

Integral representations of the Jost function

B. Talukdar, U. Laha,* and U. Das†

Department of Physics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan 731 235, India

(Received 31 January 1990)

Apparently different criteria are used to arrive at the integral representations of Jost functions. In dealing with this we present a guideline to rederive these results only from the Volterra integral equation satisfied by the Jost solutions. Both on- and off-shell Jost-type functions are considered. Using one of our formal results, we derive a useful expression for the s -wave Coulomb off-shell Jost solution.

At an energy $E = k^2 > 0$ the Jost function¹ $f_l(k)$ is determined by the behavior of the irregular solution $f_l(k, r)$ of the radial Schrödinger equation near the origin. This function has played a central role in examining the analytic properties of partial-wave scattering amplitudes. For a given angular momentum l , there exist two integral representations² for $f_l(k)$; one in terms of the irregular solution $f_l(k, r)$ and the other in terms of the regular solution $\varphi_l(k, r)$. The integral representation involving the irregular solution follows in a rather straightforward way from the integral equation for $f_l(k, r)$. In contrast to this the other integral representation is derived with particular attention to the asymptotic behavior of $\varphi_l(k, r)$.

In the recent past, Fuda and Whiting³ have introduced an off-energy-shell generalization of the Jost function. The off-shell Jost function $f_l(k, q)$ is also determined from the irregular solution of an inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation in the same way as $f_l(k)$ is obtained from $f_l(k, r)$. Here q is an off-shell momentum. The function $f_l(k, q)$ is normalized so that, for scattering on short-range potentials, $f_l(k, q)$ becomes the ordinary Jost function $f_l(k)$ on the energy shell, i.e., when $q = k$. For the Coulomb potential, however, $f_l(k, q)$ exhibits a discontinuity⁴ as $q \rightarrow k$. The half-off-shell T matrix can be expressed directly in terms of off-shell Jost functions. Also by exploiting the relations which exist between the fully off-shell T matrix elements and half-off-shell T matrices, one can write the off-shell T matrix in terms of the off-shell Jost function. As with $f_l(k)$, the function $f_l(k, q)$ has also two integral representations. One of these is given in terms of $f_l(k, q, r)$, the off-shell Jost solution. This result follows from the integral equation for $f_l(k, q, r)$. The other one involves a free-particle off-shell solution and the on-shell function $\varphi_l(k, r)$. The derivation of the second integral representation is rather tricky. For example, Fuda⁵ obtained it by using a momentum space formulation of the off-shell Jost function and taking recourse to Kowalski's generalization of the Sasakawa method.⁶ Thus it is clear that we do not have a common basis to derive these integral representations.

One of our objectives in this work is to look for a unified prescription to arrive at these integral representations. We shall achieve this by writing a representation

for $f_l(k, q, r)$, which has not hitherto been discussed in the literature. Further, we shall demonstrate that this new representation provides a natural basis for expressing the off-shell Coulomb Jost solution in simple analytical form. The result for the Coulomb off-shell Jost function has appeared in a number of publications,⁷ while the result for the Coulomb off-shell Jost solution is new to our knowledge. For clarity of presentation we shall deal only with the s -wave case and omit the subscript, $l = 0$. The treatment of the higher partial wave will not involve any new mathematical complication.

The off-shell Jost solution $f(k, q, r)$ for a spherically symmetric potential $V(r)$ satisfies the Schrödinger-like equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + k^2 - V(r) \right] f(k, q, r) = (k^2 - q^2) e^{iqr}. \quad (1)$$

The function $f(k, q, r)$ has asymptotic normalization

$$f(k, q, r) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} e^{iqr}. \quad (2)$$

When $q = \pm k$, $f(k, q, r)$ goes over into the two irregular solutions of the Schrödinger equation which enter into the theory of the ordinary Jost function $f(k)$ and we have

$$f(\pm k, r) = f(k, \pm k, r). \quad (3)$$

Equations (2) and (3) hold when the first and second moments of $V(r)$ are finite. The Coulomb case needs separate considerations. We shall introduce them while dealing with the Coulomb problem.

With Fuda and Whiting³ we assume that the particular integral of Eq. (1) represents the off-shell Jost solution. We thus write

$$f(k, q, r) = (k^2 - q^2) \int_r^\infty G^I(k, r, r') e^{iqr'} dr', \quad (4)$$

where $G^I(k, r, r')$ stands for the irregular Green's function for motion in the potential $V(r)$ and is given by

$$G^I(k, r, r') = \begin{cases} 0, & r' < r \\ [\phi(k, r')f(k, r) - \phi(k, r)f(k, r')] / f(k), & r' > r. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Here $\phi(k, r)$ and $f(k, r)$ are the regular and irregular solution of the Schrödinger equation for $V(r)$. Obviously, $f(k)$ is the corresponding Jost function. From Eqs. (4) and (5) and the radial Schrödinger equation, we have found that

$$f(k, q, r) = e^{iqr} + \frac{1}{f(k)} \int_r^\infty [f(k, r)\phi(k, r') - \phi(k, r)f(k, r')] \times V(r')e^{iqr'} dr' . \tag{6}$$

In deriving Eq. (6) we have used the boundary conditions² on $f(k, r)$ and $\phi(k, r)$. Interestingly, Eq. (6) is the formal solution of the integral equation

$$f(k, q, r) = e^{iqr} + \int_r^\infty G_0^I(k, r, r')V(r')f(k, q, r')dr' \tag{7}$$

with $G_0^I(k, r, r')$, the free-particle irregular Green's function written as

$$G_0^I(k, r, r') = \begin{cases} 0, & r' < r \\ -k^{-1}\sin k(r-r'), & r' > r . \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Although Eq. (6) appears to follow in a rather straightforward way from Eq. (8), it was never used to derive the integral representations for Jost functions. In the on-shell limit Eq. (6) gives

$$f(k, r) = e^{ikr} + \frac{1}{f(k)} \int_r^\infty [f(k, r)\phi(k, r') - \phi(k, r)f(k, r')] \times V(r')e^{ikr'} dr' . \tag{9}$$

From Eqs. (6) and (9) the integral representations of $f(k, q)$ and $f(k)$ are obtained as

$$f(k, q) = f(k, q, 0) = 1 + \int_0^\infty e^{iqr}V(r)\phi(k, r)dr \tag{10}$$

and

$$f(k) = f(k, 0) = 1 + \int_0^\infty e^{ikr}V(r)\phi(k, r)dr . \tag{11}$$

Thus in contrast to the derivation of Fuda⁵ and of Newton² we have arrived at Eqs. (10) and (11) only by using the formal solution of the familiar integral equations for $f(k, q, r)$ and $f(k, r)$. Note that the other integral representations follow directly from Eq. (7) and its on-shell version. This indicates that the integral equation for the Jost solution and its formal solution provide a common basis for deriving all the integral representations.

An important virtue of Eq. (10) is that it is applicable both for short-range and Coulomb potentials. We, therefore, venture to suggest that Eq. (6) will also hold good for the Coulomb potential $V^C(r) = 2\eta k/r$ with η , the Sommerfeld parameter. Using the regular and irregular solutions² of the Coulomb potential as well as the Coulomb Jost function in Eq. (6) we can write the Coulomb off-shell Jost solution in the form

$$f^C(k, q, r) = e^{iqr} - \Gamma(1+i\eta)re^{ikr} [2ik\Psi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr)I_1(r) + e^{-\pi\eta/2}\Phi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr)I_2(r)] , \tag{12}$$

where $\Phi(\)$ and $\Psi(\)$ stand for the regular and irregular confluent hypergeometric functions and the superscript C refers to the Coulomb potential. The quantities $I_1(r)$ and $I_2(r)$ are given by

$$I_1(r) = 2\eta k \int_r^\infty e^{i(k+q)r'}\Phi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr')dr' \tag{13a}$$

and

$$I_2(r) = -4i\eta k^2 e^{\pi\eta/2} \int_r^\infty e^{i(k+q)r'}\Psi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr')dr' . \tag{13b}$$

We have evaluated the values of $I_1(r)$ and $I_2(r)$ by using the integral representations⁸ of the $\Phi(\)$ and $\Psi(\)$ functions and arrived at

$$f^C(k, q, r) = e^{iqr} \left\{ 1 + \frac{4\eta k^2 r \Gamma(1+i\eta)}{k+q} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-i\eta)}\Psi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr) + e^{-\pi\eta}\Phi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr) \right) S(r) + \frac{k+q}{|\Gamma(1+i\eta)|^2}\Psi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr)T(r) \right] \right\} , \tag{14}$$

where $S(r)$ and $T(r)$ are given by

$$S(r) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(2ikr)^n}{[\Gamma(1+i\eta)]^2} \Gamma(n-i\eta) {}_2F_1 \left[1, 1+i\eta; 1+i\eta-n; \frac{q-k}{q+k} \right] \tag{15a}$$

and

$$T(r) = \frac{1}{2k} \left[\frac{q+k}{q-k} \right]^{i\eta} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(1+n-i\eta)\Gamma(i\eta-n)}{\Gamma(n+1)} \left[\frac{q-k}{2k} \right]^n (2ikr)^n . \tag{15b}$$

The series in Eqs. (15a) and (15b) are uniformly convergent and can therefore be evaluated on a digital computer. Making use of the fact that $\Psi(a, c; z) \sim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^{1-c} \Gamma(c-1)/\Gamma(a)$ and $\Phi(a, c; z) \sim_{z \rightarrow 0} 1$ and also that only $n=0$ terms of $S(r)$

and $T(r)$ remain nonvanishing near the origin, we can obtain the Jost function $f^C(k, q)$ from Eq. (14). We have⁴

$$f^C(k, q) = \left(\frac{q+k}{q-k} \right)^{i\eta}. \quad (16)$$

Another useful check on Eq. (14) consists in showing that⁴

$$f^C(k, r) = \lim_{q \rightarrow k} \left(\frac{q-k}{q+k} \right)^{i\eta} \frac{e^{\pi\eta/2}}{\Gamma(1+i\eta)} f^C(k, q, r). \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) can easily be verified from our results in Eqs. (14) and (15). As in the case of short-range potentials $f^C(k, q, r)$ also goes like e^{iqr} as $r \rightarrow \infty$. This is not apparent from Eq. (14). However, one can write Eq. (14) in the equivalent form

$$f^C(k, q, r) = e^{iqr} \left[1 + \frac{4\eta k^2 r}{k+q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2k}{k+q} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(1+i\eta+n)}{\Gamma(n+2)} \right. \\ \left. \times \{ \Psi(1+i\eta, 2; -2ikr) \Phi(1-i\eta, n+2; 2ikr) \right. \\ \left. - \Phi(1-i\eta, 2; 2ikr) (-1)^n \Gamma(n+2) \Psi(1+n+i\eta, n+2; -2ikr) \} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Using the asymptotic values⁸ of $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ in Eq. (18), one can check that $f^C(k, q, r) \sim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{iqr}$.

By using a Sturmian discretization of the Coulomb Green's function Dube and Broad⁹ have recently constructed some useful algorithms to compute the values of the outgoing-wave off-shell Coulomb function $\Psi_l^{C(+)}(k, q, r)$. But our results for $f^C(k, r)$ and $f^C(k, q, r)$, and their subsequent higher partial-wave generalization can be used to construct an exact analytic expression for $\Psi_l^{C(+)}(k, q, r)$. Given the expression for $\Psi_l^{C(+)}(k, q, r)$ one will be in a position to write a uncomplicated expression for the partially projected off-shell Coulomb T matrix in terms of the formula

$$T_l^C(p, q, k^2) = \frac{2}{\pi p q} \int_0^{\infty} dr \hat{j}_l(pr) V^C(r) \Psi_l^{C(+)}(k, q, r) \quad (19)$$

with $\hat{j}_l(x)$ the Riccati Bessel function. This conjecture represents a straightforward approach to deal with off-energy-shell scattering on the Coulomb potential. It is expected to circumvent in a rather natural way the typical difficulties associated with derivation¹⁰ of $T_l^C(\cdot)$ from the known expression for the three-dimensional Coulomb T matrix.¹¹

This work was supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India.

*Permanent address: Physics Department, Regional Institute of Technology, Jamshedpur, Bihar, India.

†Permanent address: Department of Physics, Abhedananda Mahavidyalaya, Sainthia 731 234, India.

¹R. Jost, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **20**, 256 (1947).

²R. G. Newton, *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).

³M. G. Fuda and J. S. Whiting, *Phys. Rev. C* **8**, 1255 (1973).

⁴H. van Haeringen, *Phys. Rev. A* **18**, 56 (1978); B. Talukdar, D. K. Ghosh, and T. Sasakawa, *ibid.* **29**, 1865 (1984).

⁵M. G. Fuda, *Phys. Rev. C* **14**, 37 (1976).

⁶K. L. Kowalski, *Nucl. Phys. A* **190**, 645 (1972).

⁷U. Laha Ph.D. thesis Visva-Bharati University, 1987.

⁸L. J. Slater, *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960).

⁹L. J. Dube and J. T. Broad, *J. Phys. B* **22**, L503 (1989).

¹⁰J. Dusek, *Czech. J. Phys. B* **31**, 941 (1981); H. van Haeringen, *J. Math. Phys.* **24**, 1267 (1983).

¹¹J. C. Y. Chen and A. C. Chen, in *Advances in Atomic and Molecular Physics*, edited by D. R. Bates and I. Esterman (Academic, New York, 1972).