## Measurements of total cross sections for electron scattering by $Li_2$ (0.5-10 eV)

Thomas M. Miller,\* Abraham Kasdan,<sup>†</sup> and Benjamin Bederson Physics Department, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, New York 10003 (Received 24 September 1981)

Measurements have been made of total cross sections for the scattering of electrons by lithium-diatomic molecules over the electron energy range 0.5-10 eV, with the use of the molecular-beam recoil technique. The total cross sections in units of  $10^{-16}$  cm<sup>2</sup> are 541 (0.5 eV), 307 (1 eV), 199 (2 eV), 160 (3 eV), 135 (6 eV), and 100 (10 eV). The uncertainty in these results is  $\pm 16\%$ .

The alkali-metal dimers are distinguished by their loosely bound nature (~1 eV), with large internuclear spacings (~3-4 Å), and low ionization energies (~4-5 eV).<sup>1</sup> Their very large electricdipole polarizabilities<sup>2,3</sup> result in a dominating influence of the long-range polarizability interaction (~ $\alpha r^{-4}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the polarizability) in quasielastic scattering, particularly in the total cross section in small-angle differential cross sections for scattering by low-energy electrons and ions.

We have previously reported total cross sections for electron scattering by Na<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>, and present here our results for Li<sub>2</sub>. These cross sections represent the sum of all processes which may occur at a particular electron energy: elastic scattering; electronic, vibrational, and rotational excitation; and ionization. (There will be no electronic excitation below 1.74-eV electron energy, and no ionization below 5.0-eV electron energy.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the ionization cross section should be relatively small in our electron energy range.<sup>4</sup>) The distribution of internal energies for the target ensemble of Li2 molecules may be assumed to follow a Boltzmann distribution characteristic of our oven temperature ( $\sim 1000$  K). At this temperature the average vibrational quantum number is 1.5 and the most probable rotational quantum number is 22.

Our crossed-beam apparatus has been previously described.<sup>5</sup> The beam source is a conventional effusive oven. Lithium atoms are removed from the beam with an inhomogenous magnetic field. The beam is detected via surface ionization followed by mass analysis. We obtain measurements of the attenuation ( $\sim 0.1\%$ ) in the forward Li<sub>2</sub> beam intensity  $I_m$  when the electron beam is switched on.

The effective total cross section is given by<sup>5</sup>

$$\sigma = h \overline{v} \Delta I_m / (I_m I_e) , \qquad (1)$$

where h is the Li<sub>2</sub> beam height in the interaction region,  $\overline{v}$  is the average Li<sub>2</sub> velocity,  $I_e$  is the electron particle current, and  $\Delta I_m/I_m$  is the relative attenuation of the forward Li<sub>2</sub> beam intensity due to scattering. By using Eq. (1) we are assuming that the angular resolving power of the experiment is such that only a small fraction of the total scattering at small angles is unobservable. This is indeed the case for Li<sub>2</sub> (see below).

For the scattering of electrons by Li<sub>2</sub> we find the following total cross sections, in units of  $10^{-16}$  $cm^2$ : 541 (0.5 eV), 307 (1 eV), 199 (2 eV), 160 (3 eV), 135 (6 eV), and 100 (10 eV). The cross-section data are plotted in Fig. 1 along with atomiclithium total cross sections. The molecular cross sections are larger ( $\sim 60\%$ ) than the atomic ones at all energies studied, which is reasonable in view of the larger molecular polarizability,<sup>2,3</sup> averaged over all orientations of the molecule  $(34 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ for})$  $Li_2$  vs 24.3×10<sup>-24</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> for Li). There have been no theoretical calculations of the electron-Li<sub>2</sub> scattering cross sections, to our knowledge. We parenthetically note that the total cross section for Li<sub>2</sub> at low energies is the largest cross section yet observed for any neutral diatomic molecule not possessing a permanent dipole moment.

The uncertainty in these results is  $\pm 16\%$ . The individual contributions include the uncertainty in h (<1%),  $I_e (<1\%)$ , and  $\overline{v} (7\%)$ ; and angular resolution error (<2\%); and statistical variations of  $\pm 5\%$ . The angular resolution error was estimated

<u>25</u>

1777



FIG. 1. A comparison of electron-scattering cross sections for  $Li_2$  and Li. Points, with error bars: present total cross sections for electron scattering by  $Li_2$  molecules. Solid line: smooth fit to the atomic-lithium cross sections of Ref. 6. Dashed line: atomic-lithium cross sections below 2 eV, determined in the present work but normalized to the absolute data of Ref. 6.

as described in Ref. 5 and was found to be a maximum of 1.6% around 1 eV. A number of consistency checks were made for this apparatus and have also been described in Ref. 5.

Recently, Jaduszliwer, Tino, Bederson, and Mill-

- \*Present address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019.
- <sup>†</sup>Present address: Exxon Research and Development Co., P.O. Box 45, Linden, New Jersey 07036.
- <sup>1</sup>K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Mol ecules* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1979), p. 375.
- <sup>2</sup>R. W. Molof, T. M. Miller, H. L. Schwartz, B. Bederson, and J. T. Park, J. Chem. Phys. <u>61</u>, 1816 (1974).
- <sup>3</sup>R. W. Molof, H. L. Schwartz, T. M. Miller, and B. Bederson, Phys. Rev. A <u>10</u>, 1131 (1974).
- <sup>4</sup>I. S. Aleksahkin, I. P. Zapesochnyi, and O. B. Shpenik, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions (Nau-

er<sup>6</sup> used this same aparatus to measure electron-Li total cross sections with a velocity-selected Li beam. These absolute data, together with our present electron-Li and electron-Li<sub>2</sub> attenuation data using a non-velocity-selected (Maxwellian) beam, were used to infer the Li<sub>2</sub> velocity  $(\bar{v})$ , which otherwise can only be estimated from knowledge of the oven temperature, as the magnetic velocity selector<sup>4</sup> used with Li does not affect the spin-zero Li<sub>2</sub>. In essence, then, our electron-Li<sub>2</sub> cross sections have been normalized against the absolute electron-Li results of Ref. 6. The uncertainty in the electron energies is 0.15 eV. The electron energy resolution is 250 meV full width at half maximum.

It would be interesting to conduct more detailed electron-scattering experiments and to carry out theoretical calculations for the alkali-metal dimers, to learn where effects of the unusually large spatial anisotropy of the molecules might show up, and to learn how the low-energy ( $\sim 0.08 \text{ eV}$ ) shape resonance calculated for electron-Li scattering<sup>7</sup> is manifested in electron-Li<sub>2</sub> scattering.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

ka, Leningrad, 1967), p. 499. These electron-impact ionization measurements are for atomic lithium. However, one can guess that the ionization cross sections for Li<sub>2</sub> would rise nearly linearly from zero at 5 eV to 5 or  $6 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>2</sup> at 10-eV electron energy.

- <sup>5</sup>T. M. Miller and A. Kasdan, J. Chem. Phys. <u>59</u>, 3913 (1973). In this paper a preliminary value of the polarizability of Na<sub>2</sub> was quoted; it should read  $(30\pm3)$  $\times 10^{-24}$  cm<sup>3</sup> as given in Ref. 2. Also, Ref. 2 contains a correction as to the vibrational state distributions for Na<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>.
- <sup>6</sup>B. Jaduszliwer, A. Tino, B. Bederson, and T. M. Miller, Phys. Rev. A <u>24</u>, 1249 (1981).
- <sup>7</sup>D. W. Norcross, J. Phys. B <u>4</u>, 1458 (1971).