcoupling Hamiltonian (4). It is this effective Schrödinger equation together with the NR wave functions (24) that was used in the previous treatments [3–8]. So the physical predictions obtained there remain valid.

In this way, we are led to the following procedure for treating a NR electron in a photon field, which could be generalized to the cases of multimode and multipotentials.

(i) Solve the Schrödinger-like eigenvalue equation (19) to obtain the wave functions.

(ii) Obtain the stationary values of the eigenvalue operator as the energy levels.

(iii) Replace the operator eigenvalue by its stationary value to obtain an effective Schrödinger eigenvalue equation to be used in the formal scattering formalism.

In ending this article, we present the Schrödinger-like equation for a NR electron in a two-mode photon field for future studies as

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2m_e}\left[-i\boldsymbol{\nabla}-e\boldsymbol{A}_1(-\boldsymbol{k}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{r})-e\boldsymbol{A}^2(-\boldsymbol{k}_2\cdot\boldsymbol{r})\right]^2 +\omega_1N_1+\omega_2N_2\right\}\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\mathcal{E}(N_1,N_2)\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}),\quad(33)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(N_1, N_2) \equiv \frac{1}{2m_e} \left[(p_0 - \omega_1 N_1 - \omega_2 N_2)^2 - m_e^2 \right] + \omega_1 N_1 + \omega_2 N_2.$$

The coordinate-independent equation to solve is

$$[(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^{2} + 2p(k_{1}N_{1} + k_{2}N_{2}) + 2e(\mathbf{k}_{1}N_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2}N_{2}) \cdot \mathbf{A} - 2k_{1}k_{2}N_{1}N_{2}]\phi = (p_{0}^{2} - m_{e}^{2})\phi, \qquad (34)$$

where $pk_i \equiv (p_0\omega_i - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k}_i)$ (i=1,2) and $k_1k_2 \equiv (\omega_1\omega_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)$.

Compared with Eq. (21), we see that this equation contains a higher-order operator term N_1N_2 . Searching for solutions to this equation is one of our targets in future research.

To summarize, in this paper we have addressed carefully the problem of the equations of motion for a nonrelativistic electron interacting with a single-mode photon field, which is valid for arbitrary photon intensity. We first showed that the usual Schrödinger eigenvalue equation is not solved by the NR limit of the wave functions that exactly solve the corresponding Dirac equation. Then a Schrödinger-like equation is derived from the Dirac equation without using the weakfield assumption. Though the eigenvalue is an operator in a Cartan subalgebra involving the photon number operator, the present equation has a simpler structure compared to the usual eigenvalue equation. An effective Schrödinger equation with ordinary eigenvalues, good in the NR limit, is achieved by replacing the eigenvalue operator by a number, which then can be used in the formal scattering theory. The Schrödinger-like equation for the multimode case is also presented.

D.S.G. is supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY-9603083. Y.S.W. thanks the Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara for warm hospitality, where his part of the work was begun, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for its financial support, and the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo and Professor Kohmoto for warm hospitality, where the work was continued. His work was also supported in part by the NSF through Grant No. PHY-9601277, and by the Monell Foundation.

- [1] D.-S. Guo and T. Aberg, J. Phys. A 21, 4577 (1988).
- [2] D.-S. Guo, T. Aberg, and B. Crasemann, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4997 (1989).
- [3] D.-S. Guo, Phys. Rev. A 42, 4302 (1990).
- [4] D.-S. Guo and G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 45, 6622 (1992).
- [5] D.-S. Guo and G. W. F. Drake, J. Phys. A 25, 3383 (1992).
- [6] D.-S. Guo and G. W. F. Drake, J. Phys. A 25, 5377 (1992).
- [7] D.-S. Guo, J. Gao, and A. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 54, 1087 (1996).
- [8] J. Gao and D.-S. Guo, Phys. Rev. A 47, 5080 (1993).
- [9] P. H. Bucksbaum, D. W. Schumacher, and M. Bashkansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1182 (1988).
- [10] R. R. Freeman (unpublished).

- [11] R. R. Freeman and P. H. Bucksbaum, J. Phys. B 24, 325 (1991).
- [12] J. J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics, 6th ed. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1977).
- [13] R. Loudon and P. L. Knight, J. Mod. Opt. 34, 709 (1987).
- [14] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. 90, 297 (1953).
- [15] M. Girardeau, Phys. Fluids 4, 279 (1960).
- [16] Those who are curious about the explicit form of the NR wave functions are advised to take a look at Eqs. (24) or (29), which coincides with the NR limit of the exact solutions to the Dirac equation (1).