## Ground state of a spin-1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field

Y. Aharonov and A. Casher

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel (Received 19 June 1978)

We prove that a spin-1/2 charged particle moving in a plane under the influence of a perpendicular magnetic field has  $(N - 1)$  zero-energy states, where N is the closest integer to the total flux in units of the flux quantum. The  $(N - 1)$  independent wave functions are calculated explicitly. The result, which is extremely simple to prove, is an example of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem when applied to the Euclidean two-dimensional Dirac equation.

The movement of a charged spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particle under the influence of homogeneous magnetic field is a well-known classic solvable problem.<sup>1</sup> This is not true for a general  $(x, y)$ -dependent field which points in the  $z$  direction. However, we have come across a remarkably simple result which seems to be unknown in this context —the ground state is exactly calculable and possesses a degeneracy related to the total flux. The results will be seen to hold for the nonrelativistic (Pauli) as well as the relativistic (Dirac) case which are governed by essentially the same equation.

Consider the Pauli Hamiltonian for a charged particle in a magnetic field, specialized to the case where the field points in the  $z$  direction and depends on x and y only  $(\hbar = c = 2m = 1)$ :

$$
H = (\Pi_{\perp} \sigma_{\perp})^2 = \Pi_{\perp}^2 - e B \sigma_{\varepsilon} , \qquad (1)
$$

where 2II is the transverse-velocity operator:

$$
\Pi_{\perp} = \dot{p}_{\perp} - eA_{\perp} \tag{2}
$$

and B is the magnetic field:

$$
\frac{1}{ie}[\Pi_x, \Pi_y] = B(x, y) = \partial_x A_y(x, y) - \partial_y A_x(x, y).
$$
 (3)

The vector potential can and will be chosen to be divergenceless:

$$
\partial_x A_x + \partial_y A_y = 0. \tag{4}
$$

We also define the total magnetic flux and relate it to a positive integer  $N$ :

$$
\int dx\,dy\,B(x,y)\equiv\Phi=\frac{2\pi}{e}(N+\epsilon),\quad 0<\epsilon<1\;.\qquad\qquad(5)\qquad\qquad e^{-e\sigma\phi}\,r\stackrel{\sim}{\to}\infty\bigg(\frac{\gamma_0}{r}\bigg).
$$

Clearly  $\Phi$  may always be chosen to be positive by an appropriate redefinition of the z axis.

We now prove the following two theorems: Theorem 1: If  $N + \epsilon > 1$  the Hamiltonian H [Eq. (1)] has exactly  $N-1$  zero-energy normalizable eigenstates whose spin has the same sign as the flux [positive according to the convention of Eq. (5)]. Theorem 2: All nonzero energy eigenstate are degenerate with respect to spin flip.

In order to prove theorem 1 observe that  $H$  may be rewritten as a product of two conjugate firstorder differential operators:

$$
H = \Pi_{\perp}^{2} - eB \sigma_{z} = (\Pi_{x} - i\sigma_{z}\Pi_{y}(\Pi_{x} + i\sigma_{z}\Pi_{y}), \qquad (6)
$$

where Eq. (3) has been used to evaluate the commutator  $[\Pi_x \Pi_y]$ . If  $\psi$  is a zero eigenstate of H with  $\sigma_z = \sigma$  (= ±1) it must therefore be annihilated by  $\Pi_x + i\sigma\Pi_y$ :

$$
[(1/i)(\partial_x + e\alpha A_y) + \sigma(\partial_y - e\alpha A_x)]\psi_{\sigma} = 0.
$$
 (7)

Note now that Eqs. (3) and (4) which define the magnetic field and the gauge condition imply that A, is a two-dimensional curl whose "potential" satisfies the Laplace equation with  $B(xy)$  as a source:

$$
A_x = -\partial_y \phi \ , \ A_y = \partial_x \phi \ ; \tag{8}
$$

$$
(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\phi = B \tag{9}
$$

Substitute now in Eq. (7),

$$
\psi_{\sigma} = e^{-e\sigma\phi} f_{\sigma},\tag{10}
$$

which leads to

$$
(\partial_x + i\sigma \partial_y) f_{\sigma}(x, y) = 0.
$$
 (11)

Equation (11) states that  $f_{\sigma}$  is an entire function of  $x+i\sigma y$ . Now, the Green's function of the twodimensional Laplacian is  $(2\pi)^{-1}lnr/r_0$  so that as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  the exponential in Eq. (10) behaves as

$$
e^{-e\sigma\phi} \, r \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \infty \bigg(\frac{r_0}{r}\bigg)^{e\sigma\Phi/2\pi},\tag{12}
$$

where  $\Phi$  is the total flux. Since an entire function cannot go to zero in all directions at infinity, a necessary condition for  $\psi_{\sigma}$  to be normalizable is

$$
\sigma\Phi > 0. \tag{13}
$$

Moreover, in order that  $\psi_{\sigma}$  be square-integrable we need

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 |f_{\sigma}|^2 \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{z e^{\sigma \Phi/2\pi}} = 0.
$$
 (14)

19

2461

Hence,  $f_{\sigma}$  must be a polynomial whose degree is no larger than  $N-1$ . The  $N-1$  independent (though not orthogonal in general) zero modes are thus (for  $\Phi > 0$ )

$$
\psi_j = (x + iy)^j e^{-e\phi}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1,
$$
 (15)

where N is defined by Eq.  $(5)$ . Equations  $(13)-(15)$ obviously constitute theorem 1. Theorem 2 is even simpler to prove and follows almost trivially from the decomposition Eq. (6). In fact, if  $\chi_{\sigma}$  is an eigenstate of H then clearly a degenerate eigenstate with an opposite sign of  $\sigma_z$  is given by

$$
\chi_{-\sigma} = (\Pi_x + i\sigma\Pi_y)\chi_{\sigma}.
$$
 (16)

It should be remarked at this stage that our two theorems are well known in another context. The operator  $(\Pi_1 \sigma_1)$  whose square is the Pauli Hamiltonian is the Euclidean (imaginary time) continuation of the one-space-one-time massless Dirac operator. The degeneracy (16) is then due to  $\gamma$ . (Chiral) invariance, while the number of zeroenergy states is governed by the Atiyah-Singer energy states is governed by the Atiyah–Singer<br>index theorem.<sup>2,3</sup> We also observe that the two theorems hold for the Dirac Hamiltonian. In fact, it is readily verified that the upper and lower components of the Dirac spinor (in a representation where  $\gamma_0$  is diagonal) satisfy

$$
(\sigma\Pi)^2 U = (E^2 - m^2)U \t{,}
$$
 (17)

 $<sup>1</sup>$ L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics</sup> (Pergamon, London, 1958).

 ${}^{2}$ M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 69, 422 (1963).

<sup>3</sup>The index theorem relates the number of zero modes of a particle moving in an external gauge field to the while  $U_{\text{up}}$  and  $U_{\text{down}}$  are connected by

$$
(E+m)U_{\text{down}} = \sigma \Pi U_{\text{up}},
$$
  

$$
(E-m)U_{\text{up}} = \sigma \Pi U_{\text{down}}.
$$
 (18)

Hence, the positive (negative) energy solutions with  $E^2 = m^2$  are given by  $U_{\text{up}}(U_{\text{down}})$  equal to  $\psi_j$ [Eq. (15)] and  $U_{\text{down}}(U_{\text{up}}) = 0$ .

We end by indicating a possible speculative application of the zero modes. Consider a two-dimensional low-temperature electron gas in circumstances where the interelectron Coulomb potential may be neglected. Imagine further a distribution of fluxons and antifluxons such that  $(N)$ ,  $-N<sub>n</sub> \neq 0$ . Our theorem then states that  $(N - N<sub>n</sub>)q$  $e-1$  of the electrons [where  $q = 2\pi$  (flux quantum)<sup>-1</sup>] will "condense" into the available zero modes, thus decreasing the Fermi level. We have not investigated this idea in any detail so that its relevance is purely speculative at the moment.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Shmuel Nussinov and Tom Banks for several discussions and helpful suggestions. This work was supported in part by the Israel Commission for Basic Research and the United States Binational Science Foundation (BSF) Jerusalem.

topological winding number (in our case the line integral of  $A_{+}$  at infinity). A well-known application occurs in four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory. [For example, L. S. Brown, H. D. Carlitz, and C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 16, 417 (1977)].