Explicit N-soliton solution of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Zong-Yun Chen

Department of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, People's Republic of China

Nian-Ning Huang

Department of Physics, Wuhan University, , Wuhan 430072, Hubei, People's Republic of China (Received 16 October 1989; revised manuscript received 5 December 1989)

By means of the technique of determinant calculation, an explicit N-soliton solution of the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation is obtained for arbitrary N using the method of a meromorphic transformation matrix. The final expression is written in a form suitable for practical needs. Its expected asymptotic behavior is derived.

The modified nonlinear Schrödinger (MNLS) equation has been proposed^{1,2} to describe the short-pulse propagation in a long single-mode optical fiber in consideration of the inherent property of asymmetric output pulse spectrum.^{3,4} The MNLS equation has been shown to be completely integrable,⁵ but it has never been solved except for its one-soliton solution obtained by simply integrating in a moving coordinate,⁶ so that some works have to be based on the numerical analysis for suiting needs of practice.^{7,8}

Recently, we proposed a method⁹ based on an ansatz that the Jost solutions, and then the transformations among them, are meromorphic and have only simple poles. We also obtained a generalized Zakharov-Shabat system of linear algebraic equations for determining the *N*-soliton solution of the MNLS equation. From it we have obtained an expression in terms of a determinant of the known quantities.¹⁰ However, even in the case of a two-soliton solution, it is tedious to obtain an explicit expression.^{9,10}

In this work, we present an explicit expression of the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation from the generalized Zakharov-Shabat equations with the aid of pure algebraic calculation. In addition, we introduce a new spectral parameter to express the final expression in a form suitable for practical needs. We also derive the expected asymptotic behavior of the N-soliton solution.

The MNLS equation can be written in the following normalized form:

$$iu_{t} + u_{xx} + i(|u|^{2}u)_{x} + 2\rho|u|^{2}u = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where ρ is a real constant. In our previous paper,⁹ a method based on the Darboux transformation in the form of pole expansion has been proposed for finding its soliton solutions. The *N*-soliton solution can be expressed as

$$u_N = i 2 A \overline{B} , \qquad (2)$$

where the overbar denotes the complex conjugate, and

$$A = -2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j^{-1} \phi_j f_j^{-1} \zeta_j^{-2} , \qquad (3)$$

$$B = 1 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j^{-1} \psi_j f_j^{-1} \zeta_j^{-1} , \qquad (4)$$

$$a_{j} = \prod_{k(\neq j)} \frac{\xi_{j}^{2} - \xi_{k}^{2}}{\xi_{j}^{2} - \overline{\xi}_{k}^{2}} \frac{2\zeta_{j}}{\xi_{j}^{2} - \overline{\xi}_{j}^{2}} , \qquad (5)$$

$$f_j^{-1} = b_j^{-1} \exp\{-i[(\zeta_j^{-2} - \rho)x + 2(\zeta_j^{-2} - \rho)^2 t]\}, \quad (6)$$

and ϕ_j and $\overline{\psi}_k$ satisfy the following linear algebraic equations:

$$-\bar{\psi}_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \bar{f}_{k}^{-1} \frac{2\bar{\zeta}_{k}}{\bar{\zeta}_{k}^{2} - \zeta_{j}^{2}} f_{j}^{-1} a_{j}^{-1} \phi_{j} , \qquad (7)$$

$$\phi_{k} = f_{k}^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{k}^{-1} \frac{2\bar{\zeta}_{j}}{\zeta_{k}^{2} - \bar{\zeta}_{j}^{2}} \bar{f}_{j}^{-1} \bar{a}_{j}^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{j} .$$
(8)

 ζ_i and b_i are complex constants.

The purpose of this paper is to give an explicit N-soliton solution. Introducing

$$p_j = -i\zeta_j , \qquad (9)$$

$$\alpha_{j} = i \frac{1}{2} a_{j} = \prod_{k \ (\neq j)} \frac{p_{j}^{2} - p_{k}^{2}}{p_{j}^{2} - \overline{p}_{k}^{2}} \frac{p_{j}}{p_{j}^{2} - \overline{p}_{j}^{2}} , \qquad (10)$$

$$C = (\alpha_1^{-1/2} f_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_N^{-1/2} f_N^{-1}) , \qquad (11)$$

$$\Phi = (\alpha_1^{-1/2} \phi_1, \dots, \alpha_N^{-1/2} \phi_N) , \qquad (12)$$

$$\Psi = (\alpha_1^{-1/2} \psi_1, \dots, \alpha_N^{-1/2} \psi_N) , \qquad (13)$$

$$Q_{jk} = C_j \frac{\overline{p}_k}{p_j^2 - \overline{p}_k^2} \overline{C}_k , \qquad (14)$$

(7) and (8) can be written as

$$\overline{\Psi} = -\Phi \underline{Q} \quad , \tag{15}$$

$$\Phi = \underline{C} + \overline{\Psi} Q^T . \tag{16}$$

In virtue of (15) and (16), (3) and (4) may be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{A} = i\underline{C}(\underline{I} + \underline{Q} \ \underline{Q}^T)^{-1}\underline{p}^{-2}\underline{C}^T, \qquad (17)$$

$$\overline{B} = 1 + \underline{C}(\underline{I} + \underline{Q} \ \underline{Q}^{T})^{-1} \underline{Q} \ \underline{\overline{p}}^{-1} \ \underline{\overline{C}}^{T}, \qquad (18)$$

<u>41</u> 4066

© 1990 The American Physical Society

where p^{-2} is simply a diagonal matrix, i.e., diag $(p_1^{-2}, \ldots, p_N^{-2})$.

Since we have the known formula of linear algebra,

$$\det(x_i y_j + Z_{ij}) = \det(Z_{ij}) \left[1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^M x_i y_j (Z^{-1})_{ji} \right], \quad (19)$$

which is valid for a nonsingular $M \times M$ matrix and arbitrary rows x and y, (17) and (18) can be expressed as

$$A = i\{ [\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})]^{-1} \det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}') - 1 \}, \qquad (20)$$

$$\overline{B} = [\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})]^{-1} \det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}^{\prime\prime}), \qquad (21)$$

where

$$\underline{R} = \underline{Q} \ \underline{Q}^T , \qquad (22)$$

$$\underline{R}' = \underline{R} + \underline{p}^{-2} \underline{C}^T \underline{C} , \qquad (23)$$

$$\underline{R}^{\prime\prime} = \underline{R} + \underline{Q} \, \underline{\bar{p}}^{-1} \, \underline{\bar{C}}^{T} \underline{C} \, . \tag{24}$$

We have

$$\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r \le N} R(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r),$$
(25)

$$R(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}) = \sum_{1 \le k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{r} \le N} Q(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})^{2},$$
(26)

where we have taken account of the well-known Binet-Cauchy formula, and where $Q(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r; k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)$ denotes a minor that is a determinant of a submatrix of \underline{Q} by remaining (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r) th rows and (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) th columns. $Q(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r)$ means a principal minor, i.e., $Q(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r; j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r)$.

Using the known formula

$$det[(x_j + y_k)^{-1}] = \prod_{j < j'} (x_j - x_{j'}) \prod_{k < k'} (y_k - y_{k'}) \prod_{j,k} (x_j + y_k)^{-1}, \qquad (27)$$

we obtain

$$Q(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})^{2}$$

$$= \prod_{j} C_{j}^{2} \prod_{k} \overline{C}_{k}^{2} \overline{p}_{k}^{2} \prod_{j < j'} (p_{j}^{2} - p_{j'}^{2})^{2}$$

$$\times \prod_{k < k'} (\overline{p}_{k}^{2} - \overline{p}_{k'}^{2})^{2} \prod_{j,k} (p_{j}^{2} - \overline{p}_{k}^{2})^{-2}, \qquad (28)$$

where

$$j,j' \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r\}, k,k' \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}.$$
(29)

Since we can rewrite (23) as

$$\underline{R}' = \underline{Q}' \underline{Q}''^T , \qquad (30)$$

where \underline{Q}' and \underline{Q}'' are $N \times (N+1)$ matrices whose rows are extended from 1 to N, columns from 0 to N,

$$Q'_{jk} = Q''_{jk} = Q_{jk}$$
, (31)

$$Q'_{j0} = p_j^{-2} C_j, \quad Q''_{j0} = C_j \quad ,$$
 (32)

 $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. We have also

$$R'(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) = \sum_{0 \le k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \le N} Q'(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) Q''(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) .$$
(33)

The summation can be obviously decomposed into two parts: one is extended to $k_1 = 0$, the other to $k_1 \ge 1$. The latter is just $R(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r)$ on account of (31). We thus obtain

$$\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}') - \det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r \le N} \sum_{1 \le k_2 < \cdots < k_r \le N} \mathcal{Q}'(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; 0, k_2, \dots, k_r) \times \mathcal{Q}''(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; 0, k_2, \dots, k_r)$$
(34)

Using (27), we have

$$Q'(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; 0, k_2, \dots, k_r)Q''(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r; 0, k_2, \dots, k_r) = \prod_j C_j^2 \prod_k \bar{p}_k^2 \bar{C}_k^2 \prod_{j < j'} (p_j^2 - p_{j'}^2)^2 \prod_{k < k'} (\bar{p}_k^2 - \bar{p}_{k'}^2)^2 \prod_{j,k} (p_j^2 - \bar{p}_k^2)^{-2} \prod_j p_j^{-2} \prod_k \bar{p}_k^2 , \quad (35)$$

where

where

$$j, j' \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r\}$$
,
 $k, k' \in \{k_2, \dots, k_r\}$. (36)

$$S_{jk}' = C_j \frac{1}{p_j^2 - \bar{p}_k^2} \bar{C}_k , \qquad (38)$$

From (24), <u>R</u>" may be written as

$$\underline{R}^{\prime\prime} = \underline{S}^{\prime} \underline{S}^{\prime\prime T} , \qquad (37)$$

$$S_{jk}^{\prime\prime} = C_j \frac{p_j^2}{p_j^2 - \bar{p}_k^2} \bar{C}_k \quad . \tag{39}$$

We have

4067

BRIEF REPORTS

$$\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}'') = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \le N} \sum_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r \le N} S'(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r; j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \times S''(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r; j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) .$$
(40)

In analogy to (28), using (27), we have

$$S'(k_{1},k_{2},\ldots,k_{r};j_{1},j_{2},\ldots,j_{r})S''(k_{1},k_{2},\ldots,k_{r};j_{1},j_{2},\ldots,j_{r}) = \prod_{k} C_{k}^{2} \prod_{j} \overline{C}_{j}^{2} \prod_{k < k'} (p_{k}^{2} - p_{k'}^{2})^{2} \prod_{j < j'} (\overline{p}_{j}^{2} - \overline{p}_{j'}^{2})^{2} \prod_{k,j} (p_{k}^{2} - \overline{p}_{j}^{2})^{-2} \prod_{k} p_{k}^{2}, \quad (41)$$

where k, k' and j, j' satisfy (29). The complex conjugate of (41) is obviously just (28); we thus have an explicit expression of the N-soliton solution

$$u_{N} = -2 \frac{\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R}') - \det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})}{\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})} \frac{\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})}{\det(\underline{I} + \underline{R})}$$
(42)

by substituting (26), (28), (34), and (35).

Introducing a scale transformation,

$$x' = \gamma^{-1}x, t' = \gamma^{-2}t$$
, (43)

where γ is a real constant, from (1), we have

 $iu_{t'}+u_{x'x'}+i\gamma(|u|^2u)_{x'}+2\beta|u|^2u=0$, (44)

where u(x't') = u(xt), and $\beta = \pm 1$,

(42) with the aid of the scale transformation (43).

We now introduce new spectral parameters λ_i ,

$$\lambda_j = \gamma(\zeta_j^{-2} - \beta \gamma^{-2}) \tag{46}$$

or

$$q_j = i\gamma(p_j^{-2} + \beta\gamma^{-2}), \quad q_j = -i\lambda_j \quad . \tag{47}$$

We notice that (10), (28), and (35) can be expressed in terms of p_i^{-1} ,

$$\alpha_{j} = \prod_{k \ (\neq_{j})} \frac{p_{j}^{-2} - p_{k}^{-2}}{p_{j}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{k}^{-2}} \frac{-1}{p_{j}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{j}^{-2}} p_{j}^{-3} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\overline{p}_{l}^{-2}}{p_{l}^{-2}} \right),$$

$$Q(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})^{2}$$

$$(48)$$

$$=\prod_{j} C_{j}^{2} p_{j}^{-2} \prod_{k} \overline{C}_{k}^{2} \overline{p}_{k}^{-2} \prod_{\substack{j,j'\\j < j'}} (p_{j}^{-2} - p_{j'}^{-2})^{2} \prod_{\substack{k,k'\\k < k'}} (\overline{p}_{k}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{k'}^{-2})^{2} \prod_{j,k} (p_{j}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{k}^{-2})^{-2} \prod_{j} p_{j}^{-2} , \quad (49)$$

 $\rho = \beta \gamma^{-2}$.

and

$$Q'(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; 0, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})Q''(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; 0, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})$$

$$= \prod_{j} C_{j}^{2} p_{j}^{-2} \prod_{k} \overline{C}_{k}^{2} \overline{p}_{k}^{-2} \prod_{\substack{j,j' \\ j < j'}} (p_{j}^{-2} - p_{j'}^{-2})^{2} \prod_{\substack{k,k' \\ k < k'}} (\overline{p}_{k}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{k'}^{-2})^{2} \prod_{j,k} (p_{j}^{-2} - \overline{p}_{k}^{-2})^{-2} \prod_{k} \overline{p}_{k}^{-2} .$$
(50)
In virtue of (46) and (47), we have

(46) and (47),

$$Q(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})^{2} = \prod_{j} (C_{j}')^{2} \prod_{k} (\overline{C}_{k}')^{2} \prod_{\substack{j,j' \\ j < j'}} (q_{j} - q_{j'})^{2} \prod_{\substack{k,k' \\ k < k'}} (\overline{q}_{k} - \overline{q}_{k'})^{2} \prod_{j,k} (q_{j} + \overline{q}_{k})^{-2} \prod_{j} (\beta + i\gamma q_{j}) , \qquad (51)$$

$$Q'(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; 0, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})Q''(j_{1}, j_{2}, \dots, j_{r}; 0, k_{2}, \dots, k_{r})$$

$$=\prod_{j} (C_{j}')^{2} \prod_{k} \overline{(C_{k}')^{2}} \prod_{\substack{j,j'\\j < j'}} (q_{j} - q_{j'})^{2} \prod_{\substack{k,k'\\k < k'}} (\overline{q}_{k} - \overline{q}_{k'})^{2} \prod_{j,k} (q_{j} + \overline{q}_{k})^{-2} \prod_{k} (\beta - i\gamma \overline{q}_{k}) , \quad (52)$$

where

$$(C'_j)^2 = (\alpha'_j)^{-1} (f'_j)^{-2},$$
 (53)

$$(f'_{j})^{-2} = (b'_{j})^{-2} \exp[-2i(\lambda_{j}x' + 2\lambda_{j}^{2}t')]$$

= $(b'_{j})^{-2} \exp[2(q_{j}x' + i2q_{j}^{2}t')]$. (54)

$$\alpha_j' = \prod_{k \ (\neq j)} \frac{q_j - q_k}{q_j + \overline{q}_k} \frac{1}{q_j + \overline{q}_j} , \qquad (55)$$

and the new constant b'_i is defined by

$$(b'_{j})^{-2} = b_{j}^{-2} \frac{1}{-i\gamma p_{j}^{-1}} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left[\frac{p_{l}^{-2}}{\overline{p}_{l}^{-2}} \right].$$
(56)

By substituting (51) and (52), we obtain an explicit expression of the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation (44).

We now turn to derive the expected asymptotic behavior of the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation. From (54), we have

$$(f'_{j})^{-2} = \exp(2i\{(\operatorname{Im} q_{j})x' + 2[(\operatorname{Re} q_{j})^{2} - (\operatorname{Im} q_{j})^{2}]t' + \phi'_{j}\}) \times \exp\{2(\operatorname{Re} q_{j})[x' - x'_{j} - 4(\operatorname{Im} q_{j})t']\},$$
(57)

where

4068

(45)

$$b'_{j} = \exp[i\phi'_{j} + (\operatorname{Re}q_{j})x'_{j}] .$$
(58)

Suppose all (\mathbf{Req}_i) are positive, and

$$(\operatorname{Im} q_1) > (\operatorname{Im} q_2) > \cdots > (\operatorname{Im} q_N) .$$
(59)

The vicinity of $x' = x_j' + 4(\operatorname{Im} q_j)t'$ is denoted by Ω_j . In the limit as $t \to +\infty$, these vicinities must be separated from left to right as

$$\Omega_N, \Omega_{N-1}, \ldots, \Omega_1$$
.

In the vicinity Ω_m , we have

$$x' - x'_l - 4(\operatorname{Im} q_l)t' \to -\infty, \quad |f'_l|^{-1} \to 0, \quad l < m$$
(61)

$$x' - x'_n - 4(\operatorname{Im} q_n)t' \to +\infty, \quad |f'_n|^{-1} \to \infty, \quad n > m \quad .$$
 (62)

From (51) and (52), taking account of (61) and (62), we have

$$\frac{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R}')-\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})}{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})} \approx \frac{Q'(m,m+1,\ldots,N;0,m+1,\ldots,N)Q''(m,m+1,\ldots,N;0,m+1,\ldots,N)}{Q(m+1,m+2,\ldots,N;m+1,m+2,\ldots,N)^2 + Q(m,m+1,\ldots,N;m,m+1,\ldots,N)^2} = \frac{(C'_m)^2 \prod_{n=m+1}^{N} \left[\frac{q_m - q_n}{q_m + \overline{q}_n}\right]^2 \prod_{n=m+1}^{N} \frac{\beta - i\gamma \overline{q}_n}{\beta + i\gamma q_n}}{1 + (C'_m)^2 (\overline{C}'_m)^2 \frac{\beta + i\gamma q_m}{(q_m + \overline{q}_m)^2} \prod_{n=m+1}^{N} \left|\frac{q_m - q_n}{q_m + \overline{q}_n}\right|^4} .$$
(63)

We can write it as

$$\frac{\operatorname{det}(\underline{I}+\underline{R}') - \operatorname{det}(\underline{I}+\underline{R})}{\operatorname{det}(\underline{I}+\underline{R})} \approx \frac{(q_m + \overline{q}_m)(f'_m)^{(+)-2}}{1 + |(f'_m)^{(+)}|^{-4}(\beta + i\gamma q_m)} , \quad (64)$$

where

$$(f'_m)^{(+)-2} = (f'_m)^{-2} (\alpha'_m)^{-1} \beta (q_m)^2 (q_m + \overline{q}_m)^{-1} , \quad (65)$$

$$\beta(q_m)^2 = \prod_{n=m+1}^{N} \left(\frac{q_m - q_n}{q_m + \overline{q}_n} \right)^2 \prod_{n=m+1}^{N} \frac{\beta - i\gamma \overline{q}_n}{\beta + i\gamma q_n} .$$
(66)

We have also

$$\frac{\overline{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R}\,)}}{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R}\,)} \approx \frac{1+|(f'_m)^{(+)}|^{-4}(\beta-i\gamma\overline{q}_m)}{1+|(f'_m)^{(+)}|^{-4}(\beta+i\gamma q_m)} \ . \tag{67}$$

Similarly, when $t \to -\infty$, in the vicinity Ω_m , we have

$$\frac{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R}')-\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})}{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})} \approx \frac{(q_m+\overline{q}_m)(f'_m)^{(-)-2}}{1+|(f'_m)^{(-)}|^{-4}(\beta+i\gamma q_m)} ,$$
(68)

$$\frac{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})}{\det(\underline{I}+\underline{R})} \approx \frac{1+|(f_m')^{(-)}|^{-4}(\beta-i\gamma q_m)}{1+|(f_m')^{(-)}|^{-4}(\beta+i\gamma q_m)},$$
(69)

 $1 + |(f')|^{-1} = 4(0 + 1)$

where

- ¹N. Tzoar and M. Jain, Phys.Rev. A 23, 1266 (1981).
- ²D. Anderson and M. Lisak, Opt. Lett. 7, 394 (1982).
- ³L. F. Mollenauer, R. M. Stolen, and J. P. Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1095 (1980).
- ⁴H. Nakatsuka, D. Grischkowsky, and A. C. Balant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 910 (1981).
- ⁵M. Wadati, K. Konno, and Y. H. Ichikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **46**, 1965 (1979).

$$(f'_m)^{(-)-2} = (f'_m)^{-2} (\alpha'_m)^{-1} \alpha(q_m)^2 (q_m + \bar{q}_m)^{-1} , \qquad (70)$$

$$\alpha(q_m)^2 = \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{q_m - q_l}{q_m + \overline{q}_l} \right)^2 \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta - i\gamma \overline{q}_l}{\beta + i\gamma q_l} .$$
(71)

We thus derive the expected asymptotic behavior of the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation. The total phase shift and the total displacement of center of the mth peak δ_m and Δ_m can be obtained from (64)-(71)

$$\delta_m = \arg[\alpha(q_m)] - \arg[\beta(q_m)], \qquad (72)$$

$$\Delta_m = (\operatorname{Re} q_m)^{-1} [\ln |\beta(q_m)| - \ln |\alpha(q_m)|].$$
(73)

This result shows that the MNLS equation has regular soliton solutions even in the case of normal dispersion, i.e., $\beta = -1$, provided $\gamma \neq 0$. In the case of anomalous dispersion, i.e., $\beta = 1$, the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation clearly reduces to that of the NLS equation as γ approaches zero.

We have given an explicit expression of the N-soliton solution of the MNLS equation in a form suitable for practical needs. It will provide a proper basis for analyzing soliton formation in the case of a single initial short pulse and wave-packet decay and soliton interactions in the multisoliton case.

- ⁶D. Anderson and M. Lisak, Phys. Rev. A 27, 1393 (1983).
- ⁷E. A. Golovchenko, E. M. Dianov, A. M. Prokhonov, and V. N. Serkin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **42**, 74 (1985) [JETP Lett. **42**, 88 (1985)].
- ⁸K. Ohkuma, Y. H. Ichikawa, and Y. Abe, Opt. Lett. **12**, 516 (1987).
- ⁹Z. Y. Chen and N. N. Huang, Phys. Lett. A 142, 31 (1989).
- ¹⁰Z. Y. Chen and N. N. Huang, Opt. Lett. (to be published).

(60)