

### Calculation of the muonic <sup>3</sup>He hyperfine structure

Sunil D. Lakdawala and Peter J. Mohr

Gibbs Laboratory, Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

(Received 1 June 1981)

A calculation of the ground-state hyperfine splitting in muonic <sup>3</sup>He is given. It is based on a perturbative approach that was applied to the analogous calculation in muonic <sup>4</sup>He. The result for the hyperfine splitting is  $\Delta\nu = 4164.9 \pm 3.0$  MHz. A semiempirical value for this splitting, based on the measured splitting in muonic <sup>4</sup>He, is  $\Delta\nu = 4166.5 \pm 0.4$  MHz.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper, we reported a calculation of the ground-state hyperfine splitting of the muonic <sup>4</sup>He atom (<sup>4</sup>He $\mu e$ ) based on nonrelativistic perturbation theory.<sup>1</sup> That result is consistent with experiment and other calculations.<sup>2-5</sup> In this paper, we apply the same method to evaluate the ground-state hyperfine splittings in the muonic <sup>3</sup>He atom (<sup>3</sup>He $\mu e$ ). This requires a generalization to include the effect of the magnetic moment of the <sup>3</sup>He nucleus. In this case, the nuclear spin and the muon spin are strongly coupled to form either a spin-zero or spin-one (<sup>3</sup>He $\mu$ )<sup>+</sup> effective nucleus. For the spin-one state, there is a subsplitting due to the interaction of the (<sup>3</sup>He $\mu$ )<sup>+</sup> effective magnetic moment with the electron spin to form states with total angular momentum  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{2}$ . Our main interest here is in this smaller splitting, which should be measurable.<sup>6,7</sup> Comparison of theory and experiment for muonic <sup>3</sup>He could provide a test of our understanding of the structure of this unique atom.

The Schrödinger equation for muonic helium is (in units in which  $\hbar=c=1$ )

$$\left[ -\frac{\nabla_\mu^2}{2M_\mu} - \frac{\nabla_e^2}{2M_e} - \frac{2\alpha}{x_\mu} - \frac{2\alpha}{x_e} + \frac{\alpha}{x_{\mu e}} \right] \psi(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) = E\psi(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e), \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{x}_\mu$  and  $\vec{x}_e$  are the position vectors of the muon and the electron relative to the nucleus, and where  $M_\mu = m_\mu m_N / (m_\mu + m_N)$  and  $M_e = m_e m_N / (m_e + m_N)$  are the reduced masses of the muon and the electron with respect to the nucleus. The hyperfine perturbation of the ground state is

given by the expectation value of

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H = & -\frac{8\pi}{3} \vec{\mu}_N \cdot \vec{\mu}_\mu \delta(\vec{x}_\mu) \\ & -\frac{8\pi}{3} \vec{\mu}_\mu \cdot \vec{\mu}_e \delta(\vec{x}_\mu - \vec{x}_e) \\ & -\frac{8\pi}{3} \vec{\mu}_e \cdot \vec{\mu}_N \delta(\vec{x}_e), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{\mu}_e = -g_e e / (2m_e) \vec{s}_e$ ,  $\vec{\mu}_\mu = -g_\mu e / (2m_\mu) \vec{s}_\mu$ , and  $\vec{\mu}_N = -g_N e / (2m_p) \vec{I}_N$  are the magnetic-moment vectors of the electron, the muon, and the nucleus, respectively, and where  $m_p$  is the proton mass. The nonrelativistic ground-state wave function factorizes into a product of coordinate-space and spin-space parts, so the level shift can be written as the spin-space expectation value of the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_s = & -a \vec{I}_N \cdot \vec{s}_\mu - b \vec{s}_\mu \cdot \vec{s}_e \\ & -c \vec{s}_e \cdot \vec{I}_N, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$a = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu} \langle \delta(\vec{x}_\mu) \rangle, \quad (4a)$$

$$b = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_\mu g_e}{m_\mu m_e} \langle \delta(\vec{x}_\mu - \vec{x}_e) \rangle, \quad (4b)$$

$$c = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p} \langle \delta(\vec{x}_e) \rangle, \quad (4c)$$

and where  $\langle \rangle$  denotes the expectation value in coordinate space. In Ref. 1, we calculated the leading contributions to  $b$  in powers of  $M_e/M_\mu$ . The leading contributions to  $a$  and  $c$  are calculated in the following section.

## II. CALCULATION

To evaluate the coordinate-space expectation values in (4a) and (4c), we apply perturbation theory with the division

$$H = H_0 + \delta V \quad (5)$$

in which

$$H_0 = -\frac{\nabla_\mu^2}{2M_\mu} - \frac{\nabla_e^2}{2M_e} - \frac{2\alpha}{x_\mu} - \frac{\alpha}{x_e} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\delta V(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) = \frac{\alpha}{x_{\mu e}} - \frac{\alpha}{x_e} \quad (7)$$

The zero-order wave function for the ground state is the product of normalized 1s hydrogenic wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) &= \psi_{\mu 0}(\vec{x}_\mu) \psi_{e 0}(\vec{x}_e) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (2\alpha^2 M_\mu M_e)^{3/2} e^{-2\alpha M_\mu x_\mu} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\alpha M_e x_e} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus, the zero-order contribution to the expectation values in (4a) and (4c) are

$$a^{(0)} = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu} \int d\vec{x}_\mu \int d\vec{x}_e \psi_0^\dagger(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) \times \delta(\vec{x}_\mu) \psi_0(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e)$$

$$= \frac{16}{3} \frac{\alpha(\alpha M_\mu)^3}{m_p m_\mu} g_N g_\mu, \quad (9a)$$

$$c^{(0)} = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p} \int d\vec{x}_\mu \int d\vec{x}_e \psi_0^\dagger(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) \times \delta(\vec{x}_e) \psi_0(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e)$$

$$= \Delta v_F \frac{g_e g_N}{4} \frac{m_\mu}{m_p}, \quad (9b)$$

with  $\Delta v_F = 8\alpha(\alpha M_e)^3 / (3m_e m_\mu)$ .

The first-order correction to the wave function is

$$\psi_1(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) = \int d\vec{x}_2 \int d\vec{x}_1 \sum_{n, n' \neq 0, 0} \frac{\psi_{\mu n}(\vec{x}_\mu) \psi_{en'}(\vec{x}_e) \psi_{\mu n}^\dagger(\vec{x}_2) \psi_{en'}^\dagger(\vec{x}_1)}{E_{\mu 0} + E_{e 0} - E_{\mu n} - E_{en'}} \delta V(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_1) \psi_0(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_1), \quad (10)$$

where  $E_{\mu 0}$  and  $E_{e 0}$  are the zero-order hydrogenic 1s-state muon and electron energies. The first-order correction in  $a$  is

$$a^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu} \int d\vec{x}_\mu \int d\vec{x}_e \psi_0^\dagger(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) \delta(\vec{x}_\mu) \psi_1(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e). \quad (11)$$

Substitution of (10) in (11) yields nonzero terms only for  $n' = 0$  because of the orthogonality of the electron wave functions. We thus have

$$a^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu} \int d\vec{x} \psi_{\mu 0}^\dagger(0) \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\psi_{\mu n}(0) \psi_{\mu n}^\dagger(\vec{x})}{E_{\mu 0} - E_{\mu n}} V_e(x) \psi_{\mu 0}(\vec{x}), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_e(x) &= \int d\vec{x}_e \psi_{e 0}^\dagger(\vec{x}_e) \delta V(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_e) \psi_{e 0}(\vec{x}_e) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{x} [\alpha M_e x - 1 + (\alpha M_e x + 1)e^{-2\alpha M_e x}]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

As in the previous calculation,<sup>1</sup> only  $s$  states contribute to the sum over  $n$  in (12), so we may replace the sum by the  $s$ -state reduced Green's function for the muon,<sup>8</sup> with one coordinate set equal to zero

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\psi_{\mu ns}(0) \psi_{\mu ns}^\dagger(\vec{x})}{E_{\mu 0} - E_{\mu ns}} = -\frac{2\alpha M_\mu^2}{\pi} e^{-2\alpha M_\mu x} \left[ \frac{1}{4\alpha M_\mu x} - \ln(4\alpha M_\mu x) + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma - 2\alpha M_\mu x \right]. \quad (14)$$

In (14),  $\gamma=0.5772\dots$  is Euler's constant. Evaluation of (12) with the aid of (13) and (14) yields a result of order  $(M_e/M_\mu)^3 a^{(0)}$  for  $a^{(1)}$ , which is negligible to the accuracy considered here. The term  $a^{(1)}$  may be regarded as the correction to the muon density at the origin due to the perturbation of the muon wave function by the electron. Only the fraction, of order  $(M_e/M_\mu)^3$ , of the electron charge distribution inside the muon Bohr radius is effective in modifying this density.

The quantity  $c^{(1)}$  is

$$c^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p} \int d\vec{x}_\mu \int d\vec{x}_e \psi_0^\dagger(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e) \delta(\vec{x}_e) \psi_1(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}_e). \quad (15)$$

Because of the orthogonality of the muon wave functions, only the  $n=0$  term in (10) survives upon substitution in (15). Hence,

$$c^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3} \frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p} \int d\vec{x} \psi_{e0}^\dagger(0) \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\psi_{en}(0) \psi_{en}^\dagger(\vec{x})}{E_{e0} - E_{en}} V_\mu(x) \psi_{e0}(\vec{x}), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu(x) &= \int d\vec{x}_\mu \psi_{\mu 0}^\dagger(\vec{x}_\mu) \delta V(\vec{x}_\mu, \vec{x}) \psi_{\mu 0}(\vec{x}_\mu) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{x} (1 + 2\alpha M_\mu x) e^{-4\alpha M_\mu x}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Only  $s$  states contribute to the sum over  $n$  in (16), so we may again employ the  $s$ -state reduced Green's function

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\psi_{ens}(0) \psi_{ens}^\dagger(\vec{x})}{E_{e0} - E_{ens}} = -\frac{\alpha M_e^2}{\pi} e^{-\alpha M_e x} \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha M_e x} - \ln(2\alpha M_e x) + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma - \alpha M_e x \right]. \quad (18)$$

Substitution of (17) and (18) in (16) yields

$$c^{(1)} = \Delta v_F \frac{g_e g_N}{4} \frac{m_\mu}{m_p} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} + \left[ \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} \right]^2 \ln \frac{M_\mu}{M_e} + (\ln 2 + \frac{1}{4}) \left[ \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} \right]^2 + O \left[ \left[ \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} \right]^3 \ln \frac{M_\mu}{M_e} \right] \right]. \quad (19)$$

The leading term in (19) can also be obtained by applying Zemach's formula to take into account the effect of the finite charge distribution of the effective  $({}^3\text{He}\mu)^+$  nucleus on the electron-nucleus hyperfine interaction.<sup>9</sup>

### III. RESULTS

Diagonalization of  $\delta H_s$  in (3) yields the eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{4}(a + b + c) \\ &\pm \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - bc - ca)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (20a)$$

$$\lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{4}(a + b + c). \quad (20b)$$

Both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are doubly degenerate and  $\lambda_3$  is quadruply degenerate, corresponding to angular momentum  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{3}{2}$ , respectively. In the present

case,  $a \gg b$  and  $a \gg c$ , so  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are well approximated by

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{3}{4}a + \dots, \quad (21a)$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{4}a + \frac{1}{2}(b + c) + \dots, \quad (21b)$$

where the omitted terms are higher order in  $b/a$  or  $c/a$ . The smaller splitting is given by

$$\Delta v = \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 = \frac{3}{4}(b + c) \quad (22)$$

to lowest order in  $b/a$  and  $c/a$ .

The lowest-order results for  $a$ ,  $b$ ,<sup>1</sup> and  $c$  are

$$a = \frac{16}{3} \alpha (\alpha M_\mu)^3 \frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu} = 3.3 \times 10^8 \text{ MHz}, \quad (23a)$$

$$b = \Delta\nu_F \frac{g_e g_\mu}{4} \left[ 1 - 3 \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} + \frac{2}{3} S_{1/2} \left( \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} \right)^{3/2} \right] \\ = 4461.7 \text{ MHz}, \quad (23b)$$

$$c = \Delta\nu_F \frac{g_e g_N}{4} \frac{m_\mu}{m_p} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_e}{M_\mu} \right] = 1091.5 \text{ MHz}, \quad (23c)$$

based on the constants  $S_{1/2} = 2.8 \pm 0.2$ ,  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.7686$ ,  $m_p/m_e = 1836.15$ ,  $m_N/m_p = 2.993$ ,  $g_N = 4.25525$ ,  $g_e \approx g_\mu \approx 2(1 + \alpha/2\pi)$ ,  $1/\alpha = 137.0360$ , and  $R_\infty = 3.289\,842 \times 10^9$  MHz.

We thus have for the  $^3\text{He}$  hyperfine splitting

$$\Delta\nu = 4164.9 \pm 3 \text{ MHz}, \quad (24)$$

where the uncertainty arises from uncalculated

terms, including terms of relative order  $(M_e/M_\mu)^2 \ln(M_\mu/M_e)$ .

It is of interest to compare the  $^4\text{He}$  and  $^3\text{He}$  hyperfine splittings. To the accuracy considered here, we have  $\Delta\nu(^4\text{He}) = b(^4\text{He})$ , where the difference,  $b(^4\text{He}) - b(^3\text{He}) = 1.2$  MHz, is due to the differences in the reduced masses. Hence, employing the experimental value,<sup>2,3</sup>  $\Delta\nu(^4\text{He}) = 4465.0$  MHz, we can obtain a semiempirical estimate for the  $^3\text{He}$  hyperfine splitting:

$$\Delta\nu(^3\text{He}) = \frac{3}{4}(b+c) \\ = \frac{3}{4}\Delta\nu(^4\text{He}) + \frac{3}{4}c \\ + \frac{3}{4}[b(^3\text{He}) - b(^4\text{He})] \\ = 4166.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ MHz}. \quad (25)$$

The error estimate in (25) is based on the assumption that the uncalculated contributions are weakly dependent on the nuclear mass.

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. PHY80-26549.

<sup>1</sup>S. D. Lakdawala and P. J. Mohr, Phys. Rev. A **22**, 1572 (1980).

<sup>2</sup>H. Orth, K. P. Arnold, P. O. Egan, M. Gladisch, W. Jacobs, J. Vetter, W. Wahl, M. Wigand, V. W. Hughes, and G. zu Putlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1483 (1980).

<sup>3</sup>C. K. Gardner, W. Beer, P. Bolton, B. Dichter, P. O. Egan, V. W. Hughes, D. C. Lu, F. G. Mariam, P. A. Souder, H. Orth, G. zu Putlitz, and J. Vetter, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **25**, 19 (1980).

<sup>4</sup>K.-N. Huang and V. W. Hughes, Phys. Rev. A **20**, 706 (1979); **21**, 1071 (1980).

<sup>5</sup>R. J. Drachmann, Phys. Rev. A **22**, 1755 (1980).

<sup>6</sup>E. W. Otten, Z. Phys. **225**, 393 (1969).

<sup>7</sup>K.-N. Huang and V. W. Hughes, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **26**, 547 (1981).

<sup>8</sup>H. F. Hameka, J. Chem. Phys. **47**, 2728 (1967); **48**, 4810 (1968).

<sup>9</sup>A. C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. **104**, 1771 (1956).