Electron correlation in momentum space: The beryllium-atom isoelectronic sequence

Awadh N. Tripathi,* Robin P. Sagar, Rodolfo O. Esquivel, † and Vedene H. Smith, Jr. Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

(Received 21 October 1991)

Various momentum-space properties are computed for the Be atom and its isoelectronic sequence from highly accurate configuration-interaction wave functions that include more than 90% of the correlation energy. We assess the effects of electron correlation in momentum space by making a comparison with the results obtained from the Hartree-Fock level. The results reveal differences that indicate that the inclusion of electron correlation is necessary if one is to obtain highly accurate momentum space quantities. Additionally, our results show that the sum of the position and momentum-space entropies increases in going from the Hartree-Fock to the configuration-interaction level, supporting the argument that this sum may be used in assessing the quality of a basis set. The observation that the entropy sum and the other calculated properties remain stable with the inclusion of more electron correlation suggests that these properties are not sensitive to the amount of electron correlation and that density convergence criteria may be more useful in obtaining an accurate electronic distribution than criteria based solely on the amount of correlation energy.

PACS number(s): 31.20.Tz, 32.80.Cy, 31.90.+^s

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that an accurate description of oneelectron properties must include both momentum and position space densities, and that in combination, these two complementary avenues of analyses leads to a much better understanding of the electronic structure of atoms and molecules [1].

In the past, Benesch and co-workers [2—4] have examined the importance of electron correlation on momentum densities and other density related properties using then available correlated wave functions. With the increasing use of $(e, 2e)$ spectroscopy [5] and Compton scattering [6] in recent years, as probes for the study of electron momentum distributions, it is desirable to obtain accurate momentum densities and Compton profiles using the highly accurate configuration-interaction (CI) atomic wave functions that are now available.

Bunge [7] has obtained a CI wave function for the beryllium atom that accounts for 99.55% of the correlation energy (CE). Such a wave function should produce essentially exact properties in position and momentum space. More recently, Esquivel and Bunge [8] obtained an accurate analytical density for Be by systematically improving the CI wave functions so that the density converges towards a definite expression as a function of r [9]. The result was a CI expansion built in a very compact basis set which accounts for 93% of the CE. Nevertheless, the agreement between the Esquivel-Bunge (EB) and Bunge's densities was shown to be impressive, both in the point by point analysis and in the results for one-electron expectation values. Moreover, both densities produce excellent values for the electron-nucleus cusp ratio and obey the asymptotic behavior.

An electron correlation study in momentum space is indeed necessary since it has been shown that the amount of CE included in the wave function is not in direct relationship to the reliability of the corresponding position space density. Thus it is important to address whether fully converged position space densities are fully able to produce momentum properties with the same accuracy as in position space. Besides, obtaining CI wave functions which account for over 99% of CE is by no means an easily accomplished task while fully converged densities may be obtained with much less effort [9].

In the present work, we report our study of the accurate momentum density, Compton profile, moments of the momentum density, information entropies in position and momentum spaces, and quantities related to the momentum density, for Be and its isoelectronic sequence using the CI wave functions constructed by Esquivel and co-workers [8,10]. Furthermore, we have also calculated these properties for Be with the use of Bunge's CI wave function [7]. Our aim is to examine and compare the momentum density related properties we obtain to calculations done at the Hartree-Fock level, to ascertain the sensitivity of these physically significant properties to the inclusion of electron correlation in the basis set. We also examine the change in these properties with the addition of more electron correlation to the wave function. Lastly, we compute the position and momentum-space information entropies [11] and their sums to analyze any trends in the isoelectronic sequence and also to examine the ability of these entropies in assessing the quality of a particular wave function. We discuss briefly in the next section our methodology for calculating the various properties and their physical significance. In the last section the results are presented and discussed.

II. THEORETICAL METHODOLOGY

The momentum distribution may be calculated by two distinct methods. The first involves the approximate solution of the Schrödinger equation in momentum space

 (1)

to obtain the momentum-space wave function. Although attempts have been made in this direction [12], this area remains in its infancy. The second and by far the most predominant method involves the Dirac-Fourier transform of a position space wave function. However, we follow here a method suggested by Benesch and Smith [2,3]. First, the spin-traced one-particle density matrix,

$$
\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = N \int \psi^*(\mathbf{r}' \sigma, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)
$$

$$
\times \psi(\mathbf{r} \sigma, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) d\sigma \, d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N ,
$$

is obtained in its natural form

$$
\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{k} \lambda_k \chi_k(\mathbf{r}) \chi_k^*(\mathbf{r}')
$$
 (2)

and then transformed into momentum space

$$
\gamma(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = (2\pi)^{-3} \int \exp(-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} + i\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{r}') \gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')
$$
\n
$$
\times d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}'.
$$
\n(3)\n
$$
E_4 = \langle p^2 \rangle / 2m_e - \langle p^4 \rangle / 8m_e^3 c
$$

In the above ψ is the position space representation of the *N*-electron wave function and $x_i = (r_i, \sigma_i)$ is a combined space-spin coordinate for electron *i*. The χ_k are the natural orbitals which give the density matrix in diagonal form and λ_k are interpreted as the occupation number of the respective natural orbitals (NO's). Using the natural expansion $\gamma(p, p')$ may be written as

$$
\gamma(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \sum_{k} \lambda_k \chi_k(\mathbf{p}) \chi_k^*(\mathbf{p}') . \tag{4}
$$

Here $\chi_k(\mathbf{p})$ is the NO in the momentum space. Thus, given the NO, $\chi_k(\mathbf{r})$, in the position space, Eq. (4) can be evaluated by computing the Fourier transform (FT), i.e.,

$$
\chi_k(\mathbf{p}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} \int e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \chi_k(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} . \tag{5}
$$

Evaluation of the FT of the kth NO is described in detail in the review article of Kaijser and Smith [13]. The spherically averaged momentum density may thus be obtained as

$$
\overline{\Pi}(p) = \int p^2 \gamma(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) d\Omega \tag{6}
$$

The isotropic Compton profile (ICP), $\bar{J}(q)$, is related to the spherically averaged momentum density, $\overline{\Pi}(p)$, i.e.,

$$
\bar{J}(q) = 2\pi \int_{|q|}^{\infty} p \, \overline{\Pi}(p) dp \quad . \tag{7}
$$

The moments of the electron momentum density are defined by

$$
\langle p^n \rangle = 4\pi \int_0^\infty p^{n+2} \overline{\Pi}(p) dp, \quad -2 \le n \le 4 \tag{8}
$$

where the limits on *n* arise from the fact that $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ does not vanish at the origin and decays as p^{-8} at large p [2]. These moments have physical meaning: $\langle p^2 \rangle$ is proportional to the nonrelativistic kinetic energy and $\langle p^{-1} \rangle$ is simply the peak value of $\bar{J}(q=0)$ of the ICP. $\langle p \rangle$ is proportional to the Slater-Dirac exchange energy to a high degree of accuracy and $\langle p^3 \rangle$ is roughly proportional to the initial value of the Patterson function in x-ray crystallography [14]. The expectation values E_4 and H_0 are the quasirelativistic energy

$$
E_4 = \langle p^2 \rangle / 2m_e - \langle p^4 \rangle / 8m_e^3 c^2 \tag{9}
$$

and the complete relativistic kinetic-energy operator

$$
H_0 = m_e c^2 \{ [1 + p^2 / (m_e^2 c^2)]^{1/2} - 1 \}, \qquad (10)
$$

where m_e is the mass of the electron and c is the speed of light in a vacuum (for details see Ref. [15]). We have also computed the small-p MacLaurin and large-p asymptotic coefficients of $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ which may prove useful in the analysis of experimental Compton profiles; The general expressions for the asymptotic expansions of $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ [16] are

$$
\overline{\Pi}(p) = A_0 + A_2 p^2 + A_4 p^4 + O(p^6) , \qquad (11)
$$

for small p, and

$$
\overline{\Pi}(p) = B_8 p^{-8} + B_{10} p^{-10} + B_{12} p^{-12} + O(p^{-14}), \quad (12)
$$

for large p. These coefficients as obtained from Hartree-Fock wave functions [17] have been tabulated [15,16].

In addition to the properties above, we have computed the momentum-space information entropy [11]

$$
S_{\Pi} = -\int \gamma(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) \ln \gamma(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} . \qquad (13)
$$

TABLE I. Calculated total energies in hartrees at the HF and CI levels for (a) the Be atom and (b) the Be isoelectronic sequence.

p (a.u.)	$\Pi_{\rm ex}(p)$	$\Pi_{EB}(p)$	$\Pi_K(p)$	$\Pi_L(p)$	$\Pi_{KL}(p)$	$\Pi_{HF}(p)$
0.0	4.840 64	4.85363	5.95060	4.895 15	5.948.97	5.95081
0.1	4.50700	4.51634	5.49116	4.52192	5.48964	5.49134
0.3	2.62037	2.61874	2.99688	2.623 19	2.99601	2.99693
0.5	1.01079	1.01052	1.045 60	1.012.16	1.04533	1.045 63
0.7	0.31415	0.31475	0.28667	0.31490	0.28668	0.28673
1.0	0.06046	0.06042	0.04677	0.06028	0.04681	0.04679
1.5	0.02016	0.02018	0.01870	0.02014	0.01871	0.01870
2.0	0.01287	0.01286	0.01271	0.01286	0.01273	0.01272
3.0	0.00443	0.00443	0.00444	0.00444	0.00445	0.00444
4.0	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145
5.0	0.000 50	0.00050	0.00050	0.00050	0.000 50	0.000 50
7.0	0.00008	0.00008	0.00008	0.00007	0.00007	0.00007

TABLE II. A comparison between various momentum densities for the Be atom.

We have also calculated S_o , the analogous quantity in position space, so that we may compute the sum, $S_{\text{II}}+S_{\text{o}}$. These entropies are of interest since it has been suggested that $[11]$ their sum increases with an enhancement of the quality of the basis as well as with electron correlation for ground-state atoms. Hence these measures could be of importance in the assessment of basis set and wave function quality.

All integrals pertaining to the respective properties were numerically calculated by dividing the interval $[0, \infty]$ into a number of subintervals, each of which was treated with an eight point Gauss-Lobatto quadrature.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The orbital and total $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ and $\overline{J}(q)$ and related moments for Be and its isoelectronic sequence were calculated both from the Hartree-Fock wave functions of Clementi and Roetti [17] and the CI wave function of Bunge [7] and those of Esquivel and Bunge [8] and Esquivel, Smith, and Bunge [10] using Eqs. (6)—(8). For the beryllium atom the corresponding quantities were analyzed by means of Bunge's wave function with a 650 term expansion in a $[10s, 9p, 8d, 7f, 5g, 3h, 1i]$ Slater-type orbitals (STO) basis that represents 99.55% of the CE [7]. This function was reproduced and the natural orbitals

and occupation numbers were calculated [8]. The EB wave function was also employed for the present analysis. This CI expansion contains 508 terms in a $[9s, 6p, 4d, 1f]$ basis including 93% of the CE [8].

Furthermore, in order to study correlation effects of the core, valence, and intershell electrons, CI wave functions were specifically calculated in the K_z , L_z , and intershell regions by promoting electrons in the 1s, 2s, and 1s2s configurations, respectively. The CI expansion contains 68 terms for the K and L shells and 103 terms for the intershell. These CI expansions are built in the same basis set as the EB wave function.

For all other ions along the isoelectronic sequence, fully converged analytical charge densities were employed. The corresponding nonrelativistic CI wave functions were calculated in a systematic manner, i.e., all single and double excited configurations obtained by promoting K and L electrons in the Hartree-Fock configuration are included in the wave function. No triple and quadruple excitations are included. The final CI expansions contain 289 terms in $[8s, 6p, 6d, 3f, 3g]$ STO basis sets yielding energies which approximately represent 95% of the CE (see Table I}. Position space information for CI densities of these ions are planned to be published elsewhere [10].

The momentum density and Compton profile for Be are tabulated in Tables II and III for a few selected points

		TADLE III. A comparison octwich various ICI $ \mathcal{J}(q) $ values for the De atom.				
q (a.u.)	$\overline{J}_{ex}(q)$	$\bar{J}_{EB}(q)$	$J_K(q)$	$J_L(q)$	$J_{KL}(q)$	$J_{HF}(q)$
0.0	2.95379	2.954 54	3.15887	2.95740	3.158.50	3.159 13
0.1	2.80703	2.80743	2.979 25	2.81012	2.97894	2.979 51
0.3	1.93631	1.93621	1.94944	1.93760	1.94942	1.94967
0.5	1.09807	1.098 66	1.03191	1.098 59	1.032 15	1.03212
0.7	0.65875	0.65899	0.600 26	0.65838	0.600 58	0.60044
1.0	0.43220	0.432 13	0.408 49	0.43167	0.40874	0.408 60
1.5	0.31241	0.31237	0.309 23	0.31224	0.30942	0.30936
2.0	0.223 90	0.22384	0.22372	0.22380	0.22384	0.22381
3.0	0.10231	0.10226	0.10248	0.10219	0.10244	0.10243
4.0	0.04526	0.04521	0.04531	0.045 12	0.04523	0.045 22
5.0	0.02070	0.020 68	0.02071	0.02060	0.02064	0.02064
7.0	0.005 10	0.005 10	0.005 10	0.005 06	0.005 07	0.005 07

TABLE III. A comparison between various ICP $[\overline{f}(q)]$ values for the Be atom.

FIG. 1. A plot of the momentum density difference function for a few members of the Be isoelectronic sequence.

in order to compare these quantities obtained from the wave functions of Bunge [7], EB [8], and Hartree-Fock [17]. A point by point comparison of $\overline{\Pi}_{ex}$, $\overline{\Pi}_{EB}$, and $\overline{\Pi}_L$ [defined, respectively, as the momentum densities obtained from the wave functions of Bunge (ex), Esquivel and Bunge (EB) and that obtained from the L-shell region of Be (L)] shows a good resemblance. Both $\overline{\Pi}_{EB}$ and $\overline{\Pi}_L$ agree within 0.3% and 0.5% with $\overline{\Pi}_{ex}$ at $p = 0$ and within less than 0.1% at all subsequent values of p . The other densities, i.e., $\overline{\Pi}_K$, $\overline{\Pi}_{KL}$, and $\overline{\Pi}_{HF}$ are in general too high (approximately 30%) at $p = 0$ but tend to converge gradually to the exact densities $(\overline{\Pi}_{ex}, \overline{\Pi}_{EB}, \overline{\Pi}_{L})$ for p values greater than 1.5 a.u., although they exhibit a remarkably

FIG. 2. A plot of the Compton profile difference function for a few members of the Be isoelectronic sequence.

good agreement among themselves. This feature may be rationalized by noting that due to the near degeneracy between the $2s$ and $2p$ states of the Be atom, the singly and doubly excited configurations from the core and the intershell are not effective in introducing electron correlations. All correlations originating from these configurations come from the valence shell.

In order to study the differences in the momentum density and Compton profile obtained from the EB and HF functions, we have displayed difference functions, defined as

$$
\Delta \overline{\Pi}(p) = \overline{\Pi}_{EB}(p) - \overline{\Pi}_{HF}(p), \quad \Delta \overline{J}(q) = \overline{J}_{EB} - \overline{J}_{HF} \tag{14}
$$

coemcients have been scaled by powers of Z. All numbers are in atomic units; numbers in square brackets indicate powers of 10.								
Property	CI(ex)	CI(EB)	CI(K)	CI(L)	CI(KL)	HF		
$\langle p^{-2} \rangle$	21.9387	21.9590	25.2896	21.9877	25.2833	25.2906		
$\langle p \rangle$	7.53298	7.53042	7.44043	7.52088	7.435 14	7.434 18		
$\langle p^2 \rangle$	29.3329	29.3143	29.2152	29.2327	29.1503	29.1458		
$\langle p^3 \rangle$	186.351	186.210	186.289	185.427	185.613	185.586		
$\langle p^4 \rangle$	2165.03	2163.63	2166.67	2157.06	2161.09	2160.95		
E_4	$-1.4413[-2]$	$-1.4402[-2]$	$-1.4422[-2]$	$-1.4358[-2]$	$-1.4385[-2]$	$-1.4384[-2]$		
$\langle H_0 \rangle$	$8.9461[-4]$	$8.9402[-4]$	$8.9637[-4]$	$8.9977[-4]$	$9.0192[-4]$	$9.0210[-4]$		
$S_{\rm H}$	11.6944	11.6911	11.2218	11.6747	11.2181	11.2155		
S_{ρ}	8.7543	8.7576	8.9529	8.7661	8.9508	8.9503		
$S_{\rm H}+S_{\rho}$	20.4486	20.4487	20.1747	20.4407	20.1688	20.1658		
A_0	4.840 64	4.853 63	5.95060	4.85915	5.94897	5.950 77		
A ₂	-34.7775	-35.1855	-48.1532	-35.1757	-48.1411	-48.1560		
A_4	145.883	150.476	229.125	150.080	229.069	229.079		
B_8/Z^5	1.409 06	1.407.78	1.41183	1.41939	1.42298	1.423 29		
B_{10}/Z^7	-6.30111	-6.11045	-6.27276	-7.18668	-7.31205	-7.31701		
B_{12}/Z^9	-51.0219	8.056 58	11.3897	24.8216	30.6749	29.3135		

TABLE IV. The expectation values of the momentum density, the quasirelativistic kinetic-energy operator, the relativistic kinetic-energy operator, the information entropies, and asymptotic coefficients for the Be atom. Note that the large-p asymptotic

atomic units; numbers in square brackets indicate powers of 10. F^{5+} Ne^{6+} O^{4+} C^{2+} N^{3+} B^+ Property $\langle p^{-2} \rangle$ 1.55751 2.70182 2.01241 10.0801 5.84443 3.82402 21.8648 $\langle p \rangle$ 12.3010 14.6996 17.0885 19.4770 9.91742 $\langle p^2\rangle$ 176.205 220.578 102.441 136.820 48.6784 73.0600 $\langle p^3 \rangle$ 3531.92 1143.91 1748.45 2536.71 386.923 698.097 $\langle p^4 \rangle$ 101 286.0 12076.6 23 046.8 40 193.0 65 545.1 5591.88 $-4.3630[-1]$ $-6.7421[-1]$ $-1.5341[-1]$ $-2.6754[-1]$ E_4 $-3.7222[-2]$ $-8.0387[-2]$ $9.4925[-2]$ 5.6036 $[-2]$ $\langle H_0 \rangle$ $2.8290[-3]$ $7.2001[-3]$ $1.5775[-2]$ $3.0971[-2]$ 22.3477 23.9753 25.4056 15.3956 18.2051 20.4588 S_{Π} -5.2604 S_{ρ}
 $S_{\Pi} + S_{\rho}$ 4.8960 2.0296 $-2.5955[-1]$ -2.1723 -3.8172 20.1453 20.1581 20.2915 20.2347 20.1993 20.1754 0.08733 0.128 56 0.200 52 A_0 1.46540 0.65489 0.33892 -0.07554 -0.03949 -0.38395 -0.15909 $A₂$ -4.40971 -1.12800 0.00994 0.070 56 0.02477 A_4 0.244 13 7.2507 1.1123 1.61615 1.63428 B_8/Z^5 1.564 59 1.59299 1.47663 1.52709 -6.63746 -6.86642 -6.79260 B_{10}/Z^{7} -6.39233 -6.67210 -6.57037 17.898 17 17.53192 9.69505 10.513 19 B_{12}/Z^9 18.4346 -2.32092

TABLE V. The expectation values of the momentum density, the quasirelativistic kinetic-energy operator, the relativistic kineticenergy operator, the information entropies, and asymptotic coefficients for the Be-atom isoelectronic sequence. All numbers are in

in Figs. 1 and 2 for the Be the isoelectronic sequence. From Fig. 1 it is clear that there is a large deviation between the CI and HF densities up to $p \approx 1.5$ a.u. The difference decreases as one moves down the isoelectronic sequence, i.e., largest for Be $(\approx 30\%)$ and smallest for Ne⁶⁺ (\approx 8%). The large negative deviation of $\Delta \overline{\Pi}(p)$ near the valence shell region at small-p values can be understood intuitively. It is well known that in the HF description of the atom each electron obeying the exclusion principle moves in the average potential without disturbing the position of the other electrons. As soon as electron correlation is introduced, the electrons start avoiding each other. Consequently the expectation value of the kinetic-energy operator enhances. Furthermore the electron moves under an increased effective nuclear charge due to lesser screening. These effects together increase the mean radius of the electron distribution in momentum space (a decrease in the mean radius of the electron distribution in position space; for a detailed discussion see Benesch and Smith [3]). Further, the decreasing trend along the isoelectronic sequence reflects the result that the effect of electron correlation decreases near the valence shell region. A similar variation can be seen in Fig. 2 for $\Delta \bar{J}(q)$. Again we see here that the correlation effects on this quantity are appreciable but not as important as the case of $\Delta \overline{\Pi}(p)$. The fact that the relative differences are smaller in this case may be attributed to an averaging out of $\Delta \overline{\Pi}(p)$ due to the integration [see Eq. (7)].

On examination, a similar behavior is also noticed for the corresponding $\bar{J}(q)$ and the moments of the momentum density (see Tables IV and V). The corresponding trend in the asymptotic coefficients of $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ for the Be atom and its isoelectronic sequence (see Tables IV and V) is more similar to the case of $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ in that the differences from the Hartree-Fock level (see Ref. [16]) are relatively large. On comparison with the coefficients at the HF level (see Table VI), this seems to be also true for the remainder of the isoelectronic sequence with the largest differences occurring in the B_{12} coefficient. This should be expected since the parent momentum densities are themselves quite different. The excellent agreement between the results obtained by using Bunge's [7] 650 term and EB's [8] 508 term CI wave functions over the entire range of p and q values studied here leads us to conclude that $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ and $\overline{J}(q)$ and the moments of the momentum density depend on a good balance of the electronic distribution among the different atomic shells as it permits an

TABLE VI. The first three coefficients in the small-p MacLaurin and large-p asymptotic expansion of the spherically averaged momentum density for the Be-atom isoelectronic sequence computed from Hartree-Fock wave functions [17].

Coefficient	\mathbf{R}^+	\mathcal{C}^{2+}	N^{3+}	Ω^{4+}	\mathbf{F}^{5+}	Ne^{6+}
A_0	1.699 73	0.72045	0.37294	0.21883	0.139.55	0.09453
A ₂	-5.74565	-1.33968	-0.44015	-0.17970	-0.08453	-0.04405
A_{4}	11.2237	1.41186	0.290 55	0.08206	0.02838	0.01136
B_8/Z^5	1.491.63	1.54385	1.58138	1.610.55	1.63102	1.65143
B_{10}/Z^7	-7.31787	-7.38988	-7.40211	-7.41116	-7.34279	-7.37392
$B_{12}Z^9$	27.5800	27.2074	26.5733	26.1364	24.9891	24.9539

appropriate representation of the density at the nucleus and at large distances and to a lesser extent on the amount of CE included in the wave function. We have tabulated in Tables VII and VIII values of $\Pi(p)$ and $J(q)$ at various values of p and q , which have been constructed from the wave functions of Esquivel, Smith, and Bunge $[10]$.

The information entropies in Table IV for the Be atom reveal some interesting trends. First, the S_ρ values decrease from the HF to the CI quantities while S_{II} increases. These trends are not in agreement with those of Gadre et al. [11] where the opposite behavior for S_{ρ} and S_{II} was shown on going from a poor HF basis to a near Hartree-Fock one. Second, the sum $S_{\text{II}}+S_{\rho}$ is a maximum for the CI functions and a minimum for the HF case. This agrees with the conjecture $[11]$ that the entropy sum enhances with the quality of the wave function. It is interesting to note that although the CI wave function of Bunge [7] includes more correlation than that of the EB [8] function, the entropy sums are about the same. This observation may serve to further validate the

opinion [8] that a fairly balanced electronic distribution may be obtained by using a density convergence criterion instead of the usual approach based on the correlation energy. The results from the Be isoelectronic sequence in Table V show the same kinds of trends as those presented for the near Hartree-Fock case: (i) S_ρ decreases with increasing atomic number, Z; (ii) S_Π enhances as Z increases; (iii) the sum $S_{\rho} + S_{\Pi}$ decreases very slowly as Z increases.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The present calculation clearly demonstrates that the effects of electron correlation are very important in obtaining the accurate $\overline{\Pi}(p)$ and $\overline{J}(q)$ particularly in the valence region. The remarkable resemblance of the properties calculated using Bunge's [7] wave function with those of EB's [8] CI wave function for the Be atom clearly indicates that the Esquivel and Bunge wave function is

TABLE VIII. The Compton profile for Be and its isoelectronic sequence.

q (a.u.)	Be	\mathbf{B}^+	C^{2+}	N^{3+}	O^{4+}	$\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{5+}}$	Ne^{6+}
0.00	2.954 54	2.043 08	1.568 54	1.27591	1.076 54	0.93160	0.82130
0.04	2.93028	2.03574	1.56530	1.274 21	1.075 53	0.93096	0.82086
0.10	2.80743	1.99773	1.54848	1.26533	1.07026	0.927 57	0.81856
0.20	2.42387	1.869 55	1.49039	1.234 27	1.05174	0.91564	0.81042
0.30	1.93621	1.67972	1.40002	1.18479	1.02181	0.89620	0.79710
0.40	1.47096	1.45656	1.28586	1.12000	0.98185	0.869 92	0.77895
0.50	1.098 66	1.22808	1.15759	1.04375	0.933 58	0.83766	0.75643
0.60	0.83374	1.01629	1.02470	0.96020	0.87898	0.800 45	0.730 10
0.70	0.65899	0.83449	0.89534	0.87342	0.82011	0.75939	0.70061
0.80	0.54819	0.68760	0.775 58	0.78707	0.75903	0.71564	0.66861
0.90	0.47813	0.57438	0.66925	0.704 17	0.697 59	0.67032	0.63480
1.00	0.43213	0.49005	0.57808	0.62699	0.63744	0.624 49	0.59904
1.20	0.37392	0.38401	0.440 42	0.49501	0.52604	0.53498	0.52904
1.40	0.331 69	0.32690	0.35222	0.395 18	0.43163	0.45305	0.46062
1.60	0.293 60	0.29170	0.29773	0.324 27	0.35643	0.38217	0.39784
1.80	0.257 54	0.26505	0.263 29	0.27577	0.29932	0.323 66	0.34267
2.00	0.22384	0.241 42	0.239 51	0.24290	0.25737	0.27712	0.29590
2.20	0.19307	0.21901	0.22089	0.22001	0.22708	0.241 14	0.25744
2.40	0.165 55	0.19746	0.204 54	0.203 02	0.205 14	0.21382	0.22656
2.60	0.14136	0.17695	0.18918	0.18928	0.18884	0.19321	0.20218
2.80	0.12034	0.15772	0.17437	0.17722	0.17612	0.17754	0.18312
3.00	0.10226	0.13996	0.16002	0.16599	0.165 57	0.16535	0.16822
3.20	0.08681	0.12377	0.14624	0.15520	0.15627	0.15549	0.15647
3.40	0.073 68	0.109 17	0.13313	0.14471	0.14766	0.14714	0.14700
3.60	0.062 55	0.096 10	0.12080	0.134 51	0.13943	0.13973	0.13914
3.80	0.053 15	0.084 49	0.10931	0.124 64	0.13144	0.13289	0.13238
4.00	0.04521	0.074 22	0.09871	0.115 17	0.12363	0.12638	0.12633
4.50	0.03039	0.053 62	0.07595	0.093 53	0.104 99	0.11088	0.11288
5.00	0.02067	0.03882	0.05813	0.075 15	0.08799	0.09621	0.10048
6.00	0.00998	0.02070	0.03399	0.04773	0.06018	0.07024	0.07751
7.00	0.00510	0.01140	0.02010	0.030 19	0.04048	0.04996	0.05797
8.00	0.00274	0.006 50	0.01213	0.01924	0.02715	0.035 13	0.042 57
9.00	0.001 55	0.00384	0.00750	0.01243	0.01830	0.024 65	0.03102
10.0	0.00091	0.00235	0.00475	0.008 17	0.01246	0.01737	0.022 57

of the same quality and can be safely used to obtain accurate $\overline{\Pi}(p)$, $\overline{J}(q)$, and moments of the momentum density. Furthermore, the entropy sum $S_{\rho} + S_{\Pi}$ is shown to increase with the inclusion of electron correlation which lends credence to the argument that this quantity may be useful in judging the accuracy of a particular wave function. Based on this criterion, our results also suggest that the quality of the electronic charge distribution obtained from a particular basis depends to a lesser extent on the amount of electron correlation included with that basis. This study further validates the opinion that in the construction of accurate densities, density convergence criteria are superior to those based solely on the correlation energy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERCC). One of us (R.E.) wishes to acknowledge financial support from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (México).

1974).

- [2] R. Benesch and V. H. Smith, Jr., in Wave Mechanics: The First Fifty Years, edited by W. C. Price, S. S. Chissick, and T. Ravensdale (Butterworths, London, 1973).
- [3]R. Benesch and V. H. Smith, Jr., Phys. Rev. A 5, 114 (1972).
- [4] V. H. Smith, Jr. and R. E. Brown, Chem. Phys. Lett. 20, 424 (1973);V. H. Smith, Jr., R. E. Brown, and A. J. Thak-

^{&#}x27;Permanent address: Department of Physics, University of Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India.

tPermanent address: Departamento de Quimica, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Apartado Postal 55-534, Iztapalapa 09340, Mexico.

^[1] B. G. Williams, Compton Scattering (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977); R. A. Bonham and M. Fink, High Energy Electron Scattering (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York,

kar, Phys. Rev. A 7, 1192 (1973); R. E. Brown and V. H. Smith, Jr., Mol. Phys. 34, 713 (1977); R. Benesch and V. H. Smith, Jr., Int. J. Quantum Chem. 4S, 131 (1970); Chem. Phys. Lett. 5, 601 (1971); L. B. Mendelsohn, B. Block, and V. H. Smith, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 266 (1973).

- [5] I. E. McCarthy and E. Weigold, Rep. Prog. Phys. 54, 789 (1991).
- [6] L. Mendelsohn and V. H. Smith, Jr., in Compton Scatter ing, The Investigation of Electron Momentum Distribu tions, edited by B. G. Williams (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977).
- [7] C. F. Bunge, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 18, 293 (1976); Phys. Rev. A 14, 1965 (1976).
- [8]R. O. Esquivel and A. V. Bunge, Int. J. Quantum. Chem. 32, 295 (1987).
- [9] A. V. Bunge and R. O. Esquivel, Phys. Rev. A 34, 853 (1986).
- [10] R. O. Esquivel, V. H. Smith, Jr., and A. V. Bunge (unpublished).
- [11] S. R. Gadre, S. B. Sears, S. J. Chakravorty, and R. D. Bendale, Phys. Rev. A 32, 2602 (1985).
- [12] S. A. Alexander, R. L. Coldwell, and H. J. Monkhorst, J. Comput. Phys. 76, 263 (1988), and references therein.
- [13] P. Kaiser and V. H. Smith, Jr., Adv. Quantum Chem. 10, 37 (1977)[~]
- [14] R. K. Pathak, B. S. Sharma, and A. J. Thakkar, J. Chem. Phys. 85, 958 (1986).
- [15]W. M. Westgate, R. P. Sagar, A. Farazdel, V. H. Smith, Jr., A. M. Simas, and A. J. Thakkar, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 48, 213 (1991).
- [16] A. J. Thakkar, A. L. Wonfor, and W. A. Pedersen, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 1212 (1987).
- [17]E. Clementi and C. Roetti, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 14, 177 (1974).