

Accurate and efficient methods for the evaluation of vacuum-polarization potentials of order $Z\alpha$ and $Z\alpha^2$ *

L. Wayne Fullerton and G. A. Rinker, Jr.

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544

(Received 5 November 1975)

Rational approximations are presented for the second-order (Uehling) and fourth-order (Källén-Sabry) vacuum-polarization potentials in configuration space. These approximations may be applied to point-charge or finite-inducing-charge distributions. For the second-order potential, two approximations are given, with nominal accuracies of nine and four figures for the range $0 \leq r \leq \infty$. For the fourth-order potential, one approximation of about three-figure accuracy is given for the range $0 \leq r \leq \lambda_e$.

Although the solution for the lowest-order electron-positron vacuum-polarization potential (second-order correction to the photon propagator) around a point charge was reduced to quadrature¹ in 1935, no entirely satisfactory means of evaluating this result in routine problems has yet been given. This potential is needed for several applications, including the calculation of exotic (and electronic) atom energy levels, and the calculation of some charged-particle scattering cross sections. The best methods of evaluation available to date are two expansions about $r=0$ (r is the distance from the point charge). One is a series due to McKinley.² The other is a different expansion³ based on the so-called Glauber technique,⁴ a technique which is apparently due to Rarita and Sommerfield⁵ and which involves exponential integrals. Both of these methods fail at large r , and they suffer from unnecessary computational effort for the level of accuracy that they yield. In the present note we report two rational approximations to this function. These approximations are valid over the entire range $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, and they represent considerably improved levels of accuracy and/or efficiency. In addition, we report a somewhat less accurate polynomial fit to the fourth-order vacuum-polarization function.

The second-order vacuum-polarization potential around a static point charge $Z\alpha$, acting on a particle of charge $-e$, is

$$V_2(r) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{Z\alpha e^2}{\pi r} K_1\left(\frac{2r}{\lambda_e}\right), \quad (1)$$

where

$$K_1(x) = \int_1^\infty dt e^{-xt} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{2t^4} \right) (t^2 - 1)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

and where $e^2 \approx 1.44$ MeVfm is the square of the electron charge and $\lambda_e \approx 386.159$ fm is the reduced electron Compton wavelength. For a charge distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ of finite extent, the corresponding potential is

$$V_2(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha e^2}{\pi} \int d^3r' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} K_1\left(\frac{2}{\lambda_e} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|\right), \quad (3)$$

where $\int d^3r' \rho(\mathbf{r}') = Z$. If $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is spherically symmetric, the angular integrations may be performed to obtain

$$V_2(r) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha e^2 \lambda_e}{r} \int_0^\infty dr' r' \rho(r') \left[K_0\left(\frac{2}{\lambda_e} |r - r'|\right) - K_0\left(\frac{2}{\lambda_e} |r + r'|\right) \right], \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(x) &= - \int^x dx K_1(x) \\ &= \int_1^\infty dt e^{-xt} \left(\frac{1}{t^3} + \frac{1}{2t^5} \right) (t^2 - 1)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If the charge distribution $\rho(r')$ is confined to some finite radius, then for relatively large values of r we may expand $K_0(r \pm r')$ in a Taylor series about r , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(r) \approx & -\frac{2}{3} \frac{Z\alpha e^2}{\pi r} \left[K_1\left(\frac{2r}{\lambda_e}\right) + \frac{1}{6} \left\langle \left(\frac{2r'}{\lambda_e}\right)^2 \right\rangle K_3\left(\frac{2r}{\lambda_e}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{120} \left\langle \left(\frac{2r'}{\lambda_e}\right)^4 \right\rangle K_5\left(\frac{2r}{\lambda_e}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{2r'}{\lambda_e}\right)^n \right\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int d^3r' \rho(\mathbf{r}') \left(\frac{2r'}{\lambda_e}\right)^n \quad (7)$$

and where

$$K_n(x) = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{dx^n} K_0(x). \quad (8)$$

With this definition, all K_n are positive for all x . The fourth-order vacuum-polarization potential is⁵

$$V_4(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{e^2 \alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int d^3 r' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} L_1\left(\frac{2}{\chi_e} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|\right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x) = \int_1^\infty dt e^{-xt} & \left[\left(-\frac{13}{54t^2} - \frac{7}{108t^4} - \frac{2}{9t^6} \right) (t^2 - 1)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{44}{9t} - \frac{2}{3t^3} - \frac{5}{4t^5} - \frac{2}{9t^7} \right) \ln[t + (t^2 - 1)^{1/2}] \right. \\ & + \left(-\frac{4}{3t^2} - \frac{2}{3t^4} \right) (t^2 - 1)^{1/2} \ln[8t(t^2 - 1)] \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{8}{3t} - \frac{2}{3t^3} \right) \int_t^\infty dy \left(\frac{3y^2 - 1}{y(y^2 - 1)} \ln[y + (y^2 - 1)^{1/2}] - \frac{1}{(y^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \ln[8y(y^2 - 1)] \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If $L_0(x) = -\int^x dx L_1(x)$, then for spherically symmetric $\rho(r)$,

$$V_4(r) = -\frac{e^2 \alpha^2}{\pi} \frac{\chi_e}{r} \int_0^\infty dr' r' \rho(r') \left[L_0\left(\frac{2}{\chi_e} |r - r'|\right) - L_0\left(\frac{2}{\chi_e} |r + r'|\right) \right]. \quad (11)$$

For large r , we recover

$$V_4(r) \approx -\frac{Ze^2 \alpha^2}{\pi^2 r} L_1\left(\frac{2r}{\chi_e}\right). \quad (12)$$

The approximations of K_n are best in the sense of Chebyshev; that is, the maximum error is minimized with respect to an appropriate weight. The approximations were calculated by using the Remes iteration.⁶ The accurate values needed to produce the approximations were obtained from high-order ascending series and asymptotic expansions. If we let $y = xt$ in the expression for $K_n(x)$, we find

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{2x^{n-1}} \int_x^\infty dy \frac{(2y^2 + x^2)(y^2 - x^2)^{1/2} y^n e^{-y}}{y^5}. \quad (13)$$

The ascending series is derived by substituting the Taylor-series expansion for e^{-y} and integrating only to a finite upper limit U . The integral is therefore reduced to a sum of elementary forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^U dy y^m (y^2 - x^2)^{1/2} \\ = (U^2 - x^2)^{3/2} \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{(m-1)!!(m-2i)!!}{(m-2i-1)!!(m+2)!!} \\ \times U^{m-2i-1} x^{2i} + T, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $m \geq 0$, $N = [m/2]$, the greatest integer $\leq m/2$, and where $T=0$ for m odd and

$$\begin{aligned} T = \frac{(m-1)!! x^{2N+2}}{(m+2)!!} & \left[\frac{(U^2 - x^2)^{1/2}}{U} \right. \\ & \left. - \ln\left(\frac{U + (U^2 - x^2)^{1/2}}{x}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for m even. Similar expressions for negative m can be derived. This ascending series is extremely unstable against round-off error. For $U \approx 56$ and $x \approx 35$, a 100-term series evaluated with 57-decimal-digit arithmetic yields K_0 accurate to six significant figures.

The asymptotic series may be derived by substituting $t = 1 + \epsilon$ in the expression for $K_n(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty d\epsilon (3 + 4\epsilon + 2\epsilon^2) \\ \times \epsilon^{1/2} (1 + \epsilon/2)^{1/2} (1 + \epsilon)^{n-5} e^{-x\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Binomial expansions reduce the integral to the elementary form

$$K_n(x) \sim \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \sum_{i=0}^L \beta_{n,i} \epsilon^{i+1/2} e^{-x\epsilon} \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{3/2}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^L \frac{\beta_{n,i} (2i+1)!!}{2^{i+1} x^i}. \quad (17)$$

The coefficients $\beta_{n,i}$ were determined with an algebraic computer language ALTRAN.⁷ For K_0 ,

TABLE I. Coefficients of nine-figure approximations to the second-order functions for $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

		Function			
	i	K_0	K_1	K_3	K_5
a_i	0	0.883 572 933 75	-0.717 401 817 54	0.999 999 999 87	6.000 000 000 2
	1	-0.282 598 173 81	1.178 097 227 4	0.000 000 019 770 2	-0.000 000 064 305 2
	2	-0.589 048 795 78	-0.374 999 630 87	-0.750 000 501 90	0.000 002 104 941 3
	3	0.125 001 334 34	0.130 896 755 30	0.785 403 063 16	-0.000 026 711 271 5
	4	-0.032 729 913 852	-0.038 258 286 439	-0.349 886 016 55	-0.137 052 361 52
	5	0.008 288 857 451 1	-0.000 024 297 287 3	0.000 064 596 333 0	-0.000 634 761 040 9
	6	-0.000 010 327 765 8	-0.000 359 201 486 7	-0.009 818 908 074 7	-0.078 739 801 501
	7	0.000 063 643 668 9	-0.000 017 170 090 7	0.000 086 513 145 8	-0.001 964 174 017 3
	8			-0.000 239 692 366 2	-0.003 475 236 934 9
	9				-0.000 731 453 162 2
b_i	0	-319.999 594 323	-64.051 484 329 3	5190.101 364 6	318.150 793 82 4
	1	2.539 009 959 81	0.711 722 714 28 5	82.849 549 620	43.389 886 734 7
	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
c_i	0	-319.999 594 333	64.051 484 328 7	27 680.540 606	848.402 116 83 7
	1	2.539 010 206 62	-0.711 722 686 40 3	-327.039 477 79	-25.693 986 776 5
	2		0.000 804 220 774 8		0.320 844 906 34 6

TABLE II. Coefficients of four-figure approximations to the second-order functions for $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

		Function			
	i	K_0	K_1	K_3	K_5
a_i	0	0.883 67	-0.717 404 2	0.999 93	5.999 4
	1	-0.286 08	1.178 207	0.003 202 7	0.026 197
	2	-0.568 54	-0.375 801 2	-0.772 54	-0.189 39
	3	0.083 589	0.132 859 2	0.838 76	0.455 86
	4		-0.039 924 4	-0.393 69	-0.514 16
b_i	0	1.000 3	-63.999	0.187 49	8.226 53
	1	-0.002 545 2	0.709 536	0.005 306 8	1.0
	2		1.0		
c_i	0	1.0	63.999	1.0	21.937 5
	1		-0.709 539		-0.991 862

TABLE III. Coefficients of nine-figure approximations to the second-order functions for $1 \leq x \leq \infty$.

		Function			
	i	K_0	K_1	K_3	K_5
d_i	0	5.018 065 179	217.238 640 9	8.540 770 44 4	0.592 430 158 6 5
	1	71.518 912 62	1643.364 528	60.762 427 66	2.059 631 287 1
	2	211.620 992 9	2122.244 512	97.146 305 84	3.778 519 042 4
	3	31.403 274 78	-45.120 040 44	31.549 735 93	3.561 485 321 4
	4	-1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
e_i	0	2.669 207 401	115.558 998 3	4.543 392 47 8	0.315 118 678 1 6
	1	51.725 496 69	1292.191 441	35.149 201 69	0.347 324 522 2 0
	2	296.980 972 0	3831.198 012	60.196 686 56	0.038 791 936 8 70
	3	536.432 416 4	2904.410 07 5	8.468 839 57 9	-0.001 305 974 14 97
	4	153.533 592 4			

TABLE IV. Coefficients of four-figure approximations to the second-order functions for $1 \leq x \leq \infty$.

i	K_0	Function		
		K_1	K_3	K_5
d_i	0	10.494	47.946	1.550 30
	1	-1.0	1.0	6.088 30
	2		1.0	1.0
e_i	0	5.7308	27.797	0.806 556
	1	25.305	73.389	3.897 28

a 30-term asymptotic series is accurate to more than six significant figures for $x \approx 35$. For K_1 , K_3 , and K_5 the asymptotic series are accurate to six significant figures for smaller $x \approx 20$.

The approximations themselves are split into two intervals. The form of the approximations for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(x) &\approx P_k^{(0)}(x) + xR_{mn}^{(0)}(x^2) \ln x, \\
 K_1(x) &\approx P_k^{(1)}(x) + R_{mn}^{(1)}(x^2) \ln x, \\
 K_3(x) &\approx x^{-2}P_k^{(3)}(x) + x^2R_{mn}^{(3)}(x^2) \ln x, \\
 K_5(x) &\approx x^{-4}P_k^{(5)}(x) + R_{mn}^{(5)}(x^2) \ln x.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

TABLE V. Coefficients of three-figure approximations to the fourth-order functions for $0 \leq x \leq 2$.

i	Function	L_0	L_1
f_i	0	1.990 159	1.646 407
	1	-2.397 605	-2.092 942
	2	1.046 471	0.962 310
	3	-0.367 066	-0.254 960
	4	0.063 740	0.164 404
g_i	5	-0.037 058	
	0	0.751 198	0.137 691
	1	0.138 889	-0.416 667
h_i	2	0.020 886	-0.097 486
	0	-0.444 444	0.444 444
	1	-0.003 472	0.017 361

$P_k(z)$ denotes a polynomial in z of order k such that

$$P_k(z) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i z^i,$$

and $R_{mn}(z)$ denotes a rational polynomial such that

TABLE VI. Values of approximate second-order functions. For $x=1$, the first and second listed values are computed using the small- and large- x approximations, respectively.

x	K_0	K_1	K_3	K_5
Nine-figure approximations				
0.0	0.883 572 9338	∞	∞	∞
0.25	0.431 371 8736	0.941 790 4049	15.408 171 2707	1535.332 454 0159
0.50	0.262 344 7922	0.484 329 7280	3.521 904 9469	95.571 525 9677
0.75	0.169 089 7271	0.284 529 6070	1.386 096 4849	18.660 744 9367
1.00	0.112 539 5580	0.177 933 5790	0.675 609 0734	5.777 377 5414
1.0	0.112 539 5580	0.177 933 5785	0.675 609 0725	5.777 377 5414
2.0	0.026 012 7364	0.035 932 7228	0.084 134 5872	0.295 219 2271
4.0	0.001 942 7993	0.002 405 2217	0.004 027 2268	0.007 944 0791
8.0	0.000 017 2849	0.000 019 7200	0.000 026 5456	0.000 037 8183
16.0	$2.522 48 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.722 43 \times 10^{-9}$	$3.206 95 \times 10^{-9}$	$3.844 66 \times 10^{-9}$
Four-figure approximations				
0.0	0.883 67	∞	∞	∞
0.25	0.431 30	0.941 79	15.407 96	1535.277
0.50	0.262 49	0.484 33	3.521 82	95.5691
0.75	0.169 05	0.284 53	1.386 18	18.6619
1.00	0.112 64	0.177 94	0.675 66	5.777 91
1.0	0.112 54	0.177 95	0.675 61	5.777 42
2.0	0.026 012	0.035 944	0.084 131	0.295 18
4.0	0.001 9452	0.002 3913	0.004 0301	0.007 9858
8.0	0.000 017 284	0.000 019 277	0.000 026 666	0.000 038 646
16.0	2.508×10^{-9}	2.607×10^{-9}	3.240×10^{-9}	3.999×10^{-9}

$$R_{mn}(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m b_i z^i}{\sum_{i=0}^n c_i z^i}.$$

The coefficients a_i , b_i , and c_i of the approximations of K_n are given in Table I, and these approximations have an absolute error less than 10^{-9} .

The coefficients for four-figure approximations are given in Table II.

On the interval $1 \leq x \leq \infty$, all the approximations are of the form

$$K_n(x) \approx \frac{e^{-x}}{x^{3/2}} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m d_i x^{-i}}{\sum_{i=0}^n e_i x^{-i}}, \quad (19)$$

and Table III contains coefficients for approximations with a maximum absolute error of 10^{-9} and maximum relative error of 10^{-4} . Table IV contains coefficients of approximations with maximum absolute error of 10^{-4} and maximum relative error about 10.

The fourth-order vacuum-polarization integrals $L_0(x)$ and $L_1(x)$ [Eq. (10)] are somewhat more tedious to evaluate, and we have not applied the above methods in this case. Instead, we have used the series due to Blomqvist⁵ and the tabulated results of Vogel⁸ to produce the Chebyshev approximation

$$L_1(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^4 f_i^{(1)} x^i + \sum_{i=0}^2 g_i^{(1)} x^{2i} \ln x + \sum_{i=0}^1 h_i^{(1)} x^{4i} \ln^2 x, \quad (20)$$

where the coefficients f_i , g_i , and h_i are given in Table V. Here, the maximum absolute and relative errors over the range $0 < x \leq 2$ are less than 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} , respectively. Integration of this expression with respect to x gives

$$L_0(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^5 f_i^{(0)} x^i + x \sum_{i=0}^2 g_i^{(0)} x^{2i} \ln x + x \sum_{i=0}^1 h_i^{(0)} x^{4i} \ln^2 x, \quad (21)$$

TABLE VII. Values of the approximate fourth-order functions. For $x > 2$, order-of-magnitude estimates are given on the basis of Eq. (22).

x	Three-figure approximations	
	L_0	L_1
0.0	1.990 16	∞
0.25	0.973 72	1.8800
0.50	0.630 27	1.0140
0.75	0.429 10	0.636 38
1.00	0.298 64	0.425 22
1.50	0.148 05	0.207 11
2.00	0.072 65	0.106 58
4.0	4×10^{-3}	6×10^{-3}
8.0	2×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}
16.0	2×10^{-9}	3×10^{-9}

where the coefficients are also given in Table V. The constant of integration $f_0^{(0)}$ [which drops out in Eq. (11)] has been chosen through a simple assumption about the asymptotic form of $L_0(x)$. We assume that

$$L_0(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} (A + Bx^{-1/2}) \quad (22)$$

and choose A and B to fit $L_1(x)$ near $x = 2$. This provides the value of $f_0^{(0)}$ in Table V, along with $A = 6.720$ and $B = -6.647$. Clearly, this ansatz is only slightly better than setting the functions to zero for $x > 2$. We note finally that the formulas for L_0 and L_1 are somewhat unstable numerically; presumably a rational approximation would provide a more satisfying fit.

Tables VI and VII contain numerical values of each of these functions K_n and L_n for selected values of x , computed with each approximation.

We would like to thank R. Barrett for suggesting this problem.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

¹E. A. Uehling, Phys. Rev. **48**, 55 (1935).

²J. M. McKinley (unpublished). Quoted in R. Engfer, H. Schneuwly, J. L. Vuilleumier, H. K. Walter, and A. Zehnder, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **14**, 509 (1974).

³G. A. Rinker, Ph.D. thesis (University of California, Irvine, 1971) (unpublished); G. A. Rinker and L. Wilets, Phys. Rev. A **12**, 748 (1975).

⁴R. Glauber, W. Rarita, and P. Schwed, Phys. Rev. **120**, 609 (1960); R. J. McKee, Enrico Fermi Institute for

Nuclear Studies Report No. 68-39, 1968 (unpublished).

⁵G. Källén and A. Sabry, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. **29**, No. 17 (1955); J. Blomqvist, Nucl. Phys. B **48**, 95 (1972).

⁶For an elementary discussion of approximation techniques, see C. T. Fike, *Computer Evaluation of Functions* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1968).

⁷A. D. Hall, Commun. ACM (Assoc. Comput. Mach.) **14**, 517 (1971); W. S. Brown, *Altran Users' Manual*, 3rd ed. (Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, N.J., 1973).

⁸P. Vogel, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **14**, 599 (1974).