

$(1s)^2 3s^2 S$, $(1s)^2 3p^2 P$, and $(1s)^2 3d^2 D$ states of the lithium isoelectronic sequence*

J. S. Onello

Center for Astrophysics, Harvard College Observatory and Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory,
Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138

(Received 4 September 1974)

A $1/Z$ -perturbation-expansion method is used to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the $(1s)^2 3s^2 S$, $(1s)^2 3p^2 P$, and $(1s)^2 3d^2 D$ states of the lithium isoelectronic sequence. The eigenfunctions are used, together with those of the $2^2 S$ and $2^2 P$ states, to determine the dipole-length and dipole-velocity forms of the oscillator strengths for the $2p-3s$, $3s-3p$, $2s-3p$, $3p-3d$, and $2p-3d$ transitions. The results are compared with those obtained from Hartree-Fock calculations for individual values of the nuclear charge. The calculations include members of the lithium series for which accurate eigenenergies or oscillator strengths are not well known.

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of the continuing importance of the highly ionized members of the lithium isoelectronic sequence in solar and laboratory plasmas, this research utilizes the Z -expansion method¹ to provide accurate wave functions and eigenenergies for the $3^2 S$, $3^2 P$, and $3^2 D$ states of the series. Variational wave functions expressed in terms of configuration interaction allow greater accuracy in representing these states than in earlier work.² Since the calculations are performed as a function of nuclear charge, they are easily extended to include more members of the sequence with only a negligible addition of computer time. Oscillator strengths for the lithium sequence from CIV to SiXII are determined for transitions among the $l=3$ levels and with the $2^2 S$ and $2^2 P$ levels studied in a previous paper.³

II. THEORY

A complete theoretical discussion has been presented previously³ and only a brief resume will be given here. We seek a solution to the Schrödinger equation where the nonrelativistic and spin-independent Hamiltonian H , in appropriate units of $Z^{-1}a_0$ for length and Z^2 a.u. for energy, is given by

$$H = H_0 + (1/Z)V. \quad (1)$$

H_0 is the hydrogenic Hamiltonian and the electronic repulsion term V can be treated as a stationary perturbation.

Following Dalgarno and Drake¹ we introduce a finite basis set Φ_k , which, in our case, consists of three-electron determinantal functions representing the configurations k . One of these, Φ_0 , is the hydrogenic solution and zero-order solution

$\psi_n^{(0)}$ to the set of Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation equations obtained by expanding the wave function and energy according to

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Z^{-s} |\psi_n^{(s)}\rangle, \quad E_n = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Z^{-s} E_n^{(s)}. \quad (2)$$

The equivalent variational form for the set is

$$\delta J_n^{(s)} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where the functional $J_n^{(s)}$ is given by

$$J_n^{(s)} = \langle \psi_n^{(s)} | H_0 - E_n^{(0)} | \psi_n^{(s)} \rangle + 2 \langle \psi_n^{(s)} | V - E_n^{(1)} | \psi_n^{(s-1)} \rangle - 2 \sum_{r=2}^{2s-1} E_n^{(r)} \sum_{q=s-r}^r \langle \psi_n^{(q)} | \psi_n^{(2s-r-q)} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

We require that

$$\langle \Phi_k | H_0 | \Phi_{k'} \rangle = \epsilon_k \delta_{kk'}, \quad k, k' = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$\langle \Phi_k | \Phi_{k'} \rangle = \delta_{kk'}. \quad (5)$$

Then $\epsilon_0 = E_n^{(0)}$. Substituting the trial form

$$|\psi_n^{(s)}\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^{(s)} |\Phi_k\rangle \quad (6)$$

into Eqs. (3) and (4) leads to the following expressions for the s th-order perturbed wave function and energy:

$$\langle \psi_n^{(s)} | = \sum_{k \neq 0}^N \frac{\langle \psi_n^{(s-1)} | V | \Phi_k \rangle \langle \Phi_k |}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_k} - \sum_{k \neq 0}^N \sum_{p=1}^s \frac{E_n^{(p)} \langle \psi_n^{(s-p)} | \Phi_k \rangle \langle \Phi_k |}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_k}, \quad (7)$$

$$E_n^{(s)} = \langle \psi_n^{(s-1)} | V | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle - \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} E_n^{(p)} \langle \psi_n^{(s-p)} | \psi_n^{(0)} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

TABLE I. Configuration-interaction wave functions and screening parameters for the 3^3S , 3^3P , and 3^3D states of O VI ($Z = 8$).

$(1s)^2 3s^2 S$		$(1s)^2 3p^2 P$		$(1s)^2 3d^2 D$	
ξ	ξ	ξ	ξ	ξ	ξ
$\xi 1s_1 = 8.38$	$\xi 2s_7 = 4.01$	$\xi 1s_2 = 6.33$	$\xi 3p_4 = 2.98$	$\xi 1s_1 = 1.01$	$\xi 3s_5 = 2.00$
$\xi 1s_3 = 6.74$	$\xi 2s_8 = 5.01$	$\xi 1s_3 = 7.46$	$\xi 4p_1 = 1.90$	$\xi 1s_3 = 6.68$	$\xi 3d_3 = 2.04$
$\xi 1s_5 = 8.18$	$\xi 3s_5 = 2.40$	$\xi 2s_3 = 6.71$	$\xi 4p_4 = 2.20$	$\xi 1s_4 = 5.58$	$\xi 4d_3 = 2.14$
$\xi 1s_6 = 4.11$	$\xi 3s_8 = 4.54$	$\xi 2p_4 = 6.75$	$\xi 3p'''' = 1.86$	$\xi 2s_2 = 5.58$	$\xi 3d'' = 2.00$
$\xi 1s_7 = 7.12$	$\xi 4s_6 = 1.45$				
$\xi 2s_2 = 3.27$	$\xi 4s_7 = 1.35$				
$\xi s'' = 1.85$					
Configurations	Configurations	Configurations	Configurations	Configurations	Configurations
$(1s)^2 3s$ hydrogenic	$(5d)^2 3s''''$	$(1s)^2 3p$ hydrogenic	$(2s')^2 3p''''$	$(1s)^2 3d$ hydrogenic	$(2p) 3p) 3d''$
$(1s)^2 2s$ hydrogenic	$(1s)^2 4s_7$	$(1s)^2 2p$ hydrogenic	$(1s 3s') 3p''''$	$(1s)^2 3d''$	$(3p)^2 3d''$
$(1s)^2 2s''$	$(1s')^2 4s_7$	$(1s)^2 2p''$	$(2s 3s') 3p''''$	$(1s 2s) 3d''$	$(2p 2p') 3d''$
$(1s)^2 1s''$	$(1s 3s') 4s_7$	$(1s 2s) 2p''$	$(1s')^2 3p''''$	$(2s) 3d''$	$(1s)^2 4d_3$
$(1s 2s) 3s$	$(1s 2s) 4s_7$	$(1s 1s') 2p''$	$(3s')^2 3p''''$	$(1s 1s') 3d''$	$(1s 2s) 4d_3$
$(1s 1s 3s) 3s$	$(1s 2s') 4s_7$	$(1s 2s') 2p''$	$(2p)^2 3p''''$	$(2s 1s') 3d''$	$(1s 1s') 4d_3$
$(1s)^2 3s''$	$(1s_3 3s_8) 1s_6$	$(1s 3s') 2p''$	$(2p) 3p) 3p''''$	$(1s')^2 3d''$	$(1s 2s') 4d_3$
$(1s 2s) 3s''$	$(1s_5 1s_7) 1s_6$	$(2p)^2 2p''$	$(3p)^2 3p''''$	$(1s 2s') 3d''$	$(2p)^2 4d_3$
$(2s)^2 3s''$	$(2s_8 2s_7) 1s_6$	$(2p 3p) 2p''$	$(3p) 2p') 3p''''$	$(1s 2s') 3d''$	$(1s 3d_3) 3d 1s_3$
$(1s 1s') 3s''$	$(1s_5 3s_8) 3s 1s_6$	$(3p)^2 2p''$	$(3p 2p') 3p''''$	$(1s 2s') 3d''$	$(1s 3d_3) 3d 1s_3$
$(2s 1s') 3s''$	$(1s_5 1s_7) 3s 1s_6$	$(1s)^2 2p''$	$(3p)^2 3p''''$	$(1s 2s') 3d''$	$(1s 3d_3) 3d 1s_4$
$(1s')^2 3s''$	$(1s_5 4s_6) 3s 1s_6$	$(2s)^2 2p''$	$(3p)^2 3p''''$	$(1s 3s') 3d''$	$(1s 3d_3) 3d 1s_4$
$(2s 2s') 3s''$	$(2s_8 2s_7) 3s 1s_6$	$(1s 2s) 3p''''$	$(2s 3p) 3p''''$	$(1s 3s') 3d''$	$(2s_3 3d_3) 3d 1s_3$
$(1s')^2 3s''$	$(3p 2p') 3s 1s$	$(1s 1s') 3p''''$	$(3p 3p') 3p''''$	$(1s 3s') 3d''$	$(2s_3 3d_3) 3d 1s_4$
$(2s 2s') 3s''$	$(3p 2p') 3s''$	$(2s 1s') 3p''''$	$(3d) 2p) 3p''''$	$(2s')^2 3d''$	$(2s_3 3d_3) 3d 1s_4$
$(2s')^2 3s''$	$(3p 3p') 3s''$	$(1s 2s) 3s''$	$(3d 4d) 3p''''$	$(3s')^2 3d''$	$(2p)^2 3d_3$
$(1s 3s') 3s''$	$(3d)^2 3s''$	$(1s 3s') 3s''$	$(4d) 2p) 3p''''$	$(2p)^2 3d''$	
$(2s 3s') 3s''$	$(3d 4d) 3s''$	$(1s 2s) 3s''$	$(3d 5d) 3p''''$		
$(1s')^2 3s''$	$(4d)^2 3s''$	$(1s 3s') 3s''$	$(4d 5d) 3p''''$		
$(2s 3s') 3s''$	$(3d 5d) 3s''$	$(2s 2s') 3s''$			
$(1s 3s') 3s''$	$(4d 5d) 3s''$	$(1s 2s') 3p''''$			
$(2s 3s') 3s''$		$(1s 2s') 3p''''$			
		$(1s 2s') 3p''''$			

TABLE II. Eigenvalues for O VI ($Z=8$) in a.u.

	$-E(1s^2 3s^2 S)$	$-E(1s^2 3p^2 P)$	$-E(1s^2 3d^2 D)$
Weiss (HF)	61.268 11	61.148 67	61.112 10
This paper	{ variational	61.299 33	61.190 25
	{ Z -expansion	61.299 33	61.190 25

After diagonalizing H , these recurrence relations can easily and rapidly be evaluated. Provided the expansions converge, the results are identical to those given by diagonalizing the total Hamiltonian H in the Φ_n basis for each value of Z .

The oscillator strength for a dipole transition from an initial state ψ_n to a final state ψ'_n is given in the length formulation by

$$f_L = \frac{2}{3}(E'_n - E_n) \left| \langle \Psi_n | \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{r}_i | \psi'_n \rangle \right|^2, \quad (9)$$

and in the velocity formulation by

$$f_V = \frac{2}{3}(E'_n - E_n)^{-1} \left| \langle \psi_n | \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{\nabla}_i | \psi'_n \rangle \right|^2. \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eqs. (2) into expressions (9) and (10), we may generate expansions of oscillator strengths in powers of Z^{-1} . If the wave functions are exact solutions to Schrödinger's equation, the coefficients in the length and velocity forms are identical.

III. CALCULATIONS AND RESULTS

In the method of configuration interaction, the state function is represented as a linear combination of many electron wave functions representing various electron configurations. Each configuration consists of a sum of determinantal wave functions chosen to be eigenfunctions of \vec{S}^2 and \vec{L}^2 ; that is, they are coupled to give the desired spin and angular momentum symmetry. The determinantal wave functions are composed of single-electron

wave functions (Slater-type spin orbitals) which are normalized but not orthogonal.

The Z -expansion method converges more rapidly for the higher values of nuclear charge, and the 35-term function for O VI of Weiss^{2,4} was used as the K -shell starting point for all three states. Moreover, some configurations representing the 2²S and 2²P states were added to the 3²S states, respectively. Variational calculations with respect to the second root of the secular determinantal equation prevented energy collapse to either the 2²S or 2²P state. We attempted to improve the wave functions by selectively increasing the number of configurations. The second-order energy of a three-electron system may be given in terms of second-order energies of two-electron systems⁵:

$$E^{(2)}(1s^2 nl) = E^{(2)}(1s^2 {}^1S) + \frac{1}{2}E^{(2)}(1s nl {}^1L) + \frac{3}{2}E^{(2)}(1s nl {}^3L) + \zeta(nl), \quad (11)$$

where $\zeta(nl)$ is a sum of single-electron integrals. The form suggests the inclusion of configurations of ^{1,3}S-core nature for the 3²S, ^{1,3}P core for the 3²P, and ^{1,3}D-core symmetry for the 3²D states.

In all, 25 configurations involving nine optimized screening parameters (obtained in the standard variational minimization of the total energies) were added to make a 60-term 3²S. Similarly, 27 new configurations involving eight optimized screening parameters and 20 configurations with eight improved screening parameters were added to make the 60-term 3²P and 50-term 3²D states, respectively. The values for the screening param-

TABLE III. Energy-expansion coefficients $E_n^{(s)}$ (a.u.).

Order s	(1s) ² 3s ² S	(1s) ² 3p ² P	(1s) ² 3d ² D
0	-1.055 555 56(0)	-1.055 555 56(0)	-1.055 555 56(0)
1	8.182 067 86(-1)	8.381 195 11(-1)	8.467 987 06(-1)
2	-2.888 903 85(-1)	-3.350 270 59(-1)	-3.725 900 71(-1)
3	-2.710 299 45(-2)	-4.808 533 70(-2)	-6.240 405 29(-2)
4	1.376 946 79(-1)	4.854 924 33(-2)	5.626 590 59(-1)
5	2.514 446 71(-1)	3.509 586 80(-1)	-2.208 389 01(0)
6	8.042 915 88(-1)	7.435 292 04(-1)	-5.252 026 58(0)
7	2.941 795 34(0)	-6.239 883 42(0)	4.668 582 25(1)
8	-1.053 329 40(1)	-3.551 460 56(1)	1.287 532 07(2)
9	-7.835 011 83(1)	1.792 530 08(2)	-3.821 604 22(2)
10	-7.600 777 67(1)	-8.125 576 78(2)	-1.034 704 32(3)

TABLE VII. Zero- and first-order length expansion coefficients.

Transition	$f_L^{(0)}$	$f_L^{(1)}$
2s-3p		
Laughlin (Ref. 9)	0.4349	-1.2353
this work (1/Z)	0.4349	-1.2323
2p-3s		
Laughlin (Ref. 9)	0.0408	0.2388
this work (1/Z)	0.0408	0.2363
2p-3d		
Laughlin (Ref. 9)	0.6958	-0.3492
this work (1/Z)	0.6958	-0.3263
3s-3p		
Laughlin (Ref. 9)	0.0	2.1506
this work (1/Z)	0.0	2.1506
3p-3d		
Laughlin (Ref. 9)	0.0	0.3906
this work (1/Z)	0.0	0.3906

tenth order in Table III. Table IV lists the 1/Z-expansion eigenvalues for the lithium isoelectronic sequence from B III to Si XII.

The variational wave functions derived for OVI were used with the 2²S and 2²P wave functions developed previously² to calculate both the dipole-length and dipole-velocity forms of the oscillator strengths for the 2p-3s, 3s-3p, 2s-3p, 3p-3d, and

2p-3d transitions. Table V compares the oscillator-strength values obtained for OVI using variational wave functions with those determined by Weiss² employing Hartree-Fock wave functions. We have used experimental energy differences^{7,8} to compute ΔE so that the inaccuracies in the calculation arise solely from the transition integral.

The oscillator strength may also be expanded in powers of Z^{-1} . Table VI gives the length (f_L) and velocity (f_v) expansion coefficients through 12th order for the five transitions. For each transition, the correct value for the leading term in each series is the hydrogenic value. Table VII is a comparison between the zero- and first-order length expansion coefficients derived here with those determined by Laughlin *et al.*⁹ Table V displays the excellent agreement between the oscillator strengths obtained variationally for OVI with those derived from the 1/Z-expansion theory. Table VIII is a collection of the dipole-length and dipole-velocity oscillator strengths for CIV through Si XII. It is to be noted that the series expansions for the oscillator strengths exhibited poor convergence for the low values of Z .

Table VIII also shows that for high- Z values the length formulation is more accurate for predicting the values of the oscillator strength. Dalgarno and Lewis¹⁰ demonstrated that, in general,

TABLE VIII. Oscillator strengths for the lithium isoelectronic sequence.

Z	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2s-3p									
length (HF) ^a	0.2004	0.2354	0.2617	0.2819	0.2979				
velocity (HF) ^a	0.1923	0.2286	0.2558	0.2768	0.2934				
length (1/Z)	0.19	0.23	0.259(5)	0.2813	0.2981	0.3121	0.3234	0.3329	0.3399 ^b
velocity (1/Z)	0.19	0.23	0.262(0)	0.2834	0.3003	0.3135	0.3245	0.3336	0.3423 ^b
2p-3s									
length (HF) ^a	0.1127	0.0965	0.0861	0.0789	0.0736				
velocity (HF) ^a	0.1159	0.0993	0.0885	0.0810	0.0755				
length (1/Z)	0.104	0.0921	0.0835	0.0775	0.0728	0.0692	0.0663	0.0639	0.0620
velocity (1/Z)	0.113(3)	0.0966	0.0862	0.0789	0.0738	0.0698	0.0667	0.0642	0.0620
2p-3d									
length (HF) ^a	0.6542	0.6580	0.6615	0.6646	0.6671				
velocity (HF) ^a	0.6455	0.6514	0.6563	0.6603	0.6639				
length (1/Z)	0.65(8)	0.655(4)	0.660(0)	0.6636	0.6662	0.6694	0.6717	0.6736	0.6753
velocity (1/Z)	0.66(5)	0.662(1)	0.664(3)	0.6671	0.6703	0.6718	0.6736	0.6751	0.6763
3s-3p									
length (HF) ^a	0.4812	0.3949	0.3345	0.2902	0.2561				
velocity (HF) ^a	0.5107	0.4195	0.3557	0.3084	0.2722				
length (1/Z)		0.45(5)	0.3353	0.2919	0.2563	0.2326	0.2121	0.1945	0.1677 ^b
velocity (1/Z)		0.4(5)	0.31(6)	0.27(7)	0.24(8)	0.218(8)	0.196(4)	0.1783	0.1750 ^b
3p-3d									
length (HF) ^a	0.0623	0.0544	0.0481	0.0432	0.0382				
velocity (HF) ^a	0.0568	0.0516	0.0467	0.0420	0.0389				
length (1/Z)		0.05(4)	0.048(5)	0.0438	0.0383	0.0365	0.0337	0.0314	0.0266 ^b
velocity (1/Z)		0.04(1)	0.04(2)	0.04(0)	0.038(3)	0.034(1)	0.0314	0.0291	0.0300 ^b

^aReference 2.^bTheoretical nonrelativistic energy differences.

for transitions occurring between closely spaced energy levels, the dipole-length form is to be preferred; whereas, for widely spaced levels, the dipole-velocity form is to be preferred.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Professor A. Dalgarno, Dr. L. Ford, and Dr. G. Victor for interesting and helpful discussions.

*This work was partially supported by the U. S. Air Force under grant No. AFOSR 71-2132.

¹A. Dalgarno and G. W. F. Drake, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **3**, 349 (1969).

²A. W. Weiss, *Astrophys. J.* **138**, 1262 (1963).

³J. S. Onello, L. Ford, and A. Dalgarno, *Phys. Rev. A* **10**, 9 (1974).

⁴A. W. Weiss, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 1826 (1961).

⁵C. D. H. Chisholm, A. Dalgarno, and F. R. Innes, *Phys. Rev.* **167**, 60 (1968).

⁶Z. J. Horak, M. N. Lewis, A. Dalgarno, and P. Blanche,

Phys. Rev. **185**, 21 (1969).

⁷W. L. Wiese, M. W. Smith, and B. M. Glennon, *Atomic Transition Probabilities* (U. S. GPO, Washington, D. C., 1966), Vol. I.

⁸W. L. Wiese, M. W. Smith, and B. M. Miles, *Atomic Transition Probabilities* (U. S. GPO, Washington, D. C., 1969), Vol. II.

⁹C. Laughlin, M. N. Lewis, and Z. J. Horak, *J. Phys. B* **6**, 1953 (1973).

¹⁰A. Dalgarno and J. T. Lewis, *Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. A* **69**, 285 (1956).