

BRIEF REPORTS

Brief Reports are accounts of completed research which do not warrant regular articles or the priority handling given to Rapid Communications; however, the same standards of scientific quality apply. (Addenda are included in Brief Reports.) A Brief Report may be no longer than four printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. The same publication schedule as for regular articles is followed, and page proofs are sent to authors.

Nuclear reaction rates in four-body muon molecules

Alexei M. Frolov and Vedene H. Smith, Jr.

Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

(Received 3 September 1996)

The basic properties of the ground states in the four-body muon molecules $pp\mu\mu$, $pd\mu\mu$, $pt\mu\mu$, $dd\mu\mu$, $dt\mu\mu$, and $tt\mu\mu$ are calculated. It is found that the nuclear reaction rates (R_f) in such four-body molecules are significantly larger than for the corresponding three-body ions with the same nuclei: $pp\mu$, $pd\mu$, $pt\mu$, $dd\mu$, $dt\mu$, and $tt\mu$. In particular, for the $dt\mu\mu$ system $R_f(dt) \approx 3 \times 10^{13} - 6 \times 10^{13} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, which is ≈ 40 times greater than the respective $R_{di}(dt\mu)$ value. [S1050-2947(97)00403-4]

PACS number(s): 36.10.Dr

In the present Brief Report we give the results of extensive variational calculations for the bound ground states in the four-body muon molecules $pp\mu\mu$, $pd\mu\mu$, $pt\mu\mu$, $dd\mu\mu$, $dt\mu\mu$, and $tt\mu\mu$. The boundedness of the ground $S(L=0)$ states in such systems was established long ago [1]. Actually, for the symmetrical systems such as $X^+X^+Y^-Y^-$ this follows from the stability of the ground states in the Ps_2 and H_2 molecules. Recently we have studied [2] the symmetrical systems $pp\mu\mu$, $dd\mu\mu$, and $tt\mu\mu$. Now, we extend our approach to the case of nonsymmetrical systems.

Our initial goal was to compute the so-called basic properties, i.e., energetic and geometric parameters for the four-body muonic molecules: $pp\mu\mu$, $pd\mu\mu$, $pt\mu\mu$, $dd\mu\mu$, $dt\mu\mu$, and $tt\mu\mu$. Below we shall designate these neutral systems as muon molecules, in contrast with the related three-body systems $pp\mu$, $pd\mu$, $pt\mu$, $dd\mu$, $dt\mu$, and $tt\mu$, where the description "muon-molecular ions" or even "ions" would be appropriate, since in each case one muon has been removed from the neutral (four-body) molecule. Already after the first few calculations we found a remarkable difference in properties between the three-body ions and the four-body molecules. Namely, the expectation values for the $(++)$ δ functions (i.e., $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$) in the four-body case are significantly larger (by 10–50 times) than those values for the three-body systems with the same nuclei. Further analysis showed that such a large difference produces a proportional deviation in the nuclear reaction rates for the appropriate three- and four-body systems. For instance, the nuclear dt -fusion reaction in the $dt\mu\mu$ molecule is ≈ 50 times faster than in the $dt\mu$ ion.

Let us compare the nuclear reaction rates (or fusion rates R_f , for short), e.g., for the $dt\mu\mu$ molecule and $dt\mu$ ion. The appropriate expressions are (see, e.g., [3–5])

$$R_f(dt\mu) = K_{s,3} \langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu) \rangle = \left(\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_f(v)v}{f(v)} \right) \langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu) \rangle \quad (1)$$

for the $dt\mu$ ion, and

$$R_f(dt\mu\mu) = K_{s,4} \langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu\mu) \rangle = \left(\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_f(v)v}{f(v)} \right) \times \langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu\mu) \rangle \quad (2)$$

for the $dt\mu\mu$ molecule. In these equations $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle \equiv \langle \delta_{dt} \rangle$, v is the relative velocity of the d and t nuclei, $\sigma_f(v)$ is the appropriate dt -fusion cross section, and the universal function $f(v)$ takes the form

$$f(v) = \frac{2\pi}{v} \left[\exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{v}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Now $K_{s,4} = K_{s,3}$, since the expressions for both of these values are identical, and they depend only on certain nuclear parameters for the d and t nuclei, which are the same for both $dt\mu\mu$ and $dt\mu$ systems. Therefore from the first two equations we find

$$\frac{R_f(dt\mu\mu)}{R_f(dt\mu)} = \frac{\langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu\mu) \rangle}{\langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu) \rangle}, \quad (4)$$

i.e., the ratio of fusion rates for the appropriate four- and three-body systems equals the ratio of their pair $(++)$ δ functions. Analogous formulas can be written for all of the other four- and three-body muonic systems mentioned above. This means that in order to compare the nuclear reaction rates we need to compute the respective $(++)$ δ function expectation values.

In general, the accurate values of $(++)$ δ -function expectation values for three- and four-body systems can be found only from the results of numerical calculations. *A priori* for the pair of systems $ab\mu\mu$ and $ab\mu$, we would expect that the positively charged particles a and b are closer together in $ab\mu\mu$ than in $ab\mu$, and hence the δ -function ex-

TABLE I. The total energies E , $\langle r_{++} \rangle$, and $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ expectation values (in muon atomic units) for a number of four-body ($ab\mu\mu$) and three-body ($ab\mu$) systems.

| $ab\mu\mu$ | E | $\langle r_{++} \rangle$ | $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ | $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ | $\langle r_{++} \rangle$ | E | $ab\mu$ |
|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| $pp\mu\mu$ | -0.9654742 | 2.34202 | 3.801×10^{-4} | 3.9372×10^{-5} | 3.299486184 | -0.494386820249 | $pp\mu$ |
| $pd\mu\mu$ | -0.9995455 | 2.17506 | 2.283×10^{-4} | 1.4617×10^{-5} | 3.100710404 | -0.512711796501 | $pd\mu$ |
| $pt\mu\mu$ | -1.0123990 | 2.11527 | 1.506×10^{-4} | 8.9750×10^{-6} | 3.036524321 | -0.519880089782 | $pt\mu$ |
| $dd\mu\mu$ | -1.0365953 | 2.00237 | 6.610×10^{-5} | 2.4395×10^{-6} | 2.834451766 | -0.531111135402 | $dd\mu$ |
| $dt\mu\mu$ | -1.0509269 | 1.93798 | 3.183×10^{-5} | 8.871×10^{-7} | 2.747914133 | -0.538594975058 | $dt\mu$ |
| $tt\mu\mu$ | -1.0661515 | 1.87102 | 1.015×10^{-5} | 2.187×10^{-7} | 2.652824760 | -0.546374225598 | $tt\mu$ |

pectation value for the $ab\mu\mu$ system should be larger than for $ab\mu$ [6]. For instance, in the $dt\mu\mu$ and $dt\mu$ systems $\langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu\mu) \rangle$ should be larger than $\langle \delta_{++}(dt\mu) \rangle$. This means that for fusion rates calculated by means of Eq. (4) we would expect that $R_f(dt\mu\mu) > R_f(dt\mu)$.

The correctness of this prediction can be tested only by extensive numerical calculations. To compute the bound states in the three-body systems we used the so-called exponential variational expansion (for more details see, e.g., [7]). For the four-body systems the so-called six-dimensional Gaussoid variational expansion (proposed in [8]) has been applied. This expansion has the form

$$\Psi_{L=0} = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i \exp(-\alpha_{12}^i r_{12}^2 - \alpha_{13}^i r_{13}^2 - \alpha_{23}^i r_{23}^2 - \alpha_{14}^i r_{14}^2 - \alpha_{24}^i r_{24}^2 - \alpha_{34}^i r_{34}^2), \quad (5)$$

where N is the total number of basis functions, C_i are the linear (variational) parameters, while the α_{kl}^i are the $6N$ nonlinear parameters.

The results of our calculations are given in Table I. In Table I the so-called muon-atomic units are used. In these units $m_\mu = 1$, $\hbar = 1$, and $|e| = 1$. To evaluate the corresponding binding energies in electron volts (eV) the conversion factor $m_\mu \times 27.2113961$ must be used. The lowest decay channel for these four-body systems is the dissociation into two neutral muonic atoms, e.g., $dt\mu\mu \rightarrow d\mu + t\mu$. For the three-body systems the lowest channel is dissociation into the heavier muonic atom and the lightest nuclear ion, e.g., $dt\mu \rightarrow t\mu + d^+$ (instead of $dt\mu \rightarrow d\mu + t^+$). In our present calculations we used the following values of the masses: $m_\mu = 206.768262m_e$, $m_p = 1836.152701m_e$, $m_d = 3670.483014m_e$, and $m_t = 5496.52158m_e$ [9].

In Table I the total energies, $\langle r_{++} \rangle$, and $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ expectation values are given for the ground bound states in the four-body muon molecules $pp\mu\mu$, $pd\mu\mu$, $pt\mu\mu$, $dd\mu\mu$, $dt\mu\mu$, and $tt\mu\mu$, and in the three-body muon ions

$pp\mu$, $pd\mu$, $pt\mu$, $dd\mu$, $dt\mu$, and $tt\mu$. It follows from Table I that the nuclear reaction rates in these four-body systems would be expected to be ≈ 10 – 50 times larger than those values for the corresponding three-body systems. The most interesting case is the $dt\mu\mu$ system, where the time for a nuclear dt reaction is ≈ 40 times shorter than in the $dt\mu$ ion. In terms of recent experimental results for $R_{dt}(dt\mu)$ [10] we can estimate the numerical value of $R_{dt}(dt\mu\mu)$ as $\approx 3 \times 10^{13}$ – 6×10^{13} sec^{-1} .

Such a large value of $R_{dt}(dt\mu\mu)$ and similar fusion rates for other four-body systems suggest that these four-body systems should be of interest for applications related with low-temperature plasma ignition. Indeed, an intense muon beam can be used together with laser or electron beams to form the thermonuclear burn wave in the initially low-temperature ($T_{\text{in}} \leq 50$ eV) DT target. This will require further investigation, however, at the present time it is clear only that the presence of the muon beams would make easier low-temperature ignition in a DT plasma. Actually, this opens a new avenue for initiating micro and supermicro DT explosions: the total mass of fuel can be as low as $\approx 4 \times 10^{-15}$ – 1×10^{-7} g, and the total energy release $\approx 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ – 6.5×10^4 J. Such small explosions cannot be produced in terms of the traditional technique (see, e.g., [11]).

It should be noted that the realization of nuclear fusion in the four-body, bi-muon systems differs significantly from the traditional muon-catalyzed fusion (see, e.g., [5] or [12]). In particular, such bi-muonic systems cannot be produced repeatedly inside of the small DT target. At the same time the helium-muonic sticking coefficient probably has a quite large value (as well as for the traditional muon-catalyzed fusion [13]). However, the nuclear fusion in the four-body, bi-muon systems has a great advantage, since the nuclear reaction proceeds significantly faster. This could be very important in practice.

We are grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.

- [1] T.K. Rebane, *Yad. Fiz.* **50**, 746 (1989) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **50**, 466 (1989)].
 [2] A.M. Frolov and V.H. Smith, Jr., *J. Phys.* **B 29**, L433 (1996).
 [3] Ya.B. Zel'dovich and A.D. Sakharov, *Zh. Èksp. Teor. Fiz.* **32**, 974 (1957) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **5**, 797 (1957)].
 [4] S. Cohen, D.L. Judd, and R.J. Riddell, Jr., *Phys. Rev.* **119**, 384 (1960).

- [5] L.I. Men'shikov and L.N. Somov, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **160**, 47 (1990) [*Sov. Phys. Usp.* **33**, 616 (1990)].
 [6] Within the series $ab\mu\mu$ or the series $(ab\mu)$, the heavier the nuclei a and b are, the closer together they are, i.e., $\langle r_{++} \rangle$ decreases. The expectation values $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ decrease as well. However, this is not contrary to intuition, since the particle masses are different, and $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle$ depends not only on $\langle r_{++} \rangle$,

but also (and very significantly, see reference below) on the values of the particle masses m_a and m_b . For the very heavy (infinite) particles, e.g., in the DT^+ ion the value $\langle r_{++} \rangle$ becomes minimal ≈ 2.00 a.u. and $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle \approx 1 \times 10^{-60}$ [the minimal value equals 0 (i.e., no fusion) for the ${}^\infty\text{H}_2^+$ ion]. For the DT molecule the value $\langle r_{++} \rangle$ also approaches its minimal value ≈ 1.40 a.u., while $\langle \delta_{++} \rangle \approx 1 \times 10^{-58}$ (the minimal value equals 0 for the ${}^\infty\text{H}_2$ molecule). For more details see, e.g., A.M. Frolov, *J. Phys. B* **26**, L845 (1993).

- [7] D.M. Bishop, A.M. Frolov, and V.H. Smith, Jr., *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 3636 (1995).
[8] N.N. Kolesnikov and V.I. Tarasov, *Yad. Fiz.* **35**, 609 (1982) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **35**, 354 (1982)].

- [9] E.R. Cohen and B.N. Taylor, *Phys. Today* **45** (8), 9 (1993).
[10] The $R_{dt}(dt\mu)$ value is known with large experimental errors (see references and discussion in [5]). The most probable value of $R_{dt}(dt\mu)$ lies inside the interval $1 \times 10^{12} - 1.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ sec}^{-1}$.
[11] G.S. Fraley, E.L. Linnebur, R.J. Mason, and R.L. Morse, *Phys. Fluids*. **17**, 474 (1974).
[12] A.K. Bhatia and R.J. Drachman, *Comments At. Mol. Phys.* **22**, 281 (1989).
[13] This is the main reason the traditional muon-catalyzed fusion will never be used in practice to produce energy. For more details and other reasons see [5]. In [5] another approach has been proposed which is significantly more likely. This is the muon-catalyzed fusion in DT plasma, where the effective helium-muonic sticking has a relatively small value.