Addendum: Systematics of moments of dipole oscillator-strength distributions for atoms in the first and second row

Mitio Inokuti, T. Baer,* and J. L. Dehmer Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439 (Received 15 November 1977)

The values of S(1) and L(1), i.e., quantities related to straggling of particles, given by Dehmer, Inokuti, and Saxon [Phys. Rev. A <u>12</u>, 102 (1975)], are inaccurate within the Hartree-Slater model used in that work. Those values are too large by as much as several percent because of an overestimation of contributions from high excitation energies. Revised values of S(1) and L(1), accurate to about 1%, are presented and discussed in greater detail. They are now in closer agreement with other values of N, O, Ne, and Ar found in the literature. All the other $S(\mu)$ and $L(\mu)$ values given by Dehmer, Inokuti, and Saxon remain unchanged.

Dehmer, Inokuti, and Saxon^1 calculated the moments

$$S(\mu) = \int \left(\frac{E}{R}\right)^{\mu} \frac{df}{dE} dE$$
 (1)

of the oscillator-strength distribution df/dE over excitation energy E throughout the entire (discrete and continuous) spectrum for atoms He through Ar by the use of the Hartree-Slater (HS) model, R being the Rydberg energy. The same work also included calculation of

$$L(\mu) = \int \left(\frac{E}{R}\right)^{\mu} \ln\left(\frac{E}{R}\right) \frac{df}{dE} dE.$$
 (2)

The actual computation of df/dE obviously must stop at a cutoff value E_c of excitation energy E. Dehmer, Inokuti, and Saxon¹ chose E_c at (200– 600)R above the K-shell threshold for all the atoms treated, and used an extrapolation formula

$$\frac{df}{dE} = CE^{-B} , \qquad (3)$$

where B and C are positive constants determined from the last two df/dE values, i.e., at E_C and below. As stated near the end of Sec. II of Ref. 1, this procedure led to the fulfillment of the criterion that the Thomas-Kuhn-Reiche sum rule S(0) = Z(i.e., the number of atomic electrons) be satisfied to one tenth of a percent, for every subshell. Thus, the procedure is entirely appropriate for the accurate evaluation of $S(\mu)$ and $L(\mu)$ for $\mu \leq 0$.

Nevertheless, the same procedure is now recognized to be unsatisfactory for the evaluation of S(1)and L(1). Because of the additional E factor in the integrand, it is necessary to use a much greater value of E_c . Therefore, we have extended the same calculations. Results of the new work are shown in Table I, which supersedes the entries in the rightmost columns of Tables I and II of Ref. 1. The quantities S(1) and L(1) are important in the theory² of straggling of fast charged particles. In this context, results are often presented in terms of the mean excitation energy I_1 for straggling, defined by^{2,3}

 $\ln(I_1/R) = L(1)/S(1).$ (4)

Table I includes values of I_1 measured in eV. We chose a series of E_c values up to about 2×10^4 R above the ionization threshold for every important subshell (see below). The B values in Eq. (3) then came out within 0.5 or less of the exponent l+ 3.5 of the asymptotic df/dE behavior,⁴ where l is the orbital-angular-momentum quantum number of the initial state. The region $E > E_c$ now contributes only a few percent to S(1) or L(1). Furthermore,

TABLE I. Values of S(1), L(1), and I_1 for all neutral atoms through Ar. The format A(B) means $A \times 10^B$. Values for atomic hydrogen (Z = 1) are taken from Ref. 3. Some of the last digits appear as subscripts when their significance is uncertain.

Z	S (1)	L(1)	<i>I</i> 1 (eV)	
1	1.333	7.612 (-1)	2.408 (1)	
2	7.71	1.37 (1)	8.01 (1)	
3	2.10 (1)	5.51 (1)	1.88 (2)	
4	4.06 (1)	1.28 (2)	3.18 (2)	
5	6.70 (1)	2.39 (2)	4.82 (2)	
6	1.00 (2)	3.84 (2)	6.33 (2)	
7	1.40 (2)	5.76 (2)	8.33 (2)	
8	1.89 (2)	8.14 (2)	1.01 (3)	
9	2.44 (2)	1.09 (3)	1.19 (3)	
10	3.09 (2)	1.43 (3)	1.39 (3)	
11	3.83 (2)	1.83 (3)	1.62 (3)	
12	4.67 (2)	2.30 (3)	1.87 (3)	
13	5.60 (2)	2.83 (3)	2.13 (3)	
14	6.61 (2)	3.43 (3)	2.44 (3)	
15	7.73 (2)	4.09 (3)	2.73 (3)	
16	8.94 (2)	4.83 (3)	3.0 ₃ (3)	
17	1.02 ₅ (3)	5.64 (3)	3.3 ₅ (3)	
18	1.16 (3)	6.53 (3)	3.6 ₆ (3)	

17

1229

© 1978 The American Physical Society



FIG. 1. Contributions to S(1) and L(1) from different subshells, expressed in percentage, for all neutral atoms through Ar. The upper half refers to S(1) and the lower half to L(1). Note that the zero of the vertical axis is suppressed in each case. The portion below the lowest curve represents the contributions from the $1s \rightarrow p$ transitions. The next portion, between the lowest two curves, represents the contributions from $2s \rightarrow p$ transitions. The third portion represents the contributions from the 2p - dtransitions. The uppermost portion represents contributions from the other transitions.

we have examined the trends of shellwise contributions $S_{nl}(1)$ and $L_{nl}(1)$ as E_c is successively increased. We can estimate a limiting value of $S_{nl}(1)$ or $L_{nl}(1)$ by analyzing the results as a function of the variable l + 3.5 - B or I_{nl}/E_c in the neighborhood of zero, where I_{n1} is the ionization threshold for the *nl* subshell. [More specifically, when one plots the shellwise contribution against the variable $\xi = (l$ $+3.5-B)^2/(2-B)^2$, one finds a behavior very close to a straight line, which permits a reliable extrapolation to $\xi = 0$. The denominator $(2 - B)^2$ qualitatively accounts for the divergence that should occur at B = 2 for any l, according to Eq. (3). The use of squares in the definition of ξ is based on experience and not on rigorous mathematics.] In this way, we conclude that the values in Table I should be accurate to about 1% or better.

The magnitudes of shellwise contributions should be noted here. As to S(1) of Ne, for instance, the 1s-p transitions contribute 74%, the 2s-p transitions 9%, the 2p-d transitions 16%, and the 2p-stransitions 1%. For L(1) of Ne, the 1s-p tran-

sitions account for 83%, and the other transitions correspondingly less. The overall trends of the shellwise contributions are shown in Fig. 1. The overwhelming importance of the 1s-p transitions is clearly attributable to their high threshold energies. The major contributions from the 2p-d transitions occur because of their large oscillator strength in the moderately high continuum and of up to six electrons in the 2p subshell. (Indeed, the 2p-d contributions increase gradually as one goes from B to C, N and so on up to Ne.) Our findings on the shellwise contributions are in general accord with the Hartree-Fock results on rare gases by Bell, Bish, and Gill.⁵ They also should provide a guide for reliable estimates of S(1) and L(1) for molecules as well, because dominant contributions come from innermost shells, which are little affected by the molecular binding.

Because the dominant contributions arise from the K shell, which is insensitive to outer-shell filling, S(1) and L(1) increase monotonically with the atomic number Z as the df/dE shifts to higher excitation energies. In particular, S(1) behaves as $Z^{7/3}$, as already noted in Ref. 1. Cummings⁶ also presented a similar but more precise Z dependence (i.e., $Z^{2,24}$) of S(1) values computed from the Hartree-Fock model. The Z dependence of L(1) is approximately proportional to $Z^{2,7}$. The I_1 value consequently is roughly proportional to $Z^{1,8}$.

The revised values of S(1) and L(1) for Ne and Ar show improved agreement with literature values (cf. Table VI of Ref. 1). Further comparison has been made with other sources⁵⁻⁸: Our values for Ne and Ar are within one percent of the results of Bell, Bish, and Gill.⁵ Also, our values for O and N agree just as well with the results of Zeiss. Meath, McDonald, and Dawson.⁷ Furthermore, the close agreement of our S(1) value with accurate configuration-mixing calculations⁸ for Ne is especially rewarding. Although our method of calculation is based on the single-electron model, our results for S(1) and L(1) for other atoms are likely to be quite reliable because these quantities are dominated by K-shell properties which are little influenced by electron-correlation effects.

Finally, we may add that the study of $S(\mu)$ and $L(\mu)$ for $-6 \le \mu \le 1$ has been extended to all neutral atoms with $19 \le Z \le 38$. Results of this work are now being prepared for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

- *Permanent address: Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 60637.
- ¹J. L. Dehmer, M. Inokuti, and R. P. Saxon, Phys. Rev. A 12, 102 (1975).
- ²U. Fano, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. <u>13</u>, 1 (1963).
- ³M. Inokuti, Argonne National Laboratory
- Radiological Physics Division Summary Report No. ANL-6769 (1963), p. 7 (unpublished).
- ⁴See, e.g., Eq. (4.11) of U. Fano and J. W. Cooper, Red. Mod. Phys. 40, 441 (1968).
- ⁵R. J. Bell, D. R. B. Bish, and P. E. Gill, J. Phys. B 5, 476 (1972).
- ⁶F. E. Cummings, J. Chem. Phys. <u>63</u>, 4960 (1975).
- ⁷G. D. Zeiss, W. J. Meath, J. C. F. MacDonald, and
- D. J. Dawson, Radiat. Res. <u>70</u>, 284 (1977). ⁸R. P. Saxon, Phys. Rev. A <u>8</u>, 839 (1973).