

Phase diagram exhibiting a smectic-*A*–smectic-*C*–smectic-*F* meeting point

V. N. Raja, D. S. Shankar Rao, and S. Krishna Prasad
Raman Research Institute, Bangalore 560 080, India

(Received 6 April 1992)

We report an observation of a smectic-*A*–smectic-*C*–smectic-*F* (*A-C-F*) meeting point in a binary liquid-crystalline system. Detailed high-precision x-ray measurements enabled us to characterize the meeting point as a *triple point*, a point at which the three phases coexist. A direct consequence of this result is the existence of a *tricritical point* (TCP) on the *A-C* boundary. Contrary to the known results, the TCP appears despite a large temperature range for the *A* phase and the occurrence of a nematic phase at a higher temperature. Bearing in mind that *F* is a tilted hexatic phase, it is suggested that a possible reason for this behavior is the molecular-tilt field arising from the coupling between the tilt and the bond-orientational-order parameters.

PACS number(s): 61.30.-v, 64.70.Md

Smectic-*A* (*A*) and smectic-*C* (*C*) liquid crystals are characterized as orientationally ordered fluids with a one-dimensional mass-density wave. In the former the wave vector of this wave is along the director, while in the latter it is tilted. Experimentally, the *A-C* transition is generally found to be second order. As the transition is governed by a two-component order parameter it was proposed initially that the transition might exhibit heliumlike critical behavior [1]. However, subsequent studies [2–7] showed that, owing to a large bare correlation length [8], the transition is almost always mean-field-like with a relatively large sixth-order term in the Landau free-energy expansion. Based on heat-capacity results Lien and Huang [9] postulated that this transition can be driven in a first-order manner by fluctuations seen as a consequence of the nearby isotropic-to-*A* phase transition. Recent experiments [10] have shown that the temperature range of the *A* phase has a pronounced effect on the order of the *A-C* phase transition.

Extension of the two-dimensional melting theory to liquid crystals led to the prediction [11] and subsequent experimental observation [12] of bulk phases of matter referred to as *hexatic* phases which exhibit long-range bond orientational order (BOO) as in a solid but a short-range positional order like in a fluid. The tilted versions of this phase, viz. smectic *F* (*F*) and smectic *I* (*I*) are described by two order parameters, namely, sixfold-BOO and the molecular-tilt order parameter. Studies on these have been made all the more interesting since in the *C* phase the existence of finite molecular tilt gives rise to an induced BOO [13] although of a very small amplitude. Thus both *C* and *F* phases have the same symmetry. Consequently *C* phase transforms into *F* phase either through a first-order transition or evolves continuously without a transition. There are many theoretical studies [13,14] to map out different phase diagrams involving hexatic phases. However, no phase diagram with *A*, *C*, and the tilted hexatic phases has been studied so far. In this Rapid Communication we present phase diagram and x-ray diffraction studies on a binary liquid-crystal system of terephthal-bis-butylaniline (TBBA) and *n*-(4-*n*-nonyloxy benzyldene)-4-*n*-butylaniline (90.4) which shows an *A-*

C-F meeting point. High-precision layer-spacing measurements performed on either side of the meeting point enabled us to characterize it as a triple point, at which all the three phases coexist. An interesting offshoot of these studies is the observation of a tricritical point on the *A-C* boundary (and the concomitant first-order-transition line). A notable feature is that for all the mixtures exhibiting the *A-C* transition, the *A* phase has a relatively large temperature range and in addition is followed by a nematic phase. This is puzzling since the *A-C* transition is expected [10] to become first order, in the absence of a strong lateral dipole moment of the molecule, only when the temperature range of the *A* phase is quite small.

The partial temperature-concentration (*T-X*) phase diagram, obtained by optical microscopy and x-ray diffraction studies, is shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that for $X < 0.28$ (where X is the weight fraction of 90.4 in TBBA) *C* phase goes to smectic-*G* (*G*) phase directly and for $X > 0.28$ the *F* phase intervenes between the *C* and *G* phases and with increasing X the range of the *F* phase grows at the expense of the *C* phase. Finally, for $X > 0.585$ the *C* phase ceases to exist resulting in an *A-C-F* meeting point.

The x-ray experiments have been conducted on aligned samples obtained by slowly cooling the sample from the nematic phase in the presence of a 2.4-T magnetic field. The setup is essentially identical to the one described earlier [15]. The precision in the determination of the wave vector is $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ while the resolution in the equatorial direction is $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ half-width at half maximum. The temperature was maintained to a constancy of better than 10 mK during each measurement. The temperature variation of the layer spacing for $X=0.45$ in the neighborhood of the *A-C* transition is shown in Fig. 2. The most striking feature of this diagram is the existence of the two-phase coexistence region wherein the modulations corresponding to both the phases are present clearly signifying the first-order *A-C* transition. This feature is exactly as expected and indeed observed earlier for a first order *A-C* transition [10]. Figure 3 shows the tilt angle (Φ) variation for different concentrations in the temperature range very close to *A-C* transition. [Φ was evaluated




FIG. 1. Partial temperature-concentration phase diagram for varying weight fraction (X) of n -(4- n -nonyloxy benzylidene)-4- n -butylaniline (9O.4) in terephthal-bis-butylaniline (TBBA). The solid lines are guides to the eye. The A - C - F point is denoted by the solid circle.

by using the expression $\Phi = \cos^{-1}(d_c/d_A)$ where d_c and d_A being the layer spacing corresponding to the C and A phases, respectively.] It is observed that for $X \geq 0.45$, the tilt angle jumps abruptly to zero indicating a first-order transition while for $X \leq 0.40$ it goes continuously to zero as for a second-order transition. Evidently there is a tricritical point in the concentration range $0.4 \leq X \leq 0.45$.

The temperature variation of layer spacing near the C - F transition is shown in Fig. 4. The abrupt jump in the




FIG. 2. Temperature variation of the smectic-layer spacing (d) in the vicinity of the A - C transition for $X=0.45$. The vertical dashed lines indicate the two-phase region where the data are represented by the solid circles.




FIG. 3. Smectic- C tilt-angle variation vs the reduced temperature for $X=0.54$ (\blacktriangle), 0.51 (\blacksquare), 0.45 (\bullet), 0.4 (\triangle), 0.36 (\square), and 0.32 (\circ).

layer spacing and the presence of the two-phase region unambiguously show that this transition is first order for all the concentrations studied. The inset shows the jump in layer spacing across C - F transition for four different mixtures. The jump in the layer spacing is observed to increase with the increase in the temperature range of the F phase. It may be noted that a similar feature [16] was observed for the transition between C and I phases. Beyond the triple point the A phase directly goes to the F phase. The temperature variation of the layer spacing across the A - F transition for $X=0.6$, a concentration which lies very close to the A - C - F meeting point is shown in Fig. 5. Clearly, the transition is first order in nature.




FIG. 4. Variation of the smectic-layer spacing (d) as a function of the reduced temperature in the vicinity of the C - F transition for $x=0.56$ (\bullet), 0.44 (\circ), 0.36 (\blacktriangle), and 0.32 (\square). For the sake of clarity, the data in the two-phase region corresponding to the C phase are not shown. The jump in the layer spacing across the C - F transition for these mixtures is shown in the inset.




FIG. 5. Temperature variation of the smectic-layer spacing (d) in the vicinity of the A - F transition for $X=0.60$. The solid circles stand for the data in the two-phase region which is marked by the vertical dashed lines.

These results lead us to infer that A - C - F meeting point is a meeting point of three first-order phase boundaries and hence is a triple point. To our knowledge this kind of a phase diagram has not been envisaged by theory so far. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, in the vicinity of the triple-point concentration, the A - C transition changes from second order to first order even though the A -phase range is quite large. This is contrary to the known experimental results that the A - C transition becomes a first-order one only when the strength of the transverse dipole moment of the constituent molecules is large [17] and/or the temperature range [10] of the A phase is very small. But in the present system neither of these two conditions is met and still the transition becomes a first-order one. One possible cause for this behavior is the molecular-tilt arising due to the coupling between the BOO and the molecular-tilt order parameter. Such a possibility still remains to be investigated theoretically.

The authors are indebted to Professor S. Chandrasekhar for many useful suggestions and valuable discussions.

- [1] P. G. de Gennes, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **21**, 49 (1973).
- [2] C. C. Huang and S. C. Lien, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1917 (1981).
- [3] C. C. Huang and J. M. Viner, *Phys. Rev. A* **25**, 3385 (1982).
- [4] R. J. Birgeneau, C. W. Garland, A. R. Kortan, J. D. Litster, M. Meichle, B. M. Ocko, C. Rosenblatt, L. J. Yu, and J. Goodby, *Phys. Rev. A* **27**, 1251 (1983).
- [5] M. Meichle and C. W. Garland, *Phys. Rev. A* **27**, 2624 (1983).
- [6] S. Dumrongrattana, G. Nounesis, and C. C. Huang, *Phys. Rev. A* **33**, 2181 (1986).
- [7] C. C. Huang and J. M. Viner, in *Liquid Crystals and Ordered Fluids*, edited by A. C. Griffin and J. F. Johnson (Plenum, New York, 1984), Vol. 4, p. 643.
- [8] C. R. Safinya, M. Kaplan, J. Als-Nielsen, R. J. Birgeneau, D. Davidov, J. D. Litster, D. L. Johnson, and M. Neubert, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 4149 (1980).
- [9] S. C. Lien and C. C. Huang, *Phys. Rev. A* **30**, 624 (1984).
- [10] S. Krishna Prasad, V. N. Raja, D. S. Shankar Rao, Geetha G. Nair, and M. E. Neubert, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 2479 (1990).
- [11] R. J. Birgeneau and J. D. Litster, *J. Phys. Lett. (Paris)* **39**, L399 (1978).
- [12] R. Pindak, D. E. Moncton, S. C. Davey, and J. W. Goodby, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 1135 (1981).
- [13] R. Bruinsma and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 402 (1981).
- [14] D. R. Nelson and B. I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 5312 (1980); A. Aharony, R. J. Birgeneau, J. D. Brock, and J. D. Litster, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 1012 (1986); J. V. Selinger and D. R. Nelson, *ibid.* **61**, 416 (1988).
- [15] S. Krishna Prasad, R. Shashidhar, B. R. Ratna, B. K. Sadasivha, G. Heppke, and S. Pfeiffer, *Liq. Cryst.* **2**, 111 (1987).
- [16] V. N. Raja, S. Krishna Prasad, D. S. Shankar Rao, J. W. Goodby, and M. E. Neubert, *Ferroelectrics* **121**, 235 (1992).
- [17] H. Y. Liu, C. C. Huang, T. Min, M. D. Wand, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, Ch. Bahr, and G. Heppke, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 6759 (1989).