### Solutions to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

John G. Hartley and John R. Ray

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29631

(Received 6 November 1981)

We discuss the extension of the Lewis-Riesenfeld technique of solving the timedependent Schrödinger equation to cases where the invariant has continuous eigenvalues. The extension is carried out for a general Ermakov system. We also discuss extensions to N-dimensional systems and the calculation of the propagator for a general Ermakov system.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Some years ago Lewis and Riesenfeld' solved the Schrödinger equation for the time-dependent harmonic oscillator

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2,
$$
 (1.1)

by making use of an explicitly time-dependent con-

by making use of an explicitly time-dependent constant of the motion (or time-dependent invariant) *I*,  

$$
I = \frac{1}{2}(xp - \dot{x}q)^2 + \frac{k}{2}\left(\frac{q}{x}\right)^2, \ k = \text{const} \qquad (1.2)
$$

where  $x(t)$  is a c-number solution to the auxiliary equation

$$
\ddot{x} + \omega^2(t)x = k/x^3 \tag{1.3}
$$

The Lewis invariant (1.2) satisfies

$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [I, H] + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0 , \qquad (1.4)
$$

and has eigenvalues

$$
I\psi_n(q,t) = \lambda_n \psi_n(q,t) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_n = \text{const} .
$$
 (1.5)

In a recent paper<sup>2</sup> we extended the Lewis-Riesenfeld solution technique to a general Ermakov system with Hamiltonian

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}f\left(\frac{q}{x}\right),
$$
 (1.6)

having Ermakov invariant

$$
I = \frac{1}{2}(xp - \dot{x}q)^2 + \frac{k}{2}\left(\frac{q}{x}\right)^2 + f\left(\frac{q}{x}\right), \qquad (1.7)
$$

where  $x$  satisfies the same auxiliary equation (1.3), and  $f(q/x)$  is an arbitrary function. The invariant (1.7) also, of course, satisfies (1.4) and (1.5). The Schrödinger equation for the Ermakov Hamiltonian (1.6) is

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}f\left(\frac{q}{x}\right)\right]\psi(q,t) = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}
$$
\n(1.8)

In Ref. 2 we proved that the general solution to (1.8) can be written

$$
\psi(q,t) = \sum_{n} c_n e^{i\alpha_n(t)} \psi_n(q,t) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_n = \langle \psi_n(q,0), \psi(q,0) \rangle e^{-i\alpha_n(0)} ,
$$
\n(1.9)

$$
\alpha_n(t) = -\frac{\lambda_n}{\hbar} \int^t \frac{dt}{x^2} , \qquad (1.10)
$$

and

$$
\psi_n(q,t) = \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} e^{i\dot{x}q^2/(2\hbar x)} \phi_n \left[ \frac{q}{x} \right].
$$
 (1.11)

Here  $\phi_n(q/x) = \phi_n(\sigma)$  satisfies the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$
\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2+f(\sigma)\right)\phi_n(\sigma)=\lambda_n\phi_n(\sigma)\;,
$$
\n(1.12)

and the  $\{\phi_n\}$  form a complete, othornormal set

$$
\int \phi_n^*(\sigma)\phi_n(\sigma)d\sigma = \delta_{nn'}.
$$
 (1.13)

The unitary transformation  $e^{i\dot{x}q^2/(2\hbar x)}$  maps the eigenvalue equation for  $I(1.5)$  into the timeindependent Schrödinger equation (1.12).

The interest in the above solution arises from the fact that every potential,  $V(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2 + f(\sigma)$ , for

2388 **1982** The American Physical Society

25

### 25 BRIEF REPORTS 2389

which we solve  $(1.12)$ , can be used to generate a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (1.8). Thus, we have a class of exactly solvable, time-dependent Schrödinger equations depending on the arbitrary function  $f(q/x)$ .

The work of Lewis and Riesenfeld and our work in Ref. 2 assumes that the eigenvalue spectrum for the invariant  $I$  is discrete—Eq. (1.13) contains the Kronecker delta. In general, however, the spectrum of  $I$  from Eq. (1.12) will possess both discrete and continuous eigenvalues. Thus, we must investigate the Lewis-Riesenfeld theory in the case of continuous eigenvalues, the case of both discrete and continuous eigenvalues can then be obtained by superposition.

#### II. CONTINUOUS EIGENVALUES

Here we again start from the Ermakov Hamiltonian

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}f\left(\frac{q}{x}\right),
$$
 (2.1)

with

$$
\ddot{x} + \omega^2(t)x = k/x^3 , \qquad (2.2)
$$

and

$$
I = \frac{1}{2}(xp - xq)^2 + \frac{k}{2}\left[\frac{q}{x}\right]^2 + f\left[\frac{q}{x}\right].
$$
 (2.3)

We assume a continuous eigenvalue spectrum for  $\boldsymbol{I}$ :

$$
I\psi_{\lambda}(q,t) = \lambda \psi_{\lambda}(q,t) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda = \text{const} .
$$
 (2.4)

The Schrödinger equation is Eq. (1.8). We expand the solution in terms of the eigenfunctions  $\psi_{\lambda}(q, t)$ 

$$
\psi(q,t) = \int c(\lambda)e^{i\alpha(\lambda,t)}\psi_{\lambda}(q,t)d\lambda,
$$
  
\n
$$
c(\lambda) = \text{const}.
$$
\n(2.5)

The calculations are similar but not identical to the discrete case summarized above. The result is that the solution (2.5) is valid if

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(q,t) = \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} e^{i\dot{x}q^2/(2\hbar x)} \phi_{\lambda}(q/x) , \qquad (2.6)
$$

$$
\alpha(\lambda, t) = -\frac{\lambda}{\hbar} \int^t \frac{dt}{x^2} , \qquad (2.7)
$$

$$
\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2+f(\sigma)\right)\phi_{\lambda}(\sigma)=\lambda\phi_{\lambda}(\sigma)\,,
$$

$$
\int \phi_{\lambda}^{*}(\sigma)\phi_{\lambda'}(\sigma)d\sigma = \delta(\lambda - \lambda') , \qquad (2.9)
$$

and

$$
c(\lambda) = \langle \psi_{\lambda}(q,0), \psi(q,0) \rangle e^{-i\alpha(\lambda,0)} . \tag{2.10}
$$

Next we present an explicit example of the use of the continuous spectrum theory.

#### III. EXAMPLE

We consider the original Lewis-Riesenfeld problem of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator,  $f=0$ 

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2.
$$
 (3.1)

Lewis and Riesenfeld chose  $k = 1$  in the invariant (1.2) which implies the eigenvalue problem is discrete—the eigenfunctions  $\phi_n(\sigma)$  are related to the Hermite polynomials. We now take  $k = 0$  in (1.2), which gives

$$
I = \frac{1}{2}(xp - \dot{x}q)^2 \,, \tag{3.2}
$$

$$
\ddot{x} + \omega^2(t)x = 0 \tag{3.3}
$$

The eigenvalue problem is

$$
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\frac{d^2\phi_{\lambda}(\sigma)}{d\sigma^2} = \frac{\hbar^2\lambda^2}{2}\phi_{\lambda}(\sigma) , \qquad (3.4)
$$

with solution

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\lambda \sigma}, \quad -\infty < \lambda < \infty \quad . \tag{3.5}
$$

We have modified the eigenvalue in (3.4) for notational simplicity. The eigenfunctions  $\psi_{\lambda}(q, t)$  have the form

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(q,t) = \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} e^{i\dot{x}q^2/(2\hbar x)} \phi_{\lambda}(q/x) , \qquad (3.6)
$$

while the phase functions  $\alpha(\lambda, t)$  are given by

$$
\alpha(\lambda,t) = -\frac{\hbar\lambda^2}{2} \int^t \frac{dt}{x^2} \ . \tag{3.7}
$$

The solution to the Schrödinger equation is then

$$
\psi(q,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \int c(\lambda)e^{-(\hbar\lambda^2/2)} \int^t (dt/x^2)
$$

$$
\times e^{i\dot{x}q^2/(2\hbar x)} e^{i\lambda q/x} d\lambda , \quad (3.8)
$$

where

$$
c(\lambda) = \langle \psi_{\lambda}(q,0), \psi(q,0) \rangle e^{-i\alpha(\lambda,0)}.
$$
 (3.9)

(2.8) The result (3.8) represents the solution to the time-

dependent harmonic oscillator Schrodinger equation in terms of a Fourier transform.

The original derivation by Lewis and Riesenfeld  $(k = 1)$  gave the solution in terms of a Hermite transform. These two modes of representing the solution to the time-dependent harmonic oscillator have also been given by Burgan et  $al.^3$ . The solution by Burgan et al. is derived by rescaling the space and time coordinates. The results by Burgan et al. are for the N-dimensional time-dependent harmonic oscillator. However, making use of invariants for higher dimensional systems<sup>4</sup> we can extend our arguments to higher dimensions.

## IV. DISCUSSION

We have extended the Lewis-Riesenfeld solution technique to cases where the invariant has continuous eigenvalues. As an example we solved the Schrödinger equation for the time-dependent harmonic oscillator for which the invariant has an entirely continuous spectrum. This changes the expansion of the wave function from a discrete Hermite transform to a continuous Fourier transform. Many other examples could be constructed since we have shown how every solution to the timeindependent Schrödinger equation (2.8) allows us to generate a solution to a time-dependent Schrödinger equation involving the function  $f$ .

Using  $N$ -dimensional Lewis-type invariants<sup>4</sup> the results of this paper and Ref. 2 can be extended to certain X-dimensional time-dependent Schrodinger equations. For the N-dimensional time-dependent harmonic oscillator the results agree with those

presented by Burgan et  $al$ <sup>3</sup>. Our techniques are quite different than Burgan et al. since these authors do not explicitly use the Lewis-Riesenfeld theory.

As a final point it was proven by Khandekar and Lawande<sup>5</sup> that the Feynman propagator (Green's function) for the solution

$$
\psi(q,t) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e^{i\alpha_{\lambda}(t)} \psi_{\lambda}(q,t) \tag{4.1}
$$

can be written

$$
K(q'',t'';q',t') = \sum_{\lambda} e^{i[a_{\lambda}(t'')-\alpha_{\lambda}(t')]}\n\times \psi_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(q',t')\psi_{\lambda}(q'',t'') , \quad (4.2)
$$

which is the generalization of the usual expansion formula for tine-independent Hamiltonians. In (4.2) the sum over  $\lambda$  can contain both discrete and continuous eigenvalues, i.e., sums and integrals. Using the results of Ref. 2 and this paper we can calculate the propagator {4.2) for any Ermakov system. The solution, of course, depends on the function f. Khandekar and Lawande have constructed K for the cases  $f = 0$ ,  $f = cx^2/q^2$  discussed in Ref. (2). Note also that since  $\alpha_{\lambda}$  $=(-\lambda/\hbar)\int^{t} (dt/x^2)$  for all Ermakov systems we only need solve the time-independent Schrodinger equation (2.8) in order to employ (4.2) for the calculation of the propagator.

We plan to pursue extensions of the ideas presented here and in Ref. 2 to more general timedependent systems.

- <sup>1</sup>H. R. Lewis, Jr. and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1458 (1969).
- <sup>2</sup>J. G. Hartley and J. R. Ray, Phys. Rev. A 24, 2873 (1981).
- 3J. Burgan, M. R. Feix, E. Fijalkow, and A. Munier,

Phys. Lett. 74A, 11 (1979).

- <sup>4</sup>J. R. Ray and J. L. Reid, Phys. Lett.  $74A$ , 23 (1979). <sup>5</sup>D. C. Khandekar and S. V. Lawande, J. Math. Phys.
- 20, 1870 (1979).