Cloud of virtual photons surrounding a two-level atom driven by an external field

G. Compagno and S. Vivirito

Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, via Archirafi 36, 90123 Palermo, Italy

F. Persico

Istituto di Fisica dell'Università and Istituto per le Applicazioni Interdisciplinari della Fisica, via Archirafi 36, 90123 Palermo, Italy (Received 4 March 1992)

The distortions of the cloud of virtual photons surrounding a ground-state two-level atom, induced by an external field driving the atom, are investigated. It is first shown that if the driving field is coupled to the atom via diagonal dipole matrix elements, then a region of quasistability for the virtual cloud exists. Then the number of photons in this virtual cloud is evaluated by perturbation theory, as a function of the intensity of the external driving field. Finally, the analytical expression obtained is discussed using approximations appropriate to different ranges of the intensity of the driving field.

PACS number(s): 32.90.+a, 12.20.Ds

It has been known for a long time that the sources of a quantum field, in the ground state of the coupled sourcefield system, are generally surrounded by a cloud of virtual quanta of the field itself [1]. In this case one can profitably describe the physical properties of the source in terms of a dressed source that is the composite object constituted by the bare source and by the virtual cloud of field excitations [2]. The shape of the virtual cloud is expected to depend on the internal dynamical structure of the source, since the quantum fluctuations yield correlated transitions between the internal states of the source and the virtual states of the field that contribute to the cloud [3]. In QED in particular the shape of the virtual cloud has been explicitly evaluated for ground-state neutral hydrogen [4], which has been shown to be influenced by the presence of a static electric field capable of inducing atomic polarization [5]. The aim of this paper is to present preliminary results on the dependence of the virtual cloud from a strong time-dependent electromagnetic (e.m.) field acting on the atom. Part of the interest of this problem arises from the recent intense research activity in connection with atoms in strong fields and related effects such as above-threshold ionization [6] and highorder harmonic generation [7], although the model adopted in this paper is capable of giving only qualitative suggestions in connections with these phenomena.

The model we have adopted in order to discuss dressed atoms under irradiation is that of a two-level atom in the pseudospin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ formalism, interacting with a monochromatic field of frequency ω excited by an external source, and in the presence of the vacuum modes of the e.m. field. This model can be realistically used only to describe the effects of interaction between an atom and a monochromatic field when this is is almost resonant with a particular atomic transition frequency. Furthermore, the range of validity of the results obtained is also limited by the intensity of the field, which must be low enough not to involve other nonresonant atomic transitions. In this sense the results obtained with this model can be only suggestive of strong field effects.

This model is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + V ,$$

$$H_0 = \hbar \omega_0 S_z + \hbar \omega a^{\dagger} a + \sum_k \hbar \omega_k a_k^{\dagger} a_k + \varepsilon S_z (a + a^{\dagger}) , \qquad (1)$$

$$V = \sum_k \varepsilon_k (S_+ + S_-) (a_k + a_k^{\dagger}) ,$$

where ω is the atomic natural frequency, S_i $(i = \pm, z)$ are the usual $S = \frac{1}{2}$ operators, a and a^{T} are the destruction and creation operators for the intense external field, while a_k and a_k^{\dagger} play the same role for the vacuum mode k of frequency ω_k , k being representative of an appropriate set of indices which identify the mode in question. Further, ε is the external-field atom-coupling constant and ε_k is the corresponding quantity for the kth vacuum modeatom coupling. It should be noted that while the latter coupling form is conventional and typical of a Dicke Hamiltonian, the former implies that parity of the atomic base states is not defined, since the interaction with the driving field takes place through S_z rather than through S_+ and S_- . This feature of the model is indeed essential for our aims, since it is easy to realize that a conventional coupling would lead to instability of the cloud, which would become real and whose photons would be emitted in the form of fluorescent radiation. On the contrary we will demonstrate the existence of a quasistability region (QSR) in the eigenvalue spectrum of H, where a fairly well-defined virtual cloud can be formed, due to the S_z form of the coupling selected. Moreover, an atom-field coupling such as that appearing in H is certainly appropriate for atomic magnetic levels or for situations where a permanent electric moment is present [8]. We take both ε and ε_k real for simplicity of notation.

We begin by performing the exact diagonalization of

<u>46</u> 7303

 H_0 . We label the eigenstates of

$$H_0^{(0)} = \hbar \omega_0 + \hbar \omega a^{\dagger} a + \sum_k \hbar \omega_k a_k^{\dagger} a_k \quad , \tag{2}$$

which is the free part of H_0 , by the eigenvalues of the operators present in (2). Thus $|\sigma, n, \{n_k\}\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $H_0^{(0)}$ describing the atom in its upper or lower state ($\sigma = \pm 1$), the external field having *n* photons and the fluorescent field having a photon distribution $\{n_k\}$ over all its possible vacuum modes. The corresponding eigenvalue is

$$E(\sigma, n, \{n_k\}) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\sigma + n\hbar\omega + \sum_k n_k\hbar\omega_k . \qquad (3)$$

Clearly $|\sigma, n, \{n_k\}\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of H_0 due to the presence of the coupling term. These eigenstates of H_0 , however, can be easily obtained by performing the unitary transformation induced by

$$T = \exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar\omega}S_z(a-a^{\dagger})\right].$$
(4)

This leads to the new Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_{0} = T^{-1}H_{0}T = \hbar\omega_{0}S_{z} + \hbar\omega a^{\dagger}a + \sum_{k}\hbar\omega_{a}a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4\omega\hbar} ,$$
(5)

which is diagonal in the basis of the eigenstates of $H_0^{(0)}$. Since T is unitary,

$$\langle \sigma, n, \{n_k\} | \tilde{H}_0 | \sigma', n', \{n'_k\} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \sigma, n, \{n_k\} | T^{\dagger} H_0 T | \sigma', n', \{n'_k\} \rangle$$

$$= \tilde{E}(\sigma, n, \{n_k\}) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\{n_k\} \{n'_k\}},$$
(6)

and the eigenstates of H_0 are

$$T|\sigma, n, \{n_k\}\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2\hbar\omega}\sigma(a-a^{\dagger})\right]|\sigma, n, \{n_k\}\rangle$$
$$\equiv |\sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, \{n_k\}\rangle, \qquad (7)$$

where

$$|\tilde{n}_{\sigma}\rangle = \exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2\hbar\omega}\sigma(a-a^{\dagger})\right]|n\rangle . \tag{8}$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\widetilde{E}(\sigma,\widetilde{n}_{\sigma},\{n_k\}) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 \sigma + n \hbar \omega + \sum_k \hbar \omega_k n_k - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4 \hbar \omega} .$$

Thus the eigenvalue spectrum of H_0 is identical to that of \tilde{H}_0 , albeit shifted by the amount $-\epsilon^2/4\hbar\omega$.

Next we evaluate the matrix elements of V in the basis of the eigenstates of H_0 . We have

$$\langle \sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, \{n_{k}\} | V | \sigma', \tilde{n}_{\sigma}', \{n_{k}'\} \rangle = \langle \sigma, n, \{n_{k}\} | \tilde{V} | \sigma', n', \{n_{k}'\} \rangle ,$$
 (9)

where some elementary algebra shows that

$$\widetilde{V} = T^{-1}VT = \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} S_{+} \exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar\omega}(a^{\dagger} - a)\right] + S_{-} \exp\left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar\omega}(a^{\dagger} - a)\right](a_{k} + a_{k}^{\dagger}). \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) in (9) and performing the calculations we obtain the dressed matrix elements. From the form of (10) it is obvious that the matrix elements vanish unless $\{n_k\}$ and $\{n'_k\}$ are identical except for one photon in one of the vacuum modes k. Thus we introduce a slight change of notation and indicate these nonvanishing matrix elements as $\langle \sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, n_k \pm 1 | V | \sigma', \tilde{n}'_{\sigma}, n_k \rangle$. After a lengthy procedure we obtain exactly

$$\langle \sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, n_{k} + 1 | V | \sigma', \tilde{n}'_{\sigma'}, n_{k} \rangle = (1 - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}) d_{k} e^{-x/2} x^{|n'-n|/2} \{ \sigma^{n-n'} \sqrt{n'!/n!} L_{n'}^{(n-n')}(x) \Theta(n-n') + (-\sigma)^{n-n'} \sqrt{n!/n'!} L_{n}^{(n'-n)}(x) \Theta(n'-n) \} ,$$

$$(11)$$

$$\langle \sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, n_{k} - 1 | V | \sigma', \tilde{n}_{\sigma'}, n_{k} \rangle = \frac{c_{k}}{d_{k}} \langle \sigma, \tilde{n}_{\sigma}, n_{k} + 1 | V | \sigma', \tilde{n}_{\sigma'}, n_{k} \rangle$$

where

$$c_{k} = \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{n_{k}}, \quad d_{k} = \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{(n_{k}+1)}, \quad x = \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar\omega}\right]^{2};$$

$$\Theta(n-n') = \begin{cases} 1 & (n > n') \\ 0 & (n < n') \end{cases}, \quad (12)$$

and where the generalized Laguerre polynomials are defined as [9]

$$L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!} \left[\begin{matrix} n+\alpha \\ n-m \end{matrix} \right] x^{m} \quad (\alpha > -1) \ . \tag{13}$$

It is now appropriate to remark that V does not connect states with equal values of σ . It is then easy to realize with the help of Fig. 1 that for $\omega < \omega_0$ a range of quasistability exists for the eigenstates $|\sigma = -1, \tilde{n}_{-1} < (\omega_0/\omega), \{0_k\}\rangle$ of H_0 . These states are not connected at first order in V to any other eigenstate via energy-conserving processes. In fact, the energy eigenstate of H_0 in the QSR is

$$\widetilde{E}(-1,\widetilde{n}_{-1},\{0_k\}) = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 + \widetilde{n}_{-1}\hbar\omega - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\hbar\omega}, \quad (14a)$$

while the energy of any other eigenstate candidate for connection is

7304

$$\widetilde{E}(1,\widetilde{n}_{1}',\{n_{k}\}) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_{0} + \widetilde{n}_{1}' \hbar \omega + \hbar \omega_{k} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 \hbar \omega} .$$
(14b)

Thus the difference of the two energies is $\hbar\omega_0 + (n'-n)\hbar\omega + \hbar\omega_k$, which is always positive for any $n_{-1} < \omega_0 / \omega$, and transitions induced by V at first order do not conserve energy. Because these states belonging to the QSR have a much longer decay time than the other states outside the same region, it makes sense to investigate the possibility that they support a relatively stable cloud of virtual photons. Thus we concentrate on the range of parameters $\hbar\omega < \hbar\omega_0$, where we have just seen a QSR exist, and we discuss the structure of the virtual cloud, which we describe by the average number of virtual photons in mode k associated with a state $|-1, \tilde{n}_{-1}, \{0_k\}$ within the QSR.

This is done in two steps, the first of which consists in evaluating the perturbative correction $|\psi^{(1)}\rangle$ to $|-1, \tilde{n}_{-1}, \{0_k\}\rangle$. We find, at first order in ε_k , and using (11) and (14),



FIG. 1. Eigenvalue spectrum for the driven atom within the subspace $\{n_k\} = \{0_k\}$ and for $\omega_0 > \omega$. Eigenstates of H_0 falling within the range of quasistability QSR are not connected by vacuum fluctuations at first order with any other eigenstate.

$$\begin{split} |\psi^{(1)}\rangle &= \sum_{\vec{n}_{1}',k} \frac{\langle 1, \vec{n}_{1}', 1_{k} | V | - 1, \vec{n}_{-1}, \{0_{k}\} \rangle}{\tilde{E}(-1, \vec{n}_{-1}, \{0_{k}\}) - \tilde{E}(1, \vec{n}_{1}', 1_{k})} | 1, \vec{n}_{1}', 1_{k} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k} \frac{\varepsilon_{k}}{\tilde{n}} e^{-x/2} \left[\sum_{n'=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-n'} x^{(n-n')/2} \sqrt{n'!/n!} L_{n}^{(n-n')}(x)}{-\omega_{0} + (n-n')\omega - \omega_{k}} | 1, \vec{n}_{1}', 1_{k} \rangle \right. \\ &\left. - \sum_{n'=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{(n'-n)/2} \sqrt{n!/n'!} L_{n}^{(n'-n)}(x)}{+\omega_{0} + (n'-n)\omega + \omega_{k}} | 1, \vec{n}_{1}', 1_{k} \rangle \right], \end{split}$$
(15)

where 1_k indicates the photon distribution with one photon in mode k and no photon in all other modes. The second step consists in evaluating the number of virtual quanta on the perturbed state $|-1, \tilde{n}_{-1}, \{0_k\} \rangle + |\psi^{(1)}\rangle$.

We obtain at second order in ε_k ,

$$\langle a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k} \rangle = \langle \psi^{(1)} | a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k} | \psi^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_{k}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} e^{-x} \left[\sum_{n'=0}^{n} \frac{n'!}{n!} \frac{x^{n-n'} [L_{n'}^{(n-n')}(x)]^{2}}{[-\omega_{0} + (n-n')\omega - \omega_{k}]^{2}} + \sum_{n'=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n'!} \frac{x^{n'-n} [L_{n}^{(n'-n)}(x)]^{2}}{[\omega_{0} + (n'-n)\omega + \omega_{k}]^{2}} \right]$$

$$(\omega_{0}/\omega > n) .$$
 (16)

This expression for the contribution of k photons to the virtual cloud, albeit exact at second order in ε_k and for $n < \omega_0/\omega$, is not very transparent. In particular, the form of the dependence of $\langle a_k^{\dagger} a_k \rangle$ from the intensity of the external field is not easy to read out of (16). Thus we are compelled to perform some rather radical simplifications.

In order to obtain these simplifications, we assume that in the selected range of parameters it is $\varepsilon^2 n / (\hbar \omega)^2 \leq 1$; hence $x = O(n^{-1})$ from (12). Consequently we can apply the Tricomi asymptotic expansion [10] for the generalized Laguerre polynomials in the region near the origin as [11]

$$e^{-x/2}L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \left(\frac{v}{4x}\right)^{\alpha/2} [J_{\alpha}(\sqrt{vx}) + O(n^{-1})],$$

$$v = 4n + 2\alpha + 2 \quad (17)$$

where J are Bessel functions of the first kind. Moreover,

coherently with the approximations leading to (17), we use also [11]

$$\frac{\Gamma(b+n+1)}{\Gamma(c+n+1)} = \left(n + \frac{b+c+1}{2}\right)^{b-c} [1+O(n^{-2})],$$
(18)

which yields

$$\frac{n'!}{n!} = \frac{\Gamma(n'-n+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)} = \left[\frac{n+n'+1}{2}\right]^{n'-n} [1+O(n^{-2})],$$

$$\frac{n!}{n'!} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n'-n+n+1)} = \left[\frac{n+n'+1}{2}\right]^{n-n'} [1+O(n^{-2})].$$
(19)

Substituting (17) and (19) in (16) we obtain

$$\langle a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k} \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_{k}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \left[\sum_{n'=0}^{n} \frac{J_{n-n'}^{2}(\sqrt{2(n+n'+1)x})}{[-\omega_{0}+(n-n')\omega-\omega_{k}]^{2}} + \sum_{n'=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{J_{n'-n}^{2}(\sqrt{2(n+n'+1)x})}{[\omega_{0}+(n'-n)\omega+\omega_{k}]^{2}} \right] + O(n^{-1}) .$$

$$(20)$$

Thus for $\omega_0/\omega > n \gg 1$ we can approximate (20) as

$$\langle a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k}\rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_{k}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{J_{|n-n'|}(\sqrt{2(n+n'+1)x})}{\omega_{0}+(n'-n)\omega+\omega_{k}} \right]^{2} \left[\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega} > n \gg 1, \quad \varepsilon\sqrt{n} < \hbar\omega \right], \quad (21)$$

which is much more manageable than (16) and which is valid for $\varepsilon \sqrt{n} < \hbar \omega \ll \hbar \omega_0$. Keeping only terms linear in nx (that is, assuming $\varepsilon \sqrt{n} \ll \hbar \omega$), (21) reduces to

$$\langle a_k^{\dagger} a_k \rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{\hbar^2 (\omega_0 + \omega_k)^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_k^2 x}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{n}{(\omega_0 - \omega + \omega_k)^2} + \frac{n+1}{(\omega_0 + \omega + \omega_k)^2} - \frac{2n+1}{(\omega_0 + \omega_k)^2} \right] \quad \left[\frac{\omega_0}{\omega} > n \gg 1, \quad \varepsilon \sqrt{n} \ll \hbar \omega \right], \quad (22)$$

where the first term yields the virtual cloud in the absence of the driving field (as expected) and the second, which is proportional to $\varepsilon^2 n$ for large *n*, is the correction due to the driving field. On the other hand, for the strong driving field case $\varepsilon \sqrt{n} \sim \hbar \omega$ it is easy to guess that the dominant contribution to the sum over n' in (21) comes from the low-order Bessel functions for which $n' \sim n$. In this case one can obtain a rough representation of the behavior of $\langle a_k^{\dagger} a_k \rangle$ as

$$\langle a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k}\rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_{k}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{J_{0}^{2}(2\varepsilon\sqrt{n}/\hbar\omega)}{(\omega_{0}+\omega)^{2}} \left[\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega} > n \gg 1, \quad \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \sim \hbar\omega\right]. \quad (23)$$

We shall refrain here from discussing the approximation of (16) valid in the oscillatory region of the Laguerre polynomial [11], which is in the range of x including point x = 4n + 2, since this implies $\varepsilon > \hbar \omega$, which we consider nonphysical at optical frequencies and out of the scope of this paper.

In conclusion, we summarize our results as follows. We have shown that for a two-level atom in the presence of an external driving field described by the model Hamiltonian (1) it is possible to obtain a range of eigenstates of the atom-driving-field system, which are capable of supporting a cloud of virtual photons created around the atom by the vacuum fluctuations. This cloud of virtual photons depends on the intensity of the external field.

We have calculated the dependence on this intensity exactly and analytically at order ε_k , the atom vacuum fluctuation coupling constant. The resulting expression has been considered in various ranges of the physical parameters, and simplified expressions have been obtained for weak ($\varepsilon \sqrt{n} \ll \hbar \omega$) and strong ($\varepsilon \sqrt{n} \sim \hbar \omega$) driving field.

The authors acknowledge partial financial support by Comitato Regionale Ricerche Nucleari e Struttura della Materia, by Regione Siciliana Assessorato Beni Culturali ed Ambientali, and by Ministero Università e Ricerca Scientifica. They are also grateful to P. L. Knight for discussions on the subject of this paper. Finally they acknowledge the receipt of EEC financial support under Contract No. SC1-CT 90/0572 in the framework of the research project "Atoms in Strong Fields."

204, 331 (1991), and references therein.

- [7] A. L'Huillier, K. J. Schafer, and K. C. Kulander, J. Phys. B 24, 3315 (1991), and references therein.
- [8] See, e.g., D. P. Craig, and T. Thirunamachandran, Molecular QED (Academic, London, 1984), p. 164.
- [9] See, e.g., U. W. Hochstrasser, in *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1965), p. 775.
- [10] Higher Transcendental Functions, edited by A. Erderlyi (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. II, p. 199.
- [11] F. G. Tricomi, Annali Matem. Serie IV 28, 263 (1949).

- [1] L. van Hove, Physica 18, 145 (1952); 21, 901 (1955); 22, 343 (1956).
- [2] G. Compagno, G. M. Palma, R. Passante, and F. Persico, in *New Frontiers in QED and Quantum Optics*, edited by A. O. Barut (Plenum, New York, 1990), p. 129 and references therein.
- [3] R. Passante, G. Compagno, and F. Persico, Phys. Rev. A 31, 2827 (1985).
- [4] R. Passante and E. A. Power, Phys. Rev. A 35, 188 (1987).
- [5] G. Compagno and G. M. Palma, Phys. Rev. A 37, 2979 (1988).
- [6] J. H. Eberly, J. Javanainen, and K. Rzazewski, Phys. Rep.