
ERRATA

Erratum: Perturbative-variational calculations in two-well anharmonic oscillators
[Phys. Rev. A 42, 5073 (1990)]

F. Arias de Saavedra and E. Buendía

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ge, 99.10.+g

We have found two typographical errors in Table II. First, the number with $Z^2=5$ and $p = +$ must be

−3.410 142 761 239 829 .

That is, the last figure must be 9 instead of 6. The second error is that the number with $Z^2=10$ and $p = -$ must have a minus sign.

© 1992 The American Physical Society

Erratum: Radiation trapping in a stepwise-excitation electron-laser coincidence experiment
[Phys. Rev. A 44, 3162 (1991)]

A. J. Murray, W. R. MacGillivray, and M. C. Standage

PACS number(s): 32.70.Fw, 42.50.Hz, 42.50.Lc, 99.10.+g

It has been brought to our attention that there is an inconsistency in one paragraph of our paper. The paragraph appears on p. 3165, left-hand column, and starts 6 lines down. To be fully consistent with the rest of the paper, it should read as follows:

However, it is only the indirect trappings that produce a significantly modified coincidence signal in this experiment as it is these that have a much longer associated decay time than the direct trappings. The direct trappings only contribute to a lengthening of the decay time in a minor way and also cause an increase in the rise time of the signal.

© 1992 The American Physical Society

Erratum: Schrödinger equation for two-electron atoms expressed in terms
of symmetric sparse matrices involving only O(4,2) representations
[Phys. Rev. A 45, 2757 (1992)]

E. de Prunelé

PACS number(s): 31.20.Tz, 31.50.+w, 34.80.-i, 03.65.Fd, 99.10.+g

After Eq. (21), the definition $f_n \equiv [n(n+1)]^{1/2}$ should read $f_n \equiv [n(n+1)]^{-1/2}$.