Atomic spectral lines in helium containing a quark in the nucleus

D. J. Hylton

Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520

A. R. P. Rau

Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520 and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803 (Received 20 December 1978)

The authors have used accurate theoretical values for the energies of low-lying states of the isoelectronic series of helium, together with 1/Z-expansion theory, to compute to six-figure accuracy some of the spectral lines to be expected if a quark were to be embedded in the nucleus of a helium atom. These wavelengths may be of interest to searches for quark-He lines in laboratory or astrophysical spectra or for single-atom detection of such quark atoms.

The claim¹ for the observation of a free fractional charge has revived interest in the search for free quarks. One method² of looking for them is through atomic experiments (for example, singleatom detection); a free quark in the nucleus of an atom will affect all the spectral transitions. The dominant effect is the change in the nuclear charge with a subsidiary (and far smaller) correction due to the change in the mass. For quark-hydrogen the spectral lines can be computed to very high precision but for other atoms such numbers are less immediately obtained. It has been pointed out^{3,4} that experimental data on isoelectronic series afford a straightforward way of getting these numbers. A recent paper⁴ has demonstrated the success of this procedure for even very heavy atoms ($Z \simeq 80$) where accuracies of 1 in 10⁴ can be obtained. The motivation for this paper is that there has been some speculation that the fractional charges seen in the experiment of Ref. 1 are somehow associated with helium. As a result, there are ongoing efforts to search for such quarkhelium spectral lines both in laboratory⁵ and astro $physical^{6}$ spectra. Since there are available^{7,8} very accurate variational calculations on the ground and low-lying excited states of helium and its isoelectronic sequence $(Z \leq 10)$, it would seem possible to get very high precision data on quarkhelium through a similar isoelectronic fit to 1/Ztheory.^{9,10} We present here the results of such a study which may be of interest to these and other searches for quark-helium. We note that helium is the only other atom besides hydrogen for which such accurate values (1 in 10^6 or better) for the energies are now available.

Our method is a straightforward application of 1/Z expansions to the (calculated) data available on the isoelectronic series of helium. These re-

sults⁷ present the nonrelativistic energies and the mass-polarization and relativistic corrections for the singlet and triplet S and P states for n values up to 5 and Z up to 10. According to 1/Z theory, the relativistic energies are given by an expansion in powers of 1/Z beginning with Z^4 , whereas the nonrelativistic energies have Z^2 as the leading term. In fitting the numbers for Z = 2-10to such expansions, one must, however, filter out any dependences on the nuclear mass M because M does not vary smoothly with Z. Accad et al.⁸ have presented such values for the nonrelativistic energies, $E_{\rm nr}$ [they actually give $\epsilon \equiv (-E_{\rm nr})^{1/2}$], but for the other corrections the available results are in cm^{-1} with the *M* incorporated into them. Therefore, we divided the relativistic energies, E_J , by $\alpha^2 R_M$ drawn from Table XXIII of Ref. 7 and the mass-polarization correction, ϵ_M , by $\alpha^2 R_M (2m/M)R$ from the same table before fitting to the expansions. Here R is the Rydberg constant and R_{μ} the corresponding reduced Rydberg for the nucleus in question. The authors of Ref. 8 noted that the nonrelativistic values ϵ can be reproduced by the expression

$$Z(a_0 + a_1/Z + \cdots + a_6/Z^6)$$
.

The theory of 1/Z expansions, on the other hand, gives

$$E_{\rm nr} = Z^2 \sum_{k=0}^{k} \epsilon_k Z^{-k}, \qquad (1)$$

and the first two coefficients ϵ_0 and ϵ_1 are readily obtained. Thus, for instance, for the He isoelectronic sequence, $\epsilon_0 = -(1 + 1/n^2)R$, where *n* is the principal quantum number of the excited electron, and an analytical formula for ϵ_1 is available¹¹ from which we computed the necessary numbers for the states of interest (for the *P* states these

19

2147

©1979 The American Physical Society

have already been computed in Ref. 10). Thus $a_0 = (-\epsilon_0)^{1/2}$, $a_1 = a_0 \epsilon_1/2 \epsilon_0$, and our fit to the nonrelativistic values was actually a five-parameter fit. Table I summarizes the values of these coefficients. With these parameters, we fit the nonrelativistic energies in Ref. 8 to the ten-figure accuracy to which they are quoted. We can then compute the corresponding nonrelativistic energies for quark-He. Similarly the mass-polarization correction ϵ_M was fitted, after removing the *M* dependence as noted above, to an expansion of the form

$$Z^{2}(b_{0}+b_{1}/Z+\cdots+b_{3}/Z^{3})$$

and the relativistic correction¹² E_J to an expansion

$$Z^4(c_0 + c_1/Z + \cdots + c_3/Z^3)$$
.

To obtain the total energy, E_{tot} , in cm⁻¹ from the above calculations, we made the following assumptions for the mass of the quark. We took m_q $=\frac{1}{10}m_p$ and 40 m_p as two extreme values and the entries in the top and bottom rows in Table II are, respectively, the energies with these masses for the different quark charges. Current confinement theories talk of quark masses of about one-tenth of the proton mass. However, for our purposes where presumably there is an unconfined quark. these values may really not be relevant and for this reason, we also considered as another extreme the value of 40 m_{b} as characteristic of the picture ten years ago when the nonobservation of quarks was attributed to their being very massive. These two extreme choices should, therefore, encompass different theories about quarks and we note that they make for a relatively small change in the entries in Table II.

The above procedure yielded all the S and P states with $n \le 5$. Some extension to larger n values up to 10 for the P states was possible because Ref. 10 gives the ϵ_k values for these states for $k \le 3$. Using these, we computed the nonrelativistic energies for n up to 5. Comparison with the accurate numbers of Ref. 8 showed that, as expected, the results agreed to several significant figures. Up to five significant figures of the accurate energies were reproduced, indicating that the higher ϵ_k come in only beyond that. Also, the residual error showed a smooth dependence with n. We used this fact to fit these residuals for n=2-5 to a polynomial

$$d_0/n^2 + d_1/n^3 + \cdots + d_3/n^5$$

and, thereby obtained the residuals for n = 6-10. Using the ϵ_k , $k \leq 3$, for n = 6-10 and adding on the extrapolated residuals gave us energy values for these higher states. Though not on par with our results for $n \leq 5$, we are confident that the values

	TABLE I. Coeff	ficients of the $1/Z ex$	pansion for the nonre	elativistic energy (in	atomic units) of the h	ielium isoelectronic se	squence:
	$(-E_{\rm nr})^{1/2} = Z($	$(a_0+a_1/Z+\cdots+a_6/Z)$	e).				
State	a_0	a1	a ₂	a_3	a_4	a_5	a ₆
2 ¹ S	0.790 569 415 0	-0.1466186230	0.0588321374	0.004 901 988 6	0.0003861645	-0.007 450 690 6	0.0054390992
2 ³ S	0.7905694150	-0.1188564934	0.021 052 023 6	0.0061940579	0.0032387624	0.0001923326	0.0029478727
$3^{1}S$	0.7453559925	-0.0706072857	0.0296095105	0.0034280903	0.0022417107	-0.007 648 363 4	0.0045382834
3 ³ S	0.7453559925	-0.0628689400	0.019 028 6748	0.0030233254	0.001 139 182 3	0.0001741972	0.0008733811
$4^{1}S$	0.728 868 986 9	-0.0411410804	0.018 130 931 1	0.0018712705	-0.000 986 960 1	0.001 580 030 3	-0.0022578671
4 ³ S	0.728 868 986 9	-0.037 931 127 4	0.0136261627	0.001 461 749 3	0.0004892014	0.0001012469	0.0003611490
5 ¹ S	0.7211102551	-0.0268292452	0.0122349402	0.0003239214	0.0027989615	-0.0066346472	0.0048409470
$5^{3}S$	0.7211102551	-0.0251972774	0.0098543930	0.0007849611	0.000 250 3127	0.000 079 353 3	0.0001639613
$2^{1}P$	0.7905694150	-0.1643555360	0.082 225 701 9	0.000 655 836 9	-0.008 329 918 2	0.003 083 257 5	0.0041450413
$2^{3}P$	0.790 569 415 0	-0.1427627560	0.0332679394	0.016 692 131 5	0.007 466 518 2	0.0086534791	-0.0038928196
$3^{1}P$	0.7453559925	-0.0760425522	0.0368741338	0.003 959 2778	-0.0032340750	-0.0044299844	0.0052150111
3^3P	0.7453559925	-0.069 962 423 5	0.0247932498	0.004 601 4907	0.0016640543	0.0015483302	0.0000008434
4^1P	0.728 868 986 9	-0.043 464 924 5	0.0213282628	0.0017358613	-0.001 026 915 3	-0.0026468653	0.0025138411
4^3P	0.728 868 986 9	-0.040 934726 3	0.0164419910	0.0018395624	0.0005792497	0.0005981029	0.000 068 988 8
$5^{1}P$	0.7211102551	-0.028 026 834 3	0.0138719147	0.000802971	-0.000 168 837 7	-0.0021295324	0.0018516119
5^3P	0.7211102551	-0.0267386192	0.0114047023	0.000 888 970 4	0.0002792318	0.0002849565	0.000 053 810 2
					•.		

TABLE II. Energy levels (in cm⁻¹) of quark-He measured from the He⁺ (n=1) limit. For each state and each quark charge, the top entry is for $m_q = \frac{1}{10}m_p$ and the bottom entry for $m_q = 40 m_p$.

and the second se			and the second
State	$q = +\frac{2}{3}$	$q = +\frac{1}{3}$	$q = -\frac{1}{3}$
2 ¹ S	83746.30	54863.16	15232.23
	83756.93	54870.18	15234.23
$2^{3}S$	96 039.55	64171.71	18 938.75
	96 051.58	64179.79	18941.16
31S	35972.91	23 356.11	6231.660
	35977.41	23 359.04	6 232.459
3 ³ S	39179.78	25760.87	7138.897
	39184.63	25764.07	7139.790
4 ¹ S	19918.03	12881.80	3369.983
	19920.50	12883.40	3370.414
$4^{3}S$	21201.79	13839.75	3729.234
	21204.41	13841.46	3729.699
5 ¹ S	12629.32	8 148.763	2118.427
	12630.88	8149.772	2118.704
5 ³ S	13270.01	8 626.171	2287.443
	13271.64	8 627 .231	2 287.725
$2^{1}P$	75209.58	48 189.06	12140.30
	75223.07	48 197.45	12142.22
$2^{3}P$	80748.74	51 866.39	12932.93
	80753.03	51 869.32	12933.92
$3^{1}P$	33555.72	21 484 .21	5397.018
	33561.10	21 487 .60	5397.818
3 ³ P	35230.94	22 615.80	5652.981
	35233.76	22 617 .63	5653.495
4^1P	18916.97	12109.44	3 037 .390
	18919.82	12111.24	3 037 .821
$4^{3}P$	19623.55	12 589.88	3148.334
	19626.35	12 591.04	3148.645
$5 {}^{1}P$	12123.53	7760.057	1945.705
	12125.29	7761.175	1945.962
$5{}^{3}P$	12485.51	8 006.441	2002.314
	12486.74	8 007.228	2002.530

Transition $m_q = \frac{1}{10}m_p$ $m_q = 40 m_p$ $2^{1}s - 5^{1}P$ 7526.4 7525.4 $-6^{1}P$ 7226.9 7226.0 $-7^{1}P$ 7037.2 7036.2 - 8¹P 6919.8 6918.9 $-9^{1}P$ 6843.1 6842.2 $-10^{1}P$ 6784.0 6783.1 $-\infty P$ 6565.0 6564.2 $2^{3}s - 3^{3}P$ 7526.9 7525.8 - 4³P 6333.0 6332.1 $-5^{3}P$ 5904.4 5903.7 $-6^{3}P$ 5693.7 5693.0 - 7³P 5577.8 5577.0 - 8³P 5505.1 5504.4 - 9³P 5456.4 5457.1 $-10^{3}P$ 5420.8 5420.1 $-\infty {}^{3}P$ 5280.2 5279.5

for the higher n's are accurate to six or seven figures. Therefore, in Tables III and IV, where we have used the entries in Table II to write some Rydberg series in quark-He that lie in the visiblewavelength region, we have also included our values for higher n. These tables are presented only as a representative sample of a few well-defined series in visible wavelengths but we note that several other transitions, including those in the far infrared and ultraviolet, can be obtained from the entries in Table II simply by subtraction of the quoted energies for the states involved in the transition. For completeness, besides the S and Pstates we have considered above, the only other excited states in He for which energies of com-

TABLE IV. Certain Rydberg series in quark-He for $q=\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$. All wavelengths are in vacuum and in angstroms.

	<i>q</i> =	: + <u>2</u>	<i>q</i> =	: + <u>1</u>	
Transition	$m_q = \frac{1}{10} m_p$	$m_q = 40m_p$	$m_q = \frac{1}{10} m_p$	$m_q = 40 m_p$	
$3^{1}S-4^{1}P$	5863.05	5862.49			
$-5^{1}P$	4192.98	4192.49	6411.88	6411.13	
$-6^{1}P$	3628.28	3627.82	5564.85	5564.15	
- 7 ¹ P	3357.65	3357.22	5157.67	5157.02	
$-8^{1}P$	3201.67	3201.27	4921.44	4920.82	
- 9 ¹ P	3103.04	3102.65	4772.16	4771.55	
-10 ¹ P	3035.50	3035.12	4669.06	4668.47	
$-\infty P$	2779.86	2779.52	4281.53	4280.99	
$3^{3}S-4^{3}P$	5113.46	5112.92	7592.44	7591.26	
$-5^{3}P$	3746.12	3745.61	5632.39	5631.63	
$-6^{3}P$	3275.26	3274.85	4946.47	4945.85	
$-7^{3}P$	3044.57	3044.19	4608.26	4607.69	
$-8^{3}P$	2911.96	2911.60	4413.21	4412.66	
$-9^{3}P$	2827.90	2827.55	4289.46	4288.92	
$-10^{3}P$	2770.44	2770.09	4204.29	4203.76	
$-\infty {}^{3}P$	2552.33	2552.02	3881.85	3881.37	

TABLE III. Certain Rydberg series in quark-He for $q = -\frac{1}{3}$. All wavelengths are in vacuum and in ang-stroms.

TABLE V. Similar to Table II. Energy levels (in cm^{-1}) of D states of quark-He.

	$q = +\frac{2}{3}$	$q = +\frac{1}{3}$	$q = -\frac{1}{3}$
3 ¹ D	33895.58	21 696.46	5425,178
	33899,69	21 699.09	5425,836
$3 {}^{3}D$	33913.50	21705.49	5425.041
	33917.62	21708.13	5425.699
4^1D	19062.43	12201.72	3053.111
	19064.74	12 203.20	3053.482
$4^{3}D$	19073.14	12207.25	3052.948
	19075.46	12208.74	3053.318

parable accuracy are known are the singlet and triplet D states with n=3 and 4. The coefficients of a 1/Z expansion for these states have been given¹³ and, using them, we determine the energies quoted in Table V for quark-He.

Tables II-V may be useful in spectroscopic and single-atom searches¹⁴ for quark-He. As regards the accuracy of our results in Tables II-V, we believe that all the figures quoted are significant except that the last figure may be doubtful for the cases n > 5 because of the *ad hoc* procedure detailed above for fitting the residuals to a 1/n expansion. Also, the entries for quark charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ may be less reliable than those for the positive quarks. This is because of our use of 1/Z theory which, as is well-known, is better for interpolating among the known Z values than for the extrapolation down to lower Z that is involved for the case of $-\frac{1}{3}$. To indicate that these results may be as much as one order of magnitude less reliable, the wavelengths for $-\frac{1}{3}$ in Table III are given to one decimal place only. Regarding quantum electrodynamic corrections of order α^3 , as noted in Ref. 12, they have been calculated for the states under consideration. Only for the 2S states are they relevant to the accuracy we are working with and the corrections have been included. In all the other cases, these Lamb-shift corrections do not come in till the seventh significant figure. Finally, we make a remark about corrections due to the finite size of the nucleus. In particular, an extreme situation would be the one where the quark of $-\frac{1}{3}$ is only bound by the Coulomb force to the helium nucleus. For the lightest mass assumed of $\frac{1}{10} m_p$, considering the finite size of this charge distribution, we estimate that only for the case of n=2 could there by an effect at the level of the last (sixth) significant figure we present. For all the other states and for the case of $m_q = 40m_p$, the effect is negligible.

Spectroscopic searches for quark-He lines based on the figures we present should, of course, proceed with the usual caution regarding accidental coincidences as discussed in Ref. 3. Since we give numbers good to a couple of hundredths of an angstrom, any coincidences, particularly of several members of a series (along with the usual expected $1/n^3$ correlation for their intensities) would be suggestive and would then call for the additional checks to strengthen the claim. These include multiplet structure (for the ³P states we have only presented the energy of the J=1 state but the others can also be readily obtained from the available data on the He isoelectronic structure) and oscillator strengths which can also be generated by the 1/Z expansion.¹⁰

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Peter Mohr for calling our attention to the numerical results available in Refs. 7, 8, 10, and 13 for the helium isoelectronic sequence. This work has been supported by the NSF under Contract Nos. PHY76-05721, PHY78-26138, and PHY78-08432. A. R. P. R. thanks the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship.

¹George S. LaRue, W. M. Fairbank, and A. F. Hebard, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 1011 (1977); G. S. LaRue, W. M. Fairbank, and J. D. Phillips, *ibid*. 42, 142 (1979).

²For a recent review of quark searches, see L. M. Jones, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 717 (1977).

- ³B. J. Skutnik, Phys. Rev. <u>181</u>, 2145 (1969); Phys. Rev. D <u>2</u>, 635 (1970); B. J. Skutnik, O. Sinanoglu, and R. Tousey, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>17</u>, 785 (1966); see also
- W. M. Bennett, *ibid*. <u>17</u>, 1196 (1966).

⁴A. R. P. Rau, Phys. Rev. A <u>17</u>, 1721 (1978).

- ⁵D. H. Douglass (private communication).
- ⁶J. Drilling (private communication).
- ⁷Y. Accad, C. L. Pekeris, and B. Schiff, Phys. Rev. A $\underline{4}$, 516 (1971).
- ⁸Y. Accad, C. L. Pekeris, and B. Schiff, Phys. Rev. A <u>11</u>, 1479 (1975).

- ⁹See, for instance, D. Layzer, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) <u>8</u>, 271 (1959); and Ref. 10.
- ¹⁰F. C. Sanders and C. W. Scherr, Phys. Rev. <u>181</u>, 84 (1969).
- ¹¹F. C. Sanders and C. W. Scherr, J. Chem. Phys. <u>42</u>, 4314 (1965); see also K. Aashamar, G. Lyslo and J. Midtdal, *ibid* 52, 3324 (1970).
- ¹²The relativistic correction was obtained from Refs. 7 and 8 except for the 2S states where we included the Lamb-shift correction given in A. M. Ermolaev and M. Jones, J. Phys. B 7, 199 (1974), and a supplement of tables in National Reference Library SUP70009.
- ¹³Paul Blanchard and G. W. F. Drake, J. Phys. B <u>6</u>, 2495 (1973).
- ¹⁴G. S. Hurst, M. H. Nayfeh, and J. P. Young, Phys. Rev. A <u>15</u>, 2283 (1977).