## Acoustic horizon as a phase-slip surface

Sergei Khlebnikov D

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA

(Received 2 November 2023; accepted 12 January 2024; published 5 February 2024)

A recent experiment has demonstrated the formation of a supersonic region in a convergent two-dimensional flow of a condensate of cesium atoms. The theoretical description of this effect has made use of stationary solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a three-body dissipative term. Here we further develop that description, focusing on a different stationary solution, linear stability analysis, and properties of the timedependent "resistive" state.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.109.023305

### I. INTRODUCTION

Loss of superfluidity at a critical current is a classic problem, the theoretical study of which goes back to Landau's work on a stability criterion [1]. That work emphasized the dependence of the stability of the flow on the relation between the speed of the flow and phase velocities of excitations that can propagate in the fluid, such as the sound. In a separate development, supersonic flows were proposed [2] as a means of creating an acoustic horizon, analogous to the event horizon of a black hole, with the purpose of simulating Hawking radiation predicted [3] for the latter. By now, significant experimental effort has been directed towards realizing this physics in one-dimensional (1D) superflows [4-6] and it seems there is a consensus that the instability associated with the Landau criterion plays a role in this case, at stages following the formation of an inner acoustic horizon  $\begin{bmatrix} 6-8 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Recently, an experiment by Tamura *et al.* [9] obtained a convergent 2D supersonic flow in a superfluid of cesium atoms. This work observed self-induced oscillations in the flow, which the analysis attributed to the complex nonlinear dynamics associated with the emission of ring solitons from the supersonic region (even though individual solitons could not be identified in the experiment due to limits of the spatial resolution). Parallels have been drawn to two phenomena discussed in the earlier literature: continuous emission of solitons by a superfluid flowing over an obstacle in one dimension [10-12] and the formation of a localized self-oscillating state—a phase-slip center [13]—in a superconducting wire. (For two-horizon states, the emission of soliton trains in one dimension was studied numerically in Refs. [14,15].)

The two phenomena just mentioned are in a sense at the opposite ends of the inertia versus dissipation spectrum. Indeed, the local dynamics described by the conservative 1D Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation, on which calculations of soliton emission [10,11,14,15] have been based, is purely inertial, the only dissipative effect being radiation of solitons and sound to infinity. In contrast, the dynamics at the phase-slip center of Ref. [13] involves local dissipation, due to a diffusive normal component. The convergent radial superflow engineered in Ref. [9] has both types of dissipative mechanisms, with local

loss of particles provided by three-body recombination at the center of the trap. For this reason, the system is of special interest as a platform for studying the combined effect of dissipation and nonlinearity.

Here, after a brief review (in Sec. II) of the theoretical methods used in our previous work [9], we present two additional results concerning the bifurcation that is a part of the description proposed there. First, we observe that, even after the superfluid ground state disappears through a saddle-node bifurcation, there remains a second superfluid solution, which can be viewed as a bound state of a ring soliton in the central region. The domain of attraction of this second solution, though, appears to be quite small, and a quench to a current not far above the critical leads to the complex quasiperiodic dynamics described in Ref. [9].

Second, we propose a numerical diagnostic of that complex dynamics based on the rate of change of the phase of the order parameter in the central region. While in a superfluid state the phase is a constant or performs periodic oscillations, in the quasiperiodic regime it grows without limit at a more or less constant rate (with a quasiperiodic component superimposed). This strengthens the analogy between the present system and the resistive state of superconducting wires (where, by Josephson's relation, the rate of change of the phase is proportional to the voltage). A further discussion of the results is given in Sec. V.

## **II. GROUND STATE AND DROPLET**

As in Ref. [9], our starting point is the 2D classical GP equation with an additional three-body dissipative term

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(-\nabla^2 + 2gn - i\gamma_3 n^2)\psi + [V(r) - \mu]\psi,$$
 (1)

where  $n = \psi^{\dagger}\psi$  in the 2D atom density, *r* is the radial coordinate, and *g* and  $\gamma_3$  are real positive constants describing the pairwise atomic interaction and three-body dissipation, respectively. The dissipative coefficient  $\gamma_3$  is related to the three-body recombination rate  $L_3$  in  $\dot{n} = -L_3 n^3$  by  $\gamma_3 = mL_3/\hbar$  (with  $2m/\hbar = 4.185 \text{ ms/}\mu\text{m}^2$  for cesium). The TABLE I. Values of the parameters used for numerical calculations.

g	<i>r</i> <sub>s</sub> (µm)	<i>R</i> (µm)	$n_{\rm ref}~(\mu {\rm m}^{-2})$	$L_3 \ (\mu m^4/ms)$
0.42	6.5	26	9.9	$4.3 \times 10^{-5}$

addressing potential V(r) is taken in the Gaussian form as

$$V(r) = -V_0 \exp\left(-2r^2/r_s^2\right),$$
 (2)

with  $V_0 > 0$ . This potential causes the particles to flow towards the center of the trap, where as a consequence the density becomes high, and the particles are efficiently removed by three-body recombination.

As far as solutions to the nonlinear problem (1) go, we consider only those with perfect rotational symmetry, i.e.,  $\psi(r, t)$ . On the other hand, when studying the linear stability of a stationary solution so obtained, we allow for arbitrary eigenmodes of the form  $\chi(r)e^{i\ell\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle and  $\ell$  an integer. This amounts to the replacement

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \partial_r) - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2}$$
(3)

in the linearized version of the problem.

Equation (1) is supplemented by the standard regularity condition  $\partial_r \psi = 0$  at r = 0 and a boundary condition at an outer radius r = R. We wish to choose the condition at r = Rso as to allow, at least in principle, for the existence of stationary solutions, in which the loss of particles at the center is precisely compensated by inflow from the boundary. This is an idealized version of the situation when there is a flow of particles from large radii, resulting in a slowly changing (albeit not precisely stationary) state. A convenient choice is [9]

$$\partial_r \mathcal{R}(r,t)|_{r=R} = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}(r,t)|_{r=R} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are the real and imaginary parts of  $\psi$ , respectively. Note the different boundary conditions for  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$ . The conditions (4) set the phase of  $\psi$  at r = R to zero and, as can be seen by computation of the particle current, allow for a nonzero particle inflow at the boundary. Alternatively, one can observe that these conditions break the symmetry  $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\psi$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real parameter; this is the symmetry responsible, via Noether's theorem, for conservation of the particle number. Equation (4) however does not determine the amount of the inflow, which is then found as a part of the solution [9].

The depth  $V_0$  of the potential controls the speed of the flow and is considered a variable. The other parameters are fixed at values that are close to those in Ref. [9] and are listed in Table I. Note that  $\gamma_3$  is specified in terms of  $L_3$  as described above and the chemical potential  $\mu$  in terms of a reference density  $n_{\text{ref}}$  as  $\mu = \hbar^2 g n_{\text{ref}}/m$ .

We discretize the right-hand side of (1) on a uniform grid of N points and separate its real and imaginary parts  $\hbar F_R$  and  $\hbar F_I$  to write (1) as a system of 2N algebraic equations

$$\partial_t \mathcal{R}_j = F_{Ij}(u_i), \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{I}_j = -F_{Rj}(u_i),\tag{6}$$



FIG. 1. Profiles of the density and the phase of  $\psi$  (inset) for two stationary solutions that exist when the potential depth  $V_0$  is below critical.

where the 2*N*-dimensional vector  $u_i$  is composed of  $\mathcal{R}_j$  and  $\mathcal{I}_j$  as

$$u_j = \mathcal{R}_j, \quad u_{j+N} = \mathcal{I}_j \tag{7}$$

for j = 0, ..., N - 1. The components  $F_{Rj}$  and  $F_{Ij}$ , on the other hand, can be combined either into a vector  $V_i$ , as

$$V_j = F_{Rj}, \quad V_{j+N} = F_{Ij}, \tag{8}$$

or into a different vector  $\tilde{V}_i$ , as

$$\tilde{V}_j = F_{Ij}, \quad \tilde{V}_{j+N} = -F_{Rj}.$$
(9)

Two different vector fields defined above give rise to two different Jacobians. One is

$$J_{ik} = \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial u_k} \tag{10}$$

and is useful for studying stationary solutions, those for which  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i = 0$ . Indeed, consider a stationary solution to the dissipative problem (1), but look first at the Jacobian *J* computed in the absence of dissipation, i.e., for  $\gamma_3 = 0$ . That Jacobian is a symmetric matrix and so has only real eigenvalues. If an eigenvalue is simple, we may then expect it to remain real when the dissipation is nonzero but not too strong. This is convenient if one wishes to study the merging of two stationary solutions at a bifurcation point. The other Jacobian

$$\tilde{J}_{ik} = \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_i}{\partial u_k} \tag{11}$$

is necessary for linear analysis of stability of solutions. Its eigenvalues are either real or come in complex conjugate pairs.

Examples of stationary solutions, obtained by applying the multidimensional Newton-Raphson (NR) method to the discretized problem with the parameters listed in Table I, are shown in Fig. 1. Linear algebra required by that method, as well as computation of the eigenvalues of J and  $\tilde{J}$ , has been done using the LAPACK library [16]. For finding stable ground states, there is an alternative to the NR method—computing the end point of real-time evolution with a reasonable initial condition; results from these different methods agree.

The two solutions shown in Fig. 1 correspond to the same value of the potential depth  $V_0$ . For the solution with a dip in the density profile, the Jacobian *J* has a single negative eigenvalue for each of several values of the orbital number  $\ell$ , including  $\ell = 0$ . Using terminology already in place for resistive transitions in superconducting wires [17,18], we refer to this solution as the droplet. For the other, smoother solution, *J* has no negative eigenvalues and we refer to it as the ground state.

As we increase  $V_0$ , the solutions merge and disappear via a bifurcation at a critical  $V_0 = V_{c1}$  (here  $V_{c1} \approx 87.8$  nK). The critical solution has a small supersonic region, with an inner horizon at  $r \approx 9 \,\mu\text{m}$  and an outer horizon at  $r \approx 10 \,\mu\text{m}$ . For this reason, the loss of the superfluid ground state at the bifurcation point has been interpreted in Ref. [9] as an expression of the Landau criterion.

The results in this section and the next, with the exception of Fig. 3 (inset), are from a grid of size N = 200, which is smaller than the N = 500 used in Ref. [9]. Where a comparison has been made, however, the results from N = 200and N = 500 are quite similar. For example, increasing the grid size to N = 500 shifts the critical potential depth  $V_{c1}$  by a fraction of a percent, to  $V_{c1} \approx 88.0$  nK, while changes in the profiles of the ground state and droplet for  $V_0 = 80$  nK, relative to those in Fig. 1, are almost imperceptible by eye. The inset of Fig. 3 in the next section presents a comparison of results from two grid sizes for another type of solution, whose radial dependence is particularly sharp.

Linear stability analysis, based on eigenvalues of the Jacobian  $\tilde{J}$ , shows that the droplet always has an unstable mode with  $\ell = 0$ . Away from the critical point, it also has unstable modes with nonzero  $\ell$  but those disappear as  $V_0$  gets closer to  $V_{c1}$ . At the critical point, the (real) eigenvalue corresponding to the unstable mode approaches zero, confirming that the bifurcation is of the saddle-node type.

#### **III. SECOND SUPERFLUID SOLUTION**

It is instructive to follow the nonlinear rotationally symmetric evolution, as described by Eq. (1), starting with the field displaced from the droplet along the unstable mode. We find different dynamics depending on the direction of the displacement. For one of the directions, the field relaxes to the ground-state solution, but for the other it approaches a different superfluid state, which is either a new stationary solution or a small limit cycle near such. For  $V_0 = 80$  nK (the same value used for Fig. 1), the second option is realized, so the relevant orbits in the rotationally symmetric problem look schematically as shown in Fig. 2.

The stationary solution itself is shown in Fig. 3. Note that the dip in the density is much larger than that for the droplet and occurs at a smaller radius. One may observe the similarity of the density profile to that of the ring dark soliton of the conservative GP equation [19]. We interpret our solution as a bound state of a ring soliton in the central region. The limit cycle into which it decays if perturbed corresponds to small radial oscillations of the soliton, accompanied by radiation of waves to infinity.



FIG. 2. Schematic diagram illustrating decay of the droplet to the ground state or to another superfluid (SF) state, depending on the direction of the initial displacement. In this example, the second SF state corresponds to a limit cycle near an unstable stationary solution.

A comparison of the results from two grid sizes in the inset of Fig. 3 shows that the dip, sharp as it is, is well resolved in our numerical computation. The grid points for N = 500happen to fall almost symmetrically about the minimum of n(r), which allows us to estimate the density at the minimum as  $n_{\min} = 0.37 \ \mu m^{-2}$ . This estimate is confirmed by computation on an even finer grid (N = 1000).

Using the NR method, we have found stationary solutions of this kind for a wide range of potential depths: from  $V_0$ as low as 19.6 nK to as high as  $V_0 = V_{c2} \approx 94.6$  nK. Note that this range includes the critical depth  $V_{c1}$  of the preceding section. The way the new solution disappears at  $V_0 = V_{c2}$  is similar to how the ground state disappears at  $V_0 = V_{c1}$ : In both cases, a small supersonic region forms near r = 10 µm.

In addition to having an  $\ell = 0$  unstable mode for certain ranges of  $V_0$ , the second stationary solution always (as far as we can tell) has several unstable modes with  $\ell \ge 2$ , with the most unstable one for  $V_0$  close to  $V_{c2}$  corresponding to  $\ell = 9$ . We interpret these as evidence of a transverse (snaking) instability of the type discussed previously [19,20] for ring dark solitons in the conservative system without flow.

To become operational, a transverse instability requires preexisting fluctuations with  $\ell \neq 0$ , such as those due to thermal or quantum noise. The smaller these initial fluctuations are, the longer it will take for the instability to develop.



FIG. 3. Profiles of the density (solid line) and the phase of  $\psi$  (dashed line) for the new stationary solution. The inset shows details of the density profile near the minimum, allowing for an estimate of the minimum density (see the text for details) and a comparison of results from two different grid sizes.

This means that the results of numerical evolution of a rotationally symmetric problem can remain applicable for quite some time, provided initial fluctuations with  $\ell \neq 0$  are small enough. Indeed, for the system without flow, extended intervals preceding transverse fragmentation of ring solitons have been observed both in fully 2D simulations of the GP equation with a realistic amount of noise and in the experiment [20].

### **IV. RESISTIVE STATES**

The results of the preceding section may suggest that a quench of the potential to a depth above  $V_{c1}$  but below  $V_{c2}$  will lead directly to the new superfluid state. As we will now see, that is indeed observed in numerical evolution for weak quenches.<sup>1</sup> A stronger quench, however, leads instead to complex quasiperiodic dynamics of the type described in Ref. [9].

To further characterize that dynamics, we compute the change over time of the phase  $\theta = \arg \psi$  at some radius *r* in the active region (where the flow speed reaches large values). This is done by computing the time derivative of  $\theta$  at radius *r* from the equation

$$\hbar\dot{\theta} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \operatorname{Re} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi}{\psi} - 2gn \right) - V(r) + \mu \qquad (12)$$

and integrating it over time to obtain

$$\Delta \theta(t) = \int_0^t \dot{\theta}(t') dt'.$$
(13)

The results shown in Fig. 4 are for  $\Delta\theta(t)$  at  $r = 4.94 \,\mu\text{m}$  for two instantaneous quenches, both from the ground state at  $V_0 = 87$  nK, but one to  $V_0 = 88$  nK, which is just above  $V_{c1}$ , and the other to a larger  $V_0 = 90$  nK. We see that for the weaker quench, after three well-defined phase slips at t < 100 ms, the phase settles to a nearly constant value. Eventually, it approaches a limit cycle of the type discussed in Sec. III. On the other hand, for the stronger quench, phase growth continues unabated, at a more or less constant rate but with a noticeable quasiperiodic component.

By analogy with Josephson's relation for superconductors, we can think of  $\dot{\theta}$  or, more precisely, its average over a long period of time as a "voltage", whose zero (nonzero) values correspond to superfluid (resistive) states. For the superfluid ground state,  $\dot{\theta} = 0$ . We extend the definition of superfluidity to states, like the limit cycle developing for  $V_0 = 88$  nK in Fig. 4, for which  $\dot{\theta}$  is nonzero but averages to zero over an asymptotically long time. States with a nonzero and approxi-



FIG. 4. Change of the phase of the order parameter (in units of  $2\pi$ ) as a function of time at a given radius for two instantaneous quenches of the potential to supercritical values, with the system initially in a superfluid ground state.

mately constant time average of  $\hat{\theta}$ , on the other hand, can be described in this terminology as resistive.

## **V. DISCUSSION**

Repeated phase slips, accompanying the emission of solitons, occur also in the conservative 1D GP equation [10]. A peculiarity of the present (dissipative 2D) case is the complex quasiperiodic nature of the process at its later stages (cf. Fig. 4). We note that this peculiarity may in fact be related to another attribute of the present case that we have highlighted here: The second superfluid solution that exists even after the first one (the ground state) has disappeared.

Indeed, imagine that instead of the phase portrait sketched in Fig. 2 we had one where the system relaxed to the ground state for either direction of the initial displacement. Then, at the critical  $V_0 = V_{c1}$ , at which the ground state and the droplet merge, there would be an orbit that starts and ends at the same point (a homoclinic orbit in the terminology of dynamical systems theory). By a theorem of Shilnikov [22,23], for  $V_0$ just above  $V_{c1}$ , there would then be a stable limit cycle in the vicinity of that orbit. The existence of the second superfluid solution, however, obviates this argument: The second solution represents a different limit cycle, which lies far from the original ground state or droplet locus. As it turns out, the domain of attraction of this solution is quite small, allowing more complex dynamics to readily emerge.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Chen-Lung Hung for discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. DOE QuantISED program through a theory consortium at Fermilab and by the W. M. Keck Foundation.

 L. Landau, Theory of the superfluidity of helium II, Phys. Rev. 60, 356 (1941).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An oscillating soliton of the type described in Sec. III has been observed also in simulations of the time-dependent equation (1) in which the potential is ramped gradually from zero, rather than quenched [21].

<sup>[2]</sup> W. G. Unruh, Experimental black-hole evaporation? Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1351 (1981).

- [3] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [4] J. Steinhauer, Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole, Nat. Phys. 12, 959 (2016).
- [5] J. R. M. de Nova, K. Golubkov, V. I. Kolobov, and J. Steinhauer, Observation of thermal Hawking radiation and its temperature in an analogue black hole, Nature (London) 569, 688 (2019).
- [6] V. I. Kolobov, K. Golubkov, J. R. M. de Nova, and J. Steinhauer, Observation of stationary spontaneous Hawking radiation and the time evolution of an analogue black hole, Nat. Phys. 17, 362 (2021).
- [7] M. Tettamanti, S. L. Cacciatori, A. Parola, and I. Carusotto, Numerical study of a recent black-hole lasing experiment, Europhys. Lett. 114, 60011 (2016).
- [8] Y.-H. Wang, T. Jacobson, M. Edwards, and C. W. Clark, Mechanism of stimulated Hawking radiation in a laboratory Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. A 96, 023616 (2017).
- [9] H. Tamura, S. Khlebnikov, C.-A. Chen, and C.-L. Hung, Observation of self-oscillating supersonic flow across an acoustic horizon in two dimensions, arXiv:2304.10667.
- [10] V. Hakim, Nonlinear Schrödinger flow past an obstacle in one dimension, Phys. Rev. E 55, 2835 (1997).
- [11] N. Pavloff, Breakdown of superfluidity of an atom laser past an obstacle, Phys. Rev. A 66, 013610 (2002).
- [12] P. Engels and C. Atherton, Stationary and nonstationary fluid flow of a Bose-Einstein condensate through a penetrable barrier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 160405 (2007).

- [13] W. J. Skocpol, M. R. Beasley, and M. Tinkham, Phase-slip centers and nonequilibrium processes in superconducting tin microbridges, J. Low Temp. Phys. 16, 145 (1974).
- [14] F. Michel and R. Parentani, Nonlinear effects in time-dependent transonic flows: An analysis of analog black hole stability, Phys. Rev. A 91, 053603 (2015).
- [15] J. R. M. de Nova, S. Finazzi, and I. Carusotto, Time-dependent study of a black-hole laser in a flowing atomic condensate, Phys. Rev. A 94, 043616 (2016).
- [16] The LAPACK Team, LAPACK—Linear Algebra PACKage, netlib.org/lapack/
- [17] W. A. Little, Decay of persistent currents in small superconductors, Phys. Rev. 156, 396 (1967).
- [18] J. S. Langer and V. Ambegaokar, Intrinsic resistive transition in narrow superconducting channels, Phys. Rev. 164, 498 (1967).
- [19] G. Theocharis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, P. G. Kevrekidis, B. A. Malomed, and Y. S. Kivshar, Ring dark solitons and vortex necklaces in Bose-Einstein condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 120403 (2003).
- [20] H. Tamura, C.-A. Chen, and C.-L. Hung, Observation of selfpatterned defect formation in atomic superfluids—from ring dark solitons to vortex dipole necklaces, Phys. Rev. X 13, 031029 (2023).
- [21] C.-L. Hung (private communication).
- [22] L. P. Shilnikov, Some cases of generation of period motions from singular trajectories, Mat. Sb. 61, 443 (1963).
- [23] S. Gonchenko, A. Kazakov, D. Turaev, and A. L. Shilnikov, Leonid Shilnikov and mathematical theory of dynamical chaos, Chaos 32, 010402 (2022).