## ERRATA

## Erratum: Exact Green's function for the step and square-barrier potentials [Phys. Rev. A 48, 2567 (1993)]

M. A. M. de Aguiar

PACS number(s): 03.65.Db, 03.65.Nk, 99.10.+g

We regret that in the above paper some equations have been misprinted. We list below the corrections to these equations:

(1) Equation (3.3), for  $k > k_0$  should read

$$G_{--}^{\pm}(x,x',E) = \pm \frac{m}{ik\hbar^2} \left[ e^{\pm ik|x-x'|} + r(k)e^{\mp ik(x+x')} \right].$$

(2) Equation (4.5). The conditions for  $k > k_0$  and  $k < k_0$  should be interchanged.

(3) Equation (4.6) should read

$$G_{00}^{\pm}(x,x',E) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{k\hbar^{2}} \frac{e^{\pm ika}}{\sin 2\mu a} \{ [\alpha_{\pm}(\pm k)e^{\mp i\mu a} - \alpha_{\mp}(\pm k)e^{\pm i\mu a}] \cos\mu(x+x') \\ - [\alpha_{\pm}(\pm k)e^{\pm i\mu a} - \alpha_{\mp}(\pm k)e^{\mp i\mu a}] \cos\mu(x-x') \}, & \text{if } k > k_{0} \end{cases} \\ \frac{m}{k\hbar^{2}} \frac{e^{\pm ika}}{\sinh 2\nu a} \{ [\beta_{-}(\pm k)e^{\nu a} - \beta_{+}(\pm k)e^{-\nu a}] \cosh\nu(x+x') \\ - [\beta_{-}(\pm k)e^{-\nu a} - \beta_{+}(\pm k)e^{\nu a}] \cosh\nu(x-x') \}, & \text{if } k < k_{0}. \end{cases}$$

(4) The continuity conditions for the Green's function of the square-barrier potential (p. 2571) should read

 $G_{--}(-a,x',E) = G_{-0}(-a,x',E) ,$  $G_{-0}(x,-a,E) = G_{00}(x,-a,E) .$ 

The author is indebted to Dr. L. Dekar for noticing the misprints.

1050-2947/95/51(3)/2654(1)/\$06.00

©1995 The American Physical Society

## Erratum: Equations satisfied by the kinetic-energy functional and its derivatives [Phys. Rev. A 50, 3527 (1994)]

Daniel P. Joubert

PACS number(s): 31.15.Ew, 71.10.+x, 99.10.+g

Equation (13) should read

$$(\boldsymbol{
abla}_1+\cdots+\boldsymbol{
abla}_m)T^m(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2\ldots,\mathbf{r}_m,[n])=-\int d\mathbf{r}\,n(\mathbf{r})\boldsymbol{
abla}T^{m+1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_m,[n]).$$

As a consequence, Eq. (14),

$$T[n] = -\frac{1}{2}(-1)^m \frac{1}{m!} \int \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \{ d\mathbf{r}_i n(\mathbf{r}_i) \} \mathbf{r}_{m+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_1 T^{m+1}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{m+1}, [n]),$$

is valid for m = 0,1 only.

1050-2947/95/51(3)/2654(1)/\$06.00

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$  1995 The American Physical Society