## Close-coupling calculations of electron scattering by atomic fluorine

W. Derek Robb

Theoretical Division (Group T-4), Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

## Ronald J. W. Henry

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803 (Received 13 July 1977)

The close-coupling (CC) calculations reported by Ormonde for  $e^- + F$  scattering have been repeated using independent CC computer programs. No evidence of very narrow, low-energy shape resonances is observed in the  ${}^{1}P^{o}$  and  ${}^{1}D^{o} d$  waves.

Ormonde<sup>1</sup> has reported very low energy, and very narrow shape resonances in  $e^- + F$  scattering. These were observed for total  $\pi LS = {}^{1}P^{o}$  and  ${}^{1}D^{o}$ , at energies between  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  Ry, even in the static-exchange approximation.<sup>2</sup> We have repeated these calculations using the RMATRX<sup>3</sup> and NIEM<sup>4</sup> close-coupling codes. We have used the F<sup>-</sup> orbitals of Bagus<sup>5</sup> for the  $1s^{2}2s^{2}2p^{5}2P^{0}$  and  $1s^{2}2s \ 2p^{6}{}^{2}S$  states of F, since these were used by Ormonde.<sup>2</sup> Our elastic cross sections for the energy range  $k^{2} = 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  Ry in both static-exchange and two-state approximations are presented in Table I.

We had great difficulty in using RMATRX with the asymptotic package ASYM of Norcross<sup>6</sup> at these low energies. Both linear dependence, and the large radial distance at which the Burke-Schey<sup>7</sup> expansion was applicable, gave problems. However, the comparison with the NIEM results, which do not involve a Burke-Schey expansion, indicate that the additional features in ASYM which are specifically designed to handle such low energies problems, provide correct results. Ormonde has used a straight Burke-Schey expansion.<sup>2</sup>

We therefore have no explanation for the features observed by Ormonde, and we support the conclusions of the previous paper.<sup>8</sup> We have observed that the  ${}^{1}D^{o}$  *d*-wave phase shift is adequately given by the Born approximation result, since penetration inside 11 a.u. is negligible, and outside 11 a.u. the potential has its asymptotic form. Further, we are confident that shape resonances could not be induced by inclusion of the states  $1s^{2}2s2p^{4}$  3l into our CC expansion. The thresholds for these states, using the 3s, 3p, 3d orbitals of Hibbert *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> lie at least 0.8 Ry above the ground state and therefore could not provide *R*-matrix poles (needed for shape resonances) in the near threshold region.

We would like to thank Stephan Ormonde for helpful conversations and suggestions. This work was supported by the Energy Research and Development Administration and the U. S. Office of Naval Research.

k <sup>2</sup> (Ry)	<sup>1</sup> <i>P</i> <sup>o</sup>			<sup>1</sup> D <sup>0</sup>	
	RMATRX. Static exchange	2-state	NIEM 2-state	RMATRX Static exchange	NIEM Static exchange
0.0002	•••	0.578	0.576	•••	$8.88 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0004	•••	0.583	0.578	• • •	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0006	•••	0.586	0.580	$9.04 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0008		0.589	0.582	$9.03 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0010	0.668	0.592	0.583	$9.02 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0012	0.672	0.594	0.585	$9.01 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0014	0.676	0.596	0.586	$9.01 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0016	0.679	0.599	0.587	$9.01 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0018	0.681	0.600	0.588	$9.01 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$
0.0020	0.682	0.602	0.590	$9.00 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.89 \times 10^{-3}$

TABLE I. Elastic cross sections (in units of  $\pi a_0^2$ ) for  $e^-$  + F scattering.

16 2491

- <sup>1</sup>S. Ormonde, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>38</u>, 690 (1977).
- $^{2}$ S. Ormonde, private communication.
- <sup>3</sup>K. A. Berrington, P. G. Burke, J. J. Chang, A. T. Chivers, W. D. Robb, and K. T. Taylor, Comp. Phys. Commun. 8, 149 (1974).
- <sup>4</sup>E. R. Smith and R. J. W. Henry, Phys. Rev. A <u>7</u>, 1585 (1973).
- <sup>5</sup>P. S. Bagus, Phys. Rev. <u>139</u>, A619 (1962).

- <sup>6</sup>D. W. Norcross, Comp. Phys. Commun. <u>1</u>, 88 (1969).
- <sup>7</sup>P. G. Burke and H. M. Schey, Phys. Rev. <u>126</u>, 147 (1962).
- <sup>8</sup>T. N. Rescigno, A. U. Hazi, and N. Winter, preceding paper, Phys. Rev. A <u>16</u>, 2488 (1977).
  <sup>9</sup>A. Hibbert, M. LeDourneuf, and Vo Ky Lan, J. Phys.
- <sup>o</sup>A. Hibbert, M. LeDourneuf, and Vo Ky Lan, J. Phys. B <u>10</u>, 1015 (1977).