Analytic evaluation of the self-energy and outer-vertex corrections to the decay rate of orthopositronium in the Fried-Yennie gauge

Gregory S. Adkins, Ali A. Salahuddin, and Koenraad E. Schalm Franklin and Marshall College, Lancaster, Pennyslvania 17604 (Received 21 October 1991)

In this paper, the order- α contributions of the self-energy and outer-vertex graphs to the decay rate of orthopositronium in the Fried-Yennie gauge are obtained in analytic form.

PACS number(s): 36.10.Dr, 12.20.Ds

This Brief Report describes the analytic calculation of the self-energy and outer-vertex contributions to the decay rate of orthopositronium in the Fried-Yennie gauge. These graphs contribute to the rate at order $(\alpha/\pi)\Gamma_{\rm LO}$, where

$$\Gamma_{\rm LO} = \frac{2}{9\pi} (\pi^2 - 9) m \alpha^6 \tag{1}$$

is the lowest-order rate [1,2]. These are the first results in a study of the orthopositronium decay rate in the Fried-Yennie gauge. All previous numerical [3-7] and analytical [8-12] work on radiative corrections to orthopositronium decay has been done in the Feynman and Coulomb gauges.

The Fried-Yennie gauge seems optimal for higherorder calculations of positronium decay rates. The Fried-Yennie gauge propagator

$$D_{\rm FY}^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-1}{k^2} \left[g^{\mu\nu} + 2 \frac{k^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{k^2} \right]$$
(2)

is covariant and is only slightly more complicated than the Feynman gauge propagator $-g^{\mu\nu}/k^2$. The Fried-Yennie gauge has agreeable infrared properties [13]. The Coulomb gauge shares this good behavior in the infrared, but has a noncovariant propagator which complicates the evaluation of multiloop graphs. The other covariant gauges are poorly behaved in the infrared. Since good infrared behavior and a relatively simple covariant propagator seem essential for multiloop bound-state calculations, we believe that the Fried-Yennie gauge is the only viable gauge for use in calculating the order- $\alpha^2 \Gamma_{LO}$ corrections to the decay rates. Calculation of the orthopositronium decay rate to order $\alpha^2 \Gamma_{LO}$ is needed to understand the implications of recent high-precision measurements of this rate [14,15].

The orthopositronium decay rate can be written as [7]

$$\Gamma = \frac{m}{2^7 \pi^3} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_{1-x_1}^1 dx_2 \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\epsilon_m} \frac{1}{3!} |\mathcal{M}|^2 , \qquad (3)$$

where $x_i = \omega_i / m$ is the normalized energy of photon *i*, ϵ_i is the polarization vector of photon *i*, and ϵ_m is the orthopositronium spin vector. Energy conservation constrains the normalized photon energies by $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$. The invariant matrix element

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm LO} + 2\mathcal{M}_{\rm SE} + 2\mathcal{M}_{\rm OV} + \cdots \tag{4}$$

has contributions from each of the graphs in Fig. 1. The two self-energy graphs contribute equally, as do the two outer-vertex graphs.

The self-energy and outer-vertex graphs differ from the lowest-order graph by radiative corrections on or adjacent to one of the outer vertices. Thus the self-energy and outer-vertex matrix elements can be obtained from the lowest-order matrix element by the replacement of one of the outer-vertex factors (a γ matrix) by a more complicated factor. The lowest-order matrix element is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm LO} = -i\pi\alpha^3 \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \frac{x_{\sigma(2)}}{x_1 x_2 x_3} \operatorname{tr} \left[\gamma \epsilon_{\sigma(3)} (-\gamma R_{\sigma(3)} + 1) \gamma \epsilon_{\sigma(2)} (\gamma R_{\sigma(1)} + 1) \gamma \epsilon_{\sigma(1)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right], \tag{5}$$

where the sum is over the 3! permutations of the final photons and the R's are the dimensionless momentum vectors $R_i = N - K_i$ with N = (1,0) and $K_i = (\omega_i / m, \mathbf{k}_i / m)$. The self-energy and outer-vertex matrix elements are obtained by the replacement

$$\gamma \epsilon_{\sigma(1)} \to \Lambda(x_{\sigma(1)}) \epsilon_{\sigma(1)} \tag{6}$$

for "vertex correction" matrices Λ^{λ} that are functions of the single variable $x_{\sigma(1)}$. These "vertex correction" matrices have the form

$$\Lambda^{\lambda}(x_i) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} [\gamma^{\lambda} f(x_i) + (\gamma R_i - 1) \gamma^{\lambda} g(x_i) + (\gamma R_i - 1) N^{\lambda} h(x_i) + N^{\lambda} j(x_i)], \quad (7)$$

where

$$f_{\rm SE}(x) = \frac{12x^2}{(1-2x)^2} \ln(2x) + \frac{6x}{1-2x} , \qquad (8a)$$

$$g_{\rm SE}(x) = \frac{6x}{(1-2x)^2} \ln(2x) + \frac{3}{1-2x}$$
, (8b)

© 1992 The American Physical Society



FIG. 1. Contributions to the decay rate of orthopositronium: (a) the lowest-order graph, (b) the self-energy graphs, and (c) the outer-vertex graphs.

 $h_{\rm SE}(x) = 0 , \qquad (8c)$

$$j_{\rm SE}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 , \qquad (8d)$$

for the renormalized self-energy correction, and

$$f_{\rm OV}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \eta(x) + \frac{2 - 6x - 4x^2}{(1 - 2x)^2} \ln(2x) - \frac{4x}{1 - 2x} , \qquad (9a)$$

$$g_{\rm OV}(x) = \frac{-4x}{(1-2x)^2} \ln(2x) - \frac{2}{1-2x}$$
, (9b)

$$h_{\rm OV}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{1}{x} \eta(x) + \frac{2 - 10x + 8x^2}{(1 - 2x)^2} \ln(2x) - \frac{2(1 - x)}{1 - 2x} \right],$$
(9c)

$$j_{\rm OV}(x) = \frac{-4x}{(1-2x)^2} \ln(2x) - \frac{2}{1-2x} , \qquad (9d)$$

for the renormalized outer-vertex correction. The η function

$$\eta(x) = \xi(2) - Li_2(1 - 2x) \tag{10}$$

contains a dilogarithm [16]. The fact that the h_{OV} and j_{OV} functions are the same in the Fried-Yennie gauge as in the Feynman gauge [10] is a consequence of gauge invariance.

The order- α corrections to the decay rate come from cross terms in the square of \mathcal{M} . The self-energy correction Γ_{SE} comes from Eq. (3) by the replacement

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \rightarrow 2 \times 2 \operatorname{Re}[(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{LO}})^* \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SE}}]$$
(11)

and the outer-vertex correction Γ_{OV} by the replacement

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \rightarrow 2 \times 2 \operatorname{Re}[(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{LO}})^* \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{OV}}]$$
 (12)

Since the phase space and $\mathcal{M}_{\rm LO}$ are symmetric under photon interchange, there is no need to symmetrize in $\mathcal{M}_{\rm SE}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\rm OV}$ as well. Thus the "vertex correction" can be taken to act on photon 1 only. On performing the polarization sums, the spin sum, the resulting trace [17], and the x_2 integration, one obtains

$$\Gamma_{\rm SE} = \frac{m\alpha^7}{6\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{x^2} [P_f(x) f_{\rm SE}(x) + P_g(x)(-2x)g_{\rm SE}(x) + P_h(x)(-2x)h_{\rm SE}(x) + P_j(x)j_{\rm SE}(x)]$$
(13)

(where $x = x_1$) for the self-energy correction and a similar form for the outer-vertex correction. The *P* factors are [10]

$$P_{f}(x) = \left[\frac{-8}{2-x} + 12 - 5x + x^{2}\right] \ln(1-x) + 8x - 3x^{2},$$
(14a)
$$P_{g}(x) = \left[\frac{8}{(2-x)^{2}} - \frac{18}{2-x} + 10 + x\right] \ln(1-x)$$

$$+\frac{m}{2-x}+x, \qquad (14b)$$

$$P_{h}(x) = \left[\frac{-\frac{1}{(2-x)^{2}} + \frac{1}{2-x} - \frac{3x}{2}}{2-x}\right] \ln(1-x)$$
$$-\frac{2x}{2-x} + 3x + \frac{x^{2}}{2}, \qquad (14c)$$

$$P_{j}(x) = \left[\frac{-8}{(2-x)^{2}} + \frac{14}{2-x} - 8x + 2x^{2}\right] \ln(1-x)$$
$$-\frac{4x}{2-x} + 7x - 3x^{2}.$$
(14d)

Integration of Eq. (13) yields the final results

$$\Gamma_{\rm SE} = \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^2} \left[-\frac{13}{54} \zeta(3) + \frac{461}{108} \zeta(2) \ln(2) - \frac{251}{72} \zeta(2) - \frac{29}{6} \ln(2) + \frac{9}{2} \right]$$
$$= \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^2} (-0.007 \, 132 \, 904)$$
$$= \Gamma_{\rm LO} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} (-0.036 \, 911 \, 113)$$
(15)

and

$$\Gamma_{\rm OV} = \frac{m\,\alpha^7}{\pi^2} \left[-\frac{_{88}}{_{54}} \zeta(3) - \frac{_{299}}{_{216}} \zeta(2) \ln(2) + \frac{_{49}}{_{18}} \zeta(2) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{_{13}}{_{6}} \ln(2) - 2 - \frac{_1}{_{6}} R \right] \\ = \frac{m\,\alpha^7}{\pi^2} (0.732\,986\,380) \\ = \Gamma_{\rm LO} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} (3.793\,033\,599) \,.$$
(16)

The outer-vertex result contains the degree-four [18] quantity

$$R = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\ln(1-x)}{2-x} [\zeta(2) - Li_2(1-2x)]$$

= -1.743 033 833 7(3), (17)

which has not been evaluated analytically [19].

The self-energy and outer-vertex graphs are the first orthopositronium decay graphs to be evaluated in the Fried-Yennie gauge. A numerical evaluation of the remaining order- α graphs in the Fried-Yennie gauge is in progress. When this work is completed, we will have a new and independent result for the orthopositronium decay rate to order α . This result will be of use in higherorder calculations of the decay rate using the Fried-Yennie gauge.

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the NSF through Grant No. PHY90-08449 and of Franklin and Marshall College through the Hackman Scholars Program.

- [1] A. Ore and J. L. Powell, Phys. Rev. 75, 1696 (1949).
- [2] The conventions and natural units $[\hbar = c = 1, \alpha = e^2/4\pi \approx (137)^{-1}]$ of J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964), are used throughout. The symbol *m* represents the electron mass, $m \approx 0.511$ MeV.
- [3] P. Pascual and E. de Rafael, Lett. Nuovo Cimento IV, 1144 (1970).
- [4] M. A. Stroscio and J. M. Holt, Phys. Rev. A 10, 749 (1974).
- [5] M. A. Stroscio, Phys. Lett. 50A, 81 (1974).
- [6] W. E. Caswell, G. P. Lepage, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 488 (1977).
- [7] G. S. Adkins, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 146, 78 (1983).
- [8] W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. A 20, 36 (1979).
- [9] M. A. Stroscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 571 (1982).
- [10] G. S. Adkins, Phys. Rev. A 27, 530 (1983).

- [11] G. S. Adkins, Phys. Rev. A 31, 1250 (1985).
- [12] I. B. Khriplovich and A. S. Yelkhovsky, Phys. Lett. B 246, 520 (1990).
- [13] H. M. Fried and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. 112, 1391 (1958).
- [14] C. I. Westbrook, D. W. Gidley, R. S. Conti, and A. Rich, Phys. Rev. A 40, 5489 (1989).
- [15] J. S. Nico, D. W. Gidley, A. Rich, and P. W. Zitzewitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1344 (1990).
- [16] L. Lewin, *Polylogarithms and Associated Functions* (Elsevier, New York, 1981).
- [17] The traces were performed by REDUCE: See A. C. Hearn, Stanford University Report No. ITP-247 (unpublished).
- [18] See Appendix A of R. Barbieri, J. A. Mignaco, and E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cimento A 11, 824 (1972).
- [19] Our outer-vertex result could be called "semianalytic" instead of "analytic" for this reason.