Long-range interactions of metastable helium atoms

Zong-Chao Yan and J. F. Babb

Institute for Theoretical Atomic and Molecular Physics, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

(Received 27 February 1998)

The electric dipole, quadrupole, and octupole polarizabilities of a helium atom and the associated dispersion coefficients for the interaction of two helium atoms are calculated for the atomic $n=2$ singlet and triplet states, respectively, He($2 \text{ }^{1}S$) and He($2 \text{ }^{3}S$). The calculations utilize highly accurate, variationally determined, wave functions composed of doubled basis sets. The effects of retardation due to the finite speed of light are calculated for the dispersion potentials of two He($2^{1}S$) or two He($2^{3}S$) atoms and for the atom-surface interaction potentials of a He($2^{1}S$) or a He($2^{3}S$) atom with a perfectly conducting or a dielectric wall. $[S1050-2947(98)06208-8]$

PACS number(s): 34.20.Cf, 32.10.Dk, 34.50.Dy

The advent of doubled basis sets has made it possible to calculate precisely many properties of two-electron atomic systems $[1-4]$. We apply variational methods developed previously and demonstrated for the helium atom $[5]$ to calculate nonrelativistic values of the electric dipole, quadrupole, and octupole polarizabilities and corresponding dispersion and retardation coefficients for the metastable $n=2$ singlet and triplet states, respectively, $\text{He}(2^{1}S)$ and $\text{He}(2^{3}S)$. The results are useful for studies of elastic and inelastic collisional processes of the atoms $[6,7]$. Additionally, potentials for the atom-wall interaction of a He($2^{1}S$) or a He($2^{3}S$) atom and a single perfectly conducting wall or a dielectric wall are calculated with the inclusion of retardation effects due to the finite speed of light. Our results for atom-wall interactions are germane to experiments involving atomevanescent wave mirrors $[8]$.

In this paper the notation of Ref. $[9]$ is followed very closely; references to equations of Ref. $[9]$ will be preceded by the symbol I. Atomic units are used throughout.

The dispersion interaction of two like atoms can be written as

$$
U(R) = -C_6 R^{-6} - C_8 R^{-8} - C_{10} R^{-10}, \tag{1}
$$

where the coefficients C_6 , C_8 , and C_{10} are the van der Waals coefficients, *R* is the interatomic distance, and

$$
C_6 = (3/\pi)G(1,1),\tag{2}
$$

$$
C_8 = (15/\pi)G(1,2),\tag{3}
$$

$$
C_{10} = (28/\pi)G(1,3) + (35/\pi)G(2,2), \tag{4}
$$

TABLE I. Values of the static polarizabilities $\alpha_1(0)$, $\alpha_2(0)$, and $\alpha_3(0)$ for the 2¹*S* and 2³*S* states of He. Numbers in parentheses represent the estimated error in the last digit of the listed, extrapolated value.

| State | $\alpha_1(0)$ | $\alpha_2(0)$ | $\alpha_3(0)$ |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $2^{1}S$ | 800.316 33(7) | 7106.0537(5) | 293 703.50(6) |
| 2 ³ S | 315.631 468(12) | 2 707.877 3(3) | 88 377.325 3(7) |

with

$$
G(l,m) = \int_0^\infty \alpha_l(i\omega) \alpha_m(i\omega) d\omega, \tag{5}
$$

where $\alpha_l(i\omega)$ is the 2^{*l*}-pole dynamic polarizability function evaluated at imaginary frequency defined by Eqs. (6) – (9) of Ref. [5], and similarly for $\alpha_m(i\omega)$.

When the effects of retardation due to the finite speed of light are considered the potential $U(R)$, Eq. (1), can be replaced by $[10,11]$

$$
V(R) = -C_6 f_6(R) R^{-6} - C_8 f_8(R) R^{-8} - C_{10} f_{10}(R) R^{-10}.
$$
\n(6)

FIG. 1. Comparison of values of the static polarizability $\alpha_1(0)$ (in atomic units) for He($2³S$). The point *A* is the measurement of Crosby and Zorn $[20]$ and the point *B* is that of Ekstrom *et al.* $[18]$ determined in combination with measurements from Molof *et al.* [19]. The small dot above the arrow labeled "This work" represents the present calculation, which lies within the upper and lower bounds of Glover and Weinhold (shaded region) [21].

| Author (year) | Ref. | $\alpha_1(0)$ | $\alpha_2(0)$ | $\alpha_3(0)$ |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Crosby and Zorn (1977) Expt. | [20] | 729(88) | | |
| Chung and Hurst (1966) | $\lceil 25 \rceil$ | 801.9 | | |
| Drake (1972) | $\lceil 26 \rceil$ | 800.2 | | |
| Chung (1977) | $[27]$ | 801.10 | | |
| Glover and Weinhold (1977) | $\lceil 28 \rceil$ | 803.31 ± 6.61^a | | |
| Lamm and Szabo (1980), ECA | [29] | 790.8 | | |
| Rérat et al. (1993) | $[30]$ | 803.25 | 6.870.9 | |
| Chen (1995) | $[31]$ | 800.34 | | |
| This work | | 800.316 33(7) | 7106.0537(5) | 293 703.50(6) |

TABLE II. Comparison of static multipole polarizabilities $\alpha_1(0)$, $\alpha_2(0)$, and $\alpha_3(0)$ for He(2¹S). For the experimental value numbers in parentheses give the quoted error.

a Bounded theoretical value.

The coefficient $f_{10}(R)$ will not be considered in this paper as it is likely that the practical consequences of retardation on the C_{10} term will be negligible.

Expressions for the retardation coefficients, $f_6(R)$ and $f_8(R)$, as integrals involving the dynamic electric dipole polarizabilities, are given in Eqs. I- (5) and I- (7) .

The form (6) intrinsically includes certain relativistic effects, so that when $f_6(R)$ and $f_8(R)$ are expanded in powers of the fine structure constant $\alpha_{fs} = 1/137.035$ 989 5 for small distances

$$
V(R) \sim -R^{-6} [C_6 - \alpha_{fs}^2 R^2 W_4] - R^{-8} [C_8 - \alpha_{fs}^2 R^2 W_6],
$$
\n(7)

where

$$
W_4 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \omega^2 \alpha_1^2(i\omega) \tag{8}
$$

and

$$
W_6 = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \omega^2 \alpha_1(i\omega) \alpha_2(i\omega). \tag{9}
$$

The relativistic origin of the coefficient W_4 has been discussed by Power and Zienau [12], see also [13]. The coefficient W_6 of the factor α_{fs}^2/R^6 in Eq. (7) corresponds to the theory of Power and Thirunamachandran $[11]$ and is equal to the coefficient $W_{LL;4,2}$ in the theory of Meath and Hirschfelder based on the Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian $[13]$. As the distance increases retardation arising from the finite speed of light becomes important and the potential approaches its asymptotic form, see Eqs. I- (13) and I- (14) ,

$$
V(R) \sim -K_7 R^{-7} - K_9 R^{-9}, \tag{10}
$$

with

$$
K_7 = \frac{23}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_1^2(0)}{\alpha_{\text{fs}}} = 250.81\alpha_1^2(0),
$$

$$
K_9 = \frac{531}{16\pi} \frac{\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{\alpha_{\text{fs}}} = 1\ 447.6\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0). \tag{11}
$$

An expression for the potential $V_{\text{A}tD}(R,\epsilon)$ for the interaction $[14,15]$ of an atom and a dielectric wall was presented in Eq. I-(15), where *R* is the atom-wall distance and ϵ is the dielectric constant of the wall. The expression is a double integral that can be evaluated with knowledge of the function $\alpha_1(i\omega)$. For small distances $V_{\text{AtD}}(R,\epsilon)$ has the limiting form

$$
V_{\text{AtD}}(R,\epsilon) \sim -\frac{C_3}{R^3} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 1},\tag{12}
$$

TABLE III. Comparison of static multipole polarizabilities $\alpha_1(0)$, $\alpha_2(0)$, and $\alpha_3(0)$ for He(2³S).

| Author (year) | Ref. | $\alpha_1(0)$ | $\alpha_2(0)$ | $\alpha_3(0)$ |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Crosby and Zorn (1977) Expt. | [20] | 301(20) | | |
| Ekstrom et al. (1995) Expt. | [18, 19] | 322(6.8) | | |
| Bishop and Pipin (1993) | $[32]$ | 315.631 | 2 707.85 | 88 377.2 |
| Rérat et al. (1993) | $\lceil 30 \rceil$ | 315.92 | 2 662.02 | |
| Glover and Weinhold (1977) | $\lceil 28 \rceil$ | 316.24 ± 0.78^a | | |
| Drake (1972) | $\lceil 26 \rceil$ | 315.608 | | |
| Chung (1977) | $\lceil 27 \rceil$ | 315.63 | | |
| Chen and Chung (1996) , <i>B</i> Spline | $\lceil 23 \rceil$ | 315.63 | 2 707.89 | 88 377.4 |
| Chen and Chung (1996), Slater | $\lceil 23 \rceil$ | 315.611 | 2 707.81 | 88 35 6.2 |
| Chung and Hurst (1966) | $\lceil 25 \rceil$ | 315.63 | | |
| Chen (1995) | [31] | 315.633 | | |
| This work | | 315.631 468(12) | 2 707.877 3(3) | 88 377.325 3(7) |

a Bounded theoretical value.

TABLE IV. Values of C_6 , C_8 , and C_{10} for the interaction of two He atoms.

| System | C_6 | C_8 | C_{10} |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| $2^{1}S - 2^{1}S$ | 11 241.052(5) | 817 250.5(4) | 108 167 630(54) |
| $2^{3}S - 2^{3}S$ | 3276.6800(3) | 210 566.55(6) | 21 786 760(5) |

where

$$
C_3 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha_1(i\omega).
$$
 (13)

As the separation increases retardation becomes important and the potential approaches its asymptotic form,

$$
V_{\text{AtD}}(R,\epsilon) \sim -\frac{K_4}{R^4} \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 1} \phi(\epsilon),\tag{14}
$$

where $\phi(\epsilon)$ is given in Eq. I-(21) and

$$
K_4 = 3\alpha_1(0)/(8\pi\alpha_{\text{fs}}) = 16.357\alpha_1(0). \tag{15}
$$

For a perfectly conducting wall $V_{\text{AtD}}(R,\epsilon)$ reduces to $V_{AtM}(R)$, where

$$
V_{\text{AtM}}(R) \equiv V_{\text{AtD}}(R, \infty) = -C_3 f_3(R) R^{-3}
$$
 (16)

and the retardation coefficient $f_3(R)$ is an integral involving $\alpha_1(i\omega)$ and is given in Eq. I-(26). For small distances $V_{\text{AtM}}(R) \sim -C_3 / R^3$ and for asymptotically large distances $V_{AtM}(R) \sim -K_4/R^4$. Table II of Ref. [16] summarizes the various limits of $V_{\text{AtD}}(R, \epsilon)$.

It has been shown that double basis sets work well for calculations involving S states of helium $\lceil 3 \rceil$. The basis set used here was constructed as in Ref. $[5]$ with basis set functions expressed using Hylleraas coordinates

$$
\{\chi_{ijk}(\gamma,\beta) = r_1^i r_2^j r_{12}^k e^{-\gamma r_1 - \beta r_2}\}.
$$
 (17)

The explicit form for the wave function is

$$
\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{ijk} \left[a_{ijk}^{(1)} \chi_{ijk}(\gamma_1, \beta_1) + a_{ijk}^{(2)} \chi_{ijk}(\gamma_2, \beta_2) \right]
$$

\n
$$
\pm \text{exchange}, \qquad (18)
$$

and $i+j+k \leq \Omega$. The convergence of the eigenvalues is studied as Ω is progressively enlarged. Finally, a complete

FIG. 2. Dimensionless retardation coefficients for He($2¹S$) (solid line) and He($2³S$) (dashed line) as a function of the internuclear distance in atomic units.

optimization is performed with respect to the two sets of nonlinear parameters γ_1, β_1 , and γ_2, β_2 by first calculating the derivatives analytically in

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma} = 2 \left\langle \Psi \left| H \right| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \gamma} \right\rangle - 2E \left\langle \Psi \left| \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \gamma} \right\rangle, \tag{19}
$$

where γ represents any nonlinear parameter, E is the trial energy, *H* is the Hamiltonian, and $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$ is assumed, and then locating the zeros of the derivatives by Newton's method. These techniques yield much improved convergence relative to single basis set calculations. The method of the evaluation of the two-electron integrals in Hylleraas coordinates can be found in Ref. $[17]$.

The expressions for the dynamic dipole polarizabilities, Eqs. (6) – (9) of Ref. [5], were evaluated using the wave functions determined by the variational method. Values of the static polarizabilities are given in Table I for $\text{He}(2^{1}S)$ and He($2³S$). The polarizabilities given in Table I are extrapolated results, with the convergence studied as in Refs. [3] and [5], and the estimated extrapolation error in the last digit is given in parentheses with the listed values. The largest basis set sizes used consisted of 616 functions for the *S* states, 910 functions for the *P* states, 931 functions for the *D* states, and 1092 functions for the *F* states. The converged results are

TABLE V. Comparison of C_6 , C_8 , and C_{10} for the He(2¹S)-He(2¹S) system.

| Author (year) | Ref. | C_6 | C_8 | C_{10} |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Glover and Weinhold (1977) | [21] | 11 330 \pm 630 ^a | | |
| Rérat et al. (1993) | $[30]$ | 11 360 | 812 500 | |
| Victor <i>et al.</i> (1968) | [33] | 11 300 | | |
| Lamm and Szabo (1980), ECA | [29] | 10 980 | | |
| Chen (1995) | [34] | 11 244 | 817 360 | 108 184 000 |
| This work | | 11 241.052(5) | 817 250.5(4) | 108 167 630(54) |

a Bounded theoretical value.

| Author (year) | Ref. | C_6 | C_8 | C_{10} |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Glover and Weinhold (1977) | $\lceil 21 \rceil$ | $3289 \pm 90^{\circ}$ | | |
| Victor <i>et al.</i> (1968) | [33] | 3 2 9 0 | | |
| Lamm and Szabo (1980), ECA | [29] | 3 300 | | |
| Rérat et al. (1993) | $\lceil 30 \rceil$ | 3 2 7 9 | 208 600 | |
| Bishop and Pipin (1993) | [32] | 3 276.677 0 | 210 563.99 | 21 786 484 |
| Chen (1995) | [34] | 3 2 7 6 1 | 210 520 | 21 783 800 |
| Chen and Chung (1996) , <i>B</i> spline | $\lceil 23 \rceil$ | 3 276.10 | 210 518 | 21 783 800 |
| Chen and Chung (1996), Slater | $\lceil 23 \rceil$ | 3 275.90 | 210 507 | 21 780 200 |
| This work | | 3276.6800(3) | 210 566.55(6) | 21 786 760(5) |

TABLE VI. Comparison of C_6 , C_8 , and C_{10} for the He(2³S)-He(2³S) system.

^aBounded theoretical value.

TABLE VII. The dimensionless retardation coefficients $f_6(R)$ and $f_s(R)$ for the atom-atom interaction. The dispersion coefficients C_6 and C_8 are also given. In the last line, labeled ''Asymptotic'' the values calculated using the asymptotic forms $f_6 \sim K_7 / (RC_6)$ and $f_8 \sim K_9 / (RC_8)$ are given in, respectively, columns 2,4 and columns 3,5, with K_7 and K_9 given in Eq. (11).

| | He($2^{1}S$)-He($2^{1}S$) | | He($2^{3}S$)-He($2^{3}S$) | | |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|--|
| | C_6 | C_8 | C_6 | C_8 | |
| | 112 41.052(5) | 817 250.5(4) | 3 276.680 0(3) 210 566.55(6) | | |
| R | $f_6(R)$ | $f_8(R)$ | $f_6(R)$ | $f_8(R)$ | |
| 10 | 0.999998 | 0.999996 | 0.999995 | 0.999992 | |
| 15 | 0.999996 | 0.999992 | 0.999988 | 0.999982 | |
| 20 | 0.999993 | 0.999986 | 0.999980 | 0.999969 | |
| 25 | 0.999989 | 0.999978 | 0.999968 | 0.999952 | |
| 30 | 0.999984 | 0.999968 | 0.999955 | 0.999931 | |
| 50 | 0.999958 | 0.999913 | 0.999879 | 0.999812 | |
| 70 | 0.999919 | 0.999833 | 0.999770 | 0.999638 | |
| 100 | 0.999840 | 0.999666 | 0.999548 | 0.999281 | |
| 150 | 0.999655 | 0.999271 | 0.999034 | 0.998446 | |
| 200 | 0.999408 | 0.998742 | 0.998358 | 0.997337 | |
| 250 | 0.999106 | 0.998088 | 0.997533 | 0.995980 | |
| 300 | 0.998750 | 0.997318 | 0.996573 | 0.994397 | |
| 500 | 0.996857 | 0.993223 | 0.991568 | 0.986162 | |
| 700 | 0.994325 | 0.987790 | 0.985052 | 0.975560 | |
| 1000 | 0.989563 | 0.977772 | 0.973189 | 0.956657 | |
| 1500 | 0.979675 | 0.957749 | 0.949690 | 0.920633 | |
| 2000 | 0.968032 | 0.935332 | 0.923467 | 0.882350 | |
| 2500 | 0.955170 | 0.911784 | 0.895919 | 0.843974 | |
| 3000 | 0.941459 | 0.887865 | 0.867915 | 0.806627 | |
| 5000 | 0.882570 | 0.795572 | 0.759993 | 0.675026 | |
| 7000 | 0.822962 | 0.714364 | 0.666219 | 0.572701 | |
| 10000 | 0.739435 | 0.614288 | 0.554000 | 0.460880 | |
| 15000 | 0.622323 | 0.492124 | 0.424424 | 0.342480 | |
| 20000 | 0.530963 | 0.407029 | 0.340027 | 0.270048 | |
| 25000 | 0.459732 | 0.345261 | 0.282000 | 0.221929 | |
| 30000 | 0.403520 | 0.298792 | 0.240136 | 0.187921 | |
| 50000 | 0.266133 | 0.191769 | 0.149197 | 0.115667 | |
| 70000 | 0.196376 | 0.140118 | 0.107696 | 0.083251 | |
| 100000 | 0.140107 | 0.099381 | 0.075824 | 0.058519 | |
| | | | Asymptotic | | |
| 100000 | 0.142912 | 0.100738 | 0.076257 | 0.058760 | |

compared with some previous calculations and experiments in Tables II and III. Ekstrom *et al.* [18] determined the He(2³S) polarizability by combining their measured Na polarizability with the Molof *et al.* [19] measurement of the Na polarizability relative to the polarizability of $He(2³S)$. For the triplet state the experimental values of Ref. $[20]$ and of Refs. $[18,19]$ and the bounds of Glover and Weinhold $[21]$ are compared with our calculated polarizability in Fig. 1.

The dynamic polarizability functions were constructed using the largest basis sets of each symmetry and used to evaluate the atom-atom dispersion constants and retardation coefficients. Our results for the dispersion constants are given in Table IV, with the estimated convergence errors given in parentheses, and the results are compared to other calculations in Tables V and VI. The retardation coefficients are given in Table VII and Fig. 2. The dispersion and retardation coefficients may be useful in studies of elastic and inelastic collisional processes of metastable helium atoms $[6,7,22]$, which at low temperatures are sensitive to the interatomic potential energy $[7]$.

Chen and Chung $\left[23\right]$ calculated the coefficients W_4 and W_6 for He(2¹S) and their results are compared with ours in Table VIII; their published value of W_6 was multiplied by the factor $\frac{3}{2}$ to correspond to the theory of Ref. [11] and the agreement is very good.

For the atom-wall interactions the values of the coefficients C_3 can be obtained from the alternate expression

$$
C_3 = \frac{1}{12} \left\langle 0 \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{r}_i \right)^2 \right| 0 \right\rangle, \tag{20}
$$

which follows from integration of Eq. (13) , where *N* is the number of electrons and $|0\rangle$ is accordingly the 2¹*S* or the 2 ³*S* wave function. Since high-precision matrix elements

TABLE VIII. The coefficients W_4 and W_6 appearing in the expansion of the atom-atom interaction potential at small distances; see Eq. (7).

| | Ref. | W_{A} | W_{ϵ} |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------|
| He($2^{1}S$)-He($2^{1}S$) | This work | $3.912\,7(5)$ 555.86(5) | |
| | He($2^{3}S$)-He($2^{3}S$) Chen and Chung [23] | 3.3006 | 314.18 ^a |
| | This work | $3.305\ 2(5)$ $314.44(5)$ | |

^aMultiplied by the factor $\frac{3}{2}$ to correspond to the theory of Ref. [11].

TABLE IX. For He(2¹S), values of $-R^3V_{\text{AlD}}(R,\epsilon)$, where $V_{\text{A}tD}(R,\epsilon)$ is the atom-wall potential, for values of ϵ corresponding to several types of dielectric, columns 2–4, and in column 5 values of $-R^3V_{AtM}(R)$ for a perfectly conducting wall. The coefficient $C_3(2^1S)$ is 2.67121.

| R | ϵ =2.123 | ϵ =2.295 | $\epsilon = \infty$ |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 10 | 0.95339 | 1.04221 | 2.65990 |
| 15 | 0.95029 | 1.03882 | 2.65455 |
| 20 | 0.94739 | 1.03564 | 2.64938 |
| 25 | 0.94463 | 1.03262 | 2.64439 |
| 30 | 0.94200 | 1.02975 | 2.63956 |
| 50 | 0.93244 | 1.01928 | 2.62159 |
| 70 | 0.92395 | 1.00999 | 2.60532 |
| 100 | 0.91253 | 0.99750 | 2.58320 |
| 150 | 0.89577 | 0.97916 | 2.55042 |
| 200 | 0.88087 | 0.96286 | 2.52098 |
| 250 | 0.86726 | 0.94797 | 2.49381 |
| 300 | 0.85463 | 0.93415 | 2.46833 |
| 500 | 0.81082 | 0.88624 | 2.37768 |
| 700 | 0.77415 | 0.84615 | 2.29898 |
| 1000 | 0.72765 | 0.79532 | 2.19547 |
| 1500 | 0.66478 | 0.72660 | 2.04896 |
| 2000 | 0.61386 | 0.67095 | 1.92470 |
| 2500 | 0.57109 | 0.62421 | 1.81635 |
| 3000 | 0.53433 | 0.58405 | 1.72023 |
| 5000 | 0.42573 | 0.46540 | 1.41942 |
| 7000 | 0.35344 | 0.38641 | 1.20473 |
| 10000 | 0.28060 | 0.30682 | 0.97660 |
| 15000 | 0.20730 | 0.22670 | 0.73525 |
| 20000 | 0.16342 | 0.17873 | 0.58540 |
| 25000 | 0.13443 | 0.14702 | 0.48434 |
| 30000 | 0.11395 | 0.12463 | 0.41205 |
| 50000 | 0.07032 | 0.07692 | 0.25591 |
| 70000 | 0.05067 | 0.05543 | 0.18478 |
| 100000 | 0.03565 | 0.03899 | 0.13013 |

TABLE X. For He(2³S), values of $-R^3V_{\text{AtD}}(R,\epsilon)$, where $V_{\text{A}tD}(R,\epsilon)$ is the atom-wall potential, for values of ϵ corresponding to several types of dielectric, columns 2–4, and in column 5 values of $-R^3V_{AtM}(R)$ for a perfectly conducting wall. The coefficient $C_3(2^3S)$ is 1.90092.

FIG. 3. In atomic units, potentials $R^3V(R)$ for He(2¹S) atomwall interactions. The labels *A* and *B* correspond, respectively, to dielectric constants ϵ of 2.123 and 2.295.

FIG. 4. In atomic units, potentials $R^3V(R)$ for He(2³S) atomwall interactions. The labels *A* and *B* correspond respectively, to dielectric constants ϵ of 2.123 and 2.295.

are available $[4,24]$ Eq. (20) was used to obtain the coefficients $C_3(2¹S) = 2.671 212 717 025$ and $C_3(2³S)$ $=$ 1.900 924 084 097.

The dynamic dipole polarizabilities were used to evaluate the potentials for various dielectric walls. Results for $He(2¹S)$ are given in Table IX and Fig. 3 and those for He(2 ³*S*) are given in Table X and Fig. 4. The dielectric materials represented in the tables correspond to fused silica $(\epsilon=2.123)$ and BK-7 glass ($\epsilon=2.295$). The tabulated potentials may be helpful in planning and analyzing experiments

- [1] G. W. F. Drake, in *Long Range Forces: Theory and Recent Experiments in Atomic Systems*, edited by F. S. Levin and D. Micha (Plenum Press, New York, 1992).
- [2] G. W. F. Drake and Z.-C. Yan, Phys. Rev. A 46, 2378 (1992).
- @3# G. W. F. Drake and Z.-C. Yan, Chem. Phys. Lett. **229**, 486 $(1994).$
- @4# G. W. F. Drake, in *Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* Handbook, edited by G. W. F. Drake (AIP, Woodbury, NY, 1996), p. 154.
- [5] Z.-C. Yan, J. F. Babb, A. Dalgarno, and G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 54, 2824 (1996).
- @6# P. S. Julienne and F. H. Mies, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **6**, 2257 $(1989).$
- [7] G. V. Shlyapnikov, J. T. M. Walraven, U. M. Rahmanov, and M. W. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3247 (1994).
- [8] A. Landragin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1464 (1996).
- @9# Z.-C. Yan, A. Dalgarno, and J. F. Babb, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 2882 $(1997).$
- [10] H. B. G. Casimir and D. Polder, Phys. Rev. **73**, 360 (1948).
- [11] E. A. Power and T. Thirunamachandran, Phys. Rev. A 53, 1567 (1996).
- $[12]$ E. A. Power and S. Zienau, J. Franklin Inst. 263 , 403 (1957) .
- @13# W. J. Meath and J. O. Hirschfelder, J. Chem. Phys. **44**, 3210 $(1966).$
- [14] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii, Adv. Phys. 10, 165 (1961).

with atom-evanescent wave mirrors; see, for example, Ref. $\lceil 8 \rceil$.

We thank Professor G. W. F. Drake and Dr. P. L. Bouyer for helpful communications. The Institute for Theoretical Atomic and Molecular Physics is supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation to the Smithsonian Institution and Harvard University. Z.C.Y. was also supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

- [15] Y. Tikochinsky and L. Spruch, Phys. Rev. A 48, 4223 (1993).
- $[16]$ F. Zhou and L. Spruch, Phys. Rev. A 52 , 297 (1995) .
- [17] Z.-C. Yan and G. W. F. Drake, Chem. Phys. Lett. **259**, 96 $(1996).$
- [18] C. R. Ekstrom *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **51**, 3883 (1995).
- [19] R. W. Molof, H. L. Schwartz, T. M. Miller, and B. Bederson, Phys. Rev. A **10**, 1131 (1974).
- [20] D. A. Crosby and J. C. Zorn, Phys. Rev. A **16**, 488 (1977).
- [21] R. M. Glover and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. **66**, 191 (1977).
- [22] M. W. Müller et al., Z. Phys. D 21, 89 (1991).
- [23] M.-K. Chen and K. T. Chung, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 1439 (1996).
- [24] G. W. F. Drake (private communication).
- $[25]$ K. T. Chung and R. P. Hurst, Phys. Rev. **152**, 35 (1966) .
- [26] G. W. F. Drake, Can. J. Phys. **50**, 1896 (1972).
- $[27]$ K. T. Chung, Phys. Rev. A 15 , 1347 (1977) .
- [28] R. M. Glover and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. **66**, 185 (1977).
- [29] G. Lamm and A. Szabo, J. Chem. Phys. **72**, 3354 (1980).
- [30] M. Rérat, M. Caffarel, and C. Pouchan, Phys. Rev. A 48, 161 $(1993).$
- $[31]$ M.-K. Chen, J. Phys. B **28**, 1349 (1995) .
- [32] D. M. Bishop and J. Pipin, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **47**, 129 $(1993).$
- [33] G. A. Victor, A. Dalgarno, and A. J. Taylor, J. Phys. B 1, 13 $(1968).$
- $[34]$ M.-K. Chen, J. Phys. B 28 , 4189 (1995) .