Axion-mediated electron-nucleus and electron-electron interactions in the barium monofluoride molecule

Sergey D. Prosnyak[®] and Leonid V. Skripnikov[®]

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute named by B. P. Konstantinov of National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", Gatchina, Leningrad District 188300, Russia

and Saint Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya Naberezhnaya, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia

(Received 1 January 2024; accepted 5 April 2024; published 22 April 2024)

The effects induced by the time-reversal (\mathcal{T}) and spatial parity (\mathcal{P}) -violating electron-nucleus and electronelectron interactions mediated by the axionlike particles (ALPs) in the BaF molecule were estimated. Molecular parameters characterizing these interactions were calculated across a wide range of ALP masses. In the case of the electron-nuclear interaction, the effect of the extended nucleus was studied and shown to be significant for heavy ALPs. Based on the calculated molecular parameters, we obtained a link between the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating energy shift which can be measured in the ongoing experiment designed to search for the electron electric dipole moment using the BaF molecule (A. Boeschoten *et al.*, arXiV:2303.06402) and the products of coupling constants of the ALP-electron or ALP-electron and ALP-nucleus interactions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.109.042821

I. INTRODUCTION

Precise experiments with heavy-atom molecules, aimed at detecting violations of spatial parity (\mathcal{P}) and time-reversal (\mathcal{T}) symmetries in fundamental interactions, are considered one of the most powerful tools for exploring new physics beyond the standard model (SM) [1–3]. The permanent \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} violating electric dipole moments (EDMs) of atoms and molecules can be induced by the permanent electron electric dipole moment (eEDM or d_e). New physics extensions of the standard model predict the eEDM value to be orders of magnitude larger than the estimates within the SM [4-9]. Recently, the JILA group updated the most stringent upper bound on the eEDM through experiments with hafnium monofluoride (HfF⁺) molecular cations, establishing a 90% confidence level of $|d_e| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e \text{ cm}$ [10]. This updated constraint improves upon the previous most stringent limit obtained using the ThO molecular beam by a factor of 2.4 [11].

In Ref. [12], an experiment was proposed to search for the *e*EDM using an intense cold beam of barium monofluoride molecules. The expected sensitivity to the *e*EDM was estimated as $|d_e| = 5.0 \times 10^{-30} e \text{ cm}$ [12]. This level of sensitivity is comparable to that achieved in the HfF⁺ experiment. Such precision can be attained by employing a combination of Stark deceleration, laser cooling, and an intense primary cold source of BaF molecules. In Ref. [13], a new method was proposed to reduce systematic errors and to reduce the need for numerous auxiliary measurements. A further step towards laser cooling of ¹³⁸Ba¹⁹F molecules that takes into account the hyperfine splitting has been recently made in Ref. [14].

In addition to the effect of \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violation in atoms and molecules induced by the eEDM, the scalar-pseudoscalar nucleus-electron interaction is often considered [10,11,15,16]. However, other mechanisms can also induce such effects. Here, we consider the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interactions arising from the exchange of a virtual axionlike particle (ALP) between the electron and nucleus, as well as between electrons in the BaF molecule. Axions and ALPs are popular dark matter candidates [17–19]. Axion appears in the Peccei-Quinn solution to the strong \mathcal{CP} problem [20–22] and string theory compactifications [23,24]. Therefore, these particles get increasing attention from both theory and experiment. Numerous astrophysical and laboratory experiments are devoted to the search for axions and ALPs and studies their properties. A compilation of the constraints on axion and ALP properties obtained so far can be found, e.g., in Ref. [25]. Recently, there have been proposals for new atomic and molecular experiments aimed at searching for axion-induced forces using spin-based quantum sensors (see, e.g., Refs. [26,27] and references therein).

In Ref. [28], direct calculations were performed to determine the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating effects mediated by ALPs on electron-nucleus and electron-electron interactions in various atoms, with pilot estimates provided for some molecules. The *ab initio* studies of this effect for the YbOH molecule, and HfF⁺ molecular cation as well as for the Fr atom were carried out in Refs. [29–32].

In the present paper, we estimated the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating effects arising from an ALP exchange between electrons and between the electron and nucleus for the ¹³⁸Ba ¹⁹F molecule in its ground electronic state $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}$. We employed the theoretical approach developed in Ref. [32], enabling the direct calculation of the contribution of these effects in molecules

^{*}prosnyak_sd@pnpi.nrcki.ru, prosnyak.sergey@yandex.ru †skripnikov_lv@pnpi.nrcki.ru, leonidos239@gmail.com; http:// www.qchem.pnpi.spb.ru

across a wide range of ALP masses. In this work, we extended this approach to include the finite-nucleus size effect in the case of the nucleus-electron interaction.

II. THEORY

The \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interaction between an electron and a nucleon, mediated by an ALP with a mass of m_a , can be expressed in the following form [28,33],

$$V_{eN}(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{R}) = +i\frac{g_N^s g_e^p}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_a |\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{R}|}}{|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{R}|} \gamma_0 \gamma_5,$$
(1)

where g_N^s is the coupling constant of the scalar ALP-nucleon interaction, g_e^p represents the coupling constant of the pseudoscalar ALP-electron interaction, r is the position of the electron, \mathbf{R} is the position of the nucleon, Dirac γ matrices refer to the electron, and N is the nucleon, which can be either a proton or a neutron. In Ref. [32], which focused on the estimation of the ALP-induced \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating effect in HfF⁺, we approximated the nucleus by a pointlike particle in the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interaction calculation. However, it is worth noting that the extended nuclear charge distribution was considered in the calculation of the electronic wave function. Thus, the following approximation for the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating electron-nucleus interaction was employed:

$$V_{eN}(\mathbf{r}) = +i \frac{g_N^s g_e^p}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_a r}}{r} \gamma_0 \gamma_5.$$
⁽²⁾

Another \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violation effect is induced by the ALPmediated interaction between two electrons, which can be expressed as follows [28,33],

$$V_{ee}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = +i \frac{g_e^s g_e^p}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-m_a |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \gamma_0 \gamma_5,$$
(3)

where g_e^s represents the scalar coupling constant of the electron-ALP interaction, \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are the positions of the electrons, and γ_0 and γ_5 matrices correspond to the second electron.

The \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interaction between the electrons and nucleus under consideration can be characterized by a parameter that is determined by the molecular electronic structure [29,34],

$$W_{\rm ax}^{(eN)}(m_a) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{1}{\bar{g}_N^s g_e^p} \langle \Psi | \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \sum_N \int d\boldsymbol{R} \rho(\boldsymbol{R}) V_{eN}(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{R}) | \Psi \rangle,$$
(4)

where Ψ is the molecular electronic wave function, Ω is the projection of the total electronic angular momentum on the molecular axis, N_e is the number of electrons, index *i* runs over all electrons, and $\rho(\mathbf{R})$ is the nuclear density, normalized to unity [35]. \bar{g}_N^s is the ALP-nucleon coupling constant averaged over the nucleons of the Ba nucleus: $\bar{g}_N^s = (N_n g_n^s + Z g_p^s)/A$, g_n^s and g_p^s are the ALP-neutron and ALP-proton coupling constants, respectively, *Z* is the charge of the Ba nucleus, N_n is its neutron number, and $A = Z + N_n$ with Z = 56, $N_n = 82$, and A = 138 for the considered case of ¹³⁸Ba.

Similarly, the electron-electron interaction can be described by the following molecular parameter:

$$W_{\mathrm{ax}}^{(ee)}(m_a) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{1}{g_e^s g_e^p} \langle \Psi | \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_e} V_{ee}(\boldsymbol{r}_i, \boldsymbol{r}_j) | \Psi \rangle.$$
(5)

The prime index in the sum indicates that terms with i = j should be excluded.

The energy shift of the electronic level caused by the T,P-violating interaction (1) can be expressed as follows,

$$\delta E = \bar{g}_N^s g_e^p \Omega W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a), \tag{6}$$

while the energy shift induced by the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interaction (3) can be expressed as

$$\delta E = g_e^s g_e^p \Omega W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a). \tag{7}$$

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

In Ref. [32], the method to calculate the primitive integrals $\langle ab|e^{-m_ar}/r|cd\rangle$ over Gaussian-type basis functions a, b, c, d of the form $x^n y^m z^k e^{-\beta r^2}$, used in the decomposition of molecular bispinors was implemented. The algorithm was specifically applied to calculate molecular parameters $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ for the molecular cation HfF⁺. In this study, we adapted this method to calculate the molecular parameter $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$, taking into account the effects of the finite nuclear size. We considered a model of the Gaussian nucleons' distribution, which has the same form as the Gaussian nuclear charge distribution model $\rho = ce^{-\alpha r^2}$ [36]. By calculating $\langle a\gamma | e^{-m_a r}/r | c\gamma \rangle$, where $\gamma = \sqrt{\rho}$, we obtained primitive integrals required to calculate the molecular parameter $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ using the extended nucleus model. To calculate the $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ parameter, we used the approach developed in Ref. [32].

The molecular parameters $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ and $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ can be nonzero for electronic states with unpaired electrons. In the ground electronic state $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}$ of BaF, the unpaired electron is primarily localized on the Ba atom and corresponds approximately to the 6s state of the Ba⁺ ion. Therefore, in calculations, we neglected the contribution from the F nucleus. According to our estimations, the largest effect of this type, only about 1%, is achieved for light ALPs and decreases with an increase of the ALPs mass.

For high ALP masses, the contribution from two-center integrals to the molecular parameter $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ can be expected to be very small due to the short range of the interaction described by Eq. (3). Indeed, according to our direct estimate, starting from the ALP mass of 10⁴ eV, the contributions from the two-center integrals become negligible. As a result, the computation procedure can be simplified by considering only one-center integrals. We followed this approach in calculations of the molecular parameter $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ for ALP masses greater than 10⁴ eV.

Electronic structure calculations were performed using the locally modified versions of the DIRAC [37,38] and MRCC [39–41] codes within the relativistic coupled cluster method with single, double, and perturbative triple excitation amplitudes, CCSD(T) [42,43] to treat electron correlation effects. The Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian was employed. For the ground electronic state $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}$ of the BaF molecule, we

TABLE I. The calculated values of $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ molecular parameters (in units of $m_e c/\hbar$) obtained at different levels of electronic structure theory.

$\overline{m_a (\text{eV})}$	DHF	CCSD	CCSD(T)
1	$+1.41 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.77 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.74 \times 10^{-5}$
10	$+1.41 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.77 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.74 \times 10^{-5}$
10 ²	$+1.40 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.77 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.73 \times 10^{-5}$
10 ³	$+1.11 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.48 \times 10^{-5}$	$+1.45 \times 10^{-5}$
10^{4}	$+1.27 \times 10^{-6}$	$+1.89 \times 10^{-6}$	$+1.86 \times 10^{-6}$
10 ⁵	$-6.91 imes10^{-6}$	$-1.09 imes10^{-5}$	-1.07×10^{-5}
10 ⁶	-3.19×10^{-6}	-4.79×10^{-6}	-4.70×10^{-6}
107	-9.20×10^{-8}	-1.38×10^{-7}	-1.36×10^{-7}
108	-1.29×10^{-9}	-1.94×10^{-9}	-1.91×10^{-9}
10 ⁹	-1.34×10^{-11}	-2.01×10^{-11}	-1.97×10^{-11}
1010	-1.34×10^{-13}	-2.01×10^{-13}	-1.97×10^{-13}

used an interatomic distance value of $R_e = 2.16$ Å, closely matching the experimental value [44,45]. All electrons of the BaF molecule were included in the electron correlation treatment, and a virtual orbital cutoff was set to 10 000 hartree. The choice of such a large cutoff is crucial to properly consider the correlation contributions of the inner-core electrons in systems with heavy atoms [46,47]. Following Ref. [32], we used the finite-field approach to calculate molecular parameters $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ and $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$. The uncontracted Dyall's all-electron triple-zeta (AE3Z) [48] basis set was used for F, and an extended version of the AE3Z [49,50] basis set was used for Ba. In the latter case we added several diffuse basis functions, and in total the basis set centered on Ba consists of 33s-, 26p-, 18d-, 8f-, and 3g-type functions. Due to the complexity of calculations involving two-electron operators, the all-electron double-zeta (AE2Z) [48-50] basis set was employed for both atoms to calculate the molecular parameter $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$. In all calculations, the Gaussian nuclear charge distribution model, well suited for molecular problems [36] was used with a root-mean-square charge radius calculated by the interpolation formula from Ref. [51].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To assess the impact of the extended nucleus size effect on the $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ molecular parameter, we first considered the HfF^{+^m}molecular cation. Previously [32], we employed the point nucleus model approximation (2) corresponding to the δ -type distribution in Eq. (4). We compared those values of $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ for the various values of m_a with the values $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ calculated for the nucleon distribution function in Eq. (4), approximated by the Gaussian distribution model with the rms radius equal to the nuclear rms charge radius. We found that the finite nuclear size effect is negligible for ALPs with $m_a < 10^7$ eV. A small effect appears for $m_a = 10^7$ eV and becomes significant for heavier ALPs (see Tables I and II in the Supplemental Material [52]). It reaches 15% for $m_a = 10^{10}$ eV and stabilizes. These findings can be explained as follows. The characteristic radius of the Yukawa-type interaction $R_{Yu} = 1/m_a$ (relativistic units) $= \hbar/m_a c$ is significantly larger than the molecule size for light ALPs [28]. In particular, the characteristic atomic scale of 1 bohr corresponds to

TABLE II.	The calculated values of $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ molecular param-
eters (in units o	of $m_e c/\hbar$) at various levels of theory.

m_a (eV)	DHF	CCSD	CCSD(T)
1	$+6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.0 \times 10^{-6}$
10	$+6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.0 imes10^{-6}$
10^{2}	$+6.3 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	$+8.0 imes 10^{-6}$
10 ³	$+4.8 \times 10^{-6}$	$+6.6 \times 10^{-6}$	$+6.5 \times 10^{-6}$
10^{4}	$+1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	$+2.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$+2.0 \times 10^{-6}$
10^{5}	$+9.3 \times 10^{-8}$	$+1.4 \times 10^{-7}$	$+1.4 \times 10^{-7}$
106	-3.3×10^{-9}	-5.2×10^{-9}	-5.1×10^{-9}
107	-5.1×10^{-11}	-8.0×10^{-11}	-7.8×10^{-11}
10^{8}	-5.1×10^{-13}	-8.0×10^{-13}	-7.9×10^{-13}
10 ⁹	-5.1×10^{-15}	$-8.0 imes 10^{-15}$	-7.9×10^{-15}
1010	-5.1×10^{-17}	-8.1×10^{-17}	-7.9×10^{-17}

 $m_a \approx 4$ keV. Thus, the details of the nucleons' distribution are insignificant for such an interaction. In contrast, for heavier ALPs the characteristic radius can become compatible with the nuclear size. For example, the nuclear scale of 1 F (Fermi) corresponds to $m_a \approx 0.2$ GeV. Therefore, the effect of the finite size of the atomic nucleus should be taken into account.

The calculated values of $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ for the BaF molecule are given in Table I using three electronic structure computational models in order of increasing accuracy: Dirac-Hartree-Fock (DHF), relativistic coupled cluster with single and double excitation amplitudes (CCSD), and CCSD(T). Notably, electron correlation effects, i.e., effects beyond the DHF approximation, are significant for the molecular constant $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$, and their importance increases with the ALP's mass. Comparing the "CCSD" and "CCSD(T)" columns, one can see good convergence with respect to the treatment of electron correlation effects. The difference of the CCSD(T) and CCSD values can be used as a measure of the uncertainty in the treatment of electron correlation effects. We also took the half of the difference of results obtained within the AE3Z and AE2Z basis sets at the CCSD(T) level as a measure of the uncertainty due to the basis set incompleteness (see also Ref. [31] and Table III of the Supplemental Material [52] for the results in a smaller basis set AE2Z). The overall uncertainty of the calculated $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ values is less than 5%.

Similar to the YbOH molecule [29] and the HfF⁺ molecular cation [32], the parameter $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ remains almost independent of m_a for ALPs with $m_a \leq 10^2$ eV and undergoes a sign change in the interval between $m_a = 10^4$ eV and $m_a = 10^5$ eV. According to our calculations, the extended nucleus size effect in the BaF molecule depends on m_a similarly to the HfF⁺ case described above, but smaller by magnitude: Starting from the negligible value for ALPs with $m_a < 10^7$ eV, the effect appears at $m_a = 10^7$ eV and reaches a magnitude of about 6% for $m_a = 10^{10}$ eV and stabilizes (see Table IV of the Supplemental Material [52]).

The calculated values of $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ for the BaF molecule can be found in Table II. Similar to $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$, electron correlation effects become more pronounced with increasing ALP mass, although they remain significant even for light ALPs. The convergence with respect to the treatment of electron correlation effects, as it can be seen from the "CCSD" and

TABLE III. The calculated constraints on $|\bar{g}_{s}^{x}g_{e}^{p}|$ and $|g_{e}^{z}g_{e}^{p}|$ products corresponding to the *e*EDM limitation $|d_{e}| = 5.0 \times 10^{-30} e$ cm expected in the planned experiment on BaF [12].

m_a (eV)	$ ar{g}^s_N g^p_e ~(\hbar c)$	$ g_e^s g_e^p (\hbar c)$
1	$+7.29 \times 10^{-21}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-20}$
10	$+7.29 \times 10^{-21}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-20}$
10 ²	$+7.31 \times 10^{-21}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-20}$
10 ³	$+8.71 \times 10^{-21}$	$+1.9 \times 10^{-20}$
10 ⁴	$+6.81 \times 10^{-21}$	$+6.5 \times 10^{-20}$
10 ⁵	$+1.18 \times 10^{-20}$	$+9.2 \times 10^{-19}$
10 ⁶	$+2.69 \times 10^{-20}$	$+2.5 \times 10^{-17}$
107	$+9.34 \times 10^{-19}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-15}$
10 ⁸	$+6.65 \times 10^{-17}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-13}$
10 ⁹	$+6.43 \times 10^{-15}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-11}$
10 ¹⁰	$+6.43 \times 10^{-13}$	$+1.6 \times 10^{-9}$

"CCSD(T)" columns, is good. Due to its complexity, we calculated the $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ molecular parameters within one basis set only. To be conservative, we (arbitrary) assumed that the basis set uncertainty for $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ may be twice as large as that for $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$, resulting in an overall uncertainty of approximately 10% for $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$.

One can see from Table II, that for light ALPs with masses $m_a \leq 10^2$ eV, the parameter $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ remains nearly constant. As the ALP mass increases, one can see a noticeable change in $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ for $m_a = 10^3$ eV, followed by a drop of approximately by a factor of 3 for $m_a = 10^4$ eV. Finally, in the range between $m_a = 10^5$ eV and $m_a = 10^6$ eV, the parameter $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a)$ undergoes a change in sign. The experiment to measure \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violating effects on the

The experiment to measure \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating effects on the BaF molecule is under preparation [12]. Using the expected constraint on the magnitude of the *e*EDM, $|d_e| = 5.0 \times 10^{-30} e \text{ cm}$ [12], and the theoretical value of the effective field $E_{\text{eff}} = W_d |\Omega| \approx 1.565 \times 10^{24} \text{ Hz}/(e \text{ cm})$ [53], it is possible to estimate the expected sensitivity of the experiment in terms of the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating energy shift: $\delta E \approx 8 \,\mu\text{Hz}$. According to Eqs. (6) and (7), this constraint can be reinterpreted in terms of limits on the products of ALP coupling constants. The obtained results are given in Table III and in Fig. 1. Let us consider the two limiting cases separately.

Low-mass limit. As one can see from Tables I and II, molecular parameters $W_{ax}^{eN}(m_a)$ and $W_{ax}^{ee}(m_a)$ are almost ALP mass independent for light ALPs with masses $m_a \leq 10^2$ eV. This can be explained by the fact that, in this case, the range of the interaction is comparable to the size of the molecule. Therefore, in the Yukawa-type interaction one can approximate the exponential factor by unity and the interaction becomes independent of m_a . Thus, for light ALPs, constraints on $|\bar{g}_s^N g_e^P|$ and $|g_e^s g_e^P|$ become mass independent.

High-mass limit. For ALPs with high masses $(m_a \ge 10^9 \text{ eV})$ the interaction becomes very short ranged and the following approximation in Eq. (4) can be made,

$$\int d\boldsymbol{R}\rho(\boldsymbol{R})V_{eN}(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{R}) \approx +i\frac{g_N^s g_e^p}{m_a^2}\rho(r_i)\gamma_0\gamma_5,\qquad(8)$$



FIG. 1. Derived constraints on (a) $|\bar{g}_{N}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ and on (b) $|g_{e}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ products (dashed green line and blue region) corresponding to the *e*EDM limitation $|d_{e}| = 5.0 \times 10^{-30} e$ cm expected in the planned experiment on BaF [12]. At the graph scale, the constraints almost coincide with the current limitations on $|\bar{g}_{N}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ and $|g_{e}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ obtained in the HfF⁺ experiment [10,32]. Constraint on $|\bar{g}_{N}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ from macroscopic experiments (black line and violet region) were compiled in Ref. [28] using the results of Refs. [59,61–66]. The macroscopic constraints of $|g_{e}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$ were estimated in Ref. [28] by reevaluating the published constraints on $|\bar{g}_{N}^{s}g_{e}^{p}|$.

and the following approximate relations for $W_{ax}^{(eN)}$ and $W_{ax}^{(ee)}$ can be obtained (see also Refs. [28,29]): $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a) \simeq \widetilde{W}^{eN} m_a^{-2}$ and $W_{ax}^{(ee)}(m_a) \simeq \widetilde{W}^{ee} m_a^{-2}$, where parameters \widetilde{W}^{eN} and \widetilde{W}^{ee} are independent of m_a . Thus, the energy shift for the electron-nuclear interaction can be parametrized as follows,

$$\delta E \approx \frac{\bar{g}_N^s g_e^p}{m_a^2} \Omega \widetilde{W}^{eN}, \qquad (9)$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}^{eN} = \lim_{m_a \to +\infty} m_a^2 W_{\text{ax}}^{(eN)}(m_a).$$
(10)

A similar dependence can be used for the electron-electron interaction

$$\delta E \approx \frac{g_e^s g_e^{\prime\prime}}{m_a^2} \Omega \widetilde{W}^{ee}, \qquad (11)$$

TABLE IV. Summary of the obtained constraints on the combinations of ALP coupling constants for limiting cases of lightand high-mass ALPs. These constraints correspond to the expected sensitivity of the planned experiment on BaF [12].

Limit	Value
$ \begin{split} & \overline{ \bar{g}_N^s g_e^p }/(\hbar c), m_a \ll 1 \text{ keV} \\ & g_e^s g_e^p /(\hbar c), m_a \ll 1 \text{ keV} \\ & \bar{g}_N^s g_e^p /(\hbar cm_a^2), m_a \geqslant 1 \text{ GeV} \\ & g_e^s g_e^p /(\hbar cm_a^2), m_a \geqslant 1 \text{ GeV} \end{split} $	$\begin{array}{c} 7.29 \times 10^{-21} \\ 1.6 \times 10^{-20} \\ 6.43 \times 10^{-15} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ 1.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \end{array}$

where

$$\widetilde{W}^{ee} = \lim_{m_a \to +\infty} m_a^2 W_{\rm ax}^{(ee)}(m_a).$$
(12)

In the case of heavy ALPs, the electron-nucleus interaction parameter (4) can be related to the parameter of the scalarpseudoscalar nucleus-electron interaction [see also Eq. (8)]. Indeed, the potential of the latter interaction can be expressed in the following form [54],

$$V_{\text{S-PS}} = iZk_{\text{S-PS}} \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \rho(\mathbf{r})\gamma_0\gamma_5, \qquad (13)$$

where Z is the charge of the nucleus, $k_{\text{S-PS}}$ is the dimensionless coupling constant, and G_F is the Fermi coupling constant (2.2225 × 10⁻¹⁴ in atomic units). The energy shift corresponding to this interaction is

$$\delta E = \Omega k_{\text{S-PS}} W_{\text{S-PS}},\tag{14}$$

where

$$W_{\text{S-PS}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \langle \Psi | \frac{1}{k_{\text{S-PS}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} V_{\text{S-PS}}(\boldsymbol{r}_i) | \Psi \rangle.$$
(15)

Therefore, the approximate relation between the molecular parameters can be obtained:

$$W_{\rm ax}^{(eN)}(m_a \to \infty) = \frac{A\sqrt{2}}{Z} \frac{1}{G_F m_a^2} W_{\rm S-PS}.$$
 (16)

In Ref. [53] the value of the molecular parameter $W_{\text{S-PS}} = 8.29$ kHz was calculated. It corresponds to $W_{\text{ax}}^{(eN)}(m_a = 10^{10} \text{ eV}) = -2.01 \times 10^{-13} m_e c/\hbar$, which is very close to the value $-1.97 \times 10^{-13} m_e c/\hbar$ obtained in the present study (see Table I).

For convenience, the constraints obtained for both light and heavy ALPs are summarized in Table IV. In the case of light ALPs, the expected limitations on the products $|\bar{g}_N^s g_e^p|$ and $|g_e^s g_e^p|$ are approximately 50% and 40% better, respectively, than those derived [32] from the HfF⁺ experiment [10]. The constraint on $|\bar{g}_N^s g_e^p|/m_a^2$ is almost twice weaker, while the constraint on $|g_e^s g_e^p|/m_a^2$ is about 5% better for heavy ALPs compared to the HfF⁺ case [32]. Note that these comparisons are based on the present limitations of the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating energy shift in HfF⁺ [10], corresponding to $|d_e| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e$ cm, and the expected sensitivity of the BaF experiment [12], corresponding to $|d_e| = 5.0 \times 10^{-30} e$ cm. Taking into account the very close limitations on the eEDM, the numbers given above correspond to the relative sensitivities of HfF⁺ and BaF molecules to different \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violating effects. The difference in sensitivities is important to set more robust constraints on various sources of \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violating effects [55]. As one can see, the expected limitations on the products of the considered ALP-coupling constants from the experiment on the BaF molecule are of the same order of magnitude as those from the experiment on the HfF⁺ molecular cation. Thus, the conclusions drawn from the comparison with macroscopic experiments (see Ref. [25] for a review of such experiments) will be nearly identical to those for the HfF^+ case [32]: For very light ALPs, much better constraints can be obtained from macroscopic experiments [25,56-66]. However, for heavy ALPs ($m_a > 10^{-3}$ eV), the limitations that can be achieved from the planned experiment on the BaF molecule [12] can be more stringent (see Fig. 1, which updates the plot given in Ref. [28]).

V. CONCLUSIONS

The magnitudes of the effects of the axionlike-particlemediated scalar-pseudoscalar \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating nucleus-electron and electron-electron interactions were estimated for the barium monofluoride molecule in the $X^2 \Sigma_{1/2}$ ground electronic state. We demonstrated that the extended nucleus size effect is valuable in case of the nucleus-electron interaction mediated by heavy ALPs and should be taken into account in an accurate treatment.

In our calculations, we obtained the molecular parameters that characterize the \mathcal{T},\mathcal{P} -violating interactions in the ground state of the BaF molecule. These parameters can be used for interpretation of such experiment in terms of the scalar-pseudoscalar ALP-mediated interactions with arbitrary mass ALPs of future experiments [12]. The developed approach can be used in future studies of the scalar-pseudoscalar ALP-mediated nucleus-electron interaction in other molecules and atoms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to D. E. Maison for valuable discussions. Electronic structure calculations were carried out using computing resources of the federal collective usage center Complex for Simulation and Data Processing for Mega-science Facilities at National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" [67], and partly using the computing resources of the quantum chemistry laboratory. Molecular coupled cluster electronic structure calculations were supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 19-72-10019-P [68]. Calculations of the $W_{ax}^{(eN)}(m_a)$ matrix elements and development of corresponding code were supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS" Grant according to Project No. 21-1-2-47-1.

- M. S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D. F. Jackson Kimball, A. Derevianko, and C. W. Clark, Search for new physics with atoms and molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 025008 (2018).
- [2] R. Alarcon, J. Alexander, V. Anastassopoulos, T. Aoki, R. Baartman, S. Baeßler, L. Bartoszek, D. H. Beck, F. Bedeschi, R. Berger, M. Berz, H. L. Bethlem, T. Bhattacharya, M. Blaskiewicz, T. Blum, T. Bowcock, A. Borschevsky, K. Brown, D. Budker, S. Burdin *et al.*, Electric dipole moments and the search for new physics, arXiV:2203.08103.
- M. S. Safronova, Searches for new physics, in *Springer Handbook of Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, edited by G. W. F. Drake (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023), pp. 471–484.
- [4] Y. Ema, T. Gao, and M. Pospelov, Standard model prediction for paramagnetic electric dipole moments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 231801 (2022).
- [5] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamanaka, Quark level and hadronic contributions to the electric dipole moment of charged leptons in the standard model, Phys. Rev. D 103, 013001 (2021).
- [6] J. Engel, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and U. van Kolck, Electric dipole moments of nucleons, nuclei, and atoms: The standard model and beyond, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 71, 21 (2013).
- [7] D. V. Chubukov and L. N. Labzowsky, *P*, *T*-odd electronnucleus interaction in atomic systems as an exchange by higgs bosons, Phys. Rev. A 93, 062503 (2016).
- [8] E. D. Commins, Electric dipole moments of leptons, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 40, 1 (1998).
- [9] T. E. Chupp, P. Fierlinger, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and J. T. Singh, Electric dipole moments of atoms, molecules, nuclei, and particles, Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, 015001 (2019).
- [10] T. S. Roussy, L. Caldwell, T. Wright, W. B. Cairneross, Y. Shagam, K. B. Ng, N. Schlossberger, S. Y. Park, A. Wang, J. Ye, and E. A. Cornell, An improved bound on the electron's electric dipole moment, Science 381, 46 (2023).
- [11] V. Andreev, D. Ang, D. DeMille, J. Doyle, G. Gabrielse, J. Haefner, N. Hutzler, Z. Lasner, C. Meisenhelder, B. O'Leary *et al.*, Improved limit on the electric dipole moment of the electron, Nature (London) 562, 355 (2018).
- [12] P. Aggarwal, H. L. Bethlem, A. Borschevsky, M. Denis, K. Esajas, P. A. B. Haase, Y. Hao, S. Hoekstra, K. Jungmann, T. B. Meijknecht *et al.*, Measuring the electric dipole moment of the electron in baf, Eur. Phys. J. D 72, 197 (2018).
- [13] A. Boeschoten, V. Marshall, T. Meijknecht, A. Touwen, H. Bethlem, A. Borschevsky, S. Hoekstra, J. van Hofslot, K. Jungmann, M. Mooij *et al.*, Novel spin-precession method for sensitive EDM searches, arXiV:2303.06402.
- [14] M. Rockenhäuser, F. Kogel, E. Pultinevicius, and T. Langen, Absorption spectroscopy for laser cooling and high-fidelity detection of barium monofluoride molecules, Phys. Rev. A 108, 062812 (2023).
- [15] M. Kozlov and L. Labzowsky, Parity violation effects in diatomics, J. Phys. B 28, 1933 (1995).
- [16] I. B. Khriplovich, Parity Non-Conservation in Atomic Phenomena (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1991).
- [17] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, A cosmological bound on the invisible axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 133 (1983).
- [18] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Cosmology of the invisible axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 127 (1983).
- [19] M. Dine and W. Fischler, The not-so-harmless axion, Phys. Lett. B 120, 137 (1983).

- [20] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977).
- [21] F. Wilczek, Problem of strong \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} invariance in the presence of instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 279 (1978).
- [22] S. Weinberg, A new light boson? Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978).
- [23] P. Svrcek and E. Witten, Axions in string theory, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2006) 051.
- [24] A. Arvanitaki, S. Dimopoulos, S. Dubovsky, N. Kaloper, and J. March-Russell, String axiverse, Phys. Rev. D 81, 123530 (2010).
- [25] C. A. J. O'Hare and E. Vitagliano, Cornering the axion with cp-violating interactions, Phys. Rev. D 102, 115026 (2020).
- [26] P. Agrawal, N. R. Hutzler, D. E. Kaplan, S. Rajendran, and M. Reig, Searching for axion forces with spin precession in atoms and molecules, arXiV:2309.10023.
- [27] D. F. Jackson Kimball, D. Budker, T. E. Chupp, A. A. Geraci, S. Kolkowitz, J. T. Singh, and A. O. Sushkov, Probing fundamental physics with spin-based quantum sensors, Phys. Rev. A 108, 010101 (2023).
- [28] Y. V. Stadnik, V. A. Dzuba, and V. V. Flambaum, Improved limits on axionlike-particle-mediated *P*, *T*-violating interactions between electrons and nucleons from electric dipole moments of atoms and molecules, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 013202 (2018).
- [29] D. E. Maison, V. V. Flambaum, N. R. Hutzler, and L. V. Skripnikov, Electronic structure of the ytterbium monohydroxide molecule to search for axionlike particles, Phys. Rev. A 103, 022813 (2021).
- [30] D. E. Maison, L. V. Skripnikov, A. V. Oleynichenko, and A. V. Zaitsevskii, Axion-mediated electronelectron interaction in ytterbium monohydroxide molecule, J. Chem. Phys. 154, 224303 (2021).
- [31] D. E. Maison and L. V. Skripnikov, Static electric dipole moment of the francium atom induced by axionlike particle exchange, Phys. Rev. A 105, 032813 (2022).
- [32] S. D. Prosnyak, D. E. Maison, and L. V. Skripnikov, Updated constraints on *T*, *P*-violating axionlike-particle-mediated electronelectron and electronnucleus interactions from HfF+ experiment, Symmetry 15, 1043 (2023).
- [33] J. E. Moody and F. Wilczek, New macroscopic forces? Phys. Rev. D 30, 130 (1984).
- [34] Y. Y. Dmitriev, Y. G. Khait, M. G. Kozlov, L. N. Labzovsky, A. O. Mitrushenkov, A. V. Shtoff, and A. V. Titov, Calculation of the spin-rotational hamiltonian including P- and P, T -odd weak interaction terms for the HgF and PbF molecules, Phys. Lett. A 167, 280 (1992).
- [35] It is assumed that the distributions of neutrons and protons are equal.
- [36] L. Visscher and K. G. Dyall, Diracfock atomic electronic structure calculations using different nuclear charge distributions, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 67, 207 (1997).
- [37] Written by A. S. P. Gomes, T. Saue, L. Visscher, H. J. Å. Jensen, R. Bast *et al.*, DIRAC, a relativistic *ab initio* electronic structure program, release DIRAC19 (2019), http://diracprogram.org.
- [38] T. Saue, R. Bast, A. S. P. Gomes, H. J. A. Jensen, L. Visscher, I. A. Aucar, R. Di Remigio, K. G. Dyall, E. Eliav, E. Fasshauer, T. Fleig, L. Halbert, E. D. Hedegard, B. Helmich-Paris, M. Ilias, C. R. Jacob, S. Knecht, J. K. Laerdahl, M. L. Vidal,

M. K. Nayak *et al.*, The dirac code for relativistic molecular calculations, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 204104 (2020).

- [39] M. Kállay, P. R. Nagy, D. Mester, Z. Rolik, G. Samu, J. Csontos, J. Csóka, P. B. Szabó, L. Gyevi-Nagy, B. Hégely, I. Ladjánszki, L. Szegedy, B. Ladóczki, K. Petrov, M. Farkas, P. D. Mezei, and Á. Ganyecz, The MRCC program system: Accurate quantum chemistry from water to proteins, J. Chem. Phys. 152, 074107 (2020), www.mrcc.hu.
- [40] M. Kállay and P. R. Surján, Higher excitations in coupledcluster theory, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 2945 (2001).
- [41] M. Kállay, P. G. Szalay, and P. R. Surján, A general stateselective multireference coupled-cluster algorithm, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 980 (2002).
- [42] L. Visscher, T. J. Lee, and K. G. Dyall, Formulation and implementation of a relativistic unrestricted coupled-cluster method including noniterative connected triples, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 8769 (1996).
- [43] R. J. Bartlett and M. Musiał, Coupled-cluster theory in quantum chemistry, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 291 (2007).
- [44] K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, *Constants of Diatomic Molecules* (Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1979).
- [45] C. Ryzlewicz and T. Törring, Formation and microwave spectrum of the ${}^{2}\Sigma$ -radical barium-monofluoride, Chem. Phys. **51**, 329 (1980).
- [46] L. V. Skripnikov, D. E. Maison, and N. S. Mosyagin, Scalarpseudoscalar interaction in the francium atom, Phys. Rev. A 95, 022507 (2017).
- [47] L. V. Skripnikov and A. V. Titov, Theoretical study of ThF^+ in the search for *T*, *P*-violation effects: Effective state of a Th atom in ThF^+ and ThO compounds, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 042504 (2015).
- [48] K. G. Dyall, Relativistic double-zeta, triple-zeta, and quadruplezeta basis sets for the light elements H—Ar, Theor. Chem. Acc. 135, 128 (2016).
- [49] K. G. Dyall, Relativistic double-zeta, triple-zeta, and quadruplezeta basis sets for the 4s, 5s, 6s, and 7s elements, J. Phys. Chem. A 113, 12638 (2009).
- [50] K. G. Dyall, Core correlating basis functions for elements 31– 118, Theor. Chem. Acc. 131, 1217 (2012).
- [51] W. Johnson and G. Soff, The lamb shift in hydrogen-like atoms, $1 \le z \le 110$, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 33, 405 (1985).
- [52] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.109.042821 for tables with supporting data.
- [53] P. A. B. Haase, D. J. Doeglas, A. Boeschoten, E. Eliav, M. Iliaš, P. Aggarwal, H. L. Bethlem, A. Borschevsky, K. Esajas, Y. Hao, S. Hoekstra, V. R. Marshall, T. B. Meijknecht, M. C. Mooij, K. Steinebach, R. G. E. Timmermans, A. P. Touwen, W. Ubachs, L. Willmann, Y. Yin (NL-eEDM Collaboration), Systematic study

and uncertainty evaluation of *P*, *T*-odd molecular enhancement factors in BaF, J. Chem. Phys. **155**, 034309 (2021).

- [54] J. S. M. Ginges and V. V. Flambaum, Violations of fundamental symmetries in atoms and tests of unification theories of elementary particles, Phys. Rep. 397, 63 (2004).
- [55] M. Jung, A robust limit for the electric dipole moment of the electron, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 168.
- [56] B. R. Heckel, E. G. Adelberger, C. E. Cramer, T. S. Cook, S. Schlamminger, and U. Schmidt, Preferred-frame and cpviolation tests with polarized electrons, Phys. Rev. D 78, 092006 (2008).
- [57] D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, D. J. Heinzen, W. M. Itano, and M. G. Raizen, Search for anomalous spin-dependent forces using stored-ion spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1735 (1991).
- [58] J. Lee, A. Almasi, and M. Romalis, Improved limits on spinmass interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 161801 (2018).
- [59] S. A. Hoedl, S. M. Fleischer, E. G. Adelberger, and B. R. Heckel, Improved constraints on an axion-mediated force, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 041801 (2011).
- [60] E. Aprile, J. Aalbers, F. Agostini, M. Alfonsi, L. Althueser, F. D. Amaro, V. C. Antochi, E. Angelino, F. Arneodo, D. Barge, L. Baudis, B. Bauermeister, L. Bellagamba, M. L. Benabderrahmane, T. Berger, P. A. Breur, A. Brown, E. Brown, S. Bruenner, G. Bruno *et al.* (XENON Collaboration), Light dark matter search with ionization signals in XENON1T, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 251801 (2019).
- [61] A. N. Youdin, D. Krause, Jr., K. Jagannathan, L. R. Hunter, and S. K. Lamoreaux, Limits on spin-mass couplings within the axion window, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2170 (1996).
- [62] W.-T. Ni, S. S. Pan, H.-C. Yeh, L.-S. Hou, and J. Wan, Search for an axionlike spin coupling using a paramagnetic salt with a dc squid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2439 (1999).
- [63] G. D. Hammond, C. C. Speake, C. Trenkel, and A. P. Patón, New constraints on short-range forces coupling mass to intrinsic spin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 081101 (2007).
- [64] W. A. Terrano, E. G. Adelberger, J. G. Lee, and B. R. Heckel, Short-range, spin-dependent interactions of electrons: A probe for exotic pseudo-goldstone bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 201801 (2015).
- [65] N. Crescini, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, P. Falferi, A. Ortolan, and G. Ruoso, Improved constraints on monopoledipole interaction mediated by pseudo-scalar bosons, Phys. Lett. B 773, 677 (2017).
- [66] X. Rong, M. Wang, J. Geng, X. Qin, M. Guo, M. Jiao, Y. Xie, P. Wang, P. Huang, F. Shi *et al.*, Searching for an exotic spin-dependent interaction with a single electron-spin quantum sensor, Nat. Commun. 9, 739 (2018).
- [67] http://ckp.nrcki.ru/.
- [68] https://rscf.ru/project/22-72-41010/.