ERRATA

Erratum: Relation between the electron scattering length and the van der Waals approximation to the equation of state [Phys. Rev. A 49, 348 (1994)]

G. Reisfeld and U. Asaf

PACS number(s): 34.80.Bm, 33.20.Ni, 42.25.Ja, 99.10.+g

We found an algebraic error in Eq. (3). It should read

 $\delta_0 \cong -kR - \frac{2m_e k}{\hbar^2} \int_R^\infty \frac{-\alpha e^2 \sin^2[k(r-R)]}{2r^4 k^2} dr$ $= -kR + \frac{m_e \alpha e^2 k^2}{\hbar^2} \int_{kR}^\infty \frac{\sin^2(x-kR)}{x^4} dx .$

After making the appropriate corrections in Eqs. (4)-(6), Eq. (6) becomes

$$A = R - \frac{\alpha}{3R}$$

It follows that the slope of the scattering length vs polarizability (Fig. 1), as calculated, is smaller by a factor of about 3 than that obtained in experiment.

We are indebted to Dr. R. Szmytkowski for commenting on the paper; this led to the discovery of our error.

1050-2947/94/50(5)/4428(1)/\$06.00

© 1994 The American Physical Society

Erratum: Quantum theory of continuous feedback [Phys. Rev. A 49, 2133 (1994)]

H. M. Wiseman

PACS number(s): 42.50.Lc, 02.50.Fz, 03.65.Bz, 42.50.Dv, 99.10.+g

Equation (2.55) should read

 $x(t+dt) = \exp[\gamma(x')dW(t-\tau)\partial_{x'}]x',$

(1)

where x' = x(t) + b(x(t))dW(t). Consequently, $\gamma(x)b'(x)$ should be replaced by $\gamma'(x)b(x)$ in Eq. (2.56). In addition, a previous Erratum concerning Eq. (2.58) has appeared [1].

[1] H. M. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. A 49, 5159 (1994).