Bound eigenstates for the superposition of the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials

Janusz Adamowski

Zakład Fizyki Ciała Stałego, Akademia Górniczo-Hutnicza, PL-30-059 Kraków, Poland

(Received 30 January 1984)

The eigenvalue problem for two particles interacting through the potential being the superposition of the attractive Coulomb potential (-A/r) and the Yukawa potential $B \exp(-Cr)/r$ of arbitrary strength *B* and screening parameter *C* is solved by variational means. The energy levels E_{nl} for the states 1s through 7*i* are calculated as functions of *B* and *C*. It is shown that for a given principal quantum number *n* the energy eigenvalues increase (decrease) with increasing azimuthal quantum number *l* if the Yukawa potential is attractive (repulsive), i.e., for l > l': $E_{nl} \ge E_{nl'}$ if B < 0, and $E_{nl} \le E_{nl'}$ if B > 0. It leads to the crossing of the energy levels with $n \ge 2$. For B > 0 the levels with larger *n* and *l* become lower than those with smaller *n* and *l*, e.g., $E_{3d} < E_{2s}$, $E_{4f} < E_{2s}$, and $E_{4f} < E_{3p}$. For B < 0 and certain intervals of *C* the levels with larger *n* but smaller *l* lie below those with smaller *n* and larger *l*, e.g., $E_{4s} < E_{3d}$, $E_{5s} < E_{4f}$, and $E_{5p} < E_{4f}$. The values of *B* and *C* for which the lowest-energy levels cross over are estimated. Moreover, the splitting of the 2*s* and 2*p* levels (the Lamb shift) is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The eigenvalue problem for the Yukawa (Debye-Hückel) potential was considered by many authors; for example, see Refs. 1 and 2. This potential (called as well the exponentially screened Coulomb potential) describes the interaction between charge carriers in an ionized gas or in a metal. The eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation for the Yukawa potential as well as for the exponential cosine Coulomb potential^{3,4} are now well known (at least for the lowest states). The superposition of the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials (SCYP) is an another example of the two-particle potential having applications in the solid-state physics. It was shown⁵⁻⁷ that the main properties of the effective two-particle interaction for the charged particles in polar crystals are described by the SCYP. To the best of my knowledge no systematic study of the bound eigenstates for this potential was undertaken. The purpose of the present paper is therefore to calculate the lowest-lying energy levels for the SCYP and to study their properties.

II. SOLUTION OF THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

We consider a two-particle system interacting through the potential (SCYP)

$$V(r) = -\frac{A}{r} + \frac{B}{r}e^{-Cr}, \qquad (1)$$

where r is the distance between the particles, A and B are the strengths of the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials, respectively, and C is the screening parameter (C=1/D), where D is the screening length). We assume that A and C are positive. The radial Schrödigner equation has the form

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r} + \frac{B}{r}e^{-Cr}\right]R(r)$$

= $ER(r)$, (2)

where the length has been expressed in units of $a_0 = \hbar^2 / \mu A$, the energy in units of $E_0 = \mu A^2 / 2\hbar^2$, and μ is the reduced mass of the two particles. In Eq. (2) all quantities are dimensionless, in particular, the parameters of the potential have been changed with respect to those in Eq. (1) as follows: B' = 2B/A and $C' = Ca_0$, and next the primes have been omitted. The units E_0 and a_0 will be used throughout this paper.

The Schrödinger equation (2) is not solvable analytically. Nevertheless, some properties of its eigenvalues can be guessed without solving it. The bound eigenstates exist for all values of the parameters B and C. For $B \leq 2$ the bound eigenstates appear since the potential is attractive for all r and has the long-range Coulomb tail. For B=2the potential takes on the finite value at r=0: V(0) = -2C, and next increases to zero when $r \to \infty$. For B > 2 the potential is repulsive for small r, but it goes through zero for $r_0 = -(1/C)\ln(2/B)$ and has a minimum for $r > r_0$. At large distances the Coulomb part also dominates. Such a potential provides the bound states for all B and C only if $C \ge 0$. The degeneracy of the energy levels with the same principal quantum number n and with different azimuthal quantum numbers l, peculiar to the purely Coulombic potential, vanishes. Therefore, the energy eigenvalues depend on both the quantum numbers n and l. They become hydrogenlike in the limits: (i) if $C \to \infty$ and/or $B \to 0$, then $E_{nl} \to E_n^H$, where

$$E_n^{\rm H} = -\frac{1}{n^2};$$
 (3)

and (ii) if $C \rightarrow 0$ and B < 2, then $E_{nl} \rightarrow E_n^0$, where

$$E_n^0 = -BC - \frac{(B-2)^2}{4n^2} \,. \tag{4}$$

Expressions (3) and (4) provide the upper or lower bounds to the energy eigenvalues E_{nl} of Eq. (2) if B < 0 or B > 0, respectively. The bounds E_n^0 are valid for B < 2.

To solve the Schrödinger equation (2) the following

<u>31</u> 43

wave function has been used:

$$R(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{c_j}{\sqrt{N_j}} e^{-\gamma_j r}, \qquad (5)$$

where c_j and γ_j are the variational parameters, and the normalization constants $N_j = 1/4\gamma_j^3$. I used the N=10element basis with one nonlinear variational parameter γ , where $\gamma_i = j\gamma$. The parameters c_i are obtained from the diagonalization procedure. They take on such values that for each state the wave function (5) is normalized and orthogonal to the corresponding wave functions for the states with the same l and different n. This enables us to use the variational method for the discrete spectrum.⁸ Trial function (5) was examined for the eigenvalue problems for the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials. The eigenvalues calculated with the help of (5) reproduce the analytical results for the Coulomb potential up to the level n=7 and the numerical results^{1,2} for the Yukawa potential up to the level 7i. Therefore, one can expect that the trial wave function (5) provides the lowest eigenvalues of Eq. (2) with a sufficient precision.

III. RESULTS

Tables I, II, and III show the calculated energy eigenvalues of the lowest-lying states (from 1s up to 4f) for $B = \pm 1, \pm 2$, and ± 4 as functions of the screening parameter C. Tables IV and V contain the results for the strongly repulsive (B=10) and strongly attractive (B=-10) Yukawa potentials. The dependence of the energy levels on B is shown on Fig. 1 for the states 2s and 2p and in Tables VI-VIII for the states 1s-3d. The results for the higher excited states (from 5s up to 7i) are presented in Table IX. The energy eigenvalues 1s-6h for the attractive Yukawa potential with C=1 as functions of B are shown in Table X.

The present calculations show that the spectra of the SCYP possess the following properties.

(i) For a given *n* the energy eigenvalues E_{nl} increase with increasing *l* if the Yukawa potential is attractive, and E_{nl} decrease with increasing *l* if the Yukawa potential is repulsive; i.e., for l > l', $E_{nl} - E_{nl'} \ge 0$ or $E_{nl} - E_{nl'} \le 0$ if B < 0 or B > 0, respectively.

(ii) For the repulsive Yukawa potential there are some values of the strength B and the screening parameter C for which the energy eigenvalues for larger n and l become lower than those for smaller n and l, i.e., $E_{nl} \leq E_{n'l'}$ if $n > n' \geq 2$ and l > l'.

(iii) For the attractive Yukawa potential there exist some values of B and C for which the energy levels with larger n and smaller l become lower than the levels with smaller n and larger l, i.e., $E_{nl} \leq E_{n'l'}$ if $n > n' \geq 3$ and l < l'.

Figure 2 is an illustration of property (i). It shows the positions of the energy levels with respect to the corresponding hydrogenlike levels $E_n^{\rm H}$ [Eq. (3)] as functions of the azimuthal quantum number l for n=5, 6, and 7, $B = \pm 10$, and C=0.1. For each n the absolute values of the differences $|E_{nl}-E_n^{\rm H}|$ are decreasing functions of l. The *s* levels are mostly split off from $E_n^{\rm H}$ (downwards for B < 0 and upwards for B > 0). The energy eigenvalues E_{nl}



В

10

FIG. 1. Energy of the 2s state (solid curves) and 2s-2p splitting (dashed curves) as functions of the strength B of the Yukawa potential with the screening parameter C=0.1. Straight line shows the results for the n=2 level of the purely Coulombic potential (-2/r). The unit of energy is $E_0 = \mu A^2/2\hbar^2$.

approach $E_n^{\rm H}$ if *n* and *l* increase. This property can be understood if we consider the unperturbed wave function $R_{nl}^{\rm H}(r)$, i.e., the eigenfunction of Eq. (2) for B=0, which varies like r^l for small *r*. The shift of the energy levels with respect to $E_n^{\rm H}$ is due to an influence of the finiterange Yukawa potential. Therefore, this shift is larger as the larger values are taken on by $R_{nl}^{\rm H}(r)$ at small distances. The wave function [Eq. (5)] obtained in the present calcu-



FIG. 2. Positions of the energy levels E_{nl} for the SCYP with respect to the hydrogenlike levels $E_n^{\rm H} = -1/n^2$, $\Delta_{nl} = E_{nl} - E_n^{\rm H}$, as functions of the azimuthal quantum number l for C=0.1 and $B=\pm 10$. Energy is expressed in units of $E_0 = \mu A^2/2\hbar^2$, the results for n=5 are labeled by +, for n=6 by \odot , and for n=7 by \times .

TABLE I. Energy eigenvalues of states 1s-4f for the superposition of the Coulomb potential and the Yukawa potential as functions of the screening parameter C for $B = \pm 1$. Energy is expressed in units of $E_0 = \mu A^2/2\hbar^2$, length in units of $a_0 = \hbar^2/\mu A$, and μ is the reduced mass of the interacting particles.

$\sum c$	0:001	0.005	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	10
State	.	- -			B =	+1				
1 <i>s</i>	-0.251 00	-0.25496	-0.259 85	-0.296 50	-0.33694	-0.404 24	-0.54243	-0.674 82	-0.805 66	-0.974 24
2 <i>s</i>	-0.063 49	-0.067 35	-0.071 93	-0.10071	-0.124 36	-0.15254	-0.187 81	-0.20888	-0.225 81	-0.245 78
2 <i>p</i>	-0.063 50	-0.06738	-0.07202	-0.10242	-0.129 31	-0.164 29	-0.21203	-0.23665	-0.247 11	-0.249 98
35	-0.028 76	-0.03246	-0.03656	-0.05717	-0.069 52	-0.08083	-0.092 14	-0.098 69	-0.103 87	-0.11015
3 <i>p</i>	-0.02877	-0.03248	-0.036 64	-0.053 68	-0.07242	-0.08633	-0.10052	-0.10700	-0.11017	-0.11111
3 <i>d</i>	-0.028 77	-0.032 52	-0.036 81	-0.06052	-0.07705	-0.093 73	-0.107 70	-0.11067	-0.11108	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.016 60	-0.02008	-0.023 64	-0.03786	-0.044 31	-0.049 40	-0.05428	-0.05726	-0.059 36	-0.062 09
4 <i>p</i>	-0.01660	-0.020 10	-0.02371	-0.038 69	-0.04604	-0.05213	-0.05801	-0.060 80	0.062 09	-0.062 50
4 <i>d</i>	-0.016 60	-0.02014	-0.02386	-0.04014	-0.048 69	-0.05566	-0.06098	-0.062 27	-0.06248	-0.062 50
4f	-0.01661	-0.02021	-0.02407	-0.04200	-0.05171	-0.05900	-0.062 27	-0.062 49	-0.062 50	-0.062 50
	· · ·				<i>B</i> =	-1		· · ·		
1 <i>s</i>	-2.24900	-2.24501	-2.24005	-2.201 22	-2.15479	-2.06840	-1.85302	- 1.601 49	-1.32871	-1.03013
2 <i>s</i>	-0.561 50	-0.55755	-0.55270	-0.51714	-0.479 84	-0.42373	-0.340 92	-0.302 13	-0.281 22	-0.253 67
2 <i>p</i>	-0.561 50	-0.557 54	-0.552 66	-0.51641	-0.47727	-0.415 69	-0.316 64	-0.268 79	-0.25332	-0.25002
3 <i>s</i>	-0.249 00	-0.24511	-0.24044	-0.209 63	-0.183 60	-0.155 79	-0.133 47	-0.125 31	-0.11991	-0.112 19
3 <i>p</i>	-0.249 00	-0.24510	-0.24040	-0.209 00	-0.18172	-0.15144	-0.12596	-0.11635	-0.112 19	-0.111 12
3 <i>d</i>	-0.249 00	-0.24509	-0.24034	-0.20775	-0.11794	-0.14288	-0.11561	-0.111 58	-0.11114	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.139 63	-0.13582	-0.131 38	-0.106 02	-0.09015	-0.078 69	-0.071 39	-0.068 30	-0.06613	-0.06295
4 <i>p</i>	-0.139 63	-0.13581	-0.13135	-0.105 52	-0.088 93	-0.07661	-0.068 34	-0.064 68	-0.062 97	-0.062 50
4 <i>d</i>	-0.139 63	-0.135 80	-0.131 29	-0.10452	-0.086 50	-0.072 72	-0.06445	-0.06275	-0.062 52	-0.062 50
4 <i>f</i>	-0.13963	-0.13577	-0.13120	-0.10301	-0.08288	-0.06781	-0.06276	-0.06251	-0.06250	-0.06250

TABLE II. Energy eigenvalues for $B = \pm 2$. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

$\overline{}$	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	10
State					B =	+ 2				
1 <i>s</i>	-0.001 78	-0.008 14	-0.015 40	-0.063 25	-0.111 30	-0.18847	-0.348 91	-0.51246	-0.688 56	-0.95197
2 <i>s</i>	-0.001 62	-0.00693	-0.01251	-0.043 40	-0.06826	-0.10013	-0.14697	-0.18006	-0.208 14	-0.24393
2 <i>p</i>	-0.001 68	-0.007 39	-0.013 60	-0.05019	-0.081 88	-0.124 86	-0.189 15	-0.22668	-0.244 58	-0.249 97
3 <i>s</i>	-0.001 50	-0.006 04	-0.010 48	-0.031 37	-0.044 93	0.059 60	-0.077 69	-0.089 16	-0.098 30	-0.109 30
3 <i>p</i>	-0.001 55	-0.006 41	-0.011 31	-0.03579	-0.05281	-0.071 59	-0.093 12	-0.103 98	-0.109 34	-0.11110
3 <i>d</i>	-0.001 60	-0.00678	-0.01215	-0.040 39	-0.061 00	-0.08360	-0.10502	-0.11027	-0.11106	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.001 40	-0.00532	-0.008 91	-0.02341	-0.03132	-0.038 94	-0.04767	-0.05287	-0.05693	-0.061 73
4 <i>p</i>	-0.001 44	-0.005 63	-0.009 57	-0.02642	-0.03604	-0.04521	-0.05474	-0.059 44	-0.061 73	-0.062 49
4d	-0.001 50	-0.005 94	-0.01024	-0.029 51	-0.040 85	-0.05111	-0.059 74	-0.06206	-0.06247	-0.06250
4 <i>f</i>	-0.001 54	-0.00626	-0.01092	-0.032 70	-0.045 69	-0.05645	-0.06205	-0.062 48	-0.062 50	-0.062 50
				-	<i>B</i> =	= -2				·
1 <i>s</i>	-3.998 00	-3.990 02	-3.98007	-3.901 84	-3.807 26	-3.628 17	-3.162 02	-2.57423	-1.869 04	-1.06578
2 <i>s</i>	-0.998 00	-0.99007	-0.98030	-0.907 09	-0.82695	-0.698 09	-0.48018	-0.366 65	-0.318 09	-0.257 89
2 <i>p</i>	-0.99800	-0.99006	-0.98025	-0.90596	-0.82283	-0.68445	-0.43274	-0.29674	-0.25721	-0.25003
3 <i>s</i>	-0.44245	-0.434 61	-0.425 10	-0.35945	-0.298 61	-0.224 89	-0.16031	-0.14075	-0.12967	-0.11341
3 <i>p</i>	-0.442 45	-0.434 60	-0.42505	-0.35845	-0.295 38	-0.216 62	-0.14572	-0.123 38	-0.113 44	-0.11112
3 <i>d</i>	-0.442 45	-0.434 57	-0.424 96	-0.35644	-0.288 85	-0.19975	-0.121 89	-0.11211	-0.111 17	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.24801	-0.24029	-0.23115	-0.174 64	-0.133 51	-0.10003	-0.08111	-0.074 30	-0.070 03	-0.063 45
4 <i>p</i>	-0.24801	-0.240 28	-0.231	-0.173 79	-0.131 23	-0.09594	-0.075 54	-0.067 50	0.063 50	-0.062 51
4d	-0.248 01	-0.240 26	-0.23101	-0.172 09	-0.12663	-0.087 90	-0.067 02	-0.063 02	-0.062 53	-0.062 50
4 <i>f</i>	-0.24801	-0.240 22	-0.230 87	-0.169 52	-0.11960	-0.076 53	-0.063 04	-0.062 52	-0.062 50	-0.062 50

	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	10
State	`				B =	+ 4				
1 <i>s</i>	-0.000 727	-0.003 59	-0.00700	-0.032 35	-0.060 88	-0.11160	-0.233 59	-0.379 84	-0.56407	-0.91540
2 <i>s</i>	-0.000 676	-0.00323	-0.00615	-0.02465	-0.041 73	-0.066 84	-0.11124	-0.149 35	-0.185 98	-0.239 14
2 <i>p</i>	-0.000 726	-0.003 55	-0.00694	-0.030 90	-0.05570	-0.094 51	-0.163 80	-0.212 70	-0.240 29	-0.249 93
3 <i>s</i>	-0.000 603	-0.002 83	-0.005 32	-0.018 80	0.029 70	-0.043 09	-0.063 15	-0.07805	-0.090 94	-0.107 83
3 <i>p</i>	-0.000 676	-0.003 21	-0.00610	-0.02357	-0.03844	-0.057 49	-0.083 92	-0.099 40	-0.107 92	-0.11109
3 <i>d</i>	-0.000 725	-0.003 51	-0.006 81	-0.028 40	-0.047 82	-0.072 60	-0.100 98	-0.109 54	-0.11101	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.000 508	-0.002 39	-0.004 44	-0.014 88	-0.021 89	-0.029 77	-0.04046	-0.04775	-0.053 64	-0.06109
4 <i>p</i>	-0.000602	-0.002 81	0.005 26	-0.01825	-0.027 49	-0.037 87	-0.050 55	-0.05737	-0.061 11	-0.062 49
4 <i>d</i>	-0.000674	-0.003 18	-0.005 98	-0.02173	-0.03332	-0.045 68	-0.057 86	-0.061 68	-0.062 44	-0.062 50
4 <i>f</i>	-0.000 723	-0.003 46	-0.006 63	-0.025 36	-0.039 35	-0.05301	-0.061 66	-0.062 46	-0.062 50	-0.062 50
									1	
					B =					
1 <i>s</i>		- 8.980 03	-8.96010	-8.801 47	-8.609 79	-8.238 34	-7.22633	-5.832 64	-3.887 87	-1.160 58
2 <i>s</i>	-2.246 00	-2.23010	-2.21040	-2.059.63	-1.887 20	-1.58949	-0.98745	-0.568 51	-0.402 01	-0.26848
2 <i>p</i>	-2.246 00	-2.230 08	-2.21033	-2.058 04	- 1.881 35	- 1.568 87	-0.900 50	-0.411 74	-0.267 79	-0.25007
3 <i>s</i>	-0.99601	-0.980 22	-0.960 89	-0.82079	-0.677 49	-0.473 53	-0.237 67	-0.17678	-0.149 80	-0.11641
3 <i>p</i>	-0.99601	-0.98021	-0.960 82	-0.81935	-0.672 52	-0.458 83	-0.20627	-0.144 65	-0.11669	-0.11114
3 <i>d</i>	-0.99601	-0.98017	-0.96069	-0.81646	-0.662 48	-0.428 61	-0.146 50	-0.113 34	-0.111 22	-0.111 11
4 <i>s</i>	-0.558 52	-0.542 89	-0.52405	-0.397 49	-0.287 49	-0.172 11	-0.10406	-0.087 17	-0.077 69	-0.064 62
4 <i>p</i>	0.558 52	-0.542 88	-0.523 99	-0.39621	-0.283 56	-0.163 53	-0.093 69	-0.075 50	-0.064 88	-0.062 51
4 <i>d</i>	-0.558 51	-0.542 85	-0.523 86	-0.393 64	-0.27562	-0.146 08	-0.075 39	-0.063 67		-0.062 50
4 <i>f</i>	-0.558 51	-0.542 80	-0.523 67	-0.389 75	-0.263 43	-0.11898	-0.063 70	-0.062 54	-0.062 50	-0.062 50

TABLE III. Energy eigenvalues for $B = \pm 4$. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

TABLE IV. Energy eigenvalues for B=10. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

C State	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	10
1 <i>s</i>	-0.000 481	-0.002 38	-0.004 70	-0.02198	-0.041 66	-0.077 17	-0.16561	-0.278 79	-0.437 54	-0.843 95
2 <i>s</i>	-0.000428	0.002 09	-0.00406	-0.017 14	-0.029 63	-0.048 64	-0.085 27	-0.120 66	-0.159 19	-0.229 28
2 <i>p</i>	-0.000 481	-0.002 37	-0.004 68	-0.021 50	-0.039 87	-0.070 77	-0.13473	-0.190 81	-0.23126	-0.249 84
3 <i>s</i>	-0.000 339	0.001 66	-0.003 35	-0.013 40	-0.021 81	-0.032 91	-0.05128	-0.066 59	-0.081 39	-0.10477
3 <i>p</i>	-0.000427	-0.00208	0.004 04	-0.01677	-0.02843	-0.044 98	-0.072 20	-0.091 82	-0.104 92	-0.11105
3 <i>d</i>	-0.000481	-0.00236	-0.004 64	-0.020 58	-0.036 60	-0.060 03	-0.093 88	-0.107 82	-0.110 86	-0.11111
4 <i>s</i>	-0.000274	-0.001 34	-0.002 60	-0.01007	-0.01614	-0.023 64	-0.03413	-0.04210	-0.049 26	-0.059 74
4 <i>p</i>	-0.000 339	-0.00165	-0.00334	-0.013 11	-0.02070	-0.03074	-0.044 83	-0.053 92	-0.059 78	-0.062 47
4 <i>d</i>	-0.000427	-0.00207	0.003 97	-0.01607	-0.02623	-0.038 87	-0.054 46	0.060 80	-0.062 36	-0.062 50
4 <i>f</i>	-0.000 480	-0.002 35	-0.004 58	-0.019 30	-0.032 34	-0.04774	-0.063 70	-0.062 41	-0.062 50	-0.062 50

TABLE V. Energy eigenvalues for B = -10. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

C State	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.85	1.25	1.55	2	10
1s	-35.9001	-35.5031	- 35.0124	- 34.0489	-31.2967	-28.3300	-25.2339	-23.1021	-20.1860	-1.9577
2 <i>s</i>		-8.5122	8.0482	-7.1862	-5.0617	-3.2972	-2.0004		-0.9167	-0.3336
2 <i>p</i>	- 8.9004	-8.5102	8.0404	-7.1569	-4.9105	-2.9522	-1.4597	-0.7972	-0.3821	-0.2502
35	-3.9011	-3.5270	-3.1040	-2.3887	-1.0452	-0.4542	-0.2968	-0.2595	-0.2259	-0.1335
3 <i>p</i>	- 3.9010	-3.5251	- 3.0968	-2.3638	0.9507	-0.3367	-0.2111	-0.1833	-0.1454	-0.1112
3 <i>d</i>	- 3.9009	-3.5212	-3.0824	-2.3136	-0.7516	-0.1413	-0.1140	-0.1121	-0.1114	-0.1111
4 <i>s</i>	-2.1520	-1.7966	-1.4254	-0.8800	-0.2431	-0.1503	-0.1227	-0.1130	-0.1032	-0.0712
4 <i>p</i>	-2.1519	- 1.7948	-1.4190	-0.8606	-0.2063	-0.1233	-0.0979	-0.0890	-0.0762	-0.0625
4 <i>d</i>	-2.1517	-1.7912	-1.4061	-0.8210	-0.1384	-0.0767	-0.0641	-0.0631	-0.0627	-0.0625
4 <i>f</i>	-2.1515	-1.7856	-1.3866	-0.7598	-0.0681	-0.0628	-0.0625	-0.0625	-0.0625	-0.0625

	8					
B State	1s	2 <i>s</i>	2 <i>p</i>	35	3 <i>p</i>	3 <i>d</i>
100	-0.055 97	-0.03615	-0.053 27	-0.025 33	-0.034 60	-0.048 19
20	-0.077 27	-0.047 70	-0.071 38	0.032 05	0.044 56	-0.061 09
10	-0.093 52	-0.056 27	-0.08003	-0.03691	-0.051 17	-0.068 67
5	-0.120 92	-0.07006	-0.102 36	0.044 45	0.06048	-0.077 62
2	-0.221 05	-0.11141	-0.140 49	-0.064 27	-0.077 39	-0.090 22
1	-0.43275	-0.161 72	-0.17643	0.083 96	-0.090 35	-0.098 28
0.5	-0.662 22	-0.199 81	-0.206 70	-0.096 59	0.099 57	-0.103 89
0.2	-0.851 25	-0.22821	-0.230 85	-0.105 04	-0.106 19	-0.107 99
-0.2	-1.167 40	-0.274 38	-0.271 90	-0.117 57	-0.11648	-0.114 59
-0.5	-1.454 07	-0.316 39	-0.31043	-0.128 11	-0.125 44	-0.120 59
-1	-2.028 20	-0.40277	-0.39166	-0.148 49	-0.143 24	-0.133 20
-2	-3.543 38	-0.646 66	-0.627 29	-0.203 59	-0.193 32	-0.172 51
-5	-11.0640	-2.044 20	-2.01028	-0.562 80	-0.539 39	-0.490 98
-10	-33.5761	-6.78615	-6.741 84	-2.088 53	-2.052 53	- 1.979 22
-20	-116.084	-25.5743	-25.5223	-9.13622	9.089 73	- 8.996 11

TABLE VI. Energy eigenvalues of states 1s-3d as functions of the strength B of the Yukawa potential for C=0.25. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

TABLE VII. Energy eigenvalues for C=0.75. Symbols have the same meaning as in Tables I and VI.

State	15	2 <i>s</i>	2 <i>p</i>	35	3 <i>p</i>	3 <i>d</i>
B				1997 - A.		
100	-0.139 28	-0.072 28	-0.12048	-0.044 82	-0.065 02	-0.090 26
20	-0.189 09	-0.092 03	-0.15077	-0.054 12	-0.077 46	-0.100 17
10	-0.22623	-0.105 35	-0.168 13	-0.060 19	-0.084 19	-0.103 79
5	-0.285 78	-0.124 98	-0.187 79	-0.068 59	-0.091 43	-0.10661
2	-0.441 23	-0.166 82	-0.213 33	-0.084 67	-0.100 14	-0.10900
1	-0.618 37	-0.20071	-0.228 23	-0.09616	-0.104 82	-0.109 99
0.5	-0.77511	-0.223 50	-0.23801	-0.103 30	-0.107 72	-0.110 53
0.2	-0.900 79	-0.238 96	-0.244 89	-0.107 92	-0.109 69	-0.11087
-0.2	-1.11127	-0.261 63	-0.255 58	-0.114 38	-0.112 62	-0.111 36
-0.5	-1.308 72	-0.280 26	-0.264 95	-0.11943	-0.11507	-0.11174
-1	-1.71343	-0.314.96	-0.283 87	-0.12821	-0.11970	-0.112 42
-2	-2.842 40	-0.403 52	-0.339 40	-0.147 44	-0.131 23	-0.11396
5	- 9.032 47	-0.97475	-0.80643	-0.224 51	-0.183 90	-0.121 23
-10	-29.1520	-3.733 55	- 3.445 36	-0.55340	-0.432 62	-0.198 11
-20	-106.735	-17.9288	-17.5354	-3.741 19	-3.470 08	-2.901 89

TABLE VIII. Energy eigenvalues for C = 1.5. Symbols have the same meaning as in Tables I and VI.

State	1s	2 <i>s</i>	2 <i>p</i>	3s	3 <i>p</i>	3 <i>d</i>
B			-		-	
100	-0.232 86	-0.104 67	-0.17665	-0.059 56	-0.08608	-0.10694
20	-0.31061	-0.12802	-0.205 05	-0.069 42	-0.09621	-0.109 69
10	-0.366 53	-0.143 43	-0.217 61	-0.075 43	-0.100 52	-0.11031
5	-0.449 90	-0.163 50	-0.22875	-0.083 10	-0.104 26	-0.11068
2	-0.61631	-0.197 22	-0.23940	-0.094 81	-0.107 74	-0.11093
1	-0.753 52	-0.21925	-0.24421	-0.101 88	-0.109 28	-0.11102
0.5	-0.858 58	-0.233 49	-0.24696	-0.10624	-0.11015	-0.11106
0.2	-0.938 37	-0.243 13	-0.24875	-0.109 10	-0.11072	-0.111 09
-0.2	-1.069 24	-0.257 21	-0.251 31	-0.113 19	-0.111 52	-0.111 13
-0.5	-1.18907	-0.268 61	-0.253 38	-0.11641	-0.11216	-0.111 16
-1	-1.437 88	-0.289 02	-0.257 16	-0.12200	-0.113 33	-0.11121
-2	-2.16040	-0.334 24	-0.266 29	-0.133 66	-0.11607	-0.111 31
-5	-6.67643	-0.52176	-0.31941	-0.172 48	-0.13020	-0.111 63
-10	-23.4466	-1.48601	-0.882 80	-0.26435	-0.18749	-0.112 31
-20	-93.8292	-9.870 58	- 8.676 43	-0.790 76	-0.443 77	-0.115 19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· · ·	1

				,	B an I ao	10 1.
B State	+ 1	-1	+ 2	-2	+ 4	-4
5 <i>s</i>	-0.030 49	-0.052 52	-0.022 82	-0.070 36	-0.016 56	-0.13421
5 <i>p</i>	-0.031 55	-0.051 79	-0.025 80	-0.068 92	-0.02012	-0.13139
5 <i>d</i>	-0.033 13	-0.050 30	-0.02874	-0.06604	-0.02415	-0.125.68
5f	-0.03487	-0.048 25	-0.031 61	-0.061 72	0.027 86	-0.11692
5g	-0.036 59	-0.045 70	-0.034 32	-0.055 97	-0.031 52	-0.10481
6 <i>s</i>	-0.022 18	-0.03446	-0.01725	-0.042 93	-0.012 75	-0.070.08
6 <i>p</i>	-0.02284	-0.03402	-0.018 93	-0.04207	-0.015 60	-0.06826
6 <i>d</i>	-0.023 83	-0.033 16	-0.021 16	-0.040 39	-0.018 15	-0.06462
6 <i>f</i>	-0.024 90	-0.031 97	-0.022 93	-0.037 92	-0.020 56	-0.05913
6g	-0.025 89	-0.030 57	-0.024 56	-0.03480	-0.02281	-0.05174
6 <i>h</i>	-0.02676	-0.029 22	-0.025 98	-0.03143	-0.024 86	-0.04238
7 <i>s</i>	-0.01676	-0.02441	-0.013 28	-0.028 94	-0.009 36	-0.04211
7 <i>p</i>	-0.01724	-0.024 13	-0.01477	-0.02843	-0.01193	-0.041 03
7 <i>d</i>	-0.017 90	-0.023 60	-0.01612	-0.027 59	-0.01400	-0.038 91
7f	-0.01861	-0.02288	-0.01732	-0.02612	-0.01571	-0.03578
7g	-0.01923	-0.02206	-0.018 37	-0.024 37	-0.01720	-0.03176
7 h	-0.01975	-0.021 29	-0.019 24	-0.02255	-0.01848	-0.027 09
7 <i>i</i>	-0.02013	-0.02075	-0.019 89	-0.021 18	-0.019 51	-0.022 60

TABLE IX. Energy eigenvalues of states 5s - 7i for C = 0.1. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I.

lations has a similar shape to that of $R_{nl}^{H}(r)$ if the Yukawa contribution is not large.

Table XI shows the critical values C_0 , C_1 , and C_2 , for which the levels 2s-4f (for B>0) and 3d-5p (for B<0) cross over. For positive B and for $C \le C_0$ the state with the larger principal quantum number n has lower energy than that with smaller n. For higher excited states the level crossing takes place at smaller B, e.g., $E_{7i} < E_{6s}$ up to B=1.25. For 0 < B < 1.25 no level crossing between the levels 2s-7i has been obtained. With increasing B the number of energy levels with different n and l, which cross over, increases. An interesting feature of the spectra for the positive strength B is that the energy eigenvalues of the states 2p, 3d, 4f, etc. tend to E_{1s} with increasing Band decreasing C and in the limit they become degenerate with the state 1s (see Table IV). It should be noted that the states $1s, 2p, 3d, 4f, \ldots$ are the lowest eigenstates with the orbital momentum quantum numbers $l=0,1,2,3,\ldots$, respectively.

For negative *B* one can observe an opposite tendency. The levels E_{4s} , E_{5s} , etc. lie below the E_{3d} , E_{4f} , etc., respectively, if the values of the screening parameter be-

TABLE X. Energy eigenvalues of states 1s-6h for C=1. Symbols have the same meaning as in Table I

				-	8	
B State	-5	8	-10	-20	-30	-50
1s	-8.1637	- 18.0693	-27.1336	- 102.2894	-227 3520	-627 4070
2 <i>s</i>	-0.7334	-1.6570	-2.7323	-14.8343	- 38 9624	-124 3203
2 <i>p</i>	-0.5265	-1.3061	-2.3053	-14.1939	-38.2253	- 123 4919
3 <i>s</i>	-0.1981	-0.2800	-0.3652	-2.2297	-8 3499	- 36 1419
3 <i>p</i>	-0.1588	-0.2099	-0.2605	-1.8634	-7 8233	- 35 4580
3 <i>d</i>	-0.1141	-0.1171	-0.1207	-1.0866	-6 7237	- 34 0663
4 <i>s</i>	-0.0942	-0.1178	-0.1368	-0.3142	-1.2721	- 10 0259
4 <i>p</i>	-0.0805	-0.0971	-0.1105	-0.2274	-1.0059	_9 5239
4 <i>d</i>	-0.0641	-0.0657	-0.0677	-0.1161	-0.4802	- 8 1110
4 <i>f</i>	-0.0625	-0.0626	-0.0626	-0.0627	-0.0630	-6.8572
5 <i>s</i>	-0.0550	-0.0649	-0.0723	-0.1230	-0.2234	-1 9100
5 <i>p</i>	0.0487	-0.0561	-0.0617	-0.0997	-0.1711	-1 6225
5 <i>d</i>	-0.0409	-0.0418	-0.0429	-0.0646	-0.1032	-1 0472
5 <i>f</i>	-0.0400	-0.0401	-0.0401	-0.0402	-0.0404	-0.2035
5g	-0.0400	-0.0400	-0.0400	-0.0400	-0.0400	-0.0400
6 <i>s</i>	-0.0359	-0.0409	-0.0443	-0.0639	-0.0944	-0.2673
6 <i>p</i>	-0.0324	-0.0365	-0.0392	-0.0552	-0.0786	-0.1287
6 <i>d</i>	-0.0283	-0.0288	-0.0295	-0.0410	-0.0583	-0.1106
6 <i>f</i>	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0279	-0.0281	-0.0601
6g	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278
6 <i>h</i>	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278	-0.0278

=

TABLE XI. Estimated critical values of the screening parameter C for the crossing of the energy levels n 1 - n'l' as functions of the strength B. For positive B the level n'l' is located below the level nlif $C \le C_0$. C_0 is listed in the upper part of the table. For negative B the level n'l' lies below the level nlif $C_1 \le C \le C_2$. C_1 is the first number, and C_2 the second quoted for each pair nl - n'l' in the lower part of the table.

B	2s-4f	3 <i>p</i> -4 <i>f</i>	3s-4d		2s-3d		3 <i>s</i> -4 <i>f</i>
2							0.12
4	0.07	0.12	0.30		0.33		0.46
10	0.18	0.27	0.68		0.71		0.82
B	3	d-4s	4 <i>f</i>	-5 <i>s</i>		4 <i>f</i>	-5 <i>p</i>
-8	 0.83	1.02	0.36	1.14		0.37	0.74
- 10	0.82	1.58	0.40	1.56		0.41	0.97
-20	1.21	3.92	0.63	3.38		0.64	1.88
- 50	2.57	8.84	1.37	6.51		1.39	4.22

long to the interval $C_1 \le C \le C_2$ and if |B| is large enough. The values C_1 and C_2 for the crossing of the energy levels 3d-4s, 4f-5s, and 4f-5p are given in Table XI for several values of B. The crossing between the levels 3d-4s and 4f-5s has been obtained for $B \le -7.78$ and -4.58, respectively. The calculations of the lowest energy levels were performed for $-100 \le B \le 100\,000$ and $0.001 \le C \le 100$ and in these limits the properties (i)-(iii) were confirmed.

The splitting of the 2s and 2p levels, which corresponds to the Lamb shift in the hydrogen atom,⁹ is shown on Fig. 1. The Lamb shift $E_{2s} - E_{2p}$ is positive if the Yukawa potential is repulsive and for C=0.1 takes a maximum if B=2.65. For B negative the Lamb shift is negative, too, and changes monotonically with B. It seems that this property, that the Lamb shift has the same sign as the potential superposed with the Coulomb one, holds true for a much broader class of potentials. One can show by the first-order perturbation theory that this is valid for the δ like potential $\lambda \delta(r)/r^2$ and for the potentials of the form λr^{ν} if $\nu > 0$ or $-2 \le \nu < -1$. These potentials superposed with the attractive Coulomb potential lead to the Lamb shift of the same sign as the sign of their strength λ (at least for small λ). It agrees with the theorems^{10,11} stating that for $\lambda > 0$ and $\nu > 0$, $E_{2p} < E_{2s} < E_{3d}$. For $-1 < \nu < 0$ and small λ the Lamb shift has a sign opposite to λ .

Approximate analytical expressions for the energy eigenvalues of the SCYP can be found with the help the hydrogenic wave functions $R_{nl}^{\rm H}(r)$. They are, for the 2s state,

$$E_{2s}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[1 - \frac{B(2C^2 + 1)}{(C+1)^4} \right],$$
 (6a)

and for the states with l=n-1, i.e., the states with the lowest energy for each l,

$$E_{n,n-1}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{n^2} \left[1 - \frac{B}{(\frac{1}{2}nC+1)^{2n}} \right].$$
 (6b)

Formulas (6) provide the upper bounds to the energy levels of the SCYP for all values of B and C, but are useful for $C \gg 1$ or $|B| \ll 1$. If $C \to \infty$ the bounds (6) go over into $E_n^{(1)} = E_n^{\rm H} = -1/n^2$ [Eq. (3)]. On the other hand, using the wave functions for the potential (B-2)/2r we obtain the following upper bounds, which are valid only for B < 2:

$$E_{2s}^{(2)} = \frac{B-2}{16} \left[2 + B - \frac{2B(2-B)^2[8C^2 + (2-B)^2]}{(2C+2-B)^4} \right]$$
(7a)

and, for the states with l = n - 1,

TABLE XII. Comparison of analytical estimates $E^{(1)}$ [Eqs. (6)] and $E^{(2)}$ [Eqs. (7)] with numerical results calculated with the help of wave function (5) for several energy levels and given values of the Yukawa parameters *B* and *C*. Energy is expressed in units E_0 .

				-		-			-	-
B	С		1s	2s	2 <i>p</i>	3 <i>d</i>	4 <i>f</i>	5g	6 <i>h</i>	7 <i>i</i>
1.5	10	$E^{(1)}$	-0.9583	-0.2449	-0.2500	-0.1111	-0.0625	-0.0400	-0.0278	-0.0204
		E	-0.9627	-0.2453	-0.2500	-0.1111	-0.0625	-0.0400	-0.0278	-0.0204
-1.5	0.2	$E^{(1)}$	-2.2397	-0.4453	-0.4308	-0.1456	-0.0689	-0.0410	-0.0279	-0.0204
		$E^{(2)}$	-2.7864	-0.5462	-0.5351	-0.1615	-0.0590	-0.0260	-0.0143	-0.0094
		E	-2.7864	-0.5474	-0.5363	-0.1675	-0.0716	-0.0412	-0.0279	-0.0204
-1.5	0.1	$E^{(1)}$	-2.3661	-0.5113	-0.5061	-0.1832	-0.0843	-0.0464	-0.0296	-0.0209
		$E^{(2)}$	-2.9187	-0.6383	-0.6348	-0.2267	-0.0964	-0.0451	-0.0231	-0.0131
		E	-2.9187	-0.6384	-0.6349	-0.2274	-0.0988	-0.0501	-0.0302	-0.0210
1	0.01	$E^{(2)}$	-0.2599	-0.0719	-0.0720	-0.0368	-0.0240	-0.0177	-0.0139	-0.0114
		E	-0.2599	-0.0719	-0.0720	-0.0368	-0.0241	-0.0178	-0.0141	-0.0116

$$E_{n,n-1}^{(2)} = \frac{B-2}{4n^2} \left[2 + B - \frac{2B}{\left[nC/(2-B) + 1 \right]^{2n}} \right].$$
(7b)

Expressions (7) are useful for $C \ll 1$ and B < 2. If $C \rightarrow 0$ they go over into the hydrogenlike levels $E_n^{(2)} = -(2-B)^2/4n^2$ [cf. Eq. (4)]. The upper bounds given by Eqs. (6) and (7) are in general worse than those obtained with the use of the trial function (5) (see Table XII), although they provide accurate energy eigenvalues in the case when the potential is almost Coulombic, i.e., for very small or very large C, small |B| or $B \ll -1$, and large n.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work the discrete energy eigenvalues for the superposition of the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials have been calculated as functions of the strength Band the screening parameter C of the Yukawa potential. For B=0 the spectrum is given by $E_n^{\rm H} = -1/n^2$. The energy eigenvalues E_{nl} for the SCYP are shifted upwards or downwards with respect to the hydrogenlike levels $E_n^{\rm H}$ if B>0 or B<0, respectively. The absolute values of the deviations of E_{nl} from $E_n^{\rm H}$, i.e., $|E_{nl}-E_n^{\rm H}|$, decrease with the increasing azimuthal quantum number l, which results in the corresponding ordering of the energy levels for a given n. This is due to the influence of the finiterange Yukawa potential, which decreases with increasing l. For certain values of B and C it yields the level E_{2s} , E_{3s} , etc., lie above E_{3d} , E_{4f} , etc., respectively. On the contrary, for negative B and for C from the intervals $C_1(B) \leq C \leq C_2(B)$ the levels E_{4s} , E_{5s} , etc., are located below E_{3d} , E_{4f} , etc., respectively.

The energy eigenvalues considered as functions of B vary almost linearly if $|B| \ll 1$ [cf. Eqs. (6)] and are approximately quadratic functions of B if $B \ll -1$ [cf. Eq. (4)]. The dependence of E_{nl} on C shows two hydrogenlike limits for $C \rightarrow 0$ and $C \rightarrow \infty$. The difference between the spectra for the SCYP and for the purely Coulombic potential is largest for the intermediate values of the screening parameter $0.1 \leq C \leq 2$, i.e., for the screening length

 $10 \ge D/a_0 \ge 0.5$, provided that $B \ge -10$. In this case the splittings of the energy levels with the same *n* and various *l* take also the largest values. If $B \to -\infty$ this interval shifts towards larger values of *C*.

The properties of the energy spectrum for the SCYP obtained in the present work have many analogies in atomic, solid-state, and quark physics. Property (i), giving the order of the energy levels E_{nl} with the same n, dependent on l and on the sign of the Yukawa potential, has an application to such systems as an exciton and a bound polaron in polar semiconductors and ionic crystals. These systems consist of two oppositely charged particles interacting with themselves through a polarizable medium. The energy levels of both the systems exhibit property (i),^{5,7} although the total effective potential is even more complicated than the SCYP, being a linear combination of the Coulomb potential and an additional potential, which is a sum of two Yukawa potentials with different strengths and screening parameters, and an exponential potential. However, the net contribution of the additional potential is negative for the exciton^{5,6} and positive for the bound polaron,⁷ and one of the Yukawa potentials dominates at small distances.

Another system, having the energy levels ordered similarly to those for the SCYP with B > 0, is the charmonium (the bound state of heavy charmed quark-antiquark pair). For each *n* the energy levels of charmonium increase with decreasing l.¹² This can be explained in the frames of the simple model,¹³ assuming that the quark interact via the potential being the superposition of the attractive Coulomb potential and the positive linear potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. S. Bednarek for fruitful discussions. I am grateful to Professor B. Gerlach and Professor J. Treusch for kind hospitality during my stay at the University of Dortmund and for stimulating conversations. I wish also to thank Dr. G. Kasperidus for bringing to my attention Refs. 10–13. This work was supported in part by the Institute of Physics of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

- ¹F. J. Rogers, H. C. Graboske, Jr., and D. J. Harwood, Phys. Rev. A 1, 1577 (1970).
- ²K. M. Roussel and R. F. O'Connel, Phys. Rev. A 9, 52 (1974).
- ³C. S. Lam and Y. P. Varshni, Phys. Rev. A 6, 1391 (1972).
- ⁴C. S. Lai, Phys. Rev. A 26, 2245 (1982).
- ⁵S. Bednarek, J. Adamowski, and M. Suffczyński, Solid State Commun. 21, 1 (1977).
- ⁶J. Pollmann and H. Büttner, Phys. Rev. B 16, 4480 (1977); H. Büttner and J. Pollmann, Physica (Utrecht) 117/118B, 278 (1983).
- ⁷J. Adamowski, in Proceedings of the XII Conference on Physics

of Semiconducting Compounds, Jaszowiec, Poland, 1983 (Ossolineum, Wrocław, 1983), p. 139; and unpublished.

- ⁸P.-O. Löwdin, Phys. Rev. 139, A357 (1965).
- ⁹G. W. F. Drake, Adv. At. Mol. Phys. 18, 399 (1982).
- ¹⁰A. Martin, Phys. Lett. **67B**, 330 (1977).
- ¹¹H. Grosse, Phys. Lett. **68B**, 343 (1977).
- ¹²M. Krammer and H. Krasemann, Acta Phys. Austr. Suppl. XXI, 259 (1979).
- ¹³E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, J. Kogut, K. D. Lane, and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 369 (1975).