Superfluid oscillator circuit with a quantum current regulator

Xue Yang,¹ Wenkai Bai^(a),¹ Chen Jiao,¹ Wu-Ming Liu,² Jun-Hui Zheng,^{1,3,*} and Tao Yang^(a),^{3,†}

¹Shaanxi Key Laboratory for Theoretical Physics Frontiers, Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China ²Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China ³Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Xi'an 710127, China

(Received 12 November 2023; revised 23 March 2024; accepted 25 March 2024; published 15 April 2024)

We examine the properties of the atomic current in a superfluid oscillating circuit consisting of a mesoscopic channel that connects two reservoirs of a Bose-Einstein condensate. We investigate the presence of a critical current in the channel and examine how the amplitude of the oscillations in the number imbalance between the two reservoirs varies with the system parameters. In addition to highlighting that the dissipative resistance stems from the formation of vortex pairs, we also illustrate the role of these vortex pairs as a quantum current regulator. The dissipation strength is discrete based on the number imbalance, which corresponds to the emergence of vortex pairs in the system. Our findings indicate that the circuit demonstrates characteristics of both voltage-limiting and current-limiting mechanisms. To model the damping behavior of the atomic superfluid circuit, we develop an equivalent LC oscillator circuit with a quantum current regulator.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.109.043312

I. INTRODUCTION

Atomtronics is an emerging interdisciplinary field that focuses on the development of matter-wave circuits utilizing atoms as carriers [1]. The coherence of matter waves and the many-body effects observed in atomic circuits give rise to novel and exotic behaviors that are not found in electronics or photonics, such as negative differential conductivity [2]. Moreover, ultracold atomic gases offer a highly controllable and flexible platform for studying atomic devices, making them the subject of extensive interest for their potential applications in quantum precise measurement [3–6], quantum simulation of various systems [7-11], logic gate [12], and quantum information processing [13-15]. To date, several theoretical proposals for atomic devices have been put forth, and a number of them have been successfully demonstrated in experimental settings. These include atomic amplifiers [16,17], transistors [18-22], switches [23], batteries [24], memories [25–27], Josephson junctions [28–31], and quantum interference devices [32,33].

Two-terminal systems have significant potential applications in various mesoscopic atomic optical devices, including quantum metrology, quantum information, and Josephson junctions [34–36]. Furthermore, these systems are of theoretical importance in elucidating superfluid transport properties such as quantum conductivity [37,38] and thermoelectric effects [39], as well as constructing general multiterminal atomic circuits. A simple two-terminal system comprises two reservoirs with a junction. The system exhibits various exotic phenomena depending on the types of reservoir traps, junction structures, and atom properties, each of which is described by different effective models, such as two-mode Rabi oscillations [40] and inductor-capacitor oscillations [41]. For a system consisting of two large reservoirs connected by a straight channel (as depicted in Fig. 1) [41-43], an initial imbalance in the number of atoms between the reservoirs induces a resistive flow during the evolution of the Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), resulting in subsequent circuit oscillations. This oscillating behavior resembles the adiabatic oscillations observed in superfluid liquid helium transport experiments [44]. Small initial number imbalances of atoms between the reservoirs induce undamped oscillations of the superfluid flow. An acoustic model can be established to predict the correct frequency of the oscillation by establishing a connection between the kinetic and potential energy contained within sound waves in a superfluid and the electrical energy in an LC circuit [41]. In the presence of a large population bias initially, the quantum circuit can be analogized as a classical RLC circuit coupled with a Josephson junction [42,45]. However, for a small population bias, these models fail to capture resistive behaviors and throttling characteristics, and the corresponding theoretical model is considerably less clear.

In this work, we investigate a channel-connected twoterminal system with a small initial population imbalance. Using the Thomas-Fermi approximation, we determine the critical width of the channel for tunneling between two reservoirs. Additionally, we establish the relationship between the oscillatory frequency, the amplitude, the critical current, and the system's geometry. Furthermore, we develop an equivalent quantum circuit that reproduces the numerical simulation results obtained from the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) of the two-terminal BEC system. Our findings demonstrate a linear increase in the oscillating current amplitude in the channel as the initial population bias increases. Beyond a certain threshold, the emergence of vortex pairs acts as a quantum current regulator, constraining the oscillating current

^{*}junhui.zheng@nwu.edu.cn

[†]yangt@nwu.edu.cn



FIG. 1. The initial density distribution of the BEC in the oscillator circuit consisting of two reservoirs of radius $R = 4.5a_o$ and a narrow channel of length $l = 3a_o$ and width $d = 0.4a_o$. Here, the potential bias is $V = 0.1V_c$.

amplitude to a specific range determined by the energy of a vortex pair. This discovery suggests the existence of a simple model featuring a quantum current regulator. The correlation between dissipation and vortex dynamics in our study demonstrates a strong coherence with that found in Josephson-type two-terminal systems [46–48].

II. DESCRIPTION OF SUPERFLUID CIRCUIT SYSTEM

A superfluid oscillator circuit [41,42] is realized by loading a BEC of ⁸⁷Rb into a quasi-two-dimensional (quasi-2D) trap V_{trap} with two reservoirs connected by a narrow channel of length *l* and width *d* (see Fig. 1). The dynamics of the BEC are governed by the 2D GPE,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + [V_{\rm trap} + \mathcal{V}(r,t)]\psi + g|\psi|^2\psi, \quad (1)$$

where g is the effective interatomic interaction strength. In our simulations, ψ is normalized to the total number of atoms N and $g = 2.5 \times 10^4 \hbar^2/m$. The potential outside the reservoirs and the channel is a hard-wall potential with a height of $10^5 \hbar \omega_o$, where $\omega_o = 2\pi \times 5$ Hz is chosen as the reference frequency. In the dynamical evolution, the characteristic time $t_0 = 1/\omega_o$ is chosen as the unit of time.

Inside the trap, the potential is initially set to be

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}, t = 0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{left reservoir,} \\ V/2 + xV/l, & \text{channel,} \\ V, & \text{Right reservoir,} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where V refers to the potential bias between two reservoirs. This potential induces an initial state biased towards population. To drive superfluid flow within the system, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r})$ is maintained at 0 during the dynamics. The radius of the reservoirs is set to be $R = 4.5a_o$, with $a_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_o} \simeq 4.83 \,\mu\text{m}$ being the characteristic length.

The initial state is prepared as the ground state of the BEC system, which can be obtained numerically by solving the GPE in the imaginary time evolution. In our numerical calculations, we use a grid size of 800×300 in the spatial dimensions, with spacings $dx = dy = 0.05a_o$. The left reservoir is populated by a higher number of atoms to create the bias of the potential. We define the atom number imbalance



FIG. 2. (a) The time evolution of the shifted number imbalance, $\eta(t) - \eta(0)$, for 51 different V uniformly located in the region $[0, 0.1]V_c$. The variable V is observed to gradually increase from the yellow lines to the blue lines. The inset is the enlargement of the region in the red box. (b) The density distribution (left subfigure) and the corresponding phase distribution (right subfigure) in the red box region at $t = 2.5t_0$ with $V = 0.08V_c$. The length and the width of the channel in panels (a) and (b) are $l = 2a_o$ and $d = 0.6a_o$, respectively. (c) The density and the phase distributions at $t = 2.5t_0$ with $V = 0.062V_c$, $l = 3a_o$, and $d = 0.4a_o$.

 $\eta \doteq N_L - N_R$, where N_L and N_R represent the atom numbers in the left and right reservoirs, respectively. Within the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation, when the potential bias exceeds the critical value, given by $V_c = g/\pi R^2 \simeq 393\hbar\omega_o$, the system becomes fully polarized ($\eta = 1$). For $V \leq V_c$, we have $\eta = V/V_c$. Therefore, the initial number imbalance can be adjusted by linearly changing the potential bias. The numerical result of the BEC density distribution for $V = 0.1V_c$ is depicted in Fig. 1.

III. LAWS IN SUPERFLUID OSCILLATOR CIRCUIT

The quench dynamics of the system are investigated by abruptly turning off the potential bias, given as $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ for t > 0. In response, the condensate starts to flow between the left and right reservoirs, causing the number imbalance $\eta(t)$ to change over time. The current in the channel can be expressed as $I = d\eta/2dt$. Figure 2(a) depicts the temporal evolution of the shifted number imbalance, $\eta(t) - \eta(0)$, under various values of V belonging to the range $[0, 0.1]V_c$. Depending on the magnitude of V, the behavior of the system can be categorized as either nondissipative or dissipative, as indicated by the evolution of the number imbalance.

In the case where $\eta(0)$ is small, the oscillating number imbalance exhibits a simple cosine behavior without any dissipation. This can be described by the equation $\eta(t) =$ $\eta(0) \cos \omega t$. During this oscillation, the interatomic interaction energy and the kinetic energy carried by the flow can transfer into each other. However, when $\eta(0)$ exceeds a certain threshold, the atom number imbalance initially undergoes a decay due to the formation of vortex pairs near the contact points of the channel and the right reservoir [as depicted in Fig. 2(b)]. These vortex pairs are formed because the velocity of the flow in the channel exceeds the critical value v_c [42], which leads to energy cascading to small scales and nonlocal kinetic energy dissipation. This dissipation indicates that the flow becomes resistive once the velocity surpasses the critical value. After the dissipation, the reduced number imbalance recovers and continues to exhibit undamped oscillation. It is important to note that when the channel width is sufficiently narrow, dark solitons rather than vortices are created. These solitons quickly decay into phonons, as shown in Fig. 2(c). One can see that compared to vortex excitation, phonon excitation has little effect on the amplitude of the oscillating atomic flow. The long-term evolution of η is not highly regular, as vortex pairs experience complex movement that induces density-wave oscillations (sound waves) within the system [49-52].

The evolution of the number imbalance η is influenced by various factors such as the initial bias V, the channel length l, and the width d. To establish quantitative relationships between the oscillation of η and these system parameters, we fit the undamped portion of the evolution curve $\eta(t)$ by using a sinusoidal function. This allows us to determine the oscillation frequency ω and the amplitude A. Based on our analysis, we conclude that the frequency does not significantly depend on the initial bias and is an intrinsic property of the system. This frequency is linked to the system's geometry, as well as particle properties such as mass and interaction strength. The numerical results depicting the frequencies with changes in the channel dimensions are presented in Fig. 3. Similar to the 3D system discussed in Ref. [41], we propose that for a 2D system,

$$\omega^2 = c^2 \left[\frac{(d-d_c)\Theta(d-d_c)}{l+\delta} \left(\frac{1}{S_L} + \frac{1}{S_R} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

where $c = \sqrt{gn/m}$ is the sound speed of the superfluid with number density $n = 1/2\pi R^2$, $S_L = S_R = \pi R^2$ are the areas of the two reservoirs, Θ is the Heaviside function, and δ is the end correction for the effective length of the channel. Different from the wide-channel case analyzed in Ref. [41], the system exhibits no particle current in the channel when its width is below a critical value, d_c . We explain this threshold as follows: when the potential V is greater than or equal to V_c , the chemical potential μ approximates as $g/\pi R^2$ in the TF approximation, causing all atoms to populate at the left reservoir. The lowest energy for the transverse standing wave in the channel is $E_0 = \hbar^2 \pi^2 / 2md^2$. Thus, when $\mu < E_0$, i.e., $d < d_c = \hbar R \pi^{3/2} / (2mg)^{1/2}$, and the channel is long enough, the atoms are unable to pass through the channel after the



FIG. 3. The dependence of ω^2 (or ω^{-2}) on two factors of the channel: (a) the width *d* and (b) the length *l*. The triangles accompanied by error bars, represent the statistical average of ω across different *V* in the range of $[0, 0.1]V_c$. The error bars indicate the range of ω^2 (or ω^{-2}) resulting from all *V*. Additionally, solid lines depict the fitting results obtained from Eq. (3) using $\delta = 2.3d$.

bias V is switched off. For the current parameter setting, d_c is approximately equal to $0.112a_o$. By numerically fitting with Eq. (3), the only unknown parameter, δ , is determined to be 2.3d. The fitting results are in excellent agreement with the numerical results, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

In Fig. 4(a), the amplitude A of the dampingless oscillating part of $\eta(t)$ is plotted against the initial potential bias V/V_c for $l = 2a_0$ and $d = 0.6a_0$. Initially, when the biases are small, no damping occurs, so we have $A = \eta(0)$, which is equal to V/V_c in the TF approximation. As the bias V increases, the amplitude of the oscillation A also increases. However, when A reaches a critical value, it suddenly decreases and then increases again as the bias increases. This process continues to repeat. Additionally, the instantaneously maximum current passing through the channel, denoted as $I_{\text{max}} = \text{Max}[I(t)]$ for the dampingless oscillating part, is defined. The changes in I_{max} with the potential bias are shown in Fig. 4(b). Theoretical analysis reveals that $I_{\text{max}} = A\omega/2$, which includes characteristics of the amplitude. These characteristics indicate that the circuit is both voltage limiting and current limiting. To further analyze, we define the critical amplitude A_c (the maximum value of A for V in the range $[0, 0.1]V_c$ and the critical current I_c (the maximum value of I_{max}). Figures 4(c) and 4(d) demonstrate the plot of these critical values as functions of the channel length and width, respectively. Figure 4(d) indicates that the critical value I_c almost does not depend on the length of the channel but linearly depends on the width. This result is reasonable since I_c is proportional to $v_c d_{eff}$, where v_c is the threshold of the superfluid velocity required to generate a vortex-pair and $d_{\text{eff}} = d - d_c$ is the effective width of the channel. Based on Eq. (3) and the fact that $A_c = 2I_c/\omega$, it follows that A_c is proportional to $(d_{\rm eff} l_{\rm eff})^{1/2}$, where $l_{\rm eff} = l + \delta$ is the effective length of the channel. This explains the increase of the critical value A_c with d and l, as shown in Fig. 4(c). In the following, we provide a quantitative explanation for the critical value I_c .



FIG. 4. (a) The amplitude A and (b) the maximum current I_{max} as functions of V/V_c for $l = 2a_o$ and $d = 0.6a_o$. The black dashed line in panel (a) is the result of the TF approximation. The red solid lines in panels (a) and (b) are determined by the equivalent quantum circuit described by Eqs. (6)–(8). (c) The critical amplitude A_c and (d) the critical current I_c with respect to the geometry of the channel. The blue dashed line in panel (d) is the fitting result, and the red dashed line is the result given by the Landau critical velocity.

From the Bogoliubov spectrum of the GPE (1), we can determine that the Landau critical velocity, which is equivalent to the phonon speed, can be expressed as $v_L = \text{Min}[E_k/\hbar k] = \sqrt{gn/m}$, where $E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + 2\epsilon_k gn}$ and $\epsilon_k = k^2/2m$ is the kinetic energy of free atoms. Hence, the Landau critical current in the channel can be represented as $I_L = v_L d_{\text{eff}}n$. In Fig. 4(d), the Landau critical current is illustrated with a red dashed line, while the critical current (I_c) obtained from simulations is presented with a blue dashed line, revealing a discrepancy where $I_c = 0.53I_L$. This deviation arises due to the fact that the critical velocity (v_c) required to generate vortex pairs is proportional to the Landau critical velocity, with a ratio of 0.42 for a cylindrical moving obstacle [53,54].

The damping behavior in the superfluid circuit can be represented by calculating the dissipation strength, defined as $D = \eta(0) - A$. Figure 5(a) illustrates that for small biases, the strength *D* remains at 0. However, it increases abruptly when the bias voltage V/V_c reaches a series of discrete values. Each of these values corresponds to the creation of a new vortexpair, as depicted in Figs. 5(b)–5(e). Additionally, we introduce the concept of one-step jumping D_s of the dissipation strength, indicated in Fig. 5(a). It is worth noting that as the bias voltage increases, the magnitudes of subsequent step jumps become slightly smaller compared to the first step jump due to the interactions between vortex pairs. The relationship between the first step jumping D_s and the geometric parameters of the channel (*l* and *d*) is presented in Fig. 5(f), revealing that D_s increases with *d* but decreases with *l*.



FIG. 5. (a) The dissipation strength $D = \eta_0 - A$ as a function of the potential bias *V* for $l = 2a_o$ and $d = 0.6a_o$. The red solid line is the result of the equivalent quantum circuit described by Eqs. (6)–(8). (b)–(e) Vortices created for the biases marked in panel (a). (f) The dissipation step D_s as a function of *l* and *d*. (g) The energy loss (the blue dashed line) and the energy of a single vortex-pair (triangles) as a function of *d* for different *l*.

The dissipation phenomenon occurs when a portion of the interaction energy in the initial state is utilized in the formation of vortices. By employing the TF approximation, we can estimate the loss of interaction energy during a onestep jump. Assuming an initial bias $\eta(0)$, the total energy of the system immediately after quenching can be approximated as $E = \frac{1}{2}gN(N_L^2 + N_R^2)/\pi R^2$, where $N = N_L + N_R$. Simultaneously, within the TF approximation, we have the equation $g(N_L - N_R)/\pi R^2 = V = \eta(0)V_c$. By considering the fact that $N_L + N_R \approx 1$, and for small $\eta(0)$, we can obtain the initial energy of the system as

$$E = \frac{gN[1+\eta(0)^2]}{4\pi R^2}.$$
 (4)

After the one-step jumping, the bias cannot be recovered any more, i.e., $\eta(0) \rightarrow [\eta(0) - D_s]$. From Eq. (4), the interaction energy loss is about

$$E_{\rm loss} = \frac{gN[2\eta(0)D_s - D_s^2]}{4\pi R^2}.$$
 (5)

The energy of a single vortex-pair is given by the expression $E_{\rm vp} = (2\pi\hbar^2 Nn/m) \ln(d_{\rm eff}/\xi)$, where $\xi = \hbar/\sqrt{2gnm}$ represents the healing length [55]. Figure 5(g) provides a comparison between $E_{\rm vp}/N$ and $E_{\rm loss}/N$ for different widths d. The results indicate that the energy of the vortex pair is approximately equivalent to the loss of interaction energy, thus confirming that the generation of vortex excitations effectively dissipates the number imbalance between the two reservoirs.



FIG. 6. The time evolution of $\eta(t) - \eta(0)$ for the equivalent quantum circuit (inset), where $\eta(t) = 2Q(t)$, with Q(t) being the charges stored in the capacitance. This simulation corresponds to the superfluid circuit of $l = 2a_o$ and $d = 0.6a_o$ with $\eta(0) \in [0, 0.1]$ as shown in Fig. 2. In the simulation, the parameters $\omega = 0.8984\omega_0$, $D_s = 0.0145$, and $I_c = 0.0289\omega_0$ were used. These values correspond to approximately 95% and 141% of the theoretical estimation values for $\omega^{th} = 0.9457\omega_0$ [obtained from Eq. (1)] and $D_s^{th} = 0.0103$ (derived from $E_{loss} = E_{vp}$), respectively. The results for A, I_{max} , and D are shown in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), and 5(a), respectively.

IV. EQUIVALENT QUANTUM CIRCUIT

We have observed that the dissipation D exhibits a steplike behavior in the region $\eta(0) \in [0, 0.1]$, corresponding to the initial potential bias or atom number imbalance. The discontinuity in the dissipation is directly linked to the creation of vortices within the system. Each step increase in dissipation signifies the formation of an additional vortex-pair. This behavior results in the circuit acting as both a voltage-limiting device and a current-limiting device. Consequently, the classical RLC circuit analogy is inadequate in this scenario. Instead, an additional quantum current regulator is required to control the maximum current by manipulating quanta. The equivalent quantum circuit is depicted in Fig. 6. The current satisfies

$$Q(t) = I(t), \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{I}(t) = -\omega^2 Q(t), \tag{7}$$

for $|I(t)| < I_c$, where $\omega^2 = 1/LC$ can be determined by Eq. (3), and Q(t) is the instantaneous charge stored in the capacitor. When |I(t)| reaches I_c , it suffers a sudden suppression,

$$I(t_{+}) = \text{sgn}[I(t)](|I(t)| - \Delta I),$$
(8)

where $t_+ = t + 0^+$, and $\Delta I = D_s \omega/2$ is a quantum, representing the creation of a vortex pair. D_s can be estimated by setting $E_{\text{loss}} = E_{\text{vp}}$. The mapping between $\eta(t)$ and Q(t) is

 $\eta(t) = 2Q(t)$, since $I(t) = d\eta(t)/2dt$. As shown in Fig. 6, the equivalent quantum circuit well replays the results given by the original superfluid circuit.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have investigated the behavior of a superfluid oscillating circuit comprised of a mesoscopic channel connecting two large BEC reservoirs. Our study revealed the presence of a critical current that is proportionate to the effective channel width and independent of its length. Additionally, we determined the correlation between the amplitude of oscillation and the system parameters. We demonstrated that the emergence of vortex pairs serves as a quantum current regulator, leading to intriguing phenomena in this highly nonlinear system. Initially, a small population imbalance η resulted in a linear relationship with the induced current's amplitude. However, surpassing a threshold in bias excess constrained the amplitude to the interval of $[I_c - \Delta I, I_c]$. Furthermore, we constructed an equivalent LC oscillator circuit with a quantum current regulator, establishing a comprehensive link between the parameters of the quantum LC circuit and the original superfluid circuit. It is important to note that this correspondence between the two circuits is limited to small initial biases. Interestingly, the complete suppression of oscillation amplitude observed at large $\eta(0) \approx 0.6$ in Ref. [41] cannot be accounted for by the current equivalent circuit. In this particular system, the damping of current stems from the creation of vortex pairs, as opposed to heat dissipation. The energy loss is stored within the vortex pairs, which could potentially function as a quantum battery if the stored energy can be efficiently released.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Dr. Lijia Jiang for her suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12175180, No. 11934015, No. 12247103, No. 12247186, and No. 12234012; the National Key R&D Program of China under Grants No. 2021YFA1400900, No. 2021YFA0718300, and No. 2021YFA1402100; the Major Basic Research Program of Natural Science of Shaanxi Province under Grants No. 2017KCT-12 and No. 2017ZDJC-32; the Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics under Grants No. 22JSZ005 and No. 22JSQ041; the Scientific Research Program Funded by Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government under Grant No. 22JK0581; and the Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi under Grant No. 2023-JC-QN-0054. This research is also supported by The Double First-Class University Construction Project of Northwest University.

- L. Amico, D. Anderson, M. Boshier, J.-P. Brantut, L.-C. Kwek, A. Minguzzi, and W. von Klitzing, *Colloquium:* Atomtronic circuits: From many-body physics to quantum technologies, Rev. Mod. Phys. **94**, 041001 (2022).
- [2] R. Labouvie, B. Santra, S. Heun, S. Wimberger, and H. Ott, Negative differential conductivity in an interacting quantum gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 050601 (2015).
- [3] P. Weiss, M. Knufinke, S. Bernon, D. Bothner, L. Sárkány, C. Zimmermann, R. Kleiner, D. Koelle, J. Fortágh, and H.

Hattermann, Sensitivity of ultracold atoms to quantized flux in a superconducting ring, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 113003 (2015).

- [4] R. Folman, P. Krüger, D. Cassettari, B. Hessmo, T. Maier, and J. Schmiedmayer, Controlling cold atoms using nanofabricated surfaces: Atom chips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4749 (2000).
- [5] T. Nirrengarten, A. Qarry, C. Roux, A. Emmert, G. Nogues, M. Brune, J.-M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Realization of a superconducting atom chip, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 200405 (2006).
- [6] T. Mukai, C. Hufnagel, A. Kasper, T. Meno, A. Tsukada, K. Semba, and F. Shimizu, Persistent supercurrent atom chip, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 260407 (2007).
- [7] L. Amico, A. Osterloh, and F. Cataliotti, Quantum many particle systems in ring-shaped optical lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 063201 (2005).
- [8] T. Haug, H. Heimonen, R. Dumke, L.-C. Kwek, and L. Amico, Aharonov-Bohm effect in mesoscopic Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. A 100, 041601(R) (2019).
- [9] L. Kohn, P. Silvi, M. Gerster, M. Keck, R. Fazio, G. E. Santoro, and S. Montangero, Superfluid-to-Mott transition in a Bose-Hubbard ring: Persistent currents and defect formation, Phys. Rev. A 101, 023617 (2020).
- [10] D. Stadler, S. Krinner, J. Meineke, J.-P. Brantut, and T. Esslinger, Observing the drop of resistance in the flow of a superfluid Fermi gas, Nature (London) 491, 736 (2012).
- [11] G. Del Pace, W. J. Kwon, M. Zaccanti, G. Roati, and F. Scazza, Tunneling transport of unitary fermions across the superfluid transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 055301 (2021).
- [12] R. A. Pepino, J. Cooper, D. Meiser, D. Z. Anderson, and M. J. Holland, Open quantum systems approach to atomtronics, Phys. Rev. A 82, 013640 (2010).
- [13] K. T. Kapale and J. P. Dowling, Vortex phase qubit: Generating arbitrary, counterrotating, coherent superpositions in Bose-Einstein condensates via optical angular momentum beams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 173601 (2005).
- [14] D. W. Hallwood, K. Burnett, and J. Dunningham, Macroscopic superpositions of superfluid flows, New J. Phys. 8, 180 (2006).
- [15] D. Aghamalyan, N. T. Nguyen, F. Auksztol, K. S. Gan, M. M. Valado, P. C. Condylis, L.-C. Kwek, R. Dumke, and L. Amico, An atomtronic flux qubit: a ring lattice of Bose-Einstein condensates interrupted by three weak links, New J. Phys. 18, 075013 (2016).
- [16] Y. G. Rubo, F. P. Laussy, G. Malpuech, A. Kavokin, and P. Bigenwald, Dynamical theory of polariton amplifiers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 156403 (2003).
- [17] C. P. Search and P. Meystre, Molecular matter-wave amplifier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 140405 (2004).
- [18] J. Y. Vaishnav, J. Ruseckas, C. W. Clark, and G. Juzeliūnas, Spin field effect transistors with ultracold atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 265302 (2008).
- [19] J. A. Stickney, D. Z. Anderson, and A. A. Zozulya, Transistorlike behavior of a Bose-Einstein condensate in a triple-well potential, Phys. Rev. A 75, 013608 (2007).
- [20] A. J. Olson, S.-J. Wang, R. J. Niffenegger, C.-H. Li, C. H. Greene, and Y. P. Chen, Tunable Landau-Zener transitions in a spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. A 90, 013616 (2014).
- [21] D. Z. Anderson, Matter waves, single-mode excitations of the matter-wave field, and the atomtronic transistor oscillator, Phys. Rev. A 104, 033311 (2021).

- [22] S. C. Caliga, C. J. E. Straatsma, A. A. Zozulya, and D. Z. Anderson, Principles of an atomtronic transistor, New J. Phys. 18, 015012 (2016).
- [23] V. S. Shchesnovich and V. V. Konotop, Quantum switching at a mean-field instability of a Bose-Einstein condensate in an optical lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 055702 (2009).
- [24] S. C. Caliga, C. J. E. Straatsma, and D. Z. Anderson, Experimental demonstration of an atomtronic battery, New J. Phys. 19, 013036 (2017).
- [25] S. Riedl, M. Lettner, C. Vo, S. Baur, G. Rempe, and S. Dürr, Bose-Einstein condensate as a quantum memory for a photonic polarization qubit, Phys. Rev. A 85, 022318 (2012).
- [26] E. Da Ros, S. Kanthak, E. Sağlamyürek, M. Gündoğan, and M. Krutzik, Proposal for a long-lived quantum memory using matter-wave optics with Bose-Einstein condensates in microgravity, Phys. Rev. Res. 5, 033003 (2023).
- [27] E. Saglamyurek, T. Hrushevskyi, A. Rastogi, L. W. Cooke, B. D. Smith, and L. J. LeBlanc, Storing short singlephoton-level optical pulses in Bose-Einstein condensates for high-performance quantum memory, New J. Phys. 23, 043028 (2021).
- [28] R. A. Pepino, J. Cooper, D. Z. Anderson, and M. J. Holland, Atomtronic circuits of diodes and transistors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 140405 (2009).
- [29] B. T. Seaman, M. Krämer, D. Z. Anderson, and M. J. Holland, Atomtronics: Ultracold-atom analogs of electronic devices, Phys. Rev. A 75, 023615 (2007).
- [30] A. Ruschhaupt and J. G. Muga, Atom diode: A laser device for a unidirectional transmission of ground-state atoms, Phys. Rev. A 70, 061604(R) (2004).
- [31] C. S. Madasu, M. Hasan, K. D. Rathod, C. C. Kwong, and D. Wilkowski, Datta-Das transistor for atomtronic circuits using artificial gauge fields, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 033180 (2022).
- [32] C. Ryu, P. W. Blackburn, A. A. Blinova, and M. G. Boshier, Experimental realization of Josephson junctions for an atom squid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 205301 (2013).
- [33] J. W. Z. Lau, K. S. Gan, R. Dumke, L. Amico, L.-C. Kwek, and T. Haug, Atomtronic multiterminal Aharonov-Bohm interferometer, Phys. Rev. A 107, L051303 (2023).
- [34] L. Pezzè, A. Smerzi, M. K. Oberthaler, R. Schmied, and P. Treutlein, Quantum metrology with nonclassical states of atomic ensembles, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 035005 (2018).
- [35] S. Haroche and J.-M. Raimond, *Exploring the Quantum: Atoms, Cavities, and Photons* (Oxford University, New York, 2006).
- [36] N. Luick, L. Sobirey, M. Bohlen, V. P. Singh, L. Mathey, T. Lompe, and H. Moritz, An ideal Josephson junction in an ultracold two-dimensional Fermi gas, Science 369, 89 (2020).
- [37] D. J. Papoular, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Quantized conductance through the quantum evaporation of bosonic atoms, Phys. Rev. A 94, 023622 (2016).
- [38] B. Liu, H. Zhai, and S. Zhang, Anomalous conductance of a strongly interacting Fermi gas through a quantum point contact, Phys. Rev. A 95, 013623 (2017).
- [39] J.-P. Brantut, C. Grenier, J. Meineke, D. Stadler, S. Krinner, C. Kollath, T. Esslinger, and A. Georges, A thermoelectric heat engine with ultracold atoms, Science 342, 713 (2013).
- [40] M. Pigneur, T. Berrada, M. Bonneau, T. Schumm, E. Demler, and J. Schmiedmayer, Relaxation to a phase-locked equilibrium state in a one-dimensional bosonic Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 173601 (2018).

- [41] G. Gauthier, S. S. Szigeti, M. T. Reeves, M. Baker, T. A. Bell, H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, M. J. Davis, and T. W. Neely, Quantitative acoustic models for superfluid circuits, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 260402 (2019).
- [42] S. Eckel, J. G. Lee, F. Jendrzejewski, C. J. Lobb, G. K. Campbell, and W. T. Hill, Contact resistance and phase slips in mesoscopic superfluid-atom transport, Phys. Rev. A 93, 063619 (2016).
- [43] I. V. Shunyaev, A. A. Elistratov, and Yu. E. Lozovik, Bose-Einstein condensates and the spectrum of excitations in a two-dimensional channel, Phys. Rev. A 94, 053625 (2016).
- [44] J. E. Robinson, Adiabatic oscillations in liquid helium, Phys. Rev. 82, 440 (1951).
- [45] A. Li, S. Eckel, B. Eller, K. E. Warren, C. W. Clark, and M. Edwards, Superfluid transport dynamics in a capacitive atomtronic circuit, Phys. Rev. A 94, 023626 (2016).
- [46] A. Burchianti, F. Scazza, A. Amico, G. Valtolina, J. A. Seman, C. Fort, M. Zaccanti, M. Inguscio, and G. Roati, Connecting dissipation and phase slips in a Josephson junction between fermionic superfluids, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 025302 (2018).
- [47] K. Xhani, E. Neri, L. Galantucci, F. Scazza, A. Burchianti, K.-L. Lee, C. F. Barenghi, A. Trombettoni, M. Inguscio, M. Zaccanti, G. Roati, and N. P. Proukakis, Critical transport and vortex dynamics in a thin atomic Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 045301 (2020).

- [48] G. Valtolina, A. Burchianti, A. Amico, E. Neri, K. Xhani, J. A. Seman, A. Trombettoni, A. Smerzi, M. Zaccanti, M. Inguscio, and G. Roati, Josephson effect in fermionic superfluids across the BEC-BCS crossover, Science 350, 1505 (2015).
- [49] T. Yang, B. Xiong, and K. A. Benedict, Dynamical excitations in the collision of two-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. A 87, 023603 (2013).
- [50] T. Yang, Z.-Q. Hu, S. Zou, and W.-M. Liu, Dynamics of vortex quadrupoles in nonrotating trapped Bose-Einstein condensates, Sci. Rep. 6, 29066 (2016).
- [51] J. Xing, W. Bai, B. Xiong, J.-H. Zheng, and T. Yang, Structure and dynamics of binary Bose-Einstein condensates with vortex phase imprinting, Front. Phys. 18, 62302 (2023).
- [52] W.-K. Bai, J.-C. Xing, T. Yang, W.-L. Yang, and W.-M. Liu, Nonlinear dynamics of a Bose-Einstein condensate excited by a vortex ring phase imprinting, Results Phys. 22, 103828 (2021).
- [53] T. Frisch, Y. Pomeau, and S. Rica, Transition to dissipation in a model of superflow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1644 (1992).
- [54] C. Raman, M. Köhl, R. Onofrio, D. S. Durfee, C. E. Kuklewicz, Z. Hadzibabic, and W. Ketterle, Evidence for a critical velocity in a Bose-Einstein condensed gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2502 (1999).
- [55] R. P. Feynman, Application of quantum mechanics to liquid helium, in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics*, edited by C. J. Gorter (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1955), p. 17.