Second-order perturbative calculation of hydrogenic Zeeman levels

Augustine C. Chen

Physics Department, St. John's University, Jamaica, New York 11439 (Received 23 August 1983)

The degenerate perturbative treatment of the hydrogenic Zeeman problem is put on a more rigorous basis and is extended to higher levels belonging to the manifolds of $M = n - |m| \le 4$ basis states. The 16 n = 4 levels are calculated and the large-*n* behavior of the basis states is discussed.

Degenerate perturbation theory has been applied to the calculation of 14 low-lying energy levels to the second order of the hydrogen atom in a homogeneous magnetic field.¹ We now generalize and extend the treatment to higher levels. Instead of the parabolic states, we use in the present work the definite-parity states, which are even and odd combinations of pairs of parabolic states, defined by Clark² as the basis states. The unperturbed hydrogenic states are, in fact, linear combinations of the Clark states. It will be seen that the zeroth-order states which diagonalize the first-order perturbation matrix are also their linear combina-For a given principal quantum number tions.³ $n = n_1 + n_2 + |m| + 1$, there are M = n - |m| Clark states. When M is odd, the Clark states for $n_1 = n_2$ are simply the parabolic states themselves. The first-order perturbation matrix is an $M \times M$ matrix consisting of two tridiagonal matrices of dimensions $\frac{1}{2}M \times \frac{1}{2}M$ if M is even or $\frac{1}{2}(M+1) \times \frac{1}{2}(M+1)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(M-1) \times \frac{1}{2}(M-1)$ if M is odd. This feature facilitates considerably the calculation of the first-order eigenvalues. The results for M = 2, 3, 4 are given below.

(a) M=2 (m=n-2, $n_1-n_2=1$). The parabolic states relevant to this case are $|1\rangle = |01m\rangle$ and $|2\rangle = |10m\rangle$. Consequently, we have the following two Clark states:

$$|C_1\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)$$
, (1)

$$|C_2\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)$$
 (2)

The matrix elements $M_{ij}^{(p)}$ of the *p*th order with respect to the parabolic states *i* and *j* can be obtained from the following expressions:⁴

$$M_{ii}^{(1)} = 4n(3n^2 + 1 - m^2 - 3q^2), \quad q = n_1 - n_2 \quad , \tag{3}$$

$$M_{ij}^{(1)} = 4n(n^2 - m^2), \quad q = 1$$
, (4)

$$M_{ii}^{(2)} = (-1106n^{3} - 1316n^{3} - 234n + 1692n^{3}q^{2} -586nq^{4} + 388nq^{2} + 132m^{2}nq^{2} + 796m^{2}n^{3} +356m^{2}n - 122m^{4}n)/3, \quad q = n_{1} - n_{2} \quad , \tag{5}$$
$$M_{ij}^{(2)} = (-572n^{5} - 320n^{3} + 662m^{2}n^{3})$$

$$+320m^2n - 92m^4n)/3, q = 1$$
 (6)

Substituting the values of m = n - 2 and q = 1, we obtain

$$M_{11}^{(1)} = 8n(n+3)(n-1)$$
 ,

$$M_{12}^{(1)} = 16n(n-1) \quad , \tag{8}$$

$$M_{11}^{(2)} = 16n(-27n^4 - 138n^3 + 70n^2 + 122n - 27)/3 \quad , \qquad (9)$$

$$M_{12}^{(2)} = 2n(-n^4 - 956n^3 + 220n^2 + 832n - 96)/3 \quad . \tag{10}$$

The matrix elements with respect to the Clark states can be obtained from the matrix elements $M_{ij}^{(p)}$. In the present case, the 2×2 first-order perturbation matrix in the Clark basis is diagonal. Consequently, the first-order eigenvalues are the diagonal matrix elements $M_{11}^{(1)} \pm M_{12}^{(1)}$ and they are

$$\lambda_{\rm I}^{(1)} = 8n(n+5)(n-1) \quad , \tag{11}$$

$$\lambda_{\Pi}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 - 1) \quad , \tag{12}$$

corresponding to the eigenstates

$$\mathbf{I}\rangle = |C_1\rangle \quad , \tag{13}$$

$$|\mathrm{II}\rangle - |C_2\rangle \quad . \tag{14}$$

The second-order eigenvalues are then found to be

$$\lambda_{\rm I}^{(2)} = 2n(-217n^4 - 2060n^3 + 780n^2 + 1808n - 312)/3 , (15)$$

$$\lambda_{\rm II}^{(2)} = 2n\left(-215n^4 - 148n^3 + 340n^2 + 144n - 120\right)/3.$$
(16)

(b) M=3 $(m=n-3, n_1-n_2=0,2)$. There are three parabolic states: $|1\rangle = |02m\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |20m\rangle$, and $|3\rangle = |11m\rangle$, and the resulting Clark states are

$$|C\rangle = 2^{-1/2} (|1\rangle + |2\rangle) , \qquad (17)$$

$$|C_2\rangle = |3\rangle \quad , \tag{18}$$

$$|C_3\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)$$
 (19)

Using Eqs. (3) and (5), we obtain

1

$$M_{11}^{(1)} = 8n(n+5)(n-2) , \qquad (20)$$

$$M_{33}^{(1)} = 8n(n+4)(n-1) , \qquad (21)$$

$$M_{11}^{(2)} = 16n(-9n^4 - 69n^3 + 144n^2 + 164n - 208)$$
, (22)

$$\mathcal{M}_{33}^{(2)} = 16n(-9n^4 - 69n^3 - 8n^2 + 230n - 144) \quad . \tag{23}$$

Using the nonvanishing matrix elements of the perturbation in I, we also find that $^{\rm 5}$

$$M_{12}^{(1)} = 0 \quad , \tag{24}$$

$$M_{13}^{(1)} = 16n[2(n-2)(n-1)]^{1/2} , \qquad (25)$$

$$M_{12}^{(2)} = -576n(n-2)(n-1) , \qquad (26)$$

$$M_{13}^{(2)} = 16(-41n^3 - 40n^2 + 57n + 6)[2(n-2)(n-1)]^{1/2} .$$
(27)

The first-order eigenvalues are found to be

$$\lambda_{1,11}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 + 3n - 7) \pm 8nR, \quad R = (16n^2 - 48n + 41)^{1/2} ,$$
(28)

$$\lambda_{\rm III}^{(1)} = 8n(n+5)(n-2) , \qquad (29)$$

29 2225

(7)

and the corresponding eigenstates that diagonalize the first-order perturbation matrix are^{6}

$$|\mathbf{I}\rangle = a_1 |C_1\rangle + a_2 |C_2\rangle \quad , \tag{30}$$

$$|\text{II}\rangle = a_2 |C_1\rangle - a_1 |C_2\rangle$$
, (31)

$$|\mathrm{III}\rangle = |C_3\rangle \quad , \tag{32}$$

where

$$a_1 = 4(n^2 - 3n + 2)^{1/2} / (2R^2 + 6R)^{1/2}$$
, (33)

$$a_2 = (3+R)/(2R^2+6R)^{1/2} . (34)$$

The second-order eigenvalues are therefore

$$\lambda_{\rm I}^{(2)} = a_1^2 A + a_2^2 B + 2(2)^{1/2} a_1 a_2 C \quad , \tag{35}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm II}^{(2)} = a_2^2 A + a_1^2 B - 2(2)^{1/2} a_1 a_2 C \quad , \tag{36}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm III}^{(2)} = M_{11}^{(2)} - M_{12}^{(2)} , \qquad (37)$$

where

$$A = M_{11}^{(2)} + M_{12}^{(2)} , \qquad (38)$$

$$B = M_{33}^{(2)} \quad . \tag{39}$$

(c) M=4 (m=n-4, $n_1-n_2=1,3$). The four parabolic states for this case are $|1\rangle = |03m\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |30m\rangle$, $|3\rangle = |12m\rangle$, and $|4\rangle = |21m\rangle$, and the Clark states are

$$|C_1\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)$$
, (40)

$$|C_2\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|3\rangle + |4\rangle)$$
, (41)

$$|C_3\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)$$
, (42)

$$|C_4\rangle = 2^{-1/2}(|3\rangle - |4\rangle)$$
 (43)

Equations (3)-(5) give in this case

$$M_{11}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 + 4n - 21) , \qquad (44)$$

$$M_{33}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 + 4n - 9) , \qquad (45)$$

$$M_{34}^{(1)} = 32n(n-2) \quad . \tag{46}$$

Using the matrix elements given in the Appendix of I, we obtain the remaining matrix elements as follows:

$$M_{13}^{(1)} = 16n[3(n-3)(n-1)]^{1/2} , \qquad (47)$$

$$M_{11}^{(2)} = 16n(-27n^4 - 276n^3 + 1030n^2 + 1180n - 3171)/3 ,$$
(48)

$$M_{33}^{(2)} = 16n(-27n^4 - 276n^3 + 118n^2 + 1708n - 1491)/3 ,$$
(49)

$$M_{12}^{(2)} = 0 \quad , \tag{50}$$

$$M_{13}^{(2)} = 8n(-285n^2 - 368n + 192)[3(n-3)(n-1)]^{1/2}/3 ,$$
(51)

$$M_{14}^{(2)} = -576n(n-2)[3(n-3)(n-1)]^{1/2} , \qquad (52)$$

$$M_{34}^{(2)} = -128n(n-2)(30n^2 + 46n - 73)/3 \quad . \tag{53}$$

The first-order eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{I,II}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 + 6n - 19) \pm 16nS, \quad S = (4n^2 - 10n + 10)^{1/2},$$

$$\lambda_{\text{III, IV}}^{(1)} = 8n(n^2 + 2n - 11) \pm 16nT, \quad T = (4n^2 - 22n + 34)^{1/2}$$

and the corresponding eigenstates are

$$|\mathbf{I}\rangle = b_1 |C_1\rangle + b_2 |C_2\rangle \quad , \tag{56}$$

$$|\mathrm{II}\rangle = b_2 |C_1\rangle - b_1 |C_2\rangle \quad , \tag{57}$$

$$|\mathrm{III}\rangle = d_1 |C_3\rangle + d_2 |C_4\rangle \quad , \tag{58}$$

$$|\mathrm{IV}\rangle = d_2 |C_3\rangle - d_1 |C_4\rangle \quad , \tag{59}$$

where

$$b_1 = [3(n^2 - 4n + 3)]^{1/2} / [2S^2 + 2(n + 1)S]^{1/2} , \qquad (60)$$

$$b_2 = (n+1+S)/[2S^2+2(n+1)S]^{1/2} , \qquad (61)$$

$$d_1 = [3(n^2 - 4n + 3)]^{1/2} / [2T^2 - 2(n - 5)T]^{1/2}, \quad (62)$$

$$d_2 = (-n+5+T)/[2T^2-2(n-5)T]^{1/2} .$$
 (63)

The second-order eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_1^{(2)} = b_1^2 P + b_2^2 Q + 2(2)^{1/2} b_1 b_2 D \quad , \tag{64}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm II}^{(2)} = b_2^2 P + b_1^2 Q - 2(2)^{1/2} b_1 b_2 D \quad , \tag{65}$$

$$\lambda_{\text{III}}^{(2)} = d_1^2 P + d_2^2 Q + 2(2)^{1/2} d_1 d_2 D \quad , \tag{66}$$

$$\lambda_{\rm IV}^{(2)} = d_2^2 P + d_1^2 Q - 2(2)^{1/2} d_1 d_2 D \quad , \tag{67}$$

where

(54)

$$P = M_{11}^{(2)} + M_{12}^{(2)} , (68)$$

$$Q = M_{33}^{(2)} + M_{34}^{(2)} , \qquad (69)$$

$$D = M_{13}^{(2)} + M_{14}^{(2)} \quad . \tag{70}$$

The above results are valid for all values of *n*. We have used these results to calculate the 16 n = 4 levels. The numerical results are given in Table I. The $4e(\pm 3)$ levels are nondegenerate. Their eigenvalues $\lambda^{(p)}$ can be obtained directly from Eqs. (3) and (5) with q = 0. In order to assign the eigenvalues and to identify hydrogenic levels, the hydrogenic states are expressed in terms of the corresponding eigenstates $|I\rangle$, $|II\rangle$, etc. For example, for n = 4 and m = 0, we have, by using Eqs. (40)-(43) and (56)-(64), the following:

$$\begin{aligned} |4s\rangle &= 0.8702 |I\rangle + 0.4927 |II\rangle , \\ |4d(0)\rangle &= -0.4927 |I\rangle + 0.8702 |II\rangle , \\ |4p(0)\rangle &= 0.9545 |III\rangle + 0.2982 |IV\rangle , \\ |4e(0)\rangle &= -0.2982 |III\rangle + 0.9545 |IV\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, we have the assignments λ_{I} to 4s, λ_{II} to 4d(0), λ_{III} to 4p(0), and λ_{IV} to 4e(0).

Since the matrix elements $M_{13}^{(p)}$ were not included in the calculation of the three levels 3s, 3p(0), and 3d(0) in I, we have recalculated the energy of these three levels. Noting that

$$|3s\rangle = 0.9156|I\rangle + 0.4021|II\rangle$$
,
 $|3d(0)\rangle = -0.4021|I\rangle + 0.9156|II\rangle$,
 $|3p(0)\rangle = |III\rangle$,

we have the assignments λ_{I} to 3s, λ_{II} to 3d(0), and λ_{III} to

(55)

TABLE I. Eigenvalues, coefficients, and energy levels. The Rayleigh-Schrödinger coefficients E_p and the energy E are calculated with the use of formulas given in Sec. III of Ref. 1. In Eq. (7) of Ref. 1, the coefficient λ_p is now $\lambda^{(p)}$ and the quantity in the bracket should be corrected to read $B^2 \lambda^4/32$.

nl m								
	$\lambda^{(1)}$	$\lambda^{(2)}$	E_1	E ₂			Ε	
					B = 0.1		B = 1.0	
					$m \leq 0$	m > 0	$m \leq 0$	m > 0
433	640	-263 640	320	-4 340 480	-0.15987	+1.41103	-1.507 67	+1.49233
422	894	-449 688	447	-6 396 290	-0.09 816	+0.10184	-0.999 994	+1.000006
432	480	-157933	240	-2751232	-0.10874	+0.09126	-1.01030	+0.98970
411	999.9	-549 701	500	-7 592 422	-0.049 98	+0.05002	-0.498 35	+0.50165
431	344.1	-70675	172	-1 077 562	-0.056 89	+0.04311	-0.503 81	+0.49619
421	576	-240128	288	-4 366 336	-0.06321	+0.03679	-0.512 26	+0.48774
400	1045.2	-627 797	523	-9 165 072	-0.00275		-0.001 46	
420	298.8	-83 662	149	-1784305	-0.019 52		-0.01875	
410	618.4	-584103	309	-14 867 105	-0.024 92		-0.024 82	
430	213.6	-127 363	107	-3619374	-0.02817		-0.028 10	
300	417.6	-140056	157	-537 083	-0.018 54		-0.010 01	
320	110.3	-13 646	41	-65 061	-0.03810		-0.029 35	
310	192	-45 120	72	-225 990	-0.037 28		-0.03268	

3p(0). The results in Table I show that the two levels 3s and 3d(0) do not cross.⁷ The results also show that the levels 3p(0) and 3d(0) cross at $B = 0.1245.^8$

High Rydberg states of an atom in a magnetic field have been of recent interest.⁹ Results obtained by first-order

classical perturbation theory for magnetic fields up to 6 T have been verified by exact numerical calculations. Our results show that, as *n* tends to infinity, the *M* states $|I\rangle$, $|II\rangle$, ..., within the manifold tend to be hydrogenic. For example, it can be shown for M=4

$$\begin{split} |n, n-4, n-4\rangle &= 2^{-1/2} (A_1 | C_1 \rangle + A_2 | C_2 \rangle) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} | C_1 \rangle + (3^{1/2}/2) | C_2 \rangle \rightarrow |I\rangle , \\ |n, n-3, n-4\rangle &= 2^{-1/2} (A_3 | C_3 \rangle + A_4 | C_4 \rangle) \rightarrow (3^{1/2}/2) | C_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} | C_4 \rangle \rightarrow |III\rangle , \\ |n, n-2, n-4\rangle &= 2^{-1/2} (A_2 | C_1 \rangle - A_1 | C_2 \rangle) \rightarrow - (3^{1/2}/2) | C_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} | C_2 \rangle \rightarrow |II\rangle \\ |n, n-1, n-4\rangle &= 2^{-1/2} (A_4 | C_3 \rangle - A_3 | C_4 \rangle) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} | C_3 \rangle - (3^{1/2})/2 | C_4 \rangle \rightarrow |IV\rangle , \end{split}$$

where¹⁰

$$A_1 = (n-1)^{1/2} / (2n-5)^{1/2}, \quad A_2 = 3^{1/2} (n-3)^{1/2} / (2n-5)^{1/2},$$

$$A_3 = 3^{1/2} (n-1)^{1/2} / (2n-3)^{1/2}, \quad A_4 = (n-3)^{1/2} / (2n-3)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly, our method is useful for the calculation of energy levels for all the states within the manifold M and for the study of level crossings.

- ¹A. C. Chen, Phys. Rev. A <u>28</u>, 280 (1983) (referred to hereafter as I).
- ²C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A <u>24</u>, 605 (1981). See also M. L. Zimmerman, R. G. Hulet, and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. A <u>27</u>, 2731 (1983).
- ³B. G. Adams, J. E. Avron, J. Cizek, and J. Paldus [Phys. Rev. A <u>21</u>, 1914 (1980)] identified these states as tunneling states.
- ⁴Equations (16), (19), (17), and (20), respectively, in Ref. 1.
- ⁵In I, $M_{13}^{(2)}$ belonging to this case was not included in the calculation of the 3s, 3p(0), and 3d(0) levels.

 $^{6}|I\rangle$ and $|II\rangle$ are the tunneling states defined in Ref. 3.

- ⁷This result is in agreement with the conclusion reached in Ref. 3.
- ⁸This differs from the conclusion in I as a result of switching the 3s and 3d(0) levels in accordance with the identification procedure discussed in this paper.
- ⁹J. B. Delos, S. K. Knudson, and D. W. Noid, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>50</u>, 579 (1983).
- ¹⁰These coefficients can be obtained with the help of C. B. Tarter, J. Math. Phys. <u>11</u>, 3192 (1970).