Nonrelativistic energy of the ground state of Li⁻ and the electron affinity of lithium*

James S. Sims, Stanley A. Hagstrom, and David Munch[†]

Chemistry Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana

Carlos F. Bunge

Instituto de Fisica e Quimica de Sao Carlos, 13560 Sao Carlos, SP, Brasil (Received 9 October 1975)

The energy results of an extensive configuration-interaction calculation of the ground state of Li⁻ are reported. A quantitative assessment of all sources of truncation errors leads to a nonrelativistic energy estimate of -7.5004 ± 0.0002 a.u. An r_{ij} calculation on the ground state of Li⁻ yields a rigorous upper bound to the nonrelativistic energy of -7.500186 a.u., in excellent agreement with the estimate from the configuration-interaction calculation. Consideration of relativistic contributions to the electron affinity of Li leads to an estimate of the electron affinity of Li of 0.609 ± 0.007 eV.

I. INTRODUCTION

Previously one of us (C.F.B.) performed a configuration-interaction (CI) calculation of the ground state of Be,¹ obtaining an energy 0.07 eV above the "experimental" value. By means of suitable extrapolation techniques it was possible to reduce this margin of error to 0.01 eV.¹ A subsequent r_{ii} calculation,² capable of obtaining an energy good to within 0.01 eV, confirmed the validity of the extrapolation techniques for Be. We have now carried out a still more accurate CI calculation of Li⁻. Because of the peculiarities of the Li⁻ wave function, and also owing to the use of a larger basis set, we have obtained an estimated upper bound to the ground-state energy of Li⁻ lying 0.03 eV above our extrapolated value. We have also used the combined CI-Hy method² to do a 147-term calculation on the ground state of Li⁻ which yields a rigorous upper bound to the nonrelativistic energy of -7.500186 a.u.,³ in excellent agreement with the estimate from the CI calculation. Since both procedures are capable of giving results for the

energy good to better than 0.01 eV for four-electron systems, and in view of their excellent agreement, in these calculations we feel justified in ascribing an error of less than 0.01 eV to our value for the nonrelativistic energy of Li⁻. Consideration of relativistic corrections does not modify this error estimate, so that a value of A(Li) is computed *ab initio*, which is one order of magnitude more accurate than previous theoretical values and in excellent agreement with the latest experimental results.⁴

II. NONRELATIVISTIC ENERGY OF Li

A. Configuration-interaction calculations

The one-electron basis set consists of 9s7p4d3fenergy optimized Slater-type orbitals (STO's) which has been transformed into approximate natural orbitals to ensure a rapid convergence of the CI series. The details of the calculation shall be reported elsewhere,⁵ and an outline of the method is given in Ref. 1. The final 234-term wave function gives an energy of E = -7.499378 a.u., which

TABLE I.	Nonrelativistic	energy of Li	īn a.u.	(Li) by CI.

Present work		-7.49938
L-shell spdf STO truncation	-0.00003	
9s7p4d3f full CI truncation	-0.00003	
Overall K-shell error ^a	-0.00086	
g, k , and higher L -shell orbitals	-0.00007	
Total truncation error	-0.00099	
Nonrelativistic energy Li-		-7.50040 ± 0.0002
Nonrelativistic energy Li ^b		-7.47807
Nonrelativistic A(Li)		0.02233

^a Taken as the energy error in a Li⁺ wave function expressed in our 9s7p4d3f basis; see Ref. 1.

^bS. Larsson, Phys. Rev. <u>169</u>, 49 (1968).

is 0.10 and 0.13 eV lower than the ones obtained by Weiss,⁶ and by Miller and Ruedenberg,⁷ respectively. The truncation errors in the CI expansion are grouped into: (i) truncation of the *spdf* STO basis (saturation remainders), (ii) truncation of the full CI expansion expressed in our 9s7p4d3fbasis, and (iii) the energy effect of *g*, *h*, and higher harmonics. Reliable procedures to estimate these truncation errors have been advanced.^{1,8,9} In Table I we describe the steps in the computation of the nonrelativistic energy of the ground state of Li⁻.

B. Combined CI-Hy calculation

The combined CI-Hy wave function for Li⁻ was specifically of the form

$$\Psi_{\rm Li^-}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = \sum_{\kappa} C_{\kappa} \Phi_{\kappa}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4), \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\Phi_{\kappa} = O(L^2) O_{\rm as} \left(\chi r_{ij}^{\nu_{\kappa}} \prod_{s=1}^{4} \varphi_{\kappa s}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}_s) \right) \,. \tag{2}$$

In Eq. (2), $O(L^2)$ is an idempotent orbital-angularmomentum projection operator¹⁰ and O_{as} is the projection operator which guarantees the antisymmetry of the wave function

$$O_{\rm as} = (4!)^{-1} \sum_{p} (-1)^{p} P$$
,

where the summation runs over all the 4! permutations P, with p being the parity of the corresponding permutation P. Also, χ is the spin function $\chi = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)(\alpha_3\beta_4 - \beta_3\alpha_4)$. In Eq. (2), $\varphi_{\kappa s}(r_s)$ refers to the sth basis orbital in the *K*th configuration. The orbital basis consists of STO's of the general form

$$\varphi(r) = \left\{ (2\xi)^{n+1/2} / [(2n)!]^{1/2} \right\} r^{n-1} e^{-\zeta r} Y_{l,m}, \qquad (3)$$

where the set $\{Y_{i,m}\}$ consists of normalized spherical harmonics in the Dirac phase convention.¹¹ Specifically, the basis set used was 1s-4s, 1s'-8s', 2p''-4p'', where the orbital exponents, $\xi_s = 2.55$, $\xi_{s'} = 0.42$, and $\xi p'' = 0.48$, were taken from Weiss's calculation.⁶ From this orbital basis and Hylleraas correlation factors r_{ij}^{ν} , $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ we formed a 147term wave function for the ground state of Li⁻ by forming almost all conceivable combinations of basis functions and retaining the energetically important terms. The final result was a 147-term Li⁻ wave function with energy of -7.500186 a.u., in excellent agreement with the configuration-interaction estimate of -7.5004 ± 0.0002 a.u.

III. ELECTRON AFFINITY

The existence of a stable Li⁻ ion was observed in a plasma study by Ya'akobi,¹² and an estimate of 0.6 eV was given for the lithium electron affinity. In the next experimental study,¹³ the electron affinity of lithium was reported to be bound by the following values: 0.65 < A(Li) < 1.05 eV. The same authors concluded that A(Li) was probably greater than 0.75 eV, in good agreement with Edlen's empirical estimate of 0.82 eV.¹⁴ The most recent experimental studies now favor the original Ya'akobi estimate, and give an electron affinity of $0.62 \pm 0.007 \text{ eV}$.⁴

There have been, on the other hand, a large number of theoretical estimates made of this quantity.^{15,16} The values obtained have fallen between 0.4 and 1.0 eV. In probably the best previous theoretical calculation, Weiss¹⁷ obtained 0.62 eV with an estimated uncertainty of 0.10 eV for A(Li). Weiss obtained his binding energy of the additional electron in Li- by attempting to calculate the correlation-energy correction directly.¹⁸ Our calculations are in the spirit of the Weiss¹⁷ and other recent calculations,¹⁹ and we have attempted to reduce the uncertainty in the theoretical estimates by doing large scale CI and CI-Hy calculations as described in Sec. II. With the estimates of the nonrelativistic energy of Li^- as given in Sec. II, a consideration of relativistic effects leads us to a final estimate of A(Li) which has an order of magnitude less uncertainty than that of Weiss.¹⁷

A. Consideration of relativistic effects

Clementi²⁰ gives the K-shell part of the correlation energy of lithium as 0.0005 a.u. Since the lithium correlation energy is given by Veillard and Clementi²¹ as 0.00055 a.u., this leaves ~0.00005 a.u. as the relativistic correction for a 2s electron. Since the major part of the relativistic energy of Li and presumably Li⁻ is made up of contributions of K-shell electrons which should cancel when computing electron affinities, we take 0.00005 a.u. ≈ 0.0014 eV as the relativistic contribution to A(Li) and ascribe an uncertainty of ± 0.0014 eV to this estimate. Note that the relativistic correction to the electron affinity is small and does not significantly affect our estimates.

B. Final estimates

Adding the relativistic correction to the CI estimate of Table I gives a final CI estimate of A(Li)to be 0.02238 a.u. = 0.609 eV, and the nonrelativistic and relativistic energy error estimates combine to 0.00025 a.u. \cong 0.007 eV. The combined CI-Hy result for the nonrelativistic energy of Li⁻, 7.500 186 a.u., combined with a similar CI-Hy calculation for the ground state of lithium²² (E= -7.478 023 a.u.), gives a nonrelativistic A(Li)= 0.02216 a.u. Adding the relativistic correction gives a final CI-Hy estimate for A(Li) of 0.2221 a.u. = 0.604 eV, in excellent agreement with the CI estimate. Since the Li⁻ energy computed by the CI-Hy procedure is an upper bound to the nonrelativistic energy of Li⁻, further lowering the Li⁻ nonrelativistic energy will bring the CI-Hy estimate closer to the CI estimate; so we give as our final estimate for the electron affinity of lithium:

 $A(Li) = 0.609 \pm 0.007 \text{ eV}$

in excellent agreement with the latest experimen-

tal result of $A(Li) = 0.620 \pm 0.007 \text{ eV.}^4$

IV. CONCLUSION

Theoretical CI extrapolation techniques and improved *ab initio* CI-Hy techniques have been combined to give a theoretical estimate of the electron affinity of lithium which has a probable accuracy an order of magnitude better than the best previous theoretical estimates of unmeasured electron affinities and in excellent agreement with recent improved experimental results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

One of us (J.S.S.) would like to thank Dr. Fukashi Sasaki for helpful comments on this work.

- *Work supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation.
- Present address: Chemistry Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash. 98105.
- ¹C. F. Bunge, Phys. Rev. <u>168</u>, 92 (1968).
- ²J. S. Sims and S. A. Hagstrom, Phys. Rev. A <u>4</u>, 908 (1971).
- ³In Hartree atomic units, the unit of energy is chosen as $m_e e^4/\bar{n}^2 \equiv 1$ a.u. (of energy) $\equiv 1$ hertree, with m_e being the mass of the electron.
- ⁴T. A. Patterson, H. Hotop, A. Kasdon, D. W. Norcross, and W. C. Lineberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 189 (1974);
 A. Kasden and W. C. Lineberger, Phys. Rev. A <u>10</u>, 1688 (1974).
- ⁵D. Munch (unpublished).
- ⁶A. W. Weiss, Phys. Rev. <u>122</u>, 1826 (1961).
- ⁷K. J. Miller and K. Ruedenberg, J. Chem. Phys. <u>48</u>, 3450 (1968).
- ⁸A. Bunge, Ph.D. thesis (University of Florida, 1968) (unpublished).
- ⁹A. Bunge and C. F. Bunge, Phys. Rev. A 1, 1599 (1970).
- ¹⁰P. O. Löwdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, **966** (1964).
- ¹¹E. U. Condon and G. H. Shortley, in The Theory of
- Atomic Spectra (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, England, 1963).

- ¹²B. Ya'akobi, Phys. Lett. <u>23</u>, 655 (1966).
- ¹³M. D. Scheer and J. Fine, J. Chem. Phys. <u>50</u>, 4343 (1969).
- ¹⁴B. Edlen, J. Chem. Phys. <u>33</u>, 98 (1960).
- ¹⁵See references 2-14 of Ref. 13.
- ¹⁶D. W. Norcross, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 192 (1974).
- ¹⁷A. W. Weiss, Phys. Rev. <u>166</u>, 70 (1968).
- ¹⁸The Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation, which assumes that each electron in an atomic system interacts with an *average field* produced by the remaining electrons and the nucleus, leads to an A (Li) of -0.123 eV; i.e., it predicts Li⁻ to be unstable. Therefore, in order to account for the stability of the negative ion, it is necessary to correct the HF energies with terms which describe the detailed way in which electrons mutually correlate their motions.
- ¹⁹A. Kancerevicius, in Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Atomic Physics, Abstracts of Contributed Papers, Heidelberg, 1974, edited by
- J. Kowalski and H. G. Weber (Heidelberg U.P., 1974).
- ²⁰E. Clementi, IBM J. Res. Dev. <u>9</u>, 2 (1965).
- ²¹A. Veillard and E. Clementi, J. Chem. Phys. <u>49</u>, 2415 (1968).
- ²²J. S. Sims and S. A. Hagstrom, Phys. Rev. A <u>11</u>, 418 (1975).