

Nonuniform coordinate scaling requirements for exchange-correlation energy

Mel Levy and Hui Ou-Yang

Department of Chemistry and Quantum Theory Group, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118

(Received 27 February 1990)

Consider the nonuniformly scaled electron density $n_\lambda^x(x,y,z) = \lambda n(\lambda x, y, z)$, with analogous definitions for n_λ^y and n_λ^z . It is shown that it is generally true that $E_{xc}[n_\lambda^x] \neq E_{xc}[n_\lambda^y] \neq E_{xc}[n_\lambda^z]$, where E_{xc} is the exact exchange-correlation energy. A corresponding *inequality* also holds for the correlation component of E_{xc} when the correlation component is defined in one of the meaningful ways. In contrast, *equalities* always hold for the local-density approximations to these exact functionals. In other words, the local-density approximations for exchange correlation and for correlation alone do not distinguish between nonuniform scaling along different coordinates.

I. INTRODUCTION

For the purpose of improving approximate exchange-correlation density functionals it was recently shown¹ that the local-density approximation to the exchange-correlation functional does *not distinguish* between nonuniform scaling along different coordinates. That is,

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}[n_\lambda^x] = E_{xc}^{LDA}[n_\lambda^y] = E_{xc}^{LDA}[n_\lambda^z], \quad (1)$$

where the nonuniformly scaled density is

$$n_\lambda^x(x,y,z) = \lambda n(\lambda x, y, z). \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) follows from¹

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}[n_\lambda^q] = \int n(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{xc}[\lambda n(\mathbf{r})] d^3r, \quad q = x, y, z \quad (3)$$

where $\epsilon_{xc}[n(\mathbf{r})]$ is the exchange-correlation energy per electron of a uniform electron gas of density $n(\mathbf{r})$. In contrast to Eq. (1), it was also recently conjectured¹ that the exact exchange-correlation functional E_{xc} satisfies the following inequalities for a general density without special symmetry:

$$E_{xc}[n_\lambda^x] \neq E_{xc}[n_\lambda^y] \neq E_{xc}[n_\lambda^z]. \quad (4)$$

Accordingly, it is the purpose of this article to prove Eq. (4).

II. EXCHANGE-CORRELATION ENERGY

To arrive at Eq. (4), we start with

$$F[n_\lambda^x] \leq \langle \lambda^{N/2} \Psi_n^{\min}(\lambda x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, \lambda x_N, y_N, z_N) | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{e-e} | \lambda^{N/2} \Psi_n^{\min}(\lambda x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, \lambda x_N, y_N, z_N) \rangle, \quad (5)$$

which follows directly from^{1,2} the constrained-search identification^{3,4} of the universal Hohenberg-Kohn⁵ functional $F[n]$. Here, Ψ_n^{\min} is that wave function which is constrained to yield n and minimizes $\langle \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{e-e} \rangle$, and $F[n_\lambda^x]$ is partitioned as

$$F[n_\lambda^x] = T_s[n_\lambda^x] + U[n_\lambda^x] + E_{xc}[n_\lambda^x], \quad (6)$$

where T_s is the Kohn-Sham⁶ noninteracting kinetic energy and U is the classical electron-electron repulsion energy.

By taking into consideration that \hat{T} is homogeneous of degree -2 and \hat{V}_{e-e} is homogeneous of degree -1 , Eq. (5) becomes

$$F[n_\lambda^x] - (\lambda^2 T_x[n] + T_y[n] + T_z[n] + \int \Gamma_n^{\min}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 | \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) [\lambda^{-2}(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2 + (z_1 - z_2)^2]^{-1/2} d^3r_1 d^3r_2) \leq 0, \quad (7)$$

where Γ_n^{\min} is the second-order matrix of Ψ_n^{\min} and where

$$T_q[n] = \left\langle \Psi_n^{\min} \left| -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \right| \Psi_n^{\min} \right\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Next, utilize the fact that Eq. (5) exhibits its maximum at $\lambda = 1$ and apply Eq. (6) to obtain

$$\left. \frac{\partial E_{xc}[n_\lambda^x]}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=1} = 2T_x[n] - 2T_s^x[n] + \int \Gamma_n^{\min}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 | \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) (x_1 - x_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2 - \int n(\mathbf{r}_1) n(\mathbf{r}_2) (x_1 - x_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2, \quad (9)$$

where

$$T_s^x = \left\langle \Phi_n^{\min} \left| -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right| \Phi_n^{\min} \right\rangle, \quad (10)$$

and where Φ_n^{\min} is the Kohn-Sham noninteracting wave function (often a single determinant).^{4,7,8} The second term in Eq. (9) arises from¹

$$\left(\frac{\partial T_s[n_\lambda^x]}{\partial \lambda} \right)_{\lambda=1} = 2T_s^x[n], \quad (11)$$

and the last term arises from taking $(\partial U[n_\lambda^x]/\partial \lambda)_{\lambda=1}$. Finally, it should be clear that Eq. (9) generalizes to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial E_{xc}[n_\lambda^q]}{\partial \lambda} \right)_{\lambda=1} &= 2T_q[n] - 2T_s^q[n] + \int \Gamma_n^{\min}(\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2|\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2)(q_1 - q_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \\ &\quad - \int n(\mathbf{r}_1)n(\mathbf{r}_2)(q_1 - q_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for q equal to x , y , or z , so that Eq. (4) follows because, for asymmetric n , the right-hand-side of Eq. (12) clearly varies with q .

III. CORRELATION ENERGY

There are slightly different ways of defining the correlation energy in density-functional theory. The easiest definition to consider in the context of this paper is^{9,10}

$$E_c[n] = \langle \Psi_n^{\min} | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{e-e} | \Psi_n^{\min} \rangle - \langle \Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min} | \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{e-e} | \Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min} \rangle, \quad (13)$$

where $\Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min}$ is that single determinant which yields n and minimizes^{3,11} $\langle \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{e-e} \rangle$, and where HF signifies Hartree-Fock. By following the techniques used for the whole E_{xc} above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial E_c[n_\lambda^q]}{\partial \lambda} \right)_{\lambda=1} &= 2T_q[n] - 2T_{\text{HF}}^q[n] + \int \Gamma_n^{\min}(\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2|\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2)(q_1 - q_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \\ &\quad - \int \Gamma_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min}(\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2|\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2)(q_1 - q_2)^2 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^{-3} d^3r_1 d^3r_2, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$T_{\text{HF}}^q[n] = \langle \Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min} | \hat{T} | \Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min} \rangle, \quad (15)$$

and $\Gamma_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min}$ is the 2-matrix for $\Phi_{n,\text{HF}}^{\min}$.

Equation (14) reveals that $E_c[n_\lambda^q]$ depends upon q . In contrast, any local-density approximation to E_c gives a result which is independent of q :

$$E_c^{\text{LDA}}[n_\lambda^q] = \int n(\mathbf{r})\epsilon_c[\lambda n(\mathbf{r})]d^3r \quad (16)$$

for all q .

¹H. Ou-Yang and M. Levy, this issue, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 155 (1990).

²M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 2010 (1985).

³M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76**, 6062 (1979).

⁴M. Levy, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **24**, 626 (1979).

⁵P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).

⁶W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).

⁷ T_s may be defined in slightly different ways. See, for instance, the discussion and references in the chapter by M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, in *Density Functional Methods in Physics*, edited

by R. M. Dreizler and J. da Providencia (Plenum, New York, 1985). In any case, Φ_n^{\min} is the original Kohn-Sham determinant when Φ_n^{\min} is a nondegenerate ground state of a noninteracting system with a local potential.

⁸J. K. Percus, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **13**, 89 (1978).

⁹S. Baroni and E. Tuncel, J. Chem. Phys. **79**, 6140 (1983).

¹⁰M. Levy, R. K. Pathak, J. P. Perdew, and S. Wei, Phys. Rev. A **36**, 2491 (1987).

¹¹P. W. Payne, J. Chem. Phys. **71**, 490 (1979).