# Phase Shifts of the Static Screened Coulomb Potential

#### F. J. Rogers

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, California 94550

(Received 11 January 1971)

Phase shifts and their weighted sums over angular momentum states have been obtained for the static screened Coulomb potential (SSCP). Results are given for both an attractive and a repulsive SSCP. A procedure is given for obtaining the phase shifts which uses direct numerical integration near the origin and the first- and second-order WKB approximation at larger distances. At high energy a simple asymptotic fit to the weighted phase-shift sums is obtained. The results are applied to the calculation of the second virial coefficient of plasmas that have no bound states and of those that do have bound states. Very good agreement with the Wigner-Kirkwood expansion is found for a Boltzmann gas interacting through a repulsive SSCP, except that at high temperature, in agreement with DeWitt, the Wigner-Kirkwood expansion is shown to diverge for the SSCP.

A detailed study of the bound states of the static screened Coulomb (Yukawa) potential has recently been carried out by Rogers  $et al.$ <sup>1</sup> (hereafter referred to as RGH). In this paper the results of a similar study of the phase shifts and their weighted sum over angular momentum states of the static screened Coulomb potential (SSCP) will be given. The purpose of the study is threefold. First, the SSCP is a basic potential and its properties are of general interest. Second, the effective many-body interaction plasma potential reduces to this form at high temperature and low density.<sup>2</sup> Third, the results will give insights, both fundamental and numerical, which can be applied to the study of other potentials.

The theory of the quantum-mechanical partition function of dilute interacting gases was developed by Uhlenbeck and Beth<sup>3</sup> and by Gropper.<sup>4</sup> Its calculation requires a knowledge of bound-state eigenvalues and the phase shifts of scattering states. This theory has been applied primarily to the calculation df the second virial coefficient for hard spheres<sup>5</sup> and for He<sup>3</sup> and He<sup>4</sup>. It has not been applied to a plasma potential such as the SSCP. The results of RGH and the present study will be used to calculate the second virial coefficient over a wide range of temperature and screening length.

### II. THEORY

#### A. Phase-Shift Problem

The problem here is to calculate the phase shifts for the SSCP which is given by

$$
V(r) = Ze_a e_b e^{-r/D}/r , \qquad (1)
$$

where  $Ze_a = \pm Ze$  is the charge on particle a,  $e_b =$  $\pm e$  is the charge on particle b, and D is the screening length which in plasma theory is given by

I. INTRODUCTION 
$$
D = \left[ \left( 4\pi / kT \right) \sum_{j} \rho_j e^2 Z_j^2 \right]^{-1/2}, \qquad (2)
$$

where  $\rho_i$  is the number density of particles of type *i*, and  $eZ_i$  is the charge. The work of Jackson and Klein<sup>2</sup> indicates that for low-lying bound states a constant potential  $-e^2/D$  should be added to  $V(r)$ . This may affect the statistical mechanics of the gas, but the solutions of the Schrödinger equation are equivalent. The results given here also apply to a gas of neutral particles interacting through a Yukawa potential  $V(r)$  $=ge^{-r/D}/r.$ 

The radial Schrödinger equation can be put into dimensionless form with the substitutions

$$
\rho = Zr/a_{\mu}, \qquad \lambda_D = ZD/a_{\mu}
$$
  
\n
$$
q^2 = 2\mu a_{\mu}^2 E/Z^2 \hbar^2, \quad U(\rho) = 2\mu a_{\mu}^2 V(r)/Z^2 \hbar^2,
$$
\n(3)

where

$$
a_{\mu} = \hbar^2 / \mu e^2
$$
 and  $\mu = m_a / (1 + m_a / m_b)$ ,

which results in

$$
\frac{d^2R}{d\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dR}{d\rho} + \left( q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} - U(\rho) \right) R = 0 \quad . \quad (4)
$$

At large  $\rho$  such that  $q^2 \gg l(l + 1)/\rho^2 + U(\rho)$ ,

$$
R = (1/\rho)\sin[q\rho - \frac{1}{2}\pi l + \delta_l(q)] \tag{5}
$$

For a particle subject only to the centrifugal potential,  $\delta_i(q) = 0$ . From Eqs. (4) and (5) it is clear that  $\delta_t$  is positive for an attractive potential and negative for a repulsive potential. The phase shifts  $\delta_t$  are normally calculated by numerical integration of Eq. (4) or by an approximation method such as the Born<sup>6</sup> or the WKB approximation. A combination of numerical integration and the WKB method will be used in this study.

$$
1145
$$

 $\overline{4}$ 

#### B. WKB Approximation for  $\delta_1$

Any numerical integration will accumulate erros with increasing distance from the origin, and it is not always practical to numerically integrate the Schrödinger equation out to sufficiently large  $\rho$  =  $\rho_0$  such that the residual phase shift between  $\rho_0$  and  $\infty$  is sufficiently small. This residual phase shift can be calculated quite accurately with the WKB method since the scattered wave is similar to that of a free particle in this range. The WKB approximation is found to work well even in cases where the residual phase shift is appreciable.

The WKB approximation is an expansion of the Schrödinger equation in powers of  $\hbar$ . The total phase shift given by the first-order term<sup>7</sup> in this expansion is

$$
\delta_l^1(q) = \int_{\rho_1}^{\infty} \left( q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} - U(\rho) \right)^{1/2} d\rho
$$

$$
- \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \left( q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} d\rho , \quad (6)
$$

where  $\rho_0$  is the classical turning point of a free

particle and  $\rho_1$  is the classical turning point of a particle subject to the potential  $U(\rho)$ . Langer<sup>8</sup> has shown that  $\delta_i^1$  is considerably improved if  $l(l + 1)$  is replaced by  $(l + 1/2)^2$  in Eq. (6).

The phase shift due to the term in  $\hbar^2$  is given by<sup>9</sup>

$$
\delta_i^2(q) = -\frac{1}{32} \int_{\rho_1}^{\infty} d\rho \frac{4f_1^{\prime\prime}(q^2 - f_1) + 5f_1^{\prime 2}}{(q^2 - f_1)^{5/2}} + \frac{1}{32} \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} d\rho \frac{4f_0^{\prime\prime}(q^2 - f_0) + 5f_0^{\prime 2}}{(q^2 - f_0)^{5/2}}, \qquad (7)
$$

where

$$
f_1 = \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} + U(\rho), \qquad f_0 = \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} \quad . \tag{8}
$$

As it stands Eq. (7) diverges. The correct expression for  $\delta_i^2(q)$  is obtained by integrating Eq. (7) by parts, discarding all infinite parts of the integrated terms until a convergent integral is obtained. The result for cases in which  $f'_1$  has no singularity in the range of integration is given in several places.  $9,10$  In the case where  $f_1'$  has a singularity the result is

$$
\delta_1^2(q) - \frac{\pi}{16[l(l+1)]^{1/2}} = -\left[\frac{-f_1}{8(q^2 - f_1)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{48} \frac{f_1'}{(q^2 - f_1)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{f_1''}{f'(q^2 - f_1)^{1/2}}\right]_{\rho_1}^{\rho_1}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{24} \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_3^{-\epsilon}} \frac{(f_1'''/f_1 - f_1''/f_1'^2) d\rho}{(q^2 - f_1)^{1/2}} + (\text{identical expressions}^{\text{integration between } \rho = 0)}.
$$

with limits of  $(9)$  $= \rho_s + \epsilon \text{ and } \rho = \infty$ .

It is clear that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  the divergence in the integral is canceled by the third term in brackets, so that the phase shift across the singularity can be made arbitrarily small.

If both the first- and second-order terms of the WKB expansion are used to compute the phase shift, then the replacement of  $l(l + 1)$  by  $(l + \frac{1}{2})^2$  is no longer valid. This is easily seen by expanding the first-order term of the free-particle expression

$$
\int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \left( q^2 - \frac{(l + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} d\rho
$$
  

$$
= \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \left( q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{4\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} d\rho
$$
  

$$
= \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \left( q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} \right)^{1/2} d\rho
$$

$$
\frac{1}{8}\int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^2 [q^2 - l(l+1)/\rho^2]^{1/2}}
$$

+ (higher -order terms). (10)

The first two terms in this expansion are exactly those obtained in the first and second WKB approximations using  $l(l + 1)$ . Therefore the replacement  $(l+\frac{1}{2})^2$  partly approximates the second-order WKB term. Numerical integration of the WKB expressions for the SSCP and comparison with the numerical solutions of the Schrödinger equation verify this result.

A comparison of the WKB expansion with the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation is given in Fig. 1 for  $e_a = -e_b$ ,  $D = 4.542a_\mu/Z$ , and  $l = 1$ . The SSCP has one bound state of unit angular momentum for this value of  $D$ , and the phase shift should approach  $\pi$  rad as  $q \rightarrow 0$ . It is apparent that the second-order term greatly improves the agree-



FIG. 1. Comparison of the first- and second-order WEB approximations for the phase shift with the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation for a particle of unit angular momentum subject to an attractive SSCP with  $D=4.542a_{\mu}/Z$ .

ment with the numerical solution, and that the first two terms in the WEB expansion are sufficient except at small values of  $q$  or if extreme accuracy is desired, as in the present case.

#### C. Quantum-Mechanical Partition Function and Second Virial Coefficient

The two-body interaction part of the partition function of interacting Boltzmann particles is given  $bv^{11}$ 

$$
Z_{\rm int} = \sum_{l} (2l+1) \left( \sum_{n} e^{-E_{nl}/kT} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq \frac{d\delta_{l}(q)}{dq} e^{-q^{2}/T_{0}} \right) , \quad (11)
$$

where  $T_0 = 2\mu a_\mu^2 kT/\hbar^2$ , and the  $E_{nl}$  are the boundstate energies.

In ionization-equilibrium calculations of gases at low temperatures it is usually assumed that only the first term of Eq.  $(11)$  is important, and the phase-shift term is ignored. We wish to consider the high-temperature case when the phase-shift term is important, such as in the case of a hydrogen plasma. If one retains only the first term of Eq. (11) for an attractive potential  $gu(r)$ , as g is decreased the bound states  $E_{nl}$  below zero energy move up into the continuum. As each state reaches zero energy, a partition function which includes only the bound-state sum will be discontinuous.

These discontinuities would extend to all the thermal properties, such as pressure and specific heat. However, if we integrate Eq.  $(11)$  by parts an interesting fact becomes apparent.<sup>12</sup> From Levinson's theorem we know that the phase shift  $\delta_i(q)$  at  $q=0$ is given by  $n, \pi$ , where  $n$ , is the number of bound states of angular momentum l. Thus Eq.  $(11)$  becomes

$$
Z_{\text{int}} = \sum_{l} (2l+1) \sum_{n} (e^{-E_{nl}/kT} - 1)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{2}{\pi T_0} \int_0^{\infty} q G_B(q) e^{-q^2/T_0} dq , \qquad (12)
$$

where the double sum in the first term ranges only over bound states, and  $G_B(q) = \sum_i (2i + 1) \delta_i(q)$ .

Equation (12) gives the complete interaction partition function of the system, and can be used to demonstrate two points. First, the zero-energy part of the phase-shift contribution to the partition function exactly cancels the zero-energy part of the bound-state sum, removing the discontinuity, so  $Z_{\text{int}}$  remains continuous as a function of the interaction strength. Second, there is an additional important contribution from nonzero-energy phase shifts.

This full form of the interacting-particle partition function is essential to a complete and correct calculation of the thermodynamic functions of the system. Obviously, current methods which include only the bound-state sum in Eq. (12) are in error, and introduce discontinuities into the thermodynamic-state function at those points where the bound-state levels pass into the continuum. Accurate thermodynamic results for such a system require that the bound-state levels  $E_{nl}$  and the phase shifts  $\delta_t$  both be calculated in the same manner and to the same degree of accuracy.

For identical particles the exclusion principle modifies the weighting factors depending on whether states have odd or even angular momentum. For Fermi particles the partition function is<sup>13</sup>

$$
Z_{\text{int}} = (s+1)(2s+1)\left(\sum_{l,\text{odd}}(2l+1)\sum_{n}(e^{-E_{nl}/kT}-1)\right)
$$
  
+
$$
s(2s+1)\left(\sum_{l,\text{even}}(2l+1)\sum_{n}(e^{-E_{nl}/kT}-1)\right)
$$
  
+
$$
\frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi T_{0}}\int_{0}^{\infty}qG_{F}(q)e^{-q^{2}/T_{0}}dq,
$$
 (13)

where  $G_F(q) = (s+1) \sum_{l, odd} (2l+1) \delta_l(q) + s \sum_{l, even} (2l+1)$  $\times \delta_i(q)$ . For a Bose gas the spin-weighting factors in  $G_F$  are reversed.

The second virial coefficient is composed of the ideal gas exchange virial  $B_{\text{exch}}$ , and the nonideal

part due to the effective two-body interaction potential  $B_{int}$ . It is given by

$$
B = B_{\text{exch}} + B_{\text{int}} = \frac{\pm N \pi^{3/2} a_{\mu}}{2Z^3 (2s+1) T_0^{3/2}} - \frac{8 N \pi^{3/2} a_{\mu}^3 Z_{\text{int}}}{Z^3 (2s+1)^2 T_0^{3/2}},
$$
\n(14)

where the minus sign in the first term is for a Bose gas and the plus sign is for a Fermi gas. The second virial coefficient for Boltzmann particles is contained in Eq. (14) in the limit of large quantum numbers  $(s - \infty)$ .

The second virial coefficient for a two-component system is given by

$$
B = N_a^2 B_{aa} + 2N_a N_b B_{ab} + N_b^2 B_{bb} , \qquad (15)
$$

where  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  are the mole fractions of components of type  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, and  $B_{aa}$  and  $B_{bb}$  are the second virial coefficients of p ponents  $a$  and  $b$ .  $B_{ab}$  is the second cross virial coefficient resulting from  $ab$  interactions. The screened second virial coefficient contains a substantial part of the corrections to the Debyestantial part of the corrections to the Debye-<br>Hückel term for a hydrogenplasma. <sup>14—16</sup> The connection with the known free energy and pressure of a hydrogen plasma and the extension into regions difficult to reach analytically will be made in a later paper.

#### III. NUMERICAL METHOD

The numerical method used to integrate the Schrödinger equation is the same as that described in RGH, except that in the present problem a single integration is required for each phase shift in contrast with the several integrations (iterations) required to isolate an eigenvalue. This saving in computational requirement is in general more than offset by the large number of states that must be summed over to obtain accurate values of the phaseshift sum. Extensive use of the WKB approximation considerably reduces the amount of computer time required for these calculations, while at the same time providing greater accuracy than can be obtained strictly from numerical integration.

The procedure is to integrate the Schrödinger equation out to  $\rho_0/\lambda_D=4/q^{1/4}$  or to  $\rho_0/\lambda_D=12$ , whichever is smallest. The residual phase shift is calculated in the first and second WKB approximations where the integrals now extend from  $\rho_0$ to  $\infty$ . At the lowest energies this procedure is used for all values of  $l$ . At a given energy the phase shift decreases with increasing  $l$ , so that the WKB approximation becomes increasingly accurate and in fact is more accurate than the above procedure at high values of  $l$ . At constant  $l$  the phase shift decreases with increasing energy, so that the WKB approximation becomes increasingly accurate with increasing energy. At high energy it is found that the WKB approximation can be used exclusively except for a few of the lowest states. At intermediate values. of the energy it is found that the combination of numerical integration and the WKB approximation is more accurate up to values of  $l$ as high as 50.

At high energy the phase-shift sum for all types of statistics has a simple asymtotic expansion [see below, Eq.  $(16)$ ], so that it is not necessary

5.0  $D = 24 a<sub>u</sub>/Z$ 4.0 3.0 C U 0 0  $= 2$  $\overline{8}$  2.0 l=3  $l = 4$ 1.0 0  $0 -$ <br> $10^{-2}$  $10^{-2}$  1.0 q





FIG. 3. Phase-shift sum of a Boltzmann particle subject to an attractive SSCP asa function of  $q$  for various values of the screening length (in units of  $a_{\mu}/Z$ ).

to calculate G at very high energies.

These calculations were performed on a CDC 6600 computer which is a 14-place machine. The





FIG. 4. Phase-shift sum as a function of  $q$  for various values of the screening length (in units  $a_u/Z$ ). The scale on the right is for Boltzmann particles (solid lines) subject to an attractive SSCP. The scale on the left is for Fermi particles (dashed lines) subject to a repulsive SSCP. The dashed lines labeled A and B delineate regions of special interest.



FIG. 5. Comparison of the phase-shift sum for Boltzmann and Fermi particles interacting through a repulsive SSCP.

#### IV. RESULTS

#### A. Phase Shifts and their Weighted Sums

At very small  $q$  for the attractive SSCP the phase shift for a given value of  $l$ , according to Levinson's theorem, will approach  $n_i$   $\pi$ , where  $n_i$  is the number of bound states of angular momentum  $l$ . This is shown in Fig. 2 for  $D = 24a<sub>\mu</sub>/Z$ . The number of bound states for each value of  $l$  are the same as the number given in Table III of RGH. For  $l = 2$  the phase shift increases with decreasing  $q$ , approaching  $\delta_l$  =  $3\pi$  rad until at  $q$  = 0.02 it drops sharply and approaches  $\delta_t = 2\pi$  rad. This behavior is typical of potentials which come near to forming a bound state. This is the case here since Table III of RGH indicates that at  $D = 25.0 a<sub>u</sub>/Z$  an additional bound state is formed for  $l = 2$ . Similar behavior is shown by stateseof higher angular momentum but at increasing values of  $q$ , as  $l$  increases due to the increasing remoteness of bound states. As  $l$  and q increase the phase shifts become more closely

spaced, and the phase-shift sum ranges over larger values of  $l$ . For large  $D$  and  $q$  it was found necessary to sum as high as  $l = 1500$ . When the  $\delta$ , become closely spaced, the labor involved in the calculations can be reduced by solving for only part of the  $\delta_i$ 's and interpolating for the intermediate values.

The sharp behavior of the phase shift at small  $q$  for values of  $D$  near to where a bound state appears or disappears leads to corresponding rapid changes in the phase-shift sum. This effect is much more pronounced at small D. Figure 3 shows the phase-shift sum at small q for  $l = 1$  and for values of D in the range  $3-7 a<sub>\mu</sub>/Z$ . As D increases from 4 to 4.4 to 4.53 to 4.54 (in units of  $a_{\mu}/Z$ ), there is an increasing sharp change in <sup>G</sup> from a value  $\approx 2\pi$  to a value  $\approx 5\pi$  rad. At  $D = 4.542a_\mu/Z$ the phase-shift sum approaches  $5\pi$  rad as q approaches zero. This indicates that a bound state is formed between  $D=4$ . 540 and  $D=4$ . 542 $a_{\mu}/Z$ , which agrees with the value 4.541  $a_{\mu}/Z$  given in Table III of RGH. This procedure can be used to isolate to quite high accuracy the values of  $D$ at which bound states are formed.

In RGH expressions are given for the total number of bound states  $n^*$  and the number of s states  $g^*$  in the SSCP as a function of D. Because of the simplicity of the phase-shift method for counting the number of bound states, we have checked these expressions up to  $D = 1000 a_{\mu}/Z$ , which has 500 bound states. There is exact agreement with the expressions for  $n^*$  and  $g^*$  given in RGH, if they are rounded to the nearest integer. The phaseshift sums  $G_B^*$  and  $G_F^*$  (minus indicates an attractive potential and plus indicates a repulsive potential) are plotted in Fig. 4 for a wide range of screening length. The scale for  $G_{B}^{*}$  is on the left and the scale for  $G_F^*$  is on the right. We observe that humps in  $G_{B}^{*}$  tend to move to lower q with increasing D. Also,  $-G_F^*$  tends to coincide with  $G_B^*$  at decreasing q with increasing D. The plot of  $G_B^$ can be considered in three parts. In the part to the right of the line labeled A the phase-shift sum increases rapidly with  $D$  and  $q$ , and is approximately of the form  $f(D) g(q)$ . The region between the lines 8 and <sup>A</sup> is characterized by rapid changes in  $G_{B}^{\bullet}$ . The region to the left of the line B is characterized as a region of very slowly varying values of  $G_B$ .

The effect of spin on the phase-shift sum is shown in Fig. 5. The difference between  $G_F^*$  and  $G_B^*$  decreases slowly as  $q$  is increased. At high  $q$  this difference decreases approximately as  $q^{-5/8}$ , and is nearly independent of screening length for  $D > 4a<sub>\mu</sub>/Z$ .

The relevant quantities for the calculation of partition functions of a hydrogen plasma are the sums  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $G_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ . These quantities are given in



X 0 8 cd eening ö  $\overline{5}$ etion cj cd<br>بم  $\mathbf -$ 

 $\frac{4}{1}$ 

PHASE SHIFTS OF THE STATIC SCREENED...

1151



1152

# F. J. ROGERS

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ 

Tables I and II, for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , over a wide range of screening length and a number of values of  $q$ sufficient that the integral over G can be performed accurately. At high  $q$  the phase-shift sum is found to be given accurately for all types of statistics by

$$
G = \pm \frac{2\lambda_D^2 q}{\pi} + \frac{\lambda_D}{2\pi q} \tag{16}
$$

where the top sign is for an attractive potential and the bottom sign for a repulsive potential. Equation (16) agrees with  $G<sub>B</sub>$  at decreasing energies with increasing  $\lambda_D$ , the agreement being good almost down to line <sup>A</sup> of Fig. 4.

The first term on the right of Eq. (16) is easily derivable in the first Born approximation from an expression given by Landau and Lifshiftz.<sup>11</sup> If Eq. (16) is substituted in the Boltzmann form of Eq. (14), the result agrees exactly with the first two terms of the high-temperature virial coefficient given by DeWitt.<sup>14</sup> An additional term in the series at high  $q$  is approximately given by

$$
=\frac{\ln(\lambda_D q^2/4.61)}{3\pi a^3}
$$

Note that the integral from  $q=0$  to  $q=\infty$  over this term does not diverge if Eq. (12) is integrated by parts an additional time, and if use is made of Levinson's theorem at  $q = 0$ . The contribution to the second virial coefficient agrees in its logarithmic dependence on  $\lambda_D$  with the third term given by DeWitt. For the Fermi gas at very low  $q$  (less than 10<sup>-4</sup> for large  $\lambda_{\rm p}$ ) the following relation is seen to be accurate:

$$
2G_F^* = \delta_l(q) = [0.096\lambda_D/(\lambda_D+1)]
$$

$$
+\lambda_D(0.246+0.264 \ln \lambda_D)]q. (17)
$$

#### B. Partition Function and Virial Coefficient

In the case of Boltzmann particles interacting through a repulsive potential there is a much simpler way than that given above to calculate the second virial coefficient down to low temperatures. This is the Wigner-Kirkwood expansion. The first five terms in this expansion have been worked out by Kihora et  $al.^{17}$ . The first three terms are

$$
B^{cI} = 2\pi N \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-U/T_0}) \rho^2 d\rho ,
$$
  

$$
B^1 = \frac{\pi N}{6T_0^3} \int_0^\infty e^{-U/T_0} U'^2 \rho^2 d\rho ,
$$

 $B_{\rm int}^{\rm C1}$  $T_o$  $B_{\rm int}^1$  $B_{\rm int}^2$  $B_{\tt int, WK}$  $B_{\text{int}, B}$   $B_{\text{int}, F}$  $D = a_{\mu}/Z$  $4.46151 + 2$  $-5.418 + 4$  $-4.60396 + 4$ 3.34758 + 3 0. 002  $7.6975 + 3$ 1.70799+ 3  $1.49926 + 2$  $3.3828 + 2$  $-3.128+2$ 1.75438 + <sup>2</sup>  $3.52423 + 2$ 0. 020  $2,05086+2$ 3.53922+ 1  $1.0612 + 1$  $-2.604 + 0$ 4. 34003 + 1 4. 35249 + 1 3.48232+ 1 0. 200 0. 600  $1.52581 + 1$  $1.7192 + 0$  $-3.482 - 1$ 1.66290+ 1 1.66076 + 1 1.48094+ 1 2. 000  $5.44399 + 0$  $2.0341 - 1$  $-5.071 - 2$  $5.59669+0$  $5.59683 + 0$ 5. 32179 + 0 6. 000 1.96978 + <sup>0</sup>  $2.5979 - 2$  $-1.155 - 2$  $1.98421 + 0$ 1.98721+ 0  $1.94347 + 0$  $-2.877 - 3$ 20. 000 6. 148 74 —1  $2.5119 - 3$ 6. 145 09 —1  $6.16281 - 1$ 6. 11634 —1  $D=10a_{\mu}/Z$  $1.49926 + 5$ 3.3828 + 4  $-3.128 + 3$ 1.80627+ 5 1.81279 + 5  $1.66168 + 5$ 0. 002  $3.53922+4$  $1.0612 + 3$  $-2.604 + 1$  $3.64274 + 4$ 3.64275 + 4  $3.59378 + 4$ 0. 020 2. 0341 + 1  $-5.071 - 1$ 0. 200 5.443 99 + 3 5.46382+ 3 5.46380 + 3 5.44874 + 3 1.969 78 + 3 0. 600  $2.5979 + 0$  $-1.155 -1$  $1.97226 + 3$ 1.97225+ 3  $1.96956 + 3$ 2. 000 6. 14874+ <sup>2</sup>  $2.5119 - 1$  $-2.877 - 2$  $6.15097 + 2$  $6.15095 + 2$ 6.14731+ 2 6.000 2. 07815+ 2  $2.8649 - 2$  $-9.016 - 3$ 2. 07834+ 2 2. 07835+ 2  $2,07792+2$ 6.26792+ 1  $2.6054 - 3$  $-2.644 -3$ 6. 267  $92 + 1$  $6.26805 + 1$  $6.26776 + 1$ 20. 000  $D=40a_u/Z$  $-6.485 - 2$ 0. 002  $4.29896+6$  $4.29902 + 6$  $4.28346 + 6$  $4.22873 + 6$ 7.0887+ 4 0. 020 7.70744+ 5  $1.6734 + 3$  $-8.542+2$ 7.72409+ 5 7.72410+ 5 7.71917+5 0. 200 9.57938 + 4  $2.3929 + 1$  $-3.257 + 0$  $9.58174 + 4$ 9.58171 + 4 9.58018 + 4 0.600  $3.29018 + 4$  $2.8082 + 0$  $-9.477 - 2$  $3.29045+4$ 3.29044 + 4  $3.29017 + 4$ 2. 000 9.99379+ 3  $2.5878 - 1$  $-2.683 - 2$ 9. 99403 + 3 9.99399+ 3 9.99362+ 3  $2.8972 - 2$  $-8.799 - 3$ 6. 000  $3.34422 + 3$ 3.34424+ 3 3.344 24 + 3  $3.34420 + 3$ 20. 000  $1.00469 + 3$  $2.6148 - 3$  $-2.625 -3$  $1.00469+3$ 1.00469+ 3  $1.00469+3$ 

TABLE III. Second virial coefficients<sup>a</sup> for a Boltzmann and a Fermi gas interacting through a repulsive SSCP.

<sup>a</sup>In units  $N(a_{\mu}/Z)^3$ .

TABLE IV. Individual terms of the partition function and the second virial coefficient<sup>a</sup> for an attractive SSCP.



<sup>a</sup> In units  $N/(a_u/Z)^3$ .

$$
B^{2} = -\frac{\pi N}{6T_{0}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-U/T_{0}} \left(\frac{1}{10} U^{\prime\prime 2} + \frac{1}{5} \frac{U^{\prime 2}}{\rho^{2}} + \frac{1}{9T_{0}} \frac{U^{\prime 2}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{72T_{0}^{2}} U^{\prime 2}\right) \rho^{2} d\rho . \qquad (18)
$$

The first three terms of the Wigner-Kirkwood expansion of the second virial coefficient, their total  $B_{int, WK}$ ,  $B_{int, B}$ , and  $B_{int, F}$  of a repulsive SSCP are given in Table III for several values of screening length. At high temperature  $B_{int}^1$  and  $B_{\text{int}}^2$  are practically independent of D, and vary as  $1/T^2$  and  $1/T$ , respectively. This behavior is expected from Eqs. (18) at high temperature, since the screening becomes ineffective and the potential approaches a pure coulomb potential. For  $D=1$   $a_{\mu}/Z$  and 10  $a_{\mu}/Z$  it is noted that the sum of the first two Wigner-Kirkwood coefficients agrees more closely with  $B_{\rm int,\,B}$  than does the sum

<sup>1</sup>F. J. Rogers, H. C. Graboske, Jr., and D. J. Harwood, Phys. Rev. A 1, 1577 (1970).

of the first three coefficients. At  $D=40 a<sub>u</sub>/Z$ this breaking down of the Wigner-Kirkwood expansion with  $B_{int,B}$  is seen to depend strongly on the screening length. The potential varies more slowly with increasing  $D$  so that the semiclassical approximation becomes more valid. At  $D = 40 a<sub>n</sub>/Z$ the agreement is within a few parts in a million over all temperatures above  $T_0 = 0.002$ .

At very low temperature  $B_{\text{int},B} = 2B_{\text{int},F}$ , since<br>only the lowest state is important. As the temperature is raised more and more states become important and the phase shifts become more closely spaced, so that the spin-weighting factors become less important and  $B_{int, F}$  approaches  $B_{int, B}$ , as shown in Table III. The difference between Boltzmann and Fermi statistics is nearly independent of screening length except at low temperatures and/or small screening lengths.

For an electrically neutral two-component plasma  $(N_a = N_b)$  the second virial coefficient is related to the one-component second virial coefficients and the second cross virial coefficient according to Eq.  $(15)$ . After integration we see that the contribution to  $B$  of the first term of Eq. (16) is identically zero, a result of electrical neutrality. The virial coefficients of the pure components are given in Table III. The second cross virial coefficient<sup>18</sup>  $B_{\text{int}, B}$  is given in Table IV.<br>The cancellation of the  $\lambda_D^2$  term at high temperatures is apparent.

Table IV also gives the bound-state part of the partition function  $Z_{\text{int}}^{\text{BS}}$ , the part due to the phase shifts at zero energy  $Z_{\text{int}}^0$ , and the nonzero-energy phase-shift part [last term of Eq. (13)]  $Z_{\text{int}}^{\text{cs}}$ , for several values of screening length. It is clear that  $Z_{\text{int}}^{\text{BS}}$  is the dominant contributor at low temperature,  $Z_{\text{int}}^{\text{cs}}$  is the dominant contributor at high temperature, and that all three terms are necessary at intermediate temperatures. The cancellation of  $Z_{\text{int}}^{\text{BS}}$  by  $Z_{\text{int}}^0$  is apparent at higher temperatures.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author wishes to express appreciation to Dr. H. E. DeWitt for suggesting the approach to the problem and for many valuable discussions, to Dr. H. C. Graboske, Jr. for motivating the study and for guidance during the course of the work, and to Dr. R. Grover for numerous discussions and useful suggestions.

<sup>\*</sup>Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>There is controversy over the correct form of the effective plasma potential. Some of the relevant literature is T. Nakayama and H. E. DeWitt, J. Quant. Spectry.

Radiative Transfer 4, 623 (1964); J. L. Jackson and L. S. Klein, Phys. Rev. 177, 352 (1969); O. Theimer and P. Kepple, Phys. Rev. A 1, 957 (1970).

 ${}^{3}G$ . E. Uhlenbeck and E. Beth, Physica 3, 729 (1936); E. Beth and G. E. Uhlenbeck, *ibid.* 4, 915 (1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>L. G. Gropper, Phys. Rev. 50,  $963$  (1936); 51, 1108  $(1937).$ 

 ${}^{6}G$ . Kallen, Arkiv Fysik 2, 33 (1950); R. Jost and A. Pais, Phys. Rev. 82, 840 (1951).

 ${}^{7}$ L. S. Rodberg and R. M. Thaler, *Introduction to the* Quantum Theory of Scattering {Academic, New York, 1967), Chap. 3.

 ${}^{8}$ R. E. Langer, Phys. Rev. 51, 669 (1937); M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, Collision Theory (Wiley, New York, 1964), pp. 324-330.

 $^{9}$ B. Kahn, in Studies in Statistical Mechanics, edited by J. DeBoer and G. E. Uhlenbeck (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965).

 $^{10}$ J. O. Hirschfelder, C. F. Curtiss, and R. B. Bird, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids (Wiley, New York, 1954), pp. 685-687.

 $^{11}$ L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshiftz, Statistical Physics

PHYSICAL REVIEW A VOLUME 4, NUMBER 3 SEPTEMBER 1971

### (Pergamon, London, 1956), pp. 238-240.  $^{12}$ F. J. Rogers, H. C. Graboske, Jr., and H. E. DeWitt,

Phys. Letters 34A, 127 (1971); A. G. Petschek (private communication) has reached similar conclusions about the continuity of the partition function based on a different mathematical procedure.

<sup>13</sup>J. E. Kilpatrick, W. E. Keller, E. F. Hammel, and N. Metropolis, Phys. Rev. 94, 1103 (1954).

<sup>14</sup>H. E. DeWitt, J. Math. Phys. 3, 1003 (1962).

 $^{15}$ H. E. DeWitt, J. Math. Phys.  $\overline{7}$ , 616 (1966).

 ${}^{16}$ R. Abe, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto) 22, 213

 $(1959).$ 

 $17$ T. Kihora, Y. Midzumo, and T. Shizume, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 10, 249 (1955).

 $^{18}B_{\text{int},B}$  can be thought of as the virial coefficient of a one-component gas interacting through a potential  $V(r)$  $g e^{-r/D}$ 

## First Quantum-Mechanical Correction to the Classical Viscosity Cross Section of Hard Spheres

Marjorie E. Boyd National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. 20323

and

Sigurd Yves Larsen\* Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122 (Received 18 January 1971)

The classical and first quantum correction terms in a high-energy expansion of the viscosity cross section  $Q^{(2)}$  for a Boltzmann gas of hard spheres is derived. The first correction is found to be proportional to  $(1/k\sigma)^{4/3}$ , which is a term nonanalytic in  $\hbar$  (i.e.,  $\hbar^{4/3}$ ), and results from scattering near the edge of the sphere. A bound is established showing the remainder of the asymptotic series to be of  $O(\ln(k\sigma)/k\sigma^2)$ . This asymptotic formula is compared with calculations based on the exact phase-shift expressions and its range of validity is established. The next correction terms are deduced to be proportional to  $(\ln k \sigma)/(k \sigma)^2$  and  $1/(k \sigma)^2$  which involve  $\hbar^2$ ln $\hbar$  and  $\hbar^2$ , respectively.

#### INTRODUCTION

In both classical and quantum mechanics one can, in a first-order Chapman-Enskog approximation, express the density-independent part of the viscosity in terms of an integral involving a specialized two-body cross section  $Q^{(2)}$ . While the latter admits of an exact quantum-mechanical formulation in terms of phase shifts, it is by no means trivial to extract from it an asymptotic expansion valid for high energies having the classical result as the leading term. In fact, for the case of a gas subject to Lennard-Jones forces, the quantum-mechanical corrections to the classical answer are still a subject under discussion. '

The development of a high-energy expansion is important in that, by providing corrections to the classical expression for the viscosity of light

gases, it establishes the domain of validity of the classical term and provides simple formulas valid for a wider range of temperature. It also enables one to check the numerical work involved in evaluating the phase-shift formulas. Finally, by removing the values of these known terms from numerical results, we might expect to find clues to the analytical character of the remaining quantummechanical effects.

In this paper we derive the first two terms (classical and first quantum correction} of an expansion, valid at high energies, for the Boltzmann part of the cross section  $Q^{(2)}$  of a gas of hard spheres. (Similar analysis should be possible for the other  $Q^{(n)}$ 's.) For this simple potential we can express phase shifts analytically in terms of Hankel functions and derive our results by using uniform asymptotic expansions for these functions.