## Comment on "Multiparty quantum mutual information: An alternative definition"

Jaehak Lee ,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Gibeom Noh,<sup>3</sup> Changsuk Noh,<sup>3</sup> and Jiyong Park <sup>4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Center for Quantum Information, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul 02792, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea

<sup>4</sup>School of Basic Sciences, Hanbat National University, Daejeon 34158, Korea

(Received 14 June 2023; accepted 16 November 2023; published 27 December 2023)

We show that, contrary to the claim by Kumar [Phys. Rev. A 96, 012332 (2017)], the quantum dual total correlation of an *n*-partite quantum state cannot be represented as the quantum relative entropy between n-1copies of the quantum state and the product of n different reduced quantum states for  $n \ge 3$ . Specifically, we argue that the latter fails to yield a finite value for generalized *n*-partite Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.108.066401

In [1], a quantum version of the dual total correlation [2] for an *n*-partite quantum state  $\rho$  was proposed as

$$I_n(\rho) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n S(\rho_{\bar{k}}) - (n-1)S(\rho),$$
(1)

where  $S(\tau) = -\text{tr}(\tau \log_2 \tau)$  is the von Neumann entropy of  $\tau$  and  $\rho_{\bar{k}} = \text{tr}_k \rho$  denotes the (n-1)-partite quantum state obtained by taking the partial trace on the kth party of  $\rho$ . In addition, it was claimed that Eq. (1) can be represented as

$$I_n(\rho) = J_n(\rho) \equiv S\left(\rho^{\otimes (n-1)} \middle| \middle| \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \rho_{\bar{k}} \right),$$
(2)

where  $\rho^{\otimes j}$  represents *j* copies of  $\rho$  and  $S(\tau || \sigma)$  is the quantum relative entropy of  $\tau$  with respect to  $\sigma$  [3],

$$S(\tau || \sigma) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{tr}(\tau \log_2 \tau) - \operatorname{tr}(\tau \log_2 \sigma) & \text{if } \operatorname{supp}(\tau) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\sigma) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the support of  $\omega$  is the Hilbert space spanned by the eigenstates of  $\omega$  with nonzero eigenvalue [4].

It is well known that  $I_2(\rho)$  can be represented as the quantum relative entropy of a global quantum state  $\rho$  with respect to the product of two local quantum states  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , i.e.,  $I_2(\rho) = S(\rho || \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$  [5]. We emphasize that the parties between global and local quantum states must be properly matched to avoid the infinity in Eq. (3). While  $supp(\rho) \subseteq$  $\operatorname{supp}(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$  is always met,  $\operatorname{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1)$  is not satisfied in general. It leads to a discrepancy between  $I_2(\rho) =$  $S(\rho || \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$  and  $J_2(\rho) = S(\rho || \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1)$ . For instance, we have  $I_2(\rho) = 0$  and  $J_2(\rho) = \infty$  for  $\rho = |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2|$ satisfying  $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 0$ .

One can generalize this observation to the case of  $n \ge 3$ . Looking into the order of the parties in  $\rho^{\otimes (n-1)}$  and  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{\bar{k}}$ , one immediately sees that they are mismatched for all n because the former and the latter start with the first and second parties of  $\rho$ , respectively. Similar to the case of n = 2, if we look into a product state  $\rho = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k|$  satisfying  $\langle \psi_i | \psi_i \rangle = \delta_{i,i}$ , we obtain  $I_n(\rho) = 0$  and  $J_n(\rho) = \infty$ . One may think that rearranging the parties of quantum

states can resolve the support condition problem. It is possible for n = 2 but impossible for  $n \ge 3$ . We show this by investigating the case of  $\rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$  with  $|\phi\rangle = \sqrt{p}|0\rangle^{\otimes n} +$  $\sqrt{1-p}|1\rangle^{\otimes n}$ . The state of  $|\phi\rangle^{\otimes (n-1)}$  is represented by the superposition of the basis states having the multiples of n, i.e.,  $\{0, n, 2n, \dots, n(n-1)\}$ , copies of  $|1\rangle$ . On the other hand, the eigenstates of  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{\bar{k}}$  have multiples of n-1, i.e., {0, (n-1), 2(n-1), ..., n(n-1)}, copies of  $|1\rangle$ . As *n* and n-1 are coprimes,  $|\phi\rangle^{\otimes (n-1)}$  is orthogonal to the eigenstates of  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{\bar{k}}$  except for  $|0\rangle^{\otimes n(n-1)}$  and  $|1\rangle^{\otimes n(n-1)}$ , which means  $\operatorname{supp}(\rho^{\otimes (n-1)}) \not\subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\bigotimes_{k=1}^n \rho_k)$  for  $n \ge 3$ . Importantly, the observation just described remains valid even if we rearrange the parties. Therefore, we have  $I_n(\rho) = -n[p \log_2 p + (1 - p \log_2 p)]$  $p\log_2(1-p)$  and  $J_n(\rho) = \infty$  independent of the matching structure between  $\rho^{\otimes (n-1)}$  and  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{\bar{k}}$ .

In [1], the equivalence between Eqs. (1) and (2), i.e.,  $I_n(\rho) = J_n(\rho)$ , was invoked in order to prove the nonnegativity and monotonicity of  $I_n(\rho)$  under a partial trace and completely positive maps. In addition, the proof for non-negativity was reproduced in [6]. Our counterexample invalidates these proofs which rely on the facts that the relative entropy is non-negative and nonincreasing under completely positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) maps. Interestingly, however, one can find alternative proofs for the non-negativity and monotonicity in [7]. In Ref. [7],  $I_n(\rho)$  was proposed as a quantum secrecy monotone and was shown to be nonnegative and nonincreasing under local CPTP maps, owing to strong subadditivity of the conditional quantum mutual entropy. Therefore,  $I_n(\rho)$  is a suitable monotonic measure of multipartite correlations, while  $J_n(\rho)$  is not.

J.L. and J.P. acknowledge support from the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the government of Korea (MSIT) (Grants No. NRF-2022M3K4A1097117 and No. NRF-2019R1G1A1002337, respectively).

<sup>\*</sup>jaehak.lee.201@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>jiyong.park@hanbat.ac.kr

- [1] A. Kumar, Multiparty quantum mutual information: An alternative definition, Phys. Rev. A **96**, 012332 (2017).
- [2] T. S. Han, Nonnegative entropy measures of multivariate symmetric correlations, Inf. Control 36, 133 (1978).
- [3] M. M. Wilde, *Quantum Information Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- [4] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [5] V. Vedral, The role of relative entropy in quantum information theory, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 197 (2002).
- [6] Y. Guo and L. Huang, Complete monogamy of the multipartite quantum mutual information, Phys. Rev. A 107, 042409 (2023).
- [7] N. J. Cerf, S. Massar, and S. Schneider, Multipartite classical and quantum secrecy monotones, Phys. Rev. A 66, 042309 (2002).