ERRATA

Erratum: Absolute optical oscillator strengths for the electronic excitation of atoms at high resolution. III. The photoabsorption of argon, krypton, and xenon [Phys. Rev. A 46, 149 (1992)]

W. F. Chan, G. Cooper, X. Guo, G. R. Burton, and C. E. Brion

PACS number(s): 32.70.Cs, 32.30.Dx, 32.80.Fb, 99.10.+g

Some values in Tables V, VII, and IX were incorrectly positioned. The tables are reproduced below in their entireties.

TABLE V. Theoretical and experimental determinations of the absolute optical oscillator strengths for discrete transitions of argon in the energy regions (a) 13.80-14.85 eV and (b) 14.85-15.30 eV. Estimated uncertainties in the experimental measurements are shown in parentheses.

	(a) $13.80-14.85 \text{ eV}$ Oscillator strength from $3s^23p^6 \rightarrow 3s^23p^5m$ where m is									
	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})3d^{a}$ (13.864 eV) ^b	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5s$	$(^2P_{3/2})3\underline{d}$	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})5s'$	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})3d'$	<i>m</i> 18	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})4d$ (14.711 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})6s$ (14.848 eV)		
······································		The	eory							
Lee [42]	0.0016	0.045	0.045	0.039	0.128		0.0026	0.023		
Lee and Lu [41]	0.0011	0.034	0.053	0.025	0.11		0.0031	0.014		
		Expe	riment							
Present work	0.0013	0.0264	0.0914	0.0126	0.106		0.0019	0.0144		
[HR dipole (e,e)]	(0.0001)	(0.0026)	(0.0091)	(0.0013)	(0.011)		(0.0002)	(0.0014)		
Westerveld, Mulder, and Van Eck [53]	0.000 89	0.025	0.079	0.0106	0.086					
(Absolute self-absorption)	(0.00007)	(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.0008)	(0.007)					
Geiger [83]	< 0.0025	0.032	0.108	0.0108	0.097					
(Electron impact)										
Natali, Kuyatt, and Mielczarek [87]	0.0010	0.028	0.092	0.0124	0.110		0.004	0.0094		
(Electron impact) Wiese, Smith, and Miles [97] ^c (Lifetime data		0.0268	0.093	0.0119	0.0106					
from Ref. [64])										
Lawrence [64]		0.028	0.093	0.013	0.107					
(Lifetime delayed coincidence)		(0.002)	(0.006)	(0.003)	(0.015)					
			(h) 14	.85-15.30 eV	7					
	Oscillator strength from $3s^23p^6 \rightarrow 3s^23p^5m$ where m is						Total			
	$\frac{(^2P_{3/2})4\underline{d}^{a}}{(14.859 \text{ eV})^{b}}$	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})4d'$	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})6s'$	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5d$	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})7s$ (15.186 eV)		$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5\underline{d}$ (15.190 eV)	to ionization		
		Th	eory	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·····			
Lee [42]	0.036		-					0.82		
Lee and Lu [41]	0.039	0.032	0.013							
	Experiment									
Present work [HR dipole (e,e)]	0.0484	0.0209	0.0221	0.0041		0.0426		0.859		
	(0.0048)	(0.0021)	(0.0022)	(0.0004)		0.0063		(0.043)		
Natali, Kuyatt, and Mielczarek [87] (Electron impact)	0.048	0.015	0.0224	0.0032	0.0139		0.0234	0.827		

^and and nd refer to the nd[1/2] and nd[3/2] states, respectively, which converge to the same ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ limit.

^bThe transition energies were obtained from Ref. [95].

^cValues obtained by reanalyzing the lifetime data of Lawrence [64].

ERRATA

TABLE VII. Theoretical and experimental determinations of the absolute optical oscillator strengths for discrete transitions of krypton in the energy regions (a) 11.90–13.05 and (b) 13.05–13.50 eV. Estimated uncertainties in the experimental measurements are shown in parentheses.

	(a) 11.90-13.05 eV Oscillator strength from $4s^24p^6 \rightarrow 4s^24p^5m$ where m is							
	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})4d^{a}$ (12.037 eV) ^b	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})4\underline{d}$ (12.355 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})6s$ (12.385 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5d$ (12.870 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})4d'$ (13.005 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})6s'$ (13.037 eV)		
		The	eory					
Greiger [82]	0.0144	0.0973	0.108	0.0114	0.0438	0.0065		
		Exper	iment					
Present work	0.0053	0.0824	0.154	0.0140	0.0435	0.0105		
[HR dipole (e,e)]	(0.0003)	(0.0082)	(0.015)	(0.0014)	(0.0044)	(0.0011)		
Geiger [82]	0.0055	0.0649	0.142	0.014	0.0439	0.015		
(Electron impact)								
Natali, Kuyatt,	0.0044	0.0817	0.152	0.0138	0.0420	0.0056		
Mielczarek and Mielczarek [87] (Electron impact)								
(mpart)	(b) 13.05–13.50 eV							
	Osc	illator strength f	from $4s^2 4p^6 \rightarrow$	$4s^24p^5m$ where	m is	Total		
	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5\underline{d}^{a}$ (13.099 eV) ^b	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})7s$ (13.114 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})6d$ (13.350 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})6\underline{d}$ (13.423 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})$ 8s (13.437 eV)	to ionization		
		Th	eory					
Geiger [82]	0.0960	0.0436	0.0025	0.0307	0.0163			
		Expe	riment					
Present work	0.0610	0.113	0.0015	0.0439	0.0203	1.126		
[HR dipole (e,e)]	(0.0061)	(0.011)	(0.0002)	(0.0044)	(0.0020)	(0.056)		
Geiger [82]	0.187		0.0042	(0.054			
(Electron impact)								
Natali, Kuyatt, and Mielczarek [87]	0.119	0.048	0.0024	0.0295	0.0290	1.10		
(Electron impact)								

^and and nd refer to the nd[1/2] and nd[3/2] states, respectively, which converge to the same ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ limit. ^bThe transition energies were obtained from Ref. [95].

TABLE IX. Theoretical and experimental determinations of the absolute optical oscillator strengths for discrete transitions of xenon in the energy regions (a) 9.80-11.45 eV and (b) 11.45-11.80 eV. Estimated uncertainties in the experimental measurements are shown in parentheses.

	(a) 9.80-11.45 eV Oscillator strength from $5s^25p^6 \rightarrow 5s^2p^5m$ where m is							
	$(P_{3/2})5d^{a}$ (9.917 eV) ^b	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})5\underline{d}$ (10.401 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})7s$ (10.593 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})6d$ (10.979 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{1/2})6\underline{d}$ (11.163 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})$ 8s (11.274 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})7d$ (11.423 eV)	
		The	eory					
Geiger [82]	0.0237	0.550	0.0769	0.0025	0.0940	0.0126	0.0190	
		Exper	riment					
Present work [HR dipole (e,e)]	0.0105 (0.0005)	0.379 (0.019)	0.0859 (0.0043)	< 0.001	0.0835 (0.0084)	0.0222 (0.0022)	0.0227 (0.0023)	
Ferrel, Payne, and Garrett [75]	(,	0.370	0.088		. ,			
(Phase matching) Kramer, Chen, and Payne [74]		(0.07)	(0.01) 0.098					
(Phase matching) Geiger [82]	0.0095	0.395	0.0968	0.0025	0.0862	0.0236	0.0217	
(Electron impact) Delage and Carette [81]	0.019	0.395°	0.110		0.123	0.032	0.027	
(Electron impact) Natali, Kuyatt, and Mielczarek [87] (Electron impact)	0.012	0.381	0.09	0.002	0.082	0.021	0.021	

	(b) 11.45–11.80 eV Oscillator strength from $5s^25p^6 \rightarrow 5s^25p^5m$ where m is						
	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})7\underline{d}^{a}$ (11.495 eV) ^b	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})9s$ (11.583 eV)		$({}^{2}P_{3/2})8d$ (11.683 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})8\underline{d}$ (11.740 eV)	$({}^{2}P_{3/2})10s$ (11.752 eV)	to ionization
		The	eory				
Geiger [82]	0.0024	0.0009	0.206	0.0155	0.123	0.0169	
		Exper	riment				
Present work [HR dipole (e,e)]	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.191 (0.019)	0.0088 (0.0009)	0.0967 (0.0097)	0.0288 (0.0029)	1.606 (0.080)
Geiger [82]	0.004	0.006	0.205	0.0096	0.123	0.0204	1.640 ^d
(Electron impact)			~	`````````````````````````````````````		·····	
Delgage and Carette [81]		0.251		0.171			
(Electron impact)							
Natali, Kuyatt, and Garrett [87] (Electron impact)	0.0003	0.001	0.186	0.006	0.109	0.015	

TABLE IX. (Continued).

^and and nd refer to the nd[1/2] and nd[3/2] states, respectively, which converge to the same ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ limit.

^bThe transition energies were obtained from Ref. [95].

^cThis value was normalized to the experimental value of Geiger [82].

^dThis value is quoted in Ref. [88].

Erratum: Multiphoton ionization in superintense, high-frequency laser fields. I. General developments [Phys. Rev. A 44, 2141 (1991)]

Erratum: Multiphoton ionization in superintense, high-frequency laser fields. II. Stabilization of atomic hydrogen in linearly polarized fields [Phys. Rev. A 44, 2152 (1991)].

Marcel Pont

PACS number(s): 32.80.Rm, 99.10.+g

The author of the above-mentioned papers would like to correct some technical inaccuracies with respect to affiliation and acknowledgments.

The affiliation should have been "FOM-Institute for Atomic and Molecular Physics, Kruislaan 407, 1098 SJ, Amsterdam, the Netherlands." In addition, in the Acknowledgment section the following line should be added: "The author's present address is the University of Southern California, Physics Department, University Park, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484." Also the line "The work presented here was carried out at the FOM-Institute for Atomic and Molecular Physics . . . " should be modified to "The work presented here is based on the author's thesis work, which was carried out at the FOM-Institute for Atomic and Molecular Physics. . . ."

Finally, the line "It was part of the research program... Advancement of Research)." should be appended by "and was partly supported by the European Community Science Stimulation Program under Contract No. SC1 000 103." The author sincerely apologizes to the FOM-Institute AMOLF in Amsterdam for any confusion caused.

1050-2947/93/48(1)/860(1)/\$06.00

© 1993 The American Physical Society