Electron excitation cross sections for the $1s_2$ and $1s_4$ levels in Ne

R. E. Miers, J. E. Gastineau, Mark H. Phillips, L. W. Anderson, and Chun C. Lin Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 ' (Received 24 August 1981)

The apparent and direct electron excitation cross sections of both the 1s₂ and 1s₄ levels of Ne are measured using laser-induced fluorescence. The experiment utilizes radiation trapping to maintain appreciable level populations. The direct excitation cross section for the 1s₂ level has a peak value of 1.0×10^{-17} cm² at 65 eV; the direct excitation cross section for the 1s₄ level has a peak value of 8.8×10^{-19} cm² at 65 eV.

Recently we have utilized laser-induced fluorescence to make the first accurate measurement of the electron excitation cross sections of the meta-'stable $1s_3(^3P_0)$ and $1s_5(^3P_2)$ levels of Ne.^{1,2} In this paper we report the first accurate measurement of the electron excitation cross sections of the $1s_2(^1P_1)$ and $1s_4(^3P_1)$ levels of Ne.

The measurements on the $1s_2$ and $1s_4$ levels are similar. For clarity we focus our discussion on the $1s₂$ level. Electron excitation produces $1s₂$ level Ne atoms, which radiate to the ground level with a lifetime of 1.65 ns.³ At relatively high Ne density radiation trapping lengthens the effective lifetime of the $1s₂$ level so that an appreciable level population is maintained. Laser irradiation at 585.2 nm takes atoms from the $1s_2$ level to the $2p_1(2p^53p)$, $J=0$) level and the laser-induced $2p_1-1s_4$ fluorescence (540.1 nm} is used to determine the electron excitation cross section of the $1s₂$ level from threshold to 300 eV.

Figure ¹ shows our apparatus. A 2-mm diameter, 0—200-mA, nearly monoenergetic electron beam (energy resolution of 0.5 eV) passes through ⁶—³⁶ mTorr of Ne gas producing excited Ne atoms. The light emitted by the Ne is collected at right angles to the electron beam, analyzed by a 0.5-m monochromator and detected by a photomultiplier. The output of the photomultiplier serves as the input for a phase-sensitive detector. A cw dye laser intersects the electron beam along an axis that is perpendicular to both the electron beam and the light collection axis. The laser is chopped at a frequency of 720 Hz. A signal from the chopper serves as the reference signal for the phase-sensitive detector. The laser is set to the $1s_2 \rightarrow 2p_1$ wavelength using the optogalvanic effect in a Ne hollow cathode discharge. The output of

the phase-sensitive detector is proportional to the difference between $2p_1 \rightarrow 1s_4$ fluorescence when the laser is on and when the laser is blocked off by the chopper. We denote this difference by $S_{on} - S_{off}$.

When the laser is tuned to the $1s_2 \rightarrow 2p_1$ absorption, the rate equations for the ls_2 level (labeled a) and the $2p_1$ level (labeled b) are

$$
\frac{dn_a}{dt} = (nJ/e)Q_a - n_aA_{a\text{-eff}} + n_bA_{ba} + \sum_{\substack{j>a\\j\neq b}} n_jA_{ja} - B_{ab}\rho n_a + B_{ba}\rho n_b , \qquad (1)
$$

FIG. 1. A schematic diagram of our apparatus.

25 1185 C 1982 The American Physical Society

$$
\frac{an_b}{dt} = (nJ/e)Q_b - n_bA_b
$$

+ $\sum_{j>b} n_jA_{jb} - B_{ba}\rho n_b + B_{ab}\rho n_a$, (2)

where n is the ground-level atom density, J is the electron current density, Q_i is the direct excitation cross section for level i, $A_{a\text{-eff}}$ is the reciprocal of the effective lifetime of level a, A_b is the reciprocal of the lifetime of level b, A_{ij} is the decay rate of level *i* into level *j*, B_{ab} and B_{ba} are the Einstein coefficients for induced absorption and emission, and ρ is the energy density in the laser beam. At the high atom densities used in our experiment radiation trapping causes $A_{a\text{-eff}}$ to be much less than the radiative decay rate of the $1s_2$ level, which is $A_a = 6 \times 10^8$ sec⁻¹. The cascade terms in Eqs. (1) and (2) can be rewritten in terms of optical cross sections, Q_{ij} defined as $n_j A_{ji} = (nJ/e)Q_{ji}$. The steady-state solution to Eqs. (1) and (2) is

$$
n_{b\text{-on}} = \frac{k [Q_b^A + \gamma (Q_a^A - Q_{ba})]}{[A_b + B_{ba}\rho - \gamma (A_{ba} + B_{ba}\rho)]},
$$
 (3)

where $k = (nJ/e)$,

$$
\gamma = B_{ab}\rho/(A_{a\text{-eff}} + B_{ab}\rho) ,
$$

and where the apparent cross sections Q_a^A and Q_b^A are given by

$$
Q_a^A = Q_a + \sum_{j>a} Q_{ja}
$$

and

$$
Q_b^A = Q_b + \sum_{j>b} Q_{jb}.
$$

The apparent cross section is the direct cross section plus the sum of the cascade contributions. The subscript "on" indicates the laser is on. When the laser is blocked off we set $\rho = 0$. This gives

$$
n_{b\text{-off}} = (kQ_b^A)/A_b \tag{4}
$$

It has been shown in Refs. 1 and 2 that $S_{on} - S_{off}$ is proportional to $\beta n_{b\text{-on}} + (1-\beta)n_{b\text{-off}}$, where β is a constant determined by the time average of the laser properties. Using Eqs. (3) and (4) and using $g_a B_{ab} = g_b B_{ba}$ we obtain Finned by the time average of the
Using Eqs. (3) and (4) and using
 $\frac{\beta k \gamma (Q_a^A - g_a A_{a \text{-eff}} Q_b^A / g_b A_b)}{A_b + B_{ba} \rho - \gamma (A_{ba} + B_{ba} \rho)}$.

$$
S_{\rm on} - S_{\rm off} \propto \frac{\beta k \gamma (Q_a^A - g_a A_{a\rm -eff} Q_b^A / g_b A_b)}{A_b + B_{ba} \rho - \gamma (A_{ba} + B_{ba} \rho)}
$$

At a given laser intensity $S_{\text{on}} - S_{\text{off}}$ is proportional to $(Q_a^A - g_a A_{a\text{-eff}} Q_b^A / g_b A_b)$. Since at low densit $A_{a\text{-eff}} = A_a = 6 \times 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $A_b = 7 \times 10^7 \text{ sec}^{-1}$

the value of $S_{on} - S_{off}$ is determined by both Q_a^A and Q_b^A . As the ground-level atom density increases radiation trapping reduces the value of $A_{a\text{-eff}}$ so that $S_{\text{on}} - S_{\text{off}}$ is determined largely by Q_a^A . We calculate using the theory of Holstein that for our geometry at a ground-level density of 1.2×10^{15} atoms/cm (corresponding to a pressure of 36 mTorr) the radiation trapped lifetime is long enough that $A_{a\text{-eff}} \sim 10^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Examination of the optical cross sections measured by Sharpton et al.⁴ show that Q_b^A is about 0.6 of the cascade cross section into the $1s_2$ level at 100 eV. Thus Q_a^A is larger than Q_b^A by more than a factor of 2. Since $g_a/g_b = 3$ we estimate that

$$
(g_a A_{a\text{-eff}} Q_b^A/g_b A_b) < 0.03 Q_a^A
$$

at $n = 1.2 \times 10^{15}$ atoms/cm³. Hence $S_{on} - S_{off}$ is taken to be proportional to Q_a^A . An absolute Q_a^A is obtained by normalizing $S_{on} - S_{off}$ at 300 eV to be equal to the sum of the measured cascades plus the value of Q_a obtained as follows. Fajen⁵ has calculated Q_a using the Born approximation. The calculated Born cross sections vary as $\ln E/E$ (E is the incident electron energy) for energies above 100 eV. In the $\ln E/E$ region the Born cross sections are proportional to the square of the same dipole matrix elements used in the lifetime calculation. With the same set of wave functions used for the Born cross section, Fajen calculated a lifetime for the $1s_2$ level that is 36% larger than the experiment value of 1.65 ns.^3 Thus we correct the Born cross sections of Ref. 5 by scaling them by the ratio of the measured lifetime to the calculated lifetime. We believe that the scaled Born cross sections are satisfactory for use in calibration. Normalizing at satisfactory for use in calibration. Normalizing a
300 eV we find the Q_a^A agrees well with the meas ured cascades plus the Born approximation for energies down to 200 eV. This supports the validity of our normalization at 300 eV. The direct cross section is obtained at energies lower than 300 eV by subtracting the cascades previously measured by Sharpton *et al.*⁴ from the normalized Q_a^A .

Fig. 2(a) shows both the apparent and direct electron excitation cross sections for the $1s_2$ leve of Ne as a function of the energy. The maximum value of Q_a is 1.0×10^{17} cm² at an energy of 65 eV. We estimate that the relative uncertainty in the energy dependence of Q_a^A is 10%. The absolute the energy dependence of Q_a is 10%. The absolution the accuracy of the uncertainty in Q_a^A depends on the accuracy of the scaled Born cross sections. The quoted uncertainty in the measured lifetime of the $1s_2$ level is 10% .³ Also as discussed in Ref. 3 the lifetime of the $1s_2$ level presented in Ref. 3 is in excellent agreement

FIG. 2. (a) The apparent and direct electron excitation cross sections for the $1s₂$ level of Ne. Also shown is the Born approximation for the direct cross section. (b) The apparent and direct electron excitation cross sections for the $1s₄$ level of Ne. Also shown is the Born approximation for the direct cross section.

with earlier measurements. Using these results we conclude that the absolute uncertainty in Q_a^A is about 20%. The uncertainty in Q_a^A and the uncertainty in Sharpton's cascade measurements lead to an uncertainty in Q_a of about 28%.

Finally, we note that the signal S_{off} increases linearly with the ground-level Ne atom density. The value of $(S_{on} - S_{off})/S_{off}$ increases from nearly zero at low Ne atom density to about 0.2 at a density of 1.2×10^{15} atoms/cm³, where radiation trapping is important. The variation of $(S_{on} - S_{off})/S_{off}$ as a function of the ground-level atom density is faster than linear as is expected with radiation trapping.

We have also obtained the apparent and direct electron excitation cross sections of the $1s₄$ level of Ne using the same methods as for the $1s₂$ level. The Born cross sections for the $1s₄$ level given in Ref. 5 are scaled according to the measured lifetime of that level (20.5 nsec) .³ The apparent and direct cross sections obtained are shown in Fig. 2(b). The peak value of the direct cross section is 8.8×10^{-19} cm² at 65 eV. The relative uncertaint in Q_a^A is about 10%. The absolute uncertainty in in Q_a is about 10%. The absolute uncertainty Q_a^A depends on the accuracy of the $1s_4$ lifetime. As discussed in Ref. 3 the measurements of the $1s₄$ lifetime fall into two groups, one at about 20 nsec and the other at about 30 nsec. We have used the recent value of 20.5 nsec since the measurements upon which it is based seem free of difficulties associated with cascades. 3 The quoted uncertainty in the $1s_4$ lifetime is 7.3%. With this uncertainty we estimate that the absolute error in Q_a^A is about 20% and the uncertainty in Q_a is about 28%. Nevertheless, if further studies show that the correct value of the $1s_4$ level lifetime is 30 nsec, the values of Q_a^A will be reduced by 23% from the values shown in Fig. 2(b).

This work was supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

- 'M. H. Phillips, L. W. Anderson, C. C. Lin, and R. E. Miers, Phys. Lett. 82A, 404 (1981).
- ²M. H. Phillips, L. W. Anderson, and C. C. Lin, Phys. Rev. A 23, 2751 (1981).
- $3N.$ D. Bhaskar and A. Lurio, Phys. Rev. A 13 , 1484

(1976).

- 4F. A. Sharpton, R. M. St. John, C. C. Lin, and F. E. Fajen, Phys. Rev. A 2, 1305 (1970).
- 5F. E. Fajen, PhD thesis, University of Oklahoma, 1968 (unpublished).