# $3 \,{}^{1}\Pi_{\mu}$ and $3 \,{}^{1}\Sigma_{\mu}^{+}$ states of K<sub>2</sub> studied by a polarization-labeling spectroscopy technique

W. Jastrzębski<sup>1</sup> and P. Kowalczyk<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland and <sup>2</sup>Institute of Experimental Physics, Warsaw University, ulica Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland (Received 15 June 1994)

The  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  band systems of  $K_{2}$  are studied by the polarization-labeling spectroscopy method. An observation of isotope shifts of rotationally resolved lines in both systems reveals that the previous vibrational numbering in the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states is incorrect by 1 and 3 vibrational quanta, respectively. An analysis is carried out for both states. We present the resulting molecular parameters that describe the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state to 55% and the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state to 71% of their potential well depths.

PACS number(s): 33.20.Kf, 33.15.Fm, 33.15.Mt

## I. INTRODUCTION

The diatomic alkali-metal molecules, with their simple electronic configuration and with the main absorption bands conveniently positioned in the visible region, are relatively easy to handle both theoretically and experimentally. Hence they have long been employed for testing quantum chemistry calculations. Modern theoretical methods have the potential to predict potential curves and spectroscopic constants with high accuracy [1]. On the experimental side a huge body of data has been gathered on Li<sub>2</sub> [2] and Na<sub>2</sub> [3] molecules. Less is known about the potassium dimer [4]. In particular, the excited ungerade states lying more than 23 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> above the bottom of the ground  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  state potential have escaped detection until recently.

In our previous work, using the polarization-labeling spectroscopy technique we have been able to observe and analyze the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states of K<sub>2</sub> in the 23 800-25 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> region [5]. Molecular constants were derived for the investigated states. However, the vibrational numbering in both states was ambiguous. The unfavorable Franck-Condon factors for the transitions from the ground  $X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  state prevented direct observation of the lowest vibrational levels in  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ . Thus the vibrational numbering was based solely on comparison of the observed line intensities with patterns of Franck-Condon intensities calculated for various assumed numberings. The results were uncertain at least by one vibrational quantum.

The present paper contains some substantial refinements of the previous study on the  $3 \, {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 \, {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states of  $K_{2}$ .

(i) The isotope shift between lines of the  ${}^{39}K_2$  and  ${}^{39}K^{41}K$  isotopomers is employed to establish the correct vibrational numbering in both states. The numbering in the 3  ${}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state is changed by one unit and in the 3  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state by three units. This influences the values of molecular constants of the states under consideration.

(ii) In addition to the He-Ne laser, the  $Kr^+$  laser is also used for polarization labeling. As a result we increased the number of vibrational progressions investigated and extended the range of rotational quantum numbers J of levels used in the fits of molecular constants.

(iii) Improved sensitivity of the detection system allowed us to observe vibrational levels up to v'=53 for the  $3 \,{}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state and v'=36 for the  $3 \,{}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state. Thus we have spectroscopically determined potentials of both states up to more than half of the well depths.

(iv) In the present experiment tuning of the dye laser is controlled with a Fabry-Pérot interferometer. Higher precision in measurement of line positions has improved determination of molecular parameters.

In the following sections we present details of the experimental method and the results of the measurements.

## **II. EXPERIMENTAL**

The basic principle of the V-type optical doubleresonance polarization spectroscopy and its experimental realization are schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The two laser beams pass collinearly through a molecular sample, which is placed between crossed polarizers. Contrary to the classical scheme [6,7], in our experiment the probe laser is of fixed frequency whereas the pump laser is tunable. The frequency of the probe laser accidentially coincides with a set of known transitions  $B^{1}\Pi_{u}(v'_{2},J'_{2})$  $\leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{+}(v_{1}^{\prime\prime},J_{1}^{\prime\prime})$ , thus labeling the involved rovibrational levels  $(v_1'', J_1'')$  in the ground state of the potassium dimer. The circularly or linearly polarized pump laser light is tuned across the investigated band systems  $3^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  and  $3^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ . It orients or aligns the absorbing molecules in the lower (and upper) levels by optical pumping. A linearly polarized weak probe beam is changed in its polarization characteristics whenever the frequency of the pump laser is tuned to a transition originating from the labeled lower level  $(v_1'', J_1'')$ . The photomultiplier placed behind the crossed analyzer therefore receives a signal at any time the pump laser frequency coincides with a transition

$$3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u} / 3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} (v'_{3}, J'_{3}) \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+} (v''_{1}, J''_{1})$$

In the experimental realization of the described method, the pump laser was a pulsed dye laser and XeCl

1046

1047



FIG. 1. Excitation scheme and block diagram of the experimental setup: A, analyzer; FP, Fabry-Pérot interferometer; HC, hollowcathode lamp;  $\lambda/4$ , quarter-wave plate; MC, monochromator; P, polarizer, PD; photodiode; and PMT, photomultiplier tube. The boxcar integrators are model SR250.

excimer laser system (Lumonics HD500 and EX500). The dye laser was operated in the spectral range 380-429 nm with POPOP, PBBO, and BBQ as laser dyes, providing an output of approximately 2 mJ per pulse and a linewidth less than  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Laser frequency was determined absolutely from the optogalvanic spectrum of argon [8] and additionally calibrated by sending part of the laser beam through a 0.5-cm-long Fabry-Pérot interferometer with a free spectral range of 30 GHz. The accuracy in absolute determination of wave numbers over the whole investigated range was better than  $0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . For generation of probe light we used two different cw lasers: He-Ne ( $\lambda = 632.8$  nm) or Kr<sup>+</sup> ( $\lambda = 647.1$  nm) with a typical power of 30-50 mW. Table I presents a summary of all the identified labeling transitions induced by the probe lasers, which give rise to the polarization spectra.

The potassium vapor was generated by heating metallic potassium in a heat-pipe oven equipped with fused silica windows of particularly low birefringence. The residual birefringence was additionally compensated by squeezing the windows with adjustable screws. The oven was operated at 590 K with 4 Torr of helium as a buffer gas. Under these conditions the pressures of K and K<sub>2</sub> were  $6 \times 10^{-1}$  Torr and  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr, respectively [9]. Thus

TABLE I. Observed transitions in the  $B^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  band system of  $K_{2}$  excited by the fixed-frequency probe lasers. The asterisks indicate excitation of both  ${}^{39}K_{2}$  and  ${}^{39}K^{41}K$ ; otherwise only  ${}^{39}K_{2}$  was excited.

Laser line	Labeled transition $B^{1}\Pi_{u}(v',J')-X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}(v'',J'')$
He-Ne, 632.8 nm	(6,18)-(0.19)
	(7,82)-(0,83)
	(8,73)-(1,73)
	(9,55)-(2,55)
	(12,70)-(4,70)
	(13,46)-(5,47)
Kr <sup>+</sup> , 647.1 nm	(2,82)-(0,83)*
	(2,95)-(0,94)*

the oven did not operate as a true heat pipe but instead contained a mixture of potassium and helium in the central region.

The pump and probe laser beams crossed in the potassium vapor zone at an angle of 10 mrad giving an interaction length of ca. 20 cm. After passing through the analyzer the probe beam was directed to a 0.3-m monochromator centered at the probe laser wavelength and onto the photomultiplier tube with S-20 photocathode. The photoelectric current was averaged with a boxcar integrator (Stanford Research Systems, SR250) and fed to a microcomputer interface (SR245) together with the optogalvanic spectrum of argon and the Fabry-Pérot frequency marks. Apart from data acquisition, the computer was used to trigger the excimer laser and to control tuning of the dye laser.

### **III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

In the present experiment, a total of 636 transitions were assigned to the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  system, spanning the range  $0 \le v' \le 53$ , and 308 transitions to the  $3^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  $\leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  system ( $1 \le v' \le 36$ ). A typical segment of the polarization spectrum is shown in Fig. 2. From the general principles of polarization spectroscopy [6,7] it can be found that when the pump is circularly polarized, only transitions originating from the levels labeled via P or Rlines show up in the polarization spectra, which consist of series of P, R doublets. The linearly polarized pump beam leads to a richer spectrum. It is dominated by transitions starting from the levels labeled via Q lines, but those labeled via P or R lines also appear weakly. Moreover, the polarization spectra consist of series of P, Q, Rtriplets (with Q lines most intense) in the case of  ${}^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  bands, but only series of P, R doublets are present for  ${}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  bands. Thus the symmetry of the upper state can be easily determined from the structure of the polarization spectrum. The detailed selection rules relevant to our version of the polarization-labeling spectroscopy method will be discussed elsewhere [10].

The measured line positions in both band systems were fitted to differences of term values v=T(v',J')



FIG. 2. Segment of the polarization spectrum of  $K_2$  obtained with a  $Kr^+$  laser as the probe laser and a circularly polarized pump beam. The assigned vibrational progressions correspond to the transitions  $3\,{}^1\Pi_u \leftarrow X\,{}^1\Sigma_g^+$  [(a),(b)] and  $3\,{}^1\Sigma_u^+ \leftarrow X\,{}^1\Sigma_g^+$  [(c),(d)] from the ground-state levels labeled by the probe laser: v''=0, J''=83 [(a) and (c)] and v''=0, J''=94 [(b) and (d)].

-T(v'',J'') of upper and lower levels, which are expressed by the Dunham expansion [11]

$$T(v,J) = T_e + \sum_{i,k} (Y_{ik} + \delta y_{ik})(v+0.5)^i [J(J+1) - \Lambda^2]^k .$$

The  $\delta y_{ik}$  constants describe the  $\Lambda$  doubling in the electronic II state:  $\delta = 0$  or 1 for f or e levels, respectively. Since the Dunham coefficients  $Y_{ik}$  of the  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  ground state are known with high precision [12], they were treated as fixed constants in the subsequent fits. Apart from the spectral lines observed in the present experiment, 52 lines from the bandhead of the (20,0) transition studied under high resolution in the molecular-beam experiment [13,14] have been incorporated in the analysis. Preliminary fits showed that some local perturbations of the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states had to be taken into account. In the final least-squares fits we omitted all lines for which the difference between the measured and the calculated positions exceeded three times the accuracy of the measurements (i.e.,  $3 \times 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Thus 6% of the measured lines for the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state and 8% for the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state were removed. In this manner we suppressed most of the perturbed lines and obtained meaningful sets of Dunham coefficients for both states under consideration. The range of vibrational and rotational levels covered in the final fits is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b).

It is tempting to speculate about the nature of the perturbing states, even if experimental information on them is very limited. Our observations suggested local perturbations of both  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states: removal of a few



FIG. 3. Distribution of the data used to fit the Dunham coefficients in the field of vibrational and rotational quantum numbers of the  $3^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state (a) and the  $3^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state (b) in K<sub>2</sub>.

perturbed rotational lines from the spectra sufficed to restore the regular pattern in them. This is consistent with a weak perturbation of the observed states by some states from the triplet manifold. However, the precise assignment of the perturbers requires an additional study specifically oriented to this problem.

The long vibrational progressions observed in our experiment indicate a big difference between the potential curves of the ground state and both excited states. Indeed, the equilibrium distances as well as vibrational constants of the  $3^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states differ by more than 20% from the corresponding characteristics of the ground state. Under such circumstances transitions to the low levels of the investigated excited states may be strong only when they originate from high v'' levels of the X state. Unfortunately, such levels were not labeled in our experiment. Furthermore, the transitions in question are overlapped by lines of the  $C^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  and  $2^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  band systems [14,15]. Hence the vibrational numbering in the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states could not be obtained simply by assuming that the longestwavelength lines in the observed progressions correspond to v'=0. In the previous report [5] we attempted to determine the vibrational numbering from a comparison of intensity distributions with estimated Franck-Condon factors. The results were evidently uncertain. In the present work, in addition to the rich spectrum of  ${}^{39}K_2$ , we succeeded in observing 97 lines assigned to the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  systems in the  ${}^{39}K^{41}K$  isotopomer (see Fig. 4). The observed isotope shifts of



FIG. 4. Details of the polarization spectrum observed at the same conditions as for Fig. 2. The probe laser labels the v''=0, J''=83 level in both  ${}^{39}K_2$  and  ${}^{39}K^{41}K$ . Several lines assigned to transitions originating from this level in the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u} \leftarrow X {}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  system are shown. P or R denotes the P(83) or R(83) line in the  ${}^{39}K_{2}$  molecule; *i* is the corresponding line in the  ${}^{39}K^{41}K$  isotopomer.

the lines provided unambiguous vibrational numbering in both  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states: the assignment given in Ref. [5] proved to be in error by 1 and 3 vibrational quanta, respectively.

Tables II and III present final sets of Dunham coefficients for the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states together with their standard errors. The large number of figures (more than are statistically significant) is required for the reproduction of line frequencies to within the error of measurements. According to the discussion in our previous paper [5], the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states are correlated with separated atoms  $K(4 {}^{2}S) + K(5 {}^{2}P)$  and  $K(4 {}^{2}S)$  $+K(3^{2}D)$ , respectively. The dissociation energy of the  $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  ground state, corresponding to the atomic configuration  $K(4^{2}S) + K(4^{2}S)$ , was reported as 4451  $cm^{-1}$  [16]. Making use of the atomic energy-level spac- $\delta E(5^2 P - 4^2 S) = 24711$  $cm^{-1}$ and  $\delta E(3^2D)$ ings  $4^{2}S = 21536 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [17], the dissociation energies of both excited states can be obtained from the relation

$$\mathcal{D}_e(3\,{}^{1}\Pi_u,3\,{}^{1}\Sigma_u^+) = \mathcal{D}_e(X\,{}^{1}\Sigma_e^+) + \delta E - T_e(3\,{}^{1}\Pi_u,3\,{}^{1}\Sigma_u^+) \;.$$

This yields  $\mathcal{D}_e(3\,^1\Pi_u) = 5175 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_e(3\,^1\Sigma_u^+) = 2314 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Consequently, the Dunham coefficients listed in Tables II and III describe the  $3\,^1\Pi_u$  state to about 55% and the  $3\,^1\Sigma_u^+$  state to 71% of their potential well depths. For the  $3\,^1\Pi_u$  state a small value of  $Y_{00}$  [11], equal to  $-0.02 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , proves the consistency of the constants obtained. (Note that in Tables II and III  $Y_{00}$  is incorporated into  $T_e$ .) Also the experimental  $Y_{02}$  and  $Y_{11}$  coefficients compare favorably with those calculated using the Kratzer and Pekeris relations [11]  $(Y_{02} = -0.667 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-1}, Y_{11} = -0.314 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , indicating that the  $3\,^1\Pi_u$  state is well behaved and should be well approximated by a Morse potential curve. The  $3\,^1\Sigma_u^+$  state is less regular. In trying to fit its term values,

TABLE II. Molecular constants for the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state of  ${}^{39}K_{2}$  (in cm<sup>-1</sup>, except for  $R_{e}$ ). The number in brackets that follows a quantity is the exponent of 10 that multiplies the quantity. The quoted error  $\sigma$  of a constant is one standard deviation. rms stands for the root-mean-square deviation of the fit.

Constant	This work	Theoretical	
	Value	σ (%)	Ref. [19]
T <sub>e</sub>	23 987.1406	0.0002	23 500
<b>Y</b> <sub>10</sub>	0.625 564[2]	0.018	
Y <sub>20</sub>	-0.367 428[0]	0.294	
Y <sub>30</sub>	0.694778[-2]	0.731	
Y <sub>40</sub>	-0.820809[-4]	1.337	
Y 50	0.364 593[-6]	2.352	
Y <sub>01</sub>	0.402625[-1]	0.024	
Y <sub>11</sub>	-0.260580[-3]	0.522	
Y <sub>21</sub>	0.369527[-5]	1.880	
Y <sub>31</sub>	-0.318 404[-7]	3.247	
Y <sub>02</sub>	-0.633647[-7]	0.776	
y <sub>01</sub>	-0.849838[-4]	1.431	
$\mathcal{D}_{e}$	5175		5659
$R_e$ (Å)	4.636	0.022	4.46
No. of	646		
lines fitted			
rms	0.078		

 $r_{\rm max}$  (Å)

4.8775

5.0094

5.1063

5.1895

5.2650

5.3354

5.4022

5.4663

5.5283

5.5887 5.6477

5.7057

5.7630

5.8196

5.8760

5.9323

5.9887

6.0456

6.1031

6.1617

6.2217

6.2834

6.3473

6.4138

6.4836

6.5571 6.6352

6.7187

6.8085 6.9060

7.0125

7.1299

7.2605

4.7098

TABLE III. Molecular constants for the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state of  ${}^{39}K_{2}$  (presented as in Table II).

Constant	This work		Theoretical	
	value	σ (%)	Ref. [18]	Ref. [19]
T <sub>e</sub>	23 672.8272	0.001	23 283	23 120
Y <sub>10</sub>	0.658 830[2]	0.077	55.06	
Y <sub>20</sub>	-0.618 231[0]	0.976		
Y <sub>30</sub>	0.181 236[-1]	2.294		
Y <sub>40</sub>	-0.498417[-3]	3.012		
Y 50	0.248298[-5]	8.443		
<b>Y</b> <sub>01</sub>	0.390 092[-1]	0.182		
<b>Y</b> <sub>11</sub>	-0.981 818[-4]	16.838		
<b>Y</b> <sub>21</sub>	-0.172259[-4]	8.912		
Y <sub>31</sub>	0.946 015[-6]	6.650		
Y <sub>41</sub>	-0.217907[-7]	4.279		
Y <sub>02</sub>	-0.914088[-7]	5.247		
Y <sub>12</sub>	0.631151[-8]	9.744		
Y <sub>22</sub>	-0.322913[-9]	5.510		
$\mathcal{D}_{e}^{\overline{c}}$	2314		2697	2870
$R_{e}^{(A)}$	7.410	0.085	5.024	4.56
No. of	282			
lines fitted				
rms	0.093			

the number of constants required for describing the measurements had to be larger than that for the  $3^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state. The calculated value of  $Y_{00}$  for this state is equal to  $-0.13 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and differences of the fitted  $Y_{02}$  and  $Y_{11}$ constants from the Kratzer and Perkeris values reach up to 75%. At this stage we are not able to distinguish whether this reflects the fact that we fitted levels ranging from the lowest one up to only 670 cm<sup>-1</sup> below the dissociation limit or that the potential curve of the  $3^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state is distorted by interaction with some other electronic state.

Once the coefficients in the Dunham expansion have been determined, we applied the Rydberg-Klein-Rees (RKR) method to obtain the rotationless potential curves for the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states. The RKR curves, together with the vibrational term values G(v), are given in Tables IV and V. It is interesting to compare the experimentally obtained parameters of the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$ state potential curves with those calculated theoretically. The medium-excited states of K<sub>2</sub> have been the subject of various pseudopotential calculations [18,19] (see Tables II

TABLE V. Rotationless RKR potential for the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state of  $K_{2}$ .

 $r_{\min}$  (Å)

4.5520

4.4416

4.3682

4.3104

4.2619

4.2199

4.1827

4.1491

4.1186

4.0906

4.0646

4.0403

4.0175

3.9960

3.9756

3.9562

3.9377

3.9199

3.9029

3.8865

3.8709

3.8559

3.8415

3.8279

3.8151

3.8032

3.7921

3.7821

3.7733

3.7659

3.7599

3.7557

3.7534

 $V (cm^{-1})$ 

0.0

32.796

97.499

161.114

223.727 28**5**.412

346.233

406.241

465.480

523.982

581.770

638.856

695.244

750.931

805.902

860.136

913.604

966.268

1018.084

1069.001

1118.959

1167.896

1215.738

1262.411

1307.831

1351.911

1394.558

1435.676

1475.163

1512.913

1548.817

1582.762

1614.632

1644.308

v

υ	$V (cm^{-1})$	$r_{\min}$ (Å)	$r_{\rm max}$ (Å)
	0.0	4.6	359
0	31.193	4.4772	4.8107
1	93.037	4.3697	4.9500
2	154.206	4.2993	5.0519
	214.738	4.2442	5.1385
	274.669	4.1979	5.2161
	392.864	4.1213	5.3547
	509.043	4.0584	5.4789
	623.431	4.0043	5.5934
	736.227	3.9565	5.7008
	847.608	3.9135	5.8024
	957.726	3.8743	5.8994
	1066.713	3.8383	5.9924
	1174.679	3.8048	6.0820
	1281.719	3.7735	6.1686
	1387.908	3.7441	6.2526
	1493.305	3.7164	6.3342
	1597.957	3.6901	6.4138
	1701.895	3.6652	6.4916
	1805.142	3.6413	6.5678
	1907.707	3.6186	6.6426
	2009.593	3.5967	6.7163
	2110.795	3.5757	6.7891
	2211.302	3.5556	6.8610
	2311.097	3.5362	6.9323
	2410.163	3.5175	7.0031
	2508.477	3.4995	7.0737
	2606.019	3.4822	7.1440
	2702.770	3.4656	7.2142
	2798.710	3.4497	7.2845
	2846.372	3.4420	7.3197

TABLE IV. Rotationless RKR potential for the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state of K<sub>2</sub>. The first line refers to the bottom of the potential curve: *r* is the equilibrium distance.

and III). Jeung and Ross [18] give molecular constants only for the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state: their potential curve is too deep by about 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> and their equilibrium position some 0.3 Å too large. Duda [19] has calculated potential curves of both  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  and  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  states. He also overestimates the well depths (by about 475 and 490 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and his equilibrium distances are consistently too low. However, the relative positions of both curves are represented quite well: the bottom of the potential well of the  $3 {}^{1}\Sigma_{u}^{+}$  state is lower by 380 cm<sup>-1</sup> than that of the  $3 {}^{1}\Pi_{u}$  state (vs the experimental value 314 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the equilibrium distance shifted by 0.10 Å towards larger R (experimentally 0.074 Å). This shows that pseudopotential calculations are capable of predicting the properties of medium-excited electronic states of small molecules with acceptable accuracy, but experimental data are still superior in this field.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (Grant KBN No. 2 2332 92 03).

- S. Magnier, Ph. Millié, O. Dulieu, and F. Masnou-Seeuws, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 7113 (1993), and references therein.
- [2] A. R. Rajaei-Rizi, F. B. Orth, J. T. Bahns, and W. C. Stwalley, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 109, 123 (1985); C. Linton, R. Bacis, P. Crozet, F. Martin, A. J. Ross, and J. Vergès, J. Phys. IV (France) Colloq. 52, C7-505 (1991); W. H. Jeng, X. Xie, L. P. Gold, and R. A. Bernheim, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 928 (1991), and references therein.
- [3] T. J. Whang, W. C. Stwalley, L. Li, and A. M. Lyyra, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 155, 184 (1992), and references therein.
- [4] H. Wang, W. C. Stwalley, and A. M. Lyyra, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 7965 (1992), and references therein.
- [5] W. Jastrzębski and P. Kowalczyk, Chem. Phys. Lett. 206, 69 (1993).
- [6] N. W. Carlson, A. J. Taylor, K. M. Jones, and A. L. Schawlow, Phys. Rev. A 24, 822 (1981).
- [7] Z. G. Wang and H. R. Xia, Molecular and Laser Spectroscopy (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [8] A. R. Striganov and G. A. Odincova, Tablicy Spektralnych Linii Atomov i Ionov (Energoizdat, Moscow, 1982).

- [9] A. N. Nesmeyanov, Vapour Pressure of the Elements (Elsevier, London, 1963).
- [10] W. Jastrzębski, P. Kowalczyk, and R. Ferber (unpublished).
- [11] G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. Vol. I. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules (Van Nostrand-Reinhold, Princeton, NJ, 1950).
- [12] A. J. Ross, P. Crozet, J.d'Incan, and C. Effantin, J. Phys. B 19, L145 (1986).
- [13] P. Kowalczyk, A. Katern, and F. Engelke (unpublished results).
- [14] A. Katern, Ph.D. thesis, Bielefeld Universität, 1988.
- [15] P. Kowalczyk, A. Katern, and F. Engelke, Z. Phys. D 17, 47 (1990).
- [16] C. Amiot, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 147, 370 (1991).
- [17] A. A. Radzig and P. M. Smirnov, Reference Data on Atoms, Molecules and Ions (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [18] G. H. Jeung and A. J. Ross, J. Phys. B 21, 1473 (1988).
- [19] C. A. Duda, M.Sc. thesis, State University of New York at Binghamton, 1986.