# **Brief Reports**

Brief Reports are short papers which report on completed research which, while meeting the usual Physical Review standards of scientific quality, does not warrant a regular article. (Addenda to papers previously published in the Physical Review by the same authors are included in Brief Reports.) A Brief Report may be no longer than 3½ printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. The same publication schedule as for regular articles is followed, and page proofs are sent to authors.

## Time-dependent Kohn-Sham density-functional theory

#### Libero J. Bartolotti

Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514
(Received 1 April 1982)

A time-dependent Kohn-Sham theory is presented for obtaining the time-dependent density which has a periodic dependence on time. A set of coupled single-particle equations  $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 X_i + v_{\rm eff} X_i = \epsilon_i X_i \text{ and } \partial X_i^2/\partial t + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (X_i^2 \vec{\nabla} S_i) = 0 \text{ are obtained. The } X_i(\vec{r},t) \text{ and } S_i(\vec{r},t)$  are the phase and amplitude, respectively, of the time-dependent Kohn-Sham orbitals,  $v_{\rm eff}(\vec{r},t)$  is the time-dependent Kohn-Sham effective potential, and  $\epsilon_i(\vec{r},t) = -\partial S_i(\vec{r},t)/\partial t$ . The density  $\rho(\vec{r},t)$  is equal to the sum of the squares of the  $X_i(\vec{r},t)$ 

### INTRODUCTION

The time-dependent energy-density-functional theory<sup>1,2</sup> recently given in the literature is formally attractive, but like the time-independent energydensity-functional theory it is not presently suitable for practical calculations. This occurs because the energy as a functional of the density is unknown. For the time-independent problem, Kohn-Sham<sup>3</sup> formulated a density-functional theory [local spin density (LSD)], which yields the density of the chemical system. The resulting Kohn-Sham effective potential is not exactly known, but reasonable approximations to this potential are known.4,5 Following the procedure of Kohn-Sham, we develop a time-dependent density-functional theory (TDLSD) which is also suitable for actual calculations. The present paper is intended to supplement our previous work on a time-dependent density-functional theory and will also be restricted to those densities which have a periodic time dependence.

## TIME-DEPENDENT KOHN-SHAM THEORY

The local density-functional theory as developed by Kohn-Sham<sup>3</sup> determines in principle a set of single-particle orbitals  $\phi_l(\vec{r})$  whose sum of the squares equals the exact density  $\rho(\vec{r})$  of the system of interest. The Kohn-Sham orbitals are obtained by minimizing the kinetic energy of a system of N

noninteracting electrons (atomic units are used throughout this paper)

$$T_{s}[\{\phi_{i}\}] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \phi_{i} | \nabla^{2} | \phi_{i} \rangle , \qquad (1)$$

subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\phi_i|^2 = \rho \ , \tag{2}$$

and

$$\langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle = \delta_{ii} \ . \tag{3}$$

The bracket notation represents an integration over configuration space. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \phi_i + v_{\text{eff}} \phi_i = \epsilon_i \phi_i , \qquad (4)$$

where  $v_{\rm eff}(\vec{r})$  is the Kohn-Sham effective potential, the Lagrange multiplier associated with Eq. (3) and the constants  $\epsilon_i$  are the Lagrange multipliers introduced to insure that Eq. (2) is satisfied by the  $\phi_i$ .

The time-dependent problem is similar to the procedure described above for the time-independent process. We seek the time-dependent orbitals  $\phi_i(\vec{r},t)$  which yield the density  $\rho(\vec{r},t)$  when there is a periodic dependence upon time t. The orbitals  $\phi_i(\vec{r},t)$  can be written in polar form

$$\phi_i(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) = \chi_i(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) \exp[iS_i(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t)], \qquad (5)$$

where the amplitude  $\chi_i(\vec{r},t)$  and phase  $S_i(\vec{r},t)$  are

real functions of space and time. The kinetic energy of noninteracting system of N electrons can now be written

$$T_{s}[\{\chi_{i}, S_{i}\}]_{t} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\langle \chi_{i} | \nabla^{2} | \chi_{i} \rangle_{t} - \langle \chi_{i} | \overrightarrow{\nabla} S_{i} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} S_{i} | \chi_{i} \rangle_{t}), (6)$$

where the subscript t denotes that a time-averaged integration over one period of time has been performed.

First we minimize  $T_s[\{\chi_i, S_i\}]_t$  with respect to the  $\chi_i(\vec{r}, t)$  subject to the constraint that the sum of the squares of the  $\chi_i(\vec{r}, t)$  give the exact density  $\rho(\vec{r}, t)$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_i^2 = \rho , \qquad (7)$$

and further that they satisfy conservation of the number of particle constraints

$$\langle \chi_i | \chi_i \rangle_t = \delta_{ii} , \qquad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \chi_i^2}{\partial t} = - \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} \ . \tag{9}$$

In Eq. (9),  $\vec{j}$  ( $\vec{r}$ ,t) is the single-particle current vector. The resulting Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\chi_i + v_{\text{eff}}\chi_i = \epsilon_i\chi_i. \tag{10}$$

Again,  $v_{\text{eff}}(\vec{r},t)$  is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the constraint defined in Eq. (7) and the  $\epsilon_i(\vec{r},t)$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the conservation of particle constraints, Eqs. (8) and (9). The  $\epsilon_i(\vec{r},t)$  are a sum of two terms

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}^{(0)} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}^{(1)}(\vec{\mathbf{r}},t) . \tag{11}$$

The quantity  $\epsilon_i^{(0)}$  is a result of the orthonormalization constraint while  $\epsilon_i^{(1)}(\vec{r},t)$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the charge-current conservation defined by Eq. (9). Next, we minimize  $T_s[\{\chi_i, S_i\}]$ 

with respect to the  $S_i$  subject to the constraint

$$\frac{\partial S_i}{\partial t} = -\epsilon_i \,. \tag{12}$$

The resulting Euler-Lagrange equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial \chi_i^2}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\chi_i^2 \vec{\nabla} S_i) = 0.$$
 (13)

The coupled equations [Eqs. (10) and (13)] provide a means of determining the exact time-dependent density of the system of interest. We note that at the solution point the current vector is given by  $\vec{j}(\vec{r},t) = \chi_i^2(\vec{r},t) \vec{\nabla} S_i(\vec{r},t)$ .

In the limit that the time dependence is turned off, TDLSD theory correctly reduces to LSD theory. In this limit  $\nabla S_i(\vec{r},t)$  vanishes, thus Eqs. (9), (12), and (13) are identically satisfied and Eq. (6) reduces to Eq. (1).

As with time-independent Kohn-Sham theory,  $v_{\rm eff}(\vec{r},t)$  is an unknown quantity. However, this should not prevent us from using TDLSD theory in performing actual calculations. Adopting the approximation of the effective potential in LSD theory (the exchange-correlation correction plus the classical electrostatic potential)<sup>4,5</sup> and adding to it the appropriate time-dependent part (say the potential due to a timevarying electric field),  $v_{\text{eff}}^{\text{approx}}$  should provide a reasonable approximation to use in Eq. (10). This construction of  $v_{\rm eff}^{\rm approx}$  not only introduces an error found in the LSD approximation, but also introduces an error by neglecting a contribution to  $v_{eff}$  from the manyparticle phase of the total time-dependent wave function. However, we believe that this latter contribution is small<sup>6</sup> even when compared to the error associated with the LSD exchange-correlation approximation.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to thank Professor Robert G. Parr for his constant encouragement and many helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

J. Bartolotti, Phys. Rev. A <u>24</u>, 1661 (1981).
 B. M. Deb and S. K. Ghosh, J. Chem. Phys. (in press).
 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. <u>140</u>, A1133 (1965).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A. K. Rajagopal, Adv. Chem. Phys. <u>41</u>, 59 (1980).
<sup>5</sup>J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B <u>23</u>, 5048 (1981).
<sup>6</sup>L. J. Bartolotti, J. Chem. Phys. <u>73</u>, 3666 (1980).