k ordering of atomic energy levels and its relation to the spectroscopic quantum defects

R. M. Sternheimer

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973 (Received 29 January 1979)

(Received 29 January 1979)

The k-ordering properties of the spectra of atoms and ions consisting of a single valence electron outside a core of closed shells (k = n + l) have been introduced and discussed extensively in four previous papers, together with the constant l sequences within each group of levels having the same value of k (k bands). In the present paper, it is demonstrated that the spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} play the role of the "order parameter" for the k-ordering phase of the excited-state spectra. Thus only penetrating orbitals, i.e., those having a large δ_{nl} ($\delta_{nl} \gtrsim 0.2$), exhibit the phenomenon of k ordering, and in particular, the curves of δ_{nl} vs l (for fixed k) are generally curved downwards, with an abrupt decrease to values of δ_{nl} close to zero at the limiting angular momentum l_1 , which has been previously introduced. The curves of δ_{nl} vs l are basically similar to the curves of magnetic field \vec{H} as a function of temperature T in a ferromagnet, with an abrupt decrease to $\delta_{nl} \sim 0$ at l_1 , which can therefore be regarded as the analog of the Curie temperature T_c . The "reduced quantum defects" $\eta_{nl} \equiv \delta_{nl} + l - l_1$ (for $l \le l_1$) have also been introduced. It is shown that the ordering of the η_{nl} values for $l \le l_1$ determines the nature of the l patterns of the spectrum, e.g., dpsf, dpfs, or pdsf.

I. INTRODUCTION

In four previous papers, $^{1-4}$ I have introduced the concept of the quantum number

 $k = n + l \tag{1}$

as an energy-ordering quantum number for the excited-state energy levels of the neutral alkalimetal atoms (i.e., Na, K, Rb, and Cs) and the singly ionized alkaline-earth atoms (i.e., Mg⁺, Ca^+ , Sr^+ , Ba^+ , and Ra^+), ¹ and, in addition, states with one electron outside closed shells in the spectra of groups IB, IIA, IIB, and IIIA elements of the Periodic Table, and their isoelectronic ions.² For the spectra of Ref. 1, we have considered a total^{1,3} of 416 excited states, while for the spectra of Ref. 2, we have analyzed a total^{2,3} of 858 additional energy levels, giving a combined total of 1274 levels, which provide overwhelming evidence for the existence of a phenomenon which we have called "k ordering," namely, the grouping together of levels having the same value of k and having nearly the same energy (term value in the spectrum). Thus, the excited states of each spectrum can be divided into successive k groups, and within each k group (or "k band"), the levels increase (slightly) in energy according to a fixed sequence of *l* values, which we have called the "*l* pattern." Except in a few cases, the *l* pattern does not change with increasing k, and, as an outstanding example, the l pattern is pdsf for a total of 158 excited states of rubidium from k = 6 to k = 55, i.e., over a range of fifty k values.

The k ordering and the l sequences of the levels have been exhibited specifically in nine j-averaged spectra in Ref. 1 and in ten j-averaged spectra in Ref. 2. By *j*-averaged spectra, we mean that we have averaged the energy values E_{nlj} listed in the tables of Moore⁵ using the weighting factors (2j + 1) for the two levels with $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ and $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$, so as to average over the effects of the fine structure. Altogether, a total of 42 spectra have been analyzed in this fashion, and the *l* patterns of these spectra have been tabulated in Table XIV of Ref. 2. In addition, Ref. 3 contains the revised and corrected spectra of Ga I and Sr I (which had been previously discussed in Ref. 2); these corrected spectra were obtained in part from the more recent papers of Johansson and Litzén⁶ (Ga I) and of Garton and Codling⁷ (Sr I).

Two important concepts introduced in Refs. 2 and 3 are those of the limiting ionicity δ_1 (where $\delta \equiv Z - N$ and N is the number of electrons in the atom or ion considered) and the limiting angular momentum l_1 . Thus it has been shown in Ref. 2 (see pp. 469-471) that if the ionicity δ exceeds δ_1 , there is a phase transition from k ordering to hydrogenic ordering (denoted by "H ordering"), i.e., energy ordering according to the principal quantum number n. The approximate phase diagram of δ_1 as a function of the atomic number Z is shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. 2.

In a similar manner, as discussed in Ref. 3, there exists also a limiting angular momentum l_1 for k ordering, such that if l is made larger than l_1 , a phase transition from k ordering to hydrogenic ordering (according to n) will occur, for those atoms and ions which lie within the region of ionicities $\delta \leq \delta_1$. The phase diagram of l vs Z, i.e., the approximate curve of l_1 vs Z which separates the two phases, is given in Fig. 1 of Ref. 3. A qualitative explanation of the absence of k order-

18

 $\mathbf{20}$

ing for $l > l_1$ in terms of the overlap of the valence and the core wave functions has been given by the author in Ref. 3 (see p. 1755). A somewhat different explanation, which also discusses some general features of the k ordering, has been given in a recent paper of Foley.⁸ In Ref. 8, Foley has discussed the k ordering from the point of view of the spectroscopic quantum defects and the phase shifts for elastic scattering at zero energy, utilizing a relation previously discovered by Seaton.⁹

In the present paper, we will also discuss the relation of the k ordering to the quantum defects δ_{nl} . It may be noted that most of the work of the present paper, including Figs. 1-5, Tables I and II, and the definition of η_{nl} , was completed before we were informed about Foley's paper.

In Sec. II, we will show that the spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} play the role of the "order parameter" for the k ordering. Thus, as discussed in Ref. 3, only penetrating orbitals, i.e., those having a large δ_{nl} ($\delta_{nl} \ge 0.2$) exhibit the phenomenon of k ordering, and moreover the curves of δ_{nl} vs l (for fixed k) are generally concave downwards, and basically similar to the curves of magnetic field \hat{H} as a function of temperature T in a ferromagnet, with an abrupt decrease to zero at the limiting angular momentum l_1 , which therefore can be regarded as the analog of the Curie temperature T_c . Of course, instead of the magnetic field analogy, we could have used the behavior of any classical order parameter below the transition point for the appropriate phase transition.

In Sec. III, we will define a quantity which we have called the "reduced quantum defect," denoted by η_{nl} , for each level nl. The reduced quantum defects η_{nl} are directly related to the energy ordering of the nl levels within each k group (or "k band"), i.e., they are related to the l pattern of the spectrum, as discussed above and in Refs. 1-4. Finally, in Sec. IV, we give a brief summary and discussion of the results of the present paper.

II. RELATION OF THE *k* ORDERING TO THE SPECTROSCOPIC QUANTUM DEFECTS δ_{nl}

We have calculated the spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} for the large majority of the levels included in the 19 spectra of Refs. 1, 2, and 4. The quantum defects δ_{nl} are obtained from the following modified Rydberg formula for the one-electron energy levels:

$$L - E_{nl} = (1 + \delta)^2 \Re_{\infty} / (n - \delta_{nl})^2, \qquad (2)$$

where $\delta \equiv Z - N$ is the ionicity, $\Re_{\infty} = \text{Rydberg unit}$ = 109 737 cm⁻¹, *L* is the series limit, and E_{nl} is the energy of the level *nl* as measured from the

TABLE I. Spectrum of the neutral rubidium atom Rb1. The excitation energies E_{nl} (in units of cm⁻¹) are measured from the ground state (5s). The corresponding spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} as obtained from Eq. (3) are listed in the last column of the table. The series limit L is 33 691.1 cm⁻¹. The values of E_{nl} are the *j*-averaged excitation energies, as derived from the tables of Moore (see Table IV of Ref. 1).

nl	k	$E_{nl} ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	δ_{nl}
5 <i>s</i>	5	0	3,195
5p	6	12 737	2.712
4d	6	19355	1.233
6 <i>s</i>	6	20134	3.155
6p	7	23767	2.675
5d	7	25702	1.294
7 <i>s</i>	7	26311	3.144
4 <i>f</i>	7	26792	0.012
7 <i>p</i>	8	27858	2,663
6d	8	28 689	1.316
8 <i>s</i>	8	29047	3.139
5 f	8	29 278	0.013
5g	9	29 29 8	≈0
8p	. 9	29848	2,656
7d	9	30 281	1.327
9 <i>s</i>	9	30 499	3,137
6 <i>f</i>	9	30 628	0.014
6g	10	30 637	≈0
90	10	30 966	2.654
8d	10	31 222	1.333
10s	10	31362	3,136
7 f	10	31442	0.015
6h	11	30 644	≈0
10p	11	31 659	2,651
9d	11	31 832	1.317
11s	11	31 917	3,135
8 f	11	31 969	0.017
11p	12	32117	2,650
10d	12	32 228	1,339
12s	12	32 295	3.134
12p	13	32436	2.649
11 <i>d</i>	13	32 51 5	1.340
13¢	14	32667	2.648
12d	14	32725	1.342
31p	32	33 554.5	2.657
30d	32	33 557.0	1.394
32s	32	33 559.2	3,156
29f	32	33 559.9	0.079
320	33	33 563.6	2,663
311	33	33 566.0	1,383
335	33	33 567.7	3.179
30f	33	33 568.5	0.082
490	50	33 639.9	2,704
481	50	33 640 5	1,431
50e	50	33 641 0	3.199
47 <i>f</i>	50	33 641.3	~0.08
Limit		33 691,1	

nl	k	E_{nl} (cm ⁻¹)	δ_{nl}		nl	k	E_{nl} (cm ⁻¹)	δ_{nl}
6p	7	9387	4.019		11d	13	114 491	2,937
75	7	5 9 448	4.335		12p	13	114 685	3.819
61	8	69 274	3 004		13 <i>s</i>	13	115496	4.261
。 7カ	8	76 334	3 874		10f	13	$115\ 655$	1.137
8s	. 8	89180	4 300		9g	13	115 797	0.022
5 f	8	92 520	1 001		194	14	115 001	0.005
9		52 520	1.031		120	14	110 901	2.930
7 <i>d</i>	9	94 896	2 .91 8		1 <i>5p</i>	14	116 61 5	0.010 4 961
8p	9	95 851	3.842		148 11£	14		4,201
9 <i>s</i>	9	101346	4.303		11/	14	116 729	1.139
6 <i>f</i>	9	102874	1.112	2 A.	10g	14	116 833	0.023
5g	9	103 559	0.018		13d	15	116 912	2,933
84	10	109 079	9.079		14p	15	117 008	3.819
04	10	103 872	2,973		12f	15	117 521	1.140
<i>sp</i>	10	104 821	3.830		11g	15	117 600	0.023
105	10	107 930	4.258					
$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$	10	108 533	1.123		14 <i>d</i>	16	$117\ 660$	2,932
og	10	108 968	0.020		13f	16	118121	1.143
9d	11	109 304	2,937		12g	16	118183	0.023
10p	11	109734	3.824		15d	17	118 230	2.930
11s	11	111 574	4.262		14f	17	118 588	1.142
8 f	11	111 942	1.130		130	17	118 637	0.022
7g	11	112 230	0.021		8		110 00.	0.011
					16d	18	$118\ 675$	2,926
10 <i>d</i>	12	112444	2.937		14g	18	118 996	0.023
11p	12	112726	3.806		171	19	119 097	2 926
12s	12	113 912	4.262		150	10	110 996	4.740
9f	12	114 147	1.135		108	19	113 200	0.023
8g	12	$114\ 346$	0.022		Limit		$121\ 243$	

TABLE II. Spectrum of the singly ionized lead ion Pb⁺ (Pb_{II}). The excitation energies E_{nl} (in units of cm⁻¹) are measured from the $6p_{1/2}$ ground state. The corresponding spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} as obtained from Eq. (3) (with $\delta = +1$) are listed in the last column of the table. The series limit L is 121 243 cm⁻¹. The values of E_{nl} are the *j*-averaged excitation energies, as derived from the tables of Moore (see Table VII of Ref. 2).

ground state, taken as zero, i.e., the values listed in Refs. 1, 2, and 4, as obtained by *j*-averaging over the values given in the tables of Moore.⁵ The difference $n - \delta_{nl}$ is often referred to as the effective quantum number n_{nl}^* .

Upon solving Eq. (2) for δ_{nl} , we obtain

$$\delta_{nl} = n - (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{\Re_{\infty}}{L - E_{nl}} \right)^{1/2} = n - n_{nl}^{*} .$$
 (3)

As examples of the calculated values of δ_{nl} , we have listed these values in Tables I and II for the spectra of Rb I and Pb II, respectively. The *j*averaged values of E_{nl} were obtained from Table IV of Ref. 1, and Table VII of Ref. 2, respectively. Of course, in the usual spectroscopic notation Rb I refers to the spectrum of the neutral rubidium atom, and Pb II refers to the spectrum of the Pb⁺ ion. It can be seen from these results that except for low-lying states, δ_{nl} is approximately independent of *n* for a given *l*, and that δ_{nl} decreases rapidly and monotonically to a value close to zero as *l* is increased to $l = l_1$, where $l_1 = 3$ for Rb and $l_1 = 4$ for Pb⁺.

Thus we were led to graph δ_{nl} as a function of l for a number of atomic and ionic spectra. For definiteness, we have plotted δ_{nl} vs l for constant k, even though the variation of δ_{nl} with n and therefore with k, at a given l, is generally small, as discussed above. We present three examples of these graphs in Figs. 1–3, which show δ_{nl} vs l for Sn II (Sn⁺ spectrum) for k=8, and for Cs I and Pb II for k=9. If we define l_1 as the lowest value of l for which $\delta_{nl} \approx 0$, we find $l_1=3$ for Sn II and Cs I, and $l_1=4$ for Pb II.

We note that a very approximate representation of δ_{nl} is given by the straight line

$$\delta_{nl} \sim l_1 - l , \qquad (4)$$

and we have shown this 45° line in Figs. 1-3, with $l_1=3$ for Sn II and Cs I, and $l_1=4$ for Pb II. If the relation $\delta_{nl} = l_1 - l$ would be exact, we would have a degeneracy of the levels within each k band, which has been called "k degeneracy" in the paper of Foley,⁸ in which a very similar equation has



FIG. 1. Spectroscopic quantum defect δ_{nI} for the SnII spectrum (Sn⁺ ion) as a function of l, for fixed k = 8. We note the abrupt decrease of δ_{nI} as l approaches the limiting angular momentum $l_1=3$. For $l > l_1$, the δ_{nI} are very small ($\delta_{nI} \leq 0.1$). The curve marked "M.A." refers to the magnetic analogy discussed in the text [Sec. II, discussion following Eq. (5)]. The qualitative agreement of δ_{nI} with the M. A. curve strengthens the validity of regarding δ_{nI} as the appropriate "order parameter" for k ordering. The straight line $3-l=l_1$ -l refers to the approximation of δ_{nI} by Eq. (4).

been considered, namely, $\delta_i = g - l$ [Eq. (2) of Ref. 8]. This degeneracy can be easily derived from Eqs. (2) and (4), since we would then obtain



FIG. 2. Spectroscopic quantum defect δ_{nl} for the Cs spectrum (Cs1) as a function of l, for fixed k=9. The limiting angular momentum l_1 is 3. The curve M. A. (magnetic analogy) approximates the behavior of δ_{nl} very closely. The approximation $l_1 - l = 3 - l$ is shown for comparison.



FIG. 3. Spectroscopic quantum defect δ_{nl} for the Pb⁺ spectrum (PbII) as a function of l, for fixed k = 9. The curve M. A. (magnetic analogy) and the straight line $l_1 - l = 4 - l$ are shown for comparison.

Equation (4) would imply that δ_{nl} decreases by one unit as *l* is increased by one unit, and Foley⁸ has derived this approximate relationship using Seaton's relation⁹ for the elastic scattering phase shifts and the calculations of these phase shifts by Manson.¹⁰

From the preceding discussion and from Eqs. (4) and (5), it is clear that the deviations of δ_{nl} from the value $l_1 - l$ are directly responsible for the spread of the energy levels within a given k band, and for the resulting l pattern of the spectrum. This situation has led us to define the "reduced quantum defects" η_{nl} , which will be discussed in Sec. III.

We wish now to discuss the property of δ_{nl} as the appropriate order parameter for the k ordering. As we have anticipated in the Introduction, (Sec. I), the curves of δ_{nl} vs *l* are similar to the curve of H vs T (magnetic field versus temperature) in a ferromagnet, below the Curie temperature T_c . For this reason, we have plotted a curve having the same shape as the curve of spontaneous magnetic moment versus T for angular momentum $J \rightarrow \infty$ in Figs. 1-3. These curves are denoted by MA ("magnetic analogy"). The maximum of the MA curve was taken as l_1 or $l_1 + 1$ and the corresponding value of T_c was taken as l_1 . The shape of the curve, which involves the Brillouin function B_J , was obtained from the textbook of Eyring et al.¹¹

It is seen that the MA curves approximate δ_{nl} much more closely than the linear approximation of Eq. (4), in particular for Sn II (Fig. 1) and Cs I (Fig. 2). Thus the results of Figs. 1-3 give additional support to the concept of a k ordering phase,



FIG. 4. Spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{5s} , δ_{5p} , δ_{5d} , and δ_{5f} (≈ 0) for the Na1 isoelectronic sequence, as a function of the ionicity. We note the smooth behavior of the δ_{nl} as the ionicity δ traverses the phase boundary between k ordering (for Mg⁺) and hydrogenic ordering (for Al²⁺).

which was introduced by the author in Ref. 2, and furthermore they establish the validity of considering δ_{nl} as the relevant order parameter for the k phase, with the angular momentum l taking place of the temperature T in the analogous thermodynamical system (and l_1 analogous to T_c).

Since δ_{nl} vanishes approximately for $l > l_1$, i.e., at the transition from k ordering to H ordering, it occurred to us that perhaps when the ionicity $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is made too large, i.e., as δ becomes larger than the limiting ionicity δ_1 , the quantum defects δ_{nl} may also undergo a similar discontinuity or abrupt change. For this reason, we have plotted in Figs. 4 and 5 the typical quantum defects δ_{5s} , δ_{5p} , δ_{5d} , and δ_{5f} for the NaI and RbI isoelectronic sequences, respectively, as a function of the ionicity δ . The neutral atom and its isoelectronic ions are listed on the abscissa axis of the two figures. It is immediately apparent that no such discontinuity occurs at the limiting ionicity, which is $\delta_1 \sim 1.5$ for the Na I sequence and $\delta_1 \sim 2$ for the RbI sequence (see Fig. 2 of Ref. 2). In particular, for the Na I sequence, where an abrupt phase transition occurs between Mg^+ (k ordering) and Al^{2+} (H ordering) (see Fig. 1 of Ref. 2), the quantum defects δ_{nl} are completely smooth in this region of ionicity δ .

A possible explanation of the smooth behavior in Figs. 4 and 5 as contrasted to the abrupt decrease of δ_{nl} in Figs. 1-3 may well be the fact that when l is made too large, i.e., for $l > l_1$, the overlap of the valence wave function $v_0(nl)$ with the core wave functions $u_0(n_c l_c)$ and their perturbations $u_1(n_c l_c + l'_c)$ which leads to the k ordering^{3,4} becomes vanishingly small, whereas no such rapid



FIG. 5. Spectroscopić quantum defects δ_{5s} , δ_{5p} , δ_{4d} , δ_{5d} , and δ_{4f-5f} for the Rb₁ isoelectronic sequence, as a function of the ionicity. We note the smooth behavior of the δ_{n1} as the ionicity δ traverses the phase boundary between k ordering and hydrogenic ordering at $\delta_{1} \sim 2$ (corresponding to the Y^{2*} ion).

change of the overlap occurs as the ionicity is increased beyond δ_1 .

III. REDUCED QUANTUM DEFECTS η_{n1}

As discussed in Sec. II, it is useful to define the "reduced quantum defects" η_{nl} as follows:

$$\eta_{nl} \equiv \delta_{nl} - (l_1 - l) = \delta_{nl} + l - l_1 \quad (l \le l_1),$$

$$\eta_{nl} \equiv \delta_{nl} \quad (l \ge l_1),$$
(6)
(7)

$$\gamma_{nl} \equiv \delta_{nl} \quad (l \ge l_1) , \tag{7}$$

where we have used two different definitions for the regions $l \leq l_1$ and $l \geq l_1$. Of course, for $l \geq l_1$, δ_{nl} is always small (generally $\delta_{nl} < 0.1$), and the definition of Eq. (7) ensures that η_{nl} is always positive for $l \geq l_1$, since $\delta_{nl} > 0$ in all cases.

From Eq. (6), we can derive the relation

$$\delta_{nl} = \eta_{nl} - l + l_1 \quad (l \le l_1) \tag{8}$$

so that the denominator $(n - \delta_{nl})^2$ of Eq. (2) can be written

$$(n - \delta_{nl})^2 = (n + l - l_1 - \eta_{nl})^2$$
$$= (k - l_1 - \eta_{nl})^2 \quad (l \le l_1) . \tag{9}$$

For $l > l_1$, hydrogenic ordering prevails and the energy levels are practically degenerate with the level $n = n_1$, where n_1 is defined by

$$n_1 \equiv k - l_1 \,. \tag{10}$$

Thus we can write, both for $l \le l_1$ and for $l \ge l_1$, the following general equation for the binding energy $L - E_{nl}$ of *all* levels with a given value of k:

$$L - E_{nl} = \frac{(1+\delta)^2 \mathfrak{R}_{\infty}}{(k-l_1-\eta_{nl})^2} = \frac{(1+\delta)^2 \mathfrak{R}_{\infty}}{(n_1-\eta_{nl})^2} .$$
(11)

As an example, for the case of Rb I, the k band with k = 50 contains besides the levels 49p, 48d, 50s, and 47f, which are listed in Table IV of Ref. 1, also the following 43 levels which are essentially degenerate with 47f, due to the hydrogenic ordering for $l > l_1$ ($l_1 = 3$): 47g, 47h, 47i, ..., n = 47, l = 45, and n = 47, l = 46. Thus the k = 50 band contains a total of

$$k - l_1 = 50 - 3 = 47 \tag{12}$$

levels.

The approximate location of a given k band can be obtained from the Rydberg formula

$$L - E_{k} \simeq (1 + \delta)^{2} \Re_{\infty} / (k - l_{1})^{2} .$$
 (13)

Of course, for Rb I, we have $\delta = 0$ (zero ionicity). Thus Eq. (13) with $k - l_1 = 47$ gives for the k = 50 band

$$L - E_{50} \simeq \Re_{\infty} / 47^2 = 49.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
, (14)

so that $E_{50} \cong 33\ 691.1 - 49.7 = 33\ 641.4\ cm^{-1}$, which is near the upper limit of the k = 50 band at $E_{47f} = 33\ 641.3\ cm^{-1}$.

The separation of levels with the same l in neighboring k bands can be obtained from the derivative $\partial E_k / \partial k$, which is obtained from Eq. (13), where L, δ , and l_1 are constants:

$$\frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} = \frac{2(1+\delta)^2 \mathfrak{K}_{\infty}}{(k-l_1)^3} \,. \tag{15}$$

Thus the spacing between the nl=46f and 47f levels is given approximately by using the average k=49.5,

$$\Delta E_k(49, 50) = 2 \Re_{\infty} / (49.5 - 3)^3 = 2.18 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$
 (16)

The order of magnitude of the average spacing $\langle \Delta_{l_a l_b}(k) \rangle$ between successive *l* levels which obey *k* ordering $(l_a, l_b \leq l_1)$ in the *k*-band region can be obtained as follows. As we have discussed in Ref. 3 (see pp. 1757 and 1758), the separation $S_{k,k+1}$ between the *k* and k+1 bands is of the same order of magnitude as the spacing $\Delta_{l_a l_b}(k)$ of levels within each *k* band (or may be even smaller for heavy atoms). If we assume that

$$S_{k,k+1} \sim \langle \Delta_{l,l}(k) \rangle , \qquad (17)$$

then between successive levels with $l = l_1$, i.e., between the levels nl_1 and n+1, l_1 , we have l_1+1 intervals [e.g., $l_1=3$ intervals involving the levels 49p, 48d, 50s, 47f in Table IV of Ref. 1, for Rb, plus one interval $S_{49,50}$ between 46f (k=49) and 49p (k=50)], so that the average interval between successive k band levels is of the order of

$$\langle \Delta_{l_a l_b}(k) \rangle \cong \frac{1}{(l_1+1)} \frac{\partial E_k}{\partial k} = \frac{2(1+\delta)^2 \mathfrak{R}_{\infty}}{(l_1+1)(k-l_1)^3} .$$
(18)

For Rb, with k = 49.5, $l_1 = 3$, Eqs. (16) and (18) give

$$\langle \Delta_{I_a I_b}(k) \rangle = \frac{2.18}{4} \text{ cm}^{-1} = 0.55 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
. (19)

As we have discussed in Sec. II, the deviations of the δ_{nl} from the values $l_1 - l_1$, i.e., the reduced quantum defects η_{nl} are directly responsible for the width of each k band and the l pattern (l sequence) of the levels nl within the k band. It is therefore of interest to plot the graphs of η_{nl} vs l (for constant k) for several representative spectra. First we wish to note that if the l pattern is $\{l_i\}$ $= l_a l_b l_c l_d$, i.e., if the levels $n_a l_a$, $n_b l_b$, $n_c l_c$, $n_d l_d$ are arranged in the order of increasing energy, then according to Eq. (11), we must have the inequalities:

$$\eta_{n_{a}l_{a}} > \eta_{n_{b}l_{b}} > \eta_{n_{c}l_{c}} > \eta_{n_{d}l_{d}}.$$
(20)

Thus the level with the largest (algebraic) value of η_{nl} lies lowest, and the other levels with the same k value are arranged in the order of decreasing η_{nl} values.

In Figs. 6-8, we have plotted the values of η_{nl} vs *l* for nine representative spectra, namely, Ca I, Ga I, Sn II (Fig. 6); KI, Rb I, Ba II (Fig. 7); and Cs I, Tl I, Pb II (Fig. 8). The values of *k* and l_1 as well as the *l* pattern are listed in the upper right-hand corner of the figures. The graphs of Fig. 6 pertain to k = 8, whereas those of Figs. 7 and 8 pertain to k = 9. Since the values of δ_{nl} and



FIG. 6. Reduced quantum defects η_{nl} , as defined by Eq. (6), as a function of l for the k = 8 energy levels of the spectra Cai, Gai, and Snii. The corresponding nllevels are listed on the abscissa. We note that the lpattern $\{l_i\} = l_a l_b l_c l_d$ in each case corresponds to the sequence of decreasing η_{nl} values

$$\eta_{n_a l_a} > \eta_{n_b l_b} > \eta_{n_c l_c} > \eta_{n_d l_d}$$

[see Eq. (20)]. The values of k and l_1 , and the $\{l_i\}$ patterns are listed in the upper right-hand corner of the figure.



FIG. 7. Reduced quantum defects η_{nl} , as defined by Eq. (6), as a function of *l* for the k=9 energy levels of the spectra K₁, Rb₁, and Ba₁₁. The corresponding *nl* levels are listed on the abscissa.

hence those of η_{nl} are not sensitive to the value of n, as discussed above, they are also not sensitive to the difference between k = 8 and k = 9. We may also note that $l_1 = 3$ for the light and medium atoms ($Z \le 55$), whereas $l_1 = 4$ for the heavy atoms ($Z \ge 56$).

The most striking feature of these graphs is that with the exception of two spectra (namely, CaI in Fig. 6 and KI in Fig. 7), the two highest η_{nI} values involve the np and nd levels, whereas the two lowest η_{nI} values involve the ns and nf levels. (Here we have not included the three η_{5g} values.) Thus we expect that four l patterns will be dominant, namely, dpsf, pdsf, dpfs, and pdfs. Indeed, if we consider the results of Table XIV of Ref. 2, we see that the most prevalent l patterns among the 42 spectra investigated are dpsf, dpfs, pdsf, and fdps. Three of these l patterns are among those listed above. The fourth l pattern, mentioned



FIG. 8. Reduced quantum defects η_{nl} , as defined by Eq. (6), as a function of l for the k = 9 energy levels of the spectra Cs1, Tl1, and Pb11. The corresponding nl levels are listed on the abscissa.

above, namely, pdfs, actually occurs in three cases, namely, CuI (k=5-8), ZnI, and GaI (instead of the two cases listed in Table XIV of Ref.2). The additional case, namely, GaI, is found to have the *l* pattern pdfs, when the revised spectrum of Johansson and Litzén⁶ is used (see Table I of Ref. 4).

The two spectra which we have excluded above, namely, CaI in Fig. 6 and KI in Fig. 7, correspond to the patterns *fdps* and *fpds*, respectively. The *l* pattern *fdps* is found in ten spectra (see Ref. 2, Table XIV), exclusively among the light elements, with Z values ranging from $Z_{\min} = 11$ to $Z_{\max} = 33$. The other *l* pattern, namely *fpds*, is found only for the CaI spectrum (levels with k = 5and $k \ge 11$), besides the case of KI shown in Fig. 7.

The general tendency for the plots of η_{nl} vs *l* to have their highest values for *np* and *nd* states is obviously related to the predominant frequency of the *l* patterns *dpsf*, *dpfs*, and *pdsf* which account for 32 cases out of a total of 48 which are listed in Ref. 2 (Table XIV). We believe that the maxima of η_{nl} at *np* and *nd* are due to the finer details of the valence-core overlap, which is believed to be responsible for the *k*-ordering phenomenon,^{3,4} as well as the inverted fine structure of excited *d*, *f*, and *g* levels.⁴

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In the present paper, we have investigated certain aspects of k ordering (and the associated l patterns) of atomic and ionic energy levels, which had not been discussed in our previous papers on this subject (Refs. 1-4). More specifically, we have investigated the relation of the k ordering of atomic energy levels E_{nl} to the corresponding spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} . The quantum defects δ_{nl} had been briefly considered in Ref. 4 [see Eq. (2)], but their general relationship to the k ordering has only been discussed in the present paper, and independently in a recent paper of Foley.⁸

In connection with the discussion of Secs. II and III of the present paper, the author apologizes for the rather frequent cross references to the earlier papers of Refs. 1-4. However, in order to avoid needless duplication of the tables of Refs. 1-4 (altogether 35 tables) and of the four pertinent figures, it seemed desirable to write this paper as was done here, including the cross references. For those readers who are interested in the k-ordering properties of atomic and ionic spectra, and their associated l patterns, the four aforementioned papers should be studied in sequence.

In Sec. II of the present paper, we have discussed in detail the behavior of the quantum de-

fect δ_{nl} as a function of the orbital angular momentum quantum number l (see Figs. 1-3). In particular, it has been shown for three representative cases (Sn II, Cs I, and Pb II) that the curves of δ_{nl} vs *l* have a pronounced downward curvature and that δ_{nl} decreases abruptly to a value close to zero at the limiting angular momentum l_1 for kordering, which has been introduced in Ref. 3. Here the value of l_1 is 3 for Sn II and Cs I, and 4 for the PbII spectrum. The curves of δ_{nl} vs l(which have been obtained actually for the large majority of the 19 spectra considered in Refs. 1 and 2) show a remarkable similarity to the curves of magnetic field H as a function of the temperature T in a ferromagnet, with an abrupt decrease to zero at the limiting angular momentum l_1 , which therefore can be regarded as the analog of the Curie temperature T_c . The corresponding curves have been shown also in Figs. 1-3, where they have been labeled as "MA" for "magnetic analogy." This similarity leads us to interpret the quantum defect δ_{nl} as the classical order parameter for k ordering. In other words, the kordering is a property of the penetrating orbitals with relatively low l values, such that $l \leq l_1$, for which the overlap of the valence electron wave function with the core orbitals is sufficiently large. It should also be mentioned that the existence of such a readily available order parameter as the quantum defect δ_{nl} provides additional support for our previous assumption of a "k-ordering phase," as was done in Refs. 2 and 3, and for the interpretation in terms of phase transitions at the limiting angular momentum l_1 and the limiting ionicity δ_1 . We also note from Figs. 1-3, that since the curve of δ_{nl} vs *l* does not have a discontinuity at $l = l_1$, but instead approaches the value $\delta_{nl}(l_1)$ with a finite slope, the phase transition at $l = l_1$ can be described technically as a second-order phase transition.

A less accurate approximation to the quantum defects δ_{nl} is the straight line $\delta_{nl} \sim l_1 - l$, which has been introduced in Eq. (4) and is also shown in Figs. 1-3 for the spectra of Sn II, Cs I, and PbII. A similar straight-line relationship, namely, $\delta_{nl} \sim g - l$, has also been considered by Foley.⁸ The approximate relation of Eq. (4) has led us to introduce the "reduced quantum defects" η_{nl} , which are defined by Eqs. (6) and (7) in Sec. III, in particular: $\eta_{nl} \equiv \delta_{nl} + l - l_1$ for $l \leq l_1$. It is shown in Sec. III that the ordering of the η_{nl} values determines the l pattern within each group of levels having the same value of k, i.e., within the same k band. A general expression for the energy levels E_{nl} in terms of η_{nl} has been derived in Eqs. (8)-(11). From Eqs. (11) and (13), we have derived the expression for the separation of levels with

the same l in neighboring k bands, namely, the derivative $\partial E_k / \partial k$ of Eq. (15). In addition, the average spacing $\langle \Delta_{l_a l_b}(k) \rangle$ between successive l levels (with $l \leq l_1$) in the k band is given by Eq. (18).

From Eq. (11), it is readily seen that the levels within a given k band are energy-ordered as follows:

$$E_{n_a l_a} < E_{n_b l_b} < E_{n_c l_c} < E_{n_d l_d},$$

if we have

$$\eta_{n_{a}l_{a}} > \eta_{n_{b}l_{b}} > \eta_{n_{c}l_{c}} > \eta_{n_{d}l_{d}}.$$

Thus the level with the highest algebraic η_{nl} value lies lowest, and the other levels with the same k value are arranged in the order of decreasing η_{nl} values, corresponding to the l pattern $\{l_i\}$ = $l_a l_b l_c l_d$.

In Figs. 6-8, we have plotted the values of η_{nl} vs *l* for nine representative spectra, as explained in Sec. III. The most striking feature of these graphs is that with the exception of two spectra (Ca I and K I), the two highest η_{nl} values involve the *np* and *nd* levels, while the two lowest η_{nl} involve the *ns* and *nf* levels. Thus we expect that four *l* patterns will be dominant, namely, *dpsf*, *pdsf*, *dpfs*, and *pdfs*, and this expectation is generally borne out by the frequencies of the actual *l* patterns, as presented in Table XIV of Ref. 2.

After the work of the present paper was completed, we received a copy of a short paper of Ostrovsky,¹² in which the connection between kordering and the spectroscopic quantum defects δ_{nl} has also been considered. In particular, it was also pointed out that the relative constancy of the sum $\delta_{nl} + l$ within a given k group is a necessary condition for the existence of k ordering, in similarity to Eq. (4) above, and to Eq. (2) of the paper of Foley.⁸ In this connection, we can also refer to the paper of Demkov and Ostrovsky¹³ and to the earlier papers of Klechkovskii¹⁴ on the validity of the "n + l rule" for the ground states of neutral atoms.

Returning to the k ordering and the l patterns of the excited states of atoms and ions, as discussed in this series of five papers, there remains the wider question as to the fundamental significance of these remarkable regularities and their connection to the inverted fine structure (see Ref. 4) and to the large quadrupole antishielding factors^{15, 16} γ_{∞} and R for the atoms and ions having at least one filled np shell, and preferably a large number of filled np and nd shells (medium and heavy atoms with $Z \ge 11$). As we have already discussed in Refs. 1 and 4, unless a p shell of the core is fully occupied, i.e., unless $Z \ge 11$, the k ordering does not occur and simultaneously the excited *d* (and/or *f*) states do not exhibit the inverted fine structure which is characteristic of the heavier elements. Also the ionic antishielding factor γ_{∞} which depends directly on the large $np \rightarrow p$ and $nd \rightarrow d$ excitations of the core does not become large until $Z \ge 11$. In this connection, we note that the values of γ_{∞} for monovalent ions with an external nd^{10} configuration, namely, $\gamma_{\infty}(Cu^{+}) = -15.0$,¹⁷ $\gamma_{\infty}(Ag^{+}) = -34.9$,¹⁷ and $\gamma_{\infty}(Au^{+}) = -72.0$,¹⁸ can be fitted by a formula similar to Eqs. (9), (10), and (12) of Ref. 4, namely,

$$\gamma_{\infty} \left[nd^{10} \right] = -0.9847(Z - 15)^{1.0320} \quad (29 \le Z \le 79). \tag{21}$$

In Ref. 3 (see pp. 1755 and 1756), we have discussed the possibility that the k ordering is strongly dependent on the overlap of the valence wave function v(nl) and the core wave functions $u_0(n_c l_c)$ and their perturbations $u_1(n_c l_c - t'_c)$ caused by the electrostatic interaction with the valence electron.

- ¹R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. A <u>15</u>, 1817 (1977).
- ²R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. A <u>16</u>, 459 (1977).
- ³R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. A <u>16</u>, 1752 (1977).
- ⁴R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. A <u>19</u>, 474 (1979).
- ⁵C. E. Moore, *Atomic Energy Levels*, U. S. Natl. Bur. Stand. Circ. No. 467 (U. S. GPO, Washington, D.C., 1949-1958), Vols. I-III.
- ⁶I. Johansson and U. Litzen, Ark. Fys. <u>34</u>, 573 (1967).
- ⁷W. R. S. Garton and K. Codling, J. Phys. B <u>1</u>, 106 (1968).
- ⁸H. M. Foley, Phys. Rev. A 19, 2134 (1979).
- ⁹M. J. Seaton, Compt. Rend. 240, 1317 (1955).
- ¹⁰S. T. Manson, Phys. Rev. <u>182</u>, 97 (1969).
- ¹¹H. Eyring, D. Henderson, B. J. Stover, and E. M. Eyring, *Statistical Mechanics and Dynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1964), p. 295.
- 12 V. N. Ostrovsky, abstract of contributed paper to the

This possibility is enhanced to the status of a strong probability by the discovery in the present paper that the quantum defects δ_{nl} are the appropriate order parameters for the k ordering, which has been found to occur only when δ_{nl} is large $(\delta_{nl} \ge 0.2)$, i.e., for the penetrating orbitals with $l \le l_1$. A similar explanation involving the number of radial states of the core which are occupied for a given l value has been put forward by Foley.⁸

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Professor H. M. Foley for several helpful discussions and for sending me a copy of his paper in advance of publication. I am also indebted to Dr. V. J. Emery for valuable comments. The present work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. EY-76-C02-0016.

Sixth International Conference on Atomic Physics, Riga, USSR, 1978 (unpublished), p. 517.

- ¹³Yu. N. Demkov and V. N. Ostrovsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>62</u>, 152 (1972) [Sov. Phys. JETP <u>35</u>, 66 (1972)].
- ¹⁴V. M. Klechkovskii, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSR 80, 603 (1951); Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>23</u>, 115 (1952); and <u>41</u>, <u>465</u> (1962) [Sov. Phys. JETP <u>14</u>, 334 (1962)].
- ¹⁵R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. <u>80</u>, 102 (1950); <u>84</u>, 244 (1951); <u>95</u>, 736 (1954); <u>105</u>, 158 (1957); <u>146</u>, 140 (1966); <u>164</u>, 10 (1967); Phys. Rev. A 6, 1702 (1972).
- (1903), <u>101</u>, 16 (1907), 1 hys. Rev. A <u>0</u>, 1102 (1912).
 ¹⁶H. M. Foley, R. M. Sternheimer, and D. Tycko, Phys. Rev. <u>93</u>, 734 (1954); R. M. Sternheimer and H. M. Foley, *ibid*. <u>102</u>, 731 (1956); R. M. Sternheimer, *ibid*. <u>130</u>, 1423 (1963); <u>132</u>, 1637 (1963); <u>159</u>, 266 (1967).
- ¹⁷R. M. Sternheimer, Phys. Rev. <u>146</u>, 140 (1966), see Table VI.
- ¹⁸R. P. Gupta and S. K. Sen, Phys. Rev. A <u>8</u>, 1169 (1973).