\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -odd effects in the LuOH⁺ cation

Daniel E. Maison⁽⁰⁾,^{1,*} Leonid V. Skripnikov,^{1,2,†} Gleb Penyazkov⁽⁰⁾,^{1,2,‡} Matt Grau⁽⁰⁾,^{3,§} and Alexander N. Petrov^{1,2,∥}

¹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute named by B.P. Konstantinov of National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute"

(NRC "Kurchatov Institute" - PNPI), 1 Orlova roscha mcr., Gatchina, 188300 Leningrad region, Russia[¶]

²Saint Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia

³Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529, USA

(Received 3 November 2022; accepted 21 December 2022; published 29 December 2022)

The LuOH⁺ cation is a promising system to search for manifestations of time reversal and spatial parity violation effects. Such effects in LuOH⁺ induced by the electron electric dipole moment *e*EDM and the scalarpseudoscalar interaction of the nucleus with electrons, characterized by k_s constant, in LuOH⁺ are studied. The enhancement factors, polarization in the external electric field, hyperfine interaction, and rovibrational structure are calculated. The study is required for the experiment preparation and extraction of the *e*EDM and k_s values from experimental data.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.106.062827

I. INTRODUCTION

For a long time, it was supposed that the laws of physics should satisfy the conditions of the invariance with respect to charge conjugation (C), spatial parity (P), and time reversion (T) symmetries. However, in the second half of the 20th century it was experimentally confirmed that both P- and combined CP symmetries are violated during certain types of decay due to the weak interactions. According to the CPTtheorem, violation of CP is equivalent to violation of T symmetry. The search for the new manifestations of violation of these symmetries is one of the topical sections of modern theoretical and experimental physics [1]. For example, CPviolation is of great interest for cosmology and astrophysics, since it is one of three necessary conditions of baryogenesis [2].

One of the approaches to search for simultaneous violation of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{P} symmetries (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} violation) is the determination of electric dipole moments (EDMs) of elementary particles [3–5]. The recent refinement of the neutron EDM upper constraint [6] has led to the updated constraint on the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) parameter $\bar{\theta}$ [7]. In addition, the electron electric dipole moment (*e*EDM) can also be used as an indicator of \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} violation in the standard model (SM) and the physics beyond it. The most successful *e*EDM search experiments were performed using heavy atoms and diatomic molecules containing such atoms. The results for caesium [8] $(|d_e| \leq 10^{-26}e \text{ cm})$ and thallium [9] $(|d_e| \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-27}e \text{ cm})$ atoms led to noticeable restrictions for numerous extensions of the SM. Further experiment with the ytterbium monofluorine molecule has slightly improved previous atomic-type constraint [10]; this verified that molecular measurements can be even more sensitive to *e*EDM than atomic ones in practice. The first generation of the ACME collaboration experiments with the ThO molecule has established $|d_e| \lesssim 10^{-28} \ e \,\mathrm{cm}$ [11], which was an order of magnitude stronger. Similar sensitivity has been obtained in another type of experiment with the HfF^+ molecular cation [12]. The latest ACME result in 2018 allowed one to obtain the strongest constraint on $e\text{EDM} |d_e| \lesssim 1.1 \times 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$ [13]. It overcame the previous constraints [11,12] by almost an order of magnitude, and led to strong restrictions for various SM extensions. A few experiments to search for eEDM with other diatomics are under preparation now, including ThF⁺[14] and BaF [15]. According to estimates within the SM, the *e*EDM value is ten orders of magnitude lower [16,17], so there is still room for more precise experiments to search for new physics before encountering the SM background.

Recently it was suggested to perform *e*EDM search experiments using linear triatomic molecules [18,19]. In Ref. [18] it was noted that, due to the *l*-doubling effect in the first excited bending mode $v_2 = 1$, the linear triatomic molecules, such as YbOH, can be completely polarized by a relatively weak electric field, ~100 V/cm.¹ More importantly, these molecules can be successfully cooled [21]. These facts allow one to increase experimental sensitivity to *e*EDM and other T, P-odd effects.

As demonstrated in Ref. [12], molecular cations can also be used for the *e*EDM determination. While experiments with ions suffer from reduced count rates, they are advantaged by long interrogation times afforded by the ion trap. The constraint $|d_e| \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-28} e$ cm obtained in this experiment

^{*}daniel.majson@gmail.com, maison_de@pnpi.nrcki.ru

[†]skripnikov_lv@pnpi.nrcki.ru, leonidos239@gmail.com

[‡]glebpenyazkov@gmail.com

[§]mgrau@odu.edu

^{||}petrov_an@pnpi.nrcki.ru

[¶]http://www.qchem.pnpi.spb.ru

¹However, later it was pointed out that for some states the maximal polarization is only 50% and no one state get 100% polarization [20].

is only an order of magnitude weaker than the current one. This fact demonstrates that the updated eEDM restrictions can possibly be obtained in ion trap experiments. Combining these two ideas, it was suggested to consider the LuOH⁺ molecular ion for \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{P} -odd effects search [22], as it can be formed from Lu atomic ions (which can be laser-cooled [23]), and once formed it can be sympathetically cooled during an experiment by co-trapped atomic ions. A LuOH⁺ molecular ion has an electronic structure similar to YbOH, which has been proposed as a candidate molecule to search for \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -odd effects in electrons [24] and in the nucleus [25] due to having a level structure favorable for laser cooling and optical trapping. The LuOH⁺ molecular cation can be even more sensitive to the nuclear \mathcal{CP} -violation effects than YbOH, owing to the large electric quadrupole moment of ¹⁷⁶Lu [22]. An experiment using LuOH⁺ benefits from many of the same features as in YbOH, namely, long-lived vibrational bending mode comagnetometer states and a simple level structure that eases state preparation. Since it is a molecular ion it can be stored for long times in a radio frequency Paul trap, removing the need to use more complicated state-dependent optical trapping. However, the sensitivity of $LuOH^+$ to the *e*EDM is still unknown, and that is the topic of the present study.

In a polar molecule with an atom of a heavy element, the \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violating energy shift associated with *e*EDM and the scalar-pseudoscalar nucleus-electron interaction characterized by the dimensionless coupling constant k_s reads

$$\Delta E_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{T}} = P(E_{\text{eff}}d_e + E_s k_s). \tag{1}$$

The \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violating energy shift induced by *e*EDM in a molecule is determined by the following Hamiltonian [26,27]:

$$H_d^{\text{eff}} = d_e \sum_a 2ic\gamma_a^0 \gamma_a^5 \boldsymbol{p}_a^2, \qquad (2)$$

where index *a* runs over electrons (as in all equations below), **p** is the momentum operator for an electron, and γ^0 and $\gamma^5 = -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$ are the Dirac matrices, defined according to Ref. [28].² For a linear molecule this interaction can be characterized by the molecular constant W_d :

$$W_d = \frac{1}{\Omega} \langle \Psi | \frac{H_d}{d_e} | \Psi \rangle. \tag{3}$$

In these designations the effective electric field introduced in Eq. (1) acting on the electron electric dipole moment is $E_{\text{eff}} = W_d |\Omega|$. Another considered source of \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} violation is the scalar-pseudoscalar nucleus-electron interaction given by the following Hamiltonian (see Eq. (130) in Ref. [5], and also Ref. [29]):

$$H_s = i \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} Z k_s \sum_a \gamma_a^0 \gamma_a^5 \rho_N(\mathbf{r}_a), \tag{4}$$

where G_F is the Fermi-coupling constant, Z is the heavy nucleus charge, $\rho_N(\mathbf{r})$ is the nuclear density normalized to unity, and \mathbf{r} is the electron radius vector with respect to the heavy atom nucleus under consideration. This interaction is characterized by the molecular parameter $W_{T,P}$,

$$W_{T,P} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \langle \Psi | \frac{H_{\rm s}}{k_{\rm s}} | \Psi \rangle, \tag{5}$$

or $E_s = W_{T,P}|\Omega|$.

It is well known that for diatomics (like ThO, HfF⁺) the polarization P in Eq. (1)³ smoothly approaches unity for small laboratory electric fields due to the existence of Ω -doublet structure [30]. In Ref. [20] we showed, however, that l-doubling structure is in general different from Ω doubling, and that polarization tends to approach |P| = 0.5 value for molecules (like YbOH, LuOH⁺, RaOH, etc.) with Hund's case b coupling scheme. The final value depends on the value of the l doubling, spin-rotation constant, and hyperfine interaction.

Knowing the enhancement coefficients E_{eff} , E_s , and P, one may extract the value of the constants d_e and k_s from the measured energy shift.

To populate the required $v_2 = 1$ level in experiments one needs to know the bending vibrational energy levels structure. Therefore, these calculations are also performed in the present paper. Up to now there is no corresponding experimental information.

II. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE CALCULATION DETAILS

The electronic structure calculations were performed within the relativistic coupled cluster approach. It is based on the exponential ansatz of wave function

$$\Psi = \exp(\hat{T})\Phi,\tag{6}$$

where Φ is the electronic wave function in the Dirac-Hartree-Fock approximation, Ψ is the wave function with electronic correlation taken into account, and \hat{T} is the excitation cluster operator. It can be written as the following series:

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \hat{T}_3 + \dots,$$
 (7)

with operators \hat{T}_k defined as

$$\hat{T}_{k} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \in \text{occ} \\ b_{1} < b_{2} < \dots < b_{k} \in \text{virt}}} t_{i_{1}i_{2}\dots i_{k}}^{b_{1}b_{2}\dots b_{k}} \hat{a}_{b_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{b_{2}}^{\dagger} \dots \hat{a}_{b_{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i_{1}} \hat{a}_{i_{2}} \dots \hat{a}_{i_{k}}}.$$
(8)

Indexes i_{\dots} and b_{\dots} label occupied and virtual electronic states, respectively. Coefficients t_{\dots} are scalar variables to be defined, also called cluster amplitudes. In the present study, we exploit the relativistic coupled cluster approach with single and double cluster amplitudes (CCSD) and the coupled cluster approach with single, double, and perturbative triple amplitudes [CCSD(T)] [31,32]. The former one exploits the approximation

$$\hat{T} \approx \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2,$$

and the latter one also includes calculation of the energy correction due to \hat{T}_3 [33].

²Note that in the literature, there are two common definitions of γ_5 , which differ by the sign.

 $^{{}^{3}}P$ in Eq. (1), in general, is not equal to the mean value of the projection of unit vector \hat{z} along the molecular axis on direction of the external electric field.



FIG. 1. Jacobi coordinates for LuOH⁺ molecule.

For calculation of the potential energy surface we have used the AE3Z(Lu) \oplus aug-cc-PVTZ-DK(O,H) basis sets [34–36]. At the coupled cluster stage we have excluded 1s...3d electrons of Lu from the correlation treatment and set virtual orbital energy cutoff equal to 70 Hartree. The calculations of magnetic dipole hyperfine structure constants on a hydrogen nucleus (see below), ion-frame electric dipole moment with respect to mass center, and spin-rotational constant have been performed within the same procedure, replacing the basis set aug-cc-PVTZ-DK on hydrogen with the AAE4Z basis set [37]. Calculations of parameters of \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violation effects in Eq. (1) and electric quadrupole hyperfine constant induced by the Lu nucleus have been performed at the CCSD(T) level of theory and using the AE3Z(Lu) \oplus aug-cc-PVTZ-DK(O,H) basis as in Ref. [22]. In these calculations all electrons were included in correlation calculation and virtual energy cutoff was set to 11 000 Hartree. The contribution of high-energy orbitals in correlation calculation has been extensively analyzed in Refs. [38,39]. Calculations of properties have been performed for the equilibrium geometry parameters determined in the present paper.

Molecular relativistic CCSD(T) calculations were carried out within the Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian using the DIRAC [40,41] program package. Calculation of the property integrals were performed within the code developed in Refs. [42,43].

III. ROVIBRATIONAL LEVELS CALCULATION DETAILS

Following Ref. [20], we present our Hamiltonian in molecular reference frame as

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{mol}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{hfs}} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{ext}},\tag{9}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\rm mol} = \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{J}} - \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{e-v})^2}{2\mu R^2} + \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{J}}^v)^2}{2\mu_{\rm OH}r^2} + V(\theta)$$
(10)

is the molecular Hamiltonian as it is described in Ref. [20]; μ is the reduced mass of the Lu-OH system; μ_{OH} is the reduced mass of the OH; $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ is the total electronic, vibrational, and rotational angular momentum; $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{e-v} = \hat{\mathbf{J}}^e + \hat{\mathbf{J}}^v$ is the electronic-vibrational momentum; $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^e$ is the electronic momentum; $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^v$ is the vibrational momentum; R is the distance between Lu and the center mass of OH; r is OH bond length; and θ is the angle between OH and the axis (z axis of the molecular frame) directed from Lu to the OH center of mass. The condition $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the linear configuration where the O atom is between Lu and H ones. R, r, and θ are the so-called Jacobi coordinates, see Fig. 1. In the current work, we have fixed *R* and *r* to their equilibrium values obtained in the electronic structure calculations. In this approximation we neglect the influence of the stretching v_1 (associated with R) and OH ligand v_3 (associated with *r*) modes, but nevertheless take into account the bending ones (associated with θ) with fixed *R*, *r*. $V(\theta)$ is the potential energy curve obtained in the electronic structure calculations.

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\rm hfs} = -g_{\rm H} \mathbf{I}^{\rm H} \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2a} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{2a}}{r_{2a}^3} \right)$$
$$-g_{\rm Lu} \mu_N \mathbf{I}^{\rm Lu} \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_a \times \boldsymbol{r}_{1a}}{r_{1a}^3} \right)$$
$$-e^2 \sum_{q} (-1)^q \hat{Q}_q^2 (\mathbf{I}^{\rm Lu}) \sum_{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{5}} \frac{Y_{2q}(\theta_{1a}, \phi_{1a})}{r_{1a}^3} \quad (11)$$

is the hyperfine interaction of electrons with Lu and H nuclei, g_{Lu} and g_{H} are the g factors of the lutetium and hydrogen nuclei, α_a are the Dirac matrices for the *a*th electron, r_{1a} and r_{2a} are their radius vectors in the coordinate system centered on the Lu and H nuclei, $\hat{Q}_q^2(I^{Lu})$ is the quadrupole moment operator for ¹⁷⁵Lu nucleus, and $I^{Lu} = 7/2$, $I^{H} = 1/2$ are nuclear spins for ¹⁷⁵Lu and H.

The Stark Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{ext}} = -\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} \tag{12}$$

describes the interaction of the molecule with the external electric field, and **D** is the dipole moment operator.

Wave functions, rovibrational energies, and hyperfine structure were obtained by numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (9) over the basis set of the electronic-rotationalvibrational-nuclear spin wave functions,

$$\Psi_{\Omega m \omega} P_{lm}(\theta) \Theta^J_{M_J,\omega}(\alpha,\beta) U^{\rm H}_{M_{\iota}^{\rm H}} U^{\rm Lu}_{M_{\iota}^{\rm Lu}}, \qquad (13)$$

where $\Theta_{M_J,\omega}^J(\alpha,\beta) = \sqrt{(2J+1)/4\pi} D_{M_J,\omega}^J(\alpha,\beta,\gamma=0)$ is the rotational wave function, α, β correspond to azimuthal and polar angles of the *z* axis, $U_{M_I^H}^H$ and $U_{M_I^{Lu}}^{Lu}$ are the hydrogen and lutetium nuclear spin wave functions, M_J is the projection of the molecular (electronic-rotational-vibrational) angular momentum $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ on the laboratory axis, ω is the projection of the same momentum on the *z* axis of the molecular frame, M_I^H and M_I^{Lu} are the projections of the nuclear angular momenta of hydrogen and lutetium on the laboratory axis, $P_{lm}(\theta)$ is the associated Legendre polynomial, *l* is the vibration angular momentum and *m* is its projection on the molecular axis, and $\Psi_{\Omega m\omega}$ is the electronic wave function (see Ref. [20] for details).

In this calculation, functions with $\omega - m = \Omega = \pm 1/2$, l = 0 - 30 and $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, J = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2 were included to the basis set (13). The ground vibrational state $\nu_2 = 0$ corresponds to m = 0, the first excited bending mode $\nu_2 = 1$ to $m = \pm 1$, the second excited bending mode has states with $m = 0, \pm 2$, etc. A common designation ν_2^m for vibrational levels will be used below.

Provided that the *electronic-vibrational* matrix elements are known, the matrix elements of $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ between states in the basis set (13) can be calculated with the help of angular momentum algebra [20,44] mostly in the same way as for the diatomic molecules [45].



FIG. 2. Potential curve $V(\theta)$. Red (solid) curve is for CCSD, green (dashed) curve is for CCSD(T) calculations.

The required matrix elements associated with ¹⁷⁵Lu nucleus magnetic hyperfine interaction

$$A_{\parallel} = -\frac{g_{\text{Lu}}}{\Omega} \langle \Psi_{\Omega m \omega} P_{lm} | \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1a} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{1a}}{r_{1a}^3} \right)_{z} | \Psi_{\Omega m \omega} P_{l'm} \rangle$$

= 8142 $\delta_{ll'}$ MHz, (14)

$$A_{\perp} = -g_{\text{Lu}} \times \langle \Psi_{\Omega=1/2m\omega} P_{lm} | \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{a} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{1a}}{r_{1a}^{3}} \right)_{+} | \Psi_{\Omega=-1/2m\omega-1} P_{l'm} \rangle$$
$$= 7864 \delta_{ll'} \text{ MHz}, \tag{15}$$

were taken from Ref. [22].

Matrix elements associated with the hyperfine interaction induced by the H nucleus magnetic

$$A_{\parallel} = -\frac{g_{\rm H}}{\Omega} \langle \Psi_{\Omega m \omega} P_{lm} | \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{a} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{a}}{r_{a}^{3}} \right)_{z} | \Psi_{\Omega m \omega} P_{l'm} \rangle$$
$$= 2.4 \delta_{ll'} \,\mathrm{MHz}, \tag{16}$$

 $A_{\perp} = -g_{\rm H} \\ \times \langle \Psi_{\Omega=1/2m\omega} P_{lm} | \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{r}_{i}}{r_{i}^{3}} \right)_{+} | \Psi_{\Omega=-1/2m\omega-1} P_{l'm} \rangle \\ = -0.9 \delta_{ll'} \text{MHz}, \tag{17}$

TABLE I. Calculated vibrational energy levels (cm⁻¹) and *l* doubling (MHz) for the $v_2 = 0 - 2$ quanta of bending excitation modes of ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺. Stretching mode v_1 and ligand mode v_3 quanta are zero in calculations.

Parameter	CCSD	CCSD(T)
$v_2 = 0$	0	0
$v_2 = 1$	445	442
$\nu_2 = 2^0$	878	871
$v_2 = 2^2$	904	898
<i>l</i> doubling ($\nu_2 = 1$)	23.4	23.5
<i>l</i> doubling $(v_2 = 2^2)$	0.005	0.005





FIG. 3. (a) Energy and (b) polarization for the group of levels with zero field energy of \sim 31 850 MHz, numbered 43–56.

dipole moment operator

$$\langle \Psi_{\Omega m\omega} P_{lm} | D_z | \Psi_{\Omega m\omega} P_{l'm} \rangle = -0.55 \delta_{ll'} \text{ a.u.}$$
(18)

determining interaction with the external electric field and $J^e_+ = J^e_x + i J^e_y$

$$J_{+}^{e} = \langle \Psi_{\Omega=1/2m\omega} P_{lm} | J_{+}^{e} | \Psi_{\Omega=-1/2m\omega-1} P_{l'm} \rangle$$

= 0.992 $\delta_{ll'}$ (19)

and

$$e^{2}Qq_{0} = \langle \Psi_{\Omega m\omega} P_{lm} |$$

$$\times e^{2} \sum_{q} (-1)^{q} \hat{Q}_{q}^{2} (\mathbf{I}^{\text{Lu}}) \sum_{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{5}} \frac{Y_{2q}(\theta_{1a}, \phi_{1a})}{r_{1a}^{3}}$$

$$\times |\Psi_{\Omega m\omega} P_{l'm} \rangle$$

$$= -5012\delta_{ll'} \text{MHz}, \qquad (20)$$

where Q = 3.49 barn is the quadrupole moment for the ¹⁷⁵Lu nucleus [46,47], were calculated in the present work. To calculate the T, P-odd shifts, the average value of corresponding Hamiltonians (2,4) were evaluated.

IV. RESULTS

Electronic structure calculation confirmed the linear equilibrium geometry for LuOH⁺ with R = 1.930 Å, r = 0.954 Å, $\theta = 0$ equilibrium values.⁴ The calculated values of the T, P-violation parameters are $E_{\text{eff}} = -29.1$ GV/cm, $E_s = -25.7$ kHz. In both cases, contribution of triple cluster amplitudes is 2.7%. This is slightly larger than this contribution to the W_M parameter calculated for LuOH⁺ in Ref. [22].

In Fig. 2 and Table I the calculated potential energy curve and corresponding spectroscopic properties are given. One can see that results for the CCSD and CCSD(T) models are very close to each other. Excitation energy of v_2 quanta is about 100 cm⁻¹ larger than that for the isoelectronic molecule YbOH [48,49]. The energy difference ~27 cm⁻¹ between $v_2 = 2^2$ and $v_2 = 2^0$ states is due to the anharmonicity of the potential and close to that for YbOH [49]. The *l*-doubling value for $v_2 = 1$ of 23.5 MHz is also close to that for YbOH. Based on our study in Ref. [48], we estimate the accuracy of the calculation on the level of 10%. The *l* doubling for $v_2 = 2^2$ state is about three orders of magnitude smaller. This state can also be used for CP-violation searches and can be completely polarized by an electric field of a few V/cm.

For the ground rotational level there are 24 levels for $M_F = 1/2$ and $M_F = 3/2$, 22 levels for $M_F = 5/2$, 16 levels for $M_F = 7/2$, 8 levels for $M_F = 9/2$, and 2 levels for $M_F =$ 11/2. Here, $M_F = M_J + M_I^{\rm H} + M_I^{\rm Lu}$ is the projection of the total molecular (electronic-rotational-vibrational-nuclear spins) angular momentum F on the laboratory axis. Calculations showed that all levels have polarizations P < 0.6. No level approaches P = 1 value in accordance to Ref. [20]. In Fig. 3 the calculated energies and P for the group of levels with zero field energy of ~ 31 850 MHz as functions of the external electric field are presented. The selected states are appropriate for the experiment. They have the largest polarizations, and it is the energy grouping with the fewest number of states (only 14). There are almost degenerate states with close values of P. These states differ by only a projection of $M_I^{\rm H} = \pm 1/2$, which almost does not influence energy and P due to the weakness of the hyperfine interaction with hydrogen nucleus. Two states with almost zero sensitivity to eEDM have close to zero projection of total less hydrogen nuclear spin $M_J + M_I^{Lu}$ on the laboratory axis. The corresponding numerical data for selected values of electric field for all hyperfine levels of the ground N = 1 rotational level can be found in the Appendix.

Since $LuOH^+$ can be created by reacting laser-cooled atomic Lu^+ ions with molecules containing an OH group

(e.g., water), it is an ideal candidate molecule to use in a quantum logic spectroscopy type experiment, as detailed in Ref. [50]. With this experimental design, it should be possible to obtain a measurement precision of 15 μ Hz in 300 h of measurement with 12 molecular ions in an external electric field of 32 V/cm. This electric field corresponds to a polarization of 0.35 for comagnetometer states 44 and 56 with $M_F = 5/2$, 7/2. These parameters yield a 1 σ eEDM precision of 3×10^{-30} e cm, which matches the precision of the most recent ACME measurement [13]. Such a quantum logic experimental paradigm can be scaled up to include hundreds or thousands of molecular ions by increasing the number of trapping sites, but without requiring increased laser power or sacrificing measurement sensitivity or contrast.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present paper, we calculated vibrational energy levels and *l*-doubling effect for the first two bending excitation modes, hyperfine energies, and \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -odd polarizations P as functions of the external electric fields of the first excited bending mode of ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺. The latter together with calculated parameters E_{eff} and E_s determines the sensitivity to the *e*EDM and the scalar-pseudoscalar nucleus-electron interaction constant according to Eq. (1). These calculations are required for preparation and interpretation of the experiment on \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P} -violation searches on ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺. Based on the calculations, the levels appropriate for the experiment are determined.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Electronic structure calculations have been carried out using computing resources of the federal collective usage center Complex for Simulation and Data Processing for Megascience Facilities at the National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute," http://ckp.nrcki.ru/. Molecular rovibrational structure calculations have been supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 18-12-00227. Calculations of HFS integrals were supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 19-72-10019. Calculations of integrals of T, \mathcal{P} -odd effects were supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS" Grant according to Projects No. 20-1-5-76-1 and No. 21-1-2-47-1.

APPENDIX

In Tables II and III the calculated polarizations P and energies for all hyperfine levels of the ground N = 1 rotational level of the first excited v = 1 bending vibrational mode of the ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺ for the external electric fields E =50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350 V/cm are presented. The selected values are comfortable for the experiment and ensure almost saturated values for polarizations. The levels are ordered by the energy value.

⁴We would like to mention again that these parameters are Jacobi coordinates, defined above; R is not the Lu-O distance.

TABLE II. The calculated polarizations *P* for the different projections of the total angular momentum M_F of the lowest N = 1 rotational level of the first excited v = 1 bending vibrational mode of ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺ for selected values of the external electric field (in V/cm). Levels are numbered by increasing energy given in Table III. The horizontal lines separate the groups of levels with close energies.

	Electric field								Electric field						
$\# M_F$	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	# <i>M_F</i>	50	100	150	200	250	300	350
1 1.5	-0.3811	-0.4750	-0.5012	-0.5112	-0.5157	-0.5180	-0.5190	49 0.5	0.0014 -	-0.0001	-0.0004	-0.0006	-0.0008	-0.0010	-0.0011
2 2.5	-0.3812	-0.4750	-0.5012	-0.5112	-0.5157	-0.5180	-0.5190	500.5	-0.0013	0.0002	0.0005	0.0006	0.0008	0.0010	0.0011
3 0.5	-0.2436	-0.3809	-0.4436	-0.4735	-0.4889	-0.4971	-0.5014	51 0.5	-0.2151 -	-0.3626	-0.4434	-0.4855	-0.5061	-0.5138	-0.5123
4 1.5	-0.2450	-0.3811	-0.4437	-0.4736	-0.4890	-0.4972	-0.5014	52 1.5	-0.2164 -	-0.3625	-0.4432	-0.4853	-0.5060	-0.5137	-0.5122
5 0.5	-0.0013	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0005	-0.0006	-0.0008	-0.0009	53 1.5	-0.3631 -	-0.4904	-0.5307	-0.5443	-0.5461	-0.5389	-0.5204
6 0.5	0.0011	0.0004	0.0004	0.0005	0.0007	0.0008	0.0009	542.5	-0.3631 -	-0.4903 -	-0.5306	-0.5442	-0.5460	-0.5388	-0.5203
7 1.5	0.2448	0.3807	0.4427	0.4713	0.4834	0.4835	0.4697	55 2.5	-0.4457 -	-0.5331 -	-0.5540	-0.5591	-0.5563	-0.5396	-0.4656
8 0.5	0.2436	0.3804	0.4426	0.4712	0.4833	0.4834	0.4696	56 3.5	-0.4457 -	-0.5330	-0.5539	-0.5590	-0.5562	-0.5395	-0.4656
9 2.5	0.3808	0.4741	0.4994	0.5059	-0.3943	-0.3964	-0.3968	57 4.5	0.3530	0.4008	0.4123	0.4169	0.4194	0.4209	0.4221
10 1.5	0.3807	0.4741	0.4994	0.5059	0.4797	-0.0144	-0.3131	58 5.5	0.3528	0.4006	0.4121	0.4167	0.4191	0.4207	0.4219
11 4.5	-0.3374	-0.3830	-0.3938	-0.3980	-0.4001	-0.4013	-0.4022	59 3.5	0.3266	0.3896	0.4054	0.4108	0.4128	0.4134	0.4133
12 3.5	-0.3374	-0.3830	-0.3938	-0.3980	-0.4000	-0.4013	-0.4021	604.5	0.3265	0.3894	0.4052	0.4106	0.4126	0.4132	0.4131
13 3.5	-0.3040	-0.3691	-0.3863	-0.3926	-0.3953	-0.3964	-0.3968	61 2.5	0.2853	0.3692	0.3934	0.4009	0.4001	0.3858	0.3149
14 2.5	-0.3039	-0.3691	-0.3862	-0.3925	0.4790	-0.0129	-0.3131	62 3.5	0.2853	0.3691	0.3932	0.4007	0.4000	0.3857	0.3148
15 1.5	-0.2449	-0.3369	-0.3678	-0.3775	-0.3531	0.1399	0.4377	63 1.5	0.2203	0.3258	0.3670	0.3829	0.3869	0.3821	0.3663
16 2.5	-0.2452	-0.3370	-0.3679	-0.3775	-0.3533	0.1384	0.4377	642.5	0.2205	0.3257	0.3668	0.3828	0.3868	0.3819	0.3662
17 0.5	-0.1419	-0.2446	-0.3027	-0.3338	-0.3488	-0.3511	-0.3388	650.5	0.1202	0.2201	0.2839	0.3216	0.3419	0.3503	0.3499
18 1.5	-0.1446	-0.2450	-0.3028	-0.3339	-0.3488	-0.3511	-0.3388	66 1.5	0.1241	0.2203	0.2837	0.3213	0.3417	0.3501	0.3497
19 0.5	-0.0024	-0.0004	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0003	-0.0004	67 0.5	0.0036	0.0002 -	-0.0003	-0.0005	-0.0006	-0.0008	-0.0009
20 0.5	0.0020	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003	0.0004	680.5	-0.0035 -	-0.0002	0.0003	0.0005	0.0006	0.0007	0.0008
21 0.5	0.1422	0.2444	0.3025	0.3343	0.3516	0.3609	0.3653	69 0.5	-0.1200 -	-0.2195	-0.2827	-0.3199	-0.3401	-0.3496	-0.3523
22 1.5	0.1444	0.2448	0.3026	0.3344	0.3517	0.3609	0.3653	70 1.5	-0.1238 -	-0.2197 -	-0.2825	-0.3197	-0.3399	-0.3494	-0.3522
23 1.5	0.2447	0.3364	0.3673	0.3794	0.3843	0.3858	0.3854	71 1.5	-0.2198 -	-0.3246	-0.3647	-0.3796	-0.3835	-0.3820	-0.3773
24 2.5	0.2450	0.3365	0.3674	0.3794	0.3843	0.3858	0.3854	72 2.5	-0.2201 -	-0.3245	-0.3646	-0.3795	-0.3834	-0.3819	-0.3772
25 2.5	0.3036	0.3683	0.3850	0.3907	0.3927	0.3929	0.3921	73 2.5	-0.2847 -	-0.3676	-0.3902	-0.3961	-0.3953	-0.3911	-0.3847
26 3.5	0.3036	0.3684	0.3850	0.3908	0.3927	0.3929	0.3921	74 3.5	-0.2847 -	-0.3674	-0.3901	-0.3959	-0.3952	-0.3909	-0.3845
27 4.5	0.3369	0.3821	0.3924	0.3961	0.3978	0.3985	0.3989	75 3.5	-0.3257 -	-0.3876	-0.4017	-0.4047	-0.4033	-0.3993	-0.3932
28 3.5	0.3369	0.3821	0.3924	0.3961	0.3977	0.3985	0.3989	76 4.5	-0.3256	-0.3874	-0.4015	-0.4045	-0.4031	-0.3991	-0.3930
29 2.5	0.0456	0.0794	0.1002	0.1122	0.1191	0.1230	0.1252	77 4.5	-0.3520 -	-0.3988	-0.4093	-0.4128	-0.4143	-0.4148	-0.4149
30 3.5	0.0457	0.0794	0.1001	0.1122	0.1191	0.1230	0.1252	78 5.5	-0.3518	-0.3985	-0.4091	-0.4126	-0.4140	-0.4146	-0.4147
31 1.5	0.0312	0.0585	0.0794	0.0946	0.1052	0.1127	0.1181	79 3.5	0.0082	0.0150	0.0201	0.0241	0.0273	0.0302	0.0328
32 2.5	0.0314	0.0585	0.0794	0.0946	0.1052	0.1127	0.1181	80 4.5	0.0083	0.0150	0.0202	0.0242	0.0274	0.0303	0.0329
33 0.5	0.0145	0.0311	0.0456	0.0586	0.0699	0.0797	0.0881	81 3.5	0.0063	0.0119	0.0164	0.0197	0.0217	0.0227	0.0225
34 1.5	0.0162	0.0315	0.0458	0.0587	0.0700	0.0798	0.0881	82 2.5	0.0062	0.0118	0.0163	0.0196	0.0217	0.0226	0.0224
35 0.5	0.0016	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	83 1.5	0.0039	0.0082	0.0119	0.0150	0.0172	0.0185	0.0189
36 0.5	-0.0063	-0.0032	-0.0011	-0.0005	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0002	84 2.5	0.0044	0.0083	0.0120	0.0150	0.0173	0.0186	0.0189
37 0.5	-0.0099	-0.0285	-0.0450	-0.0583	-0.0695	-0.0788	-0.0863	85 0.5	0.0014	0.0040	0.0063	0.0085	0.0106	0.0125	0.0142
38 1.5	-0.0195	-0.0322	-0.0462	-0.0589	-0.0699	-0.0790	-0.0865	86 1.5	0.0025	0.0043	0.0065	0.0086	0.0107	0.0126	0.0142
39 1.5	-0.0285	-0.0588	-0.0801	-0.0952	-0.1055	-0.1121	-0.1163	87 0.5	0.0007	0.0003	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
40 2.5	-0.0331	-0.0593	-0.0803	-0.0953	-0.1055	-0.1129	-0.1273	88 3.5	-0.0056	-0.0120	-0.0171	-0.0213	-0.0258	-0.0311	-0.0374
41 3.5	-0.0463	-0.0805	-0.1018	-0.1142	-0.1214	-0.1253	-0.1273	89 4.5	-0.0069	-0.0126	-0.0172	-0.0214	-0.0259	-0.0312	-0.0375
42 2.5	-0.0450	-0.0804	-0.1018	-0.1142	-0.1213	-0.1246	-0.1164	90 2.5	-0.0041 -	-0.0089	-0.0150	-0.0204	-0.0259	-0.0320	-0.0387
43 2.5	0.4474	0.5366	0.5597	0.5684	0.5722	0.5739	0.5746	91 3.5	-0.0066	-0.0110	-0.0155	-0.0205	-0.0260	-0.0321	-0.0387
44 3.5	0.4473	0.5365	0.5597	0.5683	0.5721	0.5739	0.5746	92 0.5	-0.0008 -	-0.0018	-0.0028	-0.0031	-0.0021	-0.0010	-0.0004
45 1.5	0.3642	0.4928	0.5350	0.5515	0.5586	0.5613	0.5618	93 1.5	-0.0025 -	-0.0054	-0.0091	-0.0134	-0.0179	-0.0222	-0.0260
46 2.5	0.3642	0.4927	0.5349	0.5515	0.5585	0.5613	0.5617	94 0.5	-0.0010	-0.0021	-0.0037	-0.0067	-0.0116	-0.0171	-0.0223
47 0.5	0.2156	0.3638	0.4457	0.4894	0.5128	0.5252	0.5309	95 1.5	-0.0030 -	-0.0061	-0.0094	-0.0132	-0.0180	-0.0238	-0.0306
48 1.5	0.2170	0.3637	0.4455	0.4892	0.5127	0.5251	0.5308	96 2.5	-0.0049	-0.0091	-0.0124	-0.0169	-0.0222	-0.0280	-0.0339

TABLE III. The calculated energies (in MHz) for the different projections of the total angular momentum M_F of the lowest N = 1 rotational level of the first excited v = 1 bending vibrational mode of ¹⁷⁵LuOH⁺ for the selected values of the external electric field (in V/cm). Levels are numbered by the increasing energy. Zero energy level corresponds to the lowest energy of N = 1 states at zero electric field. The horizontal lines separate the groups of levels with close energies.

		Electric field							Electric field								
#	M_F	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	#	M_F	50	100	150	200	250	300	350
1	1.5	-5	-16	-28	-41	-54	-68	-82	49	0.5	31852	31851	31849	31847	31843	31839	31835
2	2.5	-5	-16	-28	-41	-55	-68	-82	50	0.5	31874	31873	31871	31868	31864	31860	31855
3	0.5	-2	-6	-12	-19	-27	-35	-44	51	0.5	31875	31876	31877	31878	31879	31878	31877
4	1.5	-2	-6	-12	-19	-27	-35	-44	52	1.5	31875	31876	31877	31878	31879	31878	31877
5	0.5	0	-1	$^{-2}$	-4	-6	-9	-13	53	1.5	31877	31883	31889	31895	31901	31905	31909
6	0.5	23	23	21	19	17	14	10	54	2.5	31877	31883	31889	31895	31901	31905	31909
7	1.5	25	28	32	35	38	41	43	55	2.5	31880	31891	31903	31914	31925	31935	31944
8	0.5	25	28	32	35	39	41	43	56	3.5	31880	31891	31903	31914	31925	31936	31944
9	2.5	29	39	49	60	69	55	41	57	4.5	32043	32027	32010	31992	31974	31956	31938
10	1.5	29	39	49	60	70	75	66	58	5.5	32043	32027	32010	31992	31974	31956	31939
11	4.5	119	102	85	67	49	31	13	59	3.5	32046	32033	32019	32004	31988	31973	31957
12	3.5	119	102	85	67	49	32	14	60	4.5	32046	32033	32019	32004	31988	31973	31957
13	3.5	122	110	97	83	69	55	40	61	2.5	32048	32039	32028	32016	32003	31991	31980
14	2.5	122	111	97	84	70	74	66	62	3.5	32049	32039	32028	32016	32003	31991	31980
15	1.5	125	118	109	100	90	86	93	63	1.5	32051	32045	32037	32028	32019	32009	32000
16	2.5	125	118	109	100	90	86	93	64	2.5	32051	32045	32037	32028	32019	32009	32000
17	0.5	128	125	121	116	110	104	99	65	0.5	32052	32049	32045	32040	32033	32027	32020
18	1.5	128	125	121	116	110	104	99	66	1.5	32052	32049	32045	32040	32034	32027	32020
19	0.5	128	128	127	125	123	121	119	67	0.5	32053	32051	32049	32046	32043	32039	32035
20	0.5	152	151	150	148	146	144	142	68	0.5	32076	32074	32072	32068	32064	32060	32055
21	0.5	153	154	156	158	160	162	164	69	0.5	32076	32076	32076	32075	32074	32073	32071
22	1.5	153	154	156	158	160	162	164	70	1.5	32076	32076	32076	32075	32074	32073	32071
23	1.5	155	161	168	175	182	189	195	71	1.5	32078	32081	32085	32089	32091	32094	32096
24	2.5	155	161	168	175	182	189	195	72	2.5	32078	32081	32085	32089	32091	32094	32096
25	2.5	158	169	182	194	206	218	230	73	2.5	32080	32088	32096	32103	32111	32117	32123
26	3.5	158	169	181	194	206	218	230	74	3.5	32080	32088	32096	32103	32111	32117	32123
27	4.5	162	178	195	213	231	249	267	75	3.5	32083	32095	32107	32120	32132	32143	32154
28	3.5	162	178	196	213	231	249	267	76	4.5	32083	32095	32107	32120	32132	32143	32154
29	2.5	689	687	685	682	680	677	674	77	4.5	32086	32102	32119	32137	32155	32172	32190
30	3.5	689	687	685	683	680	677	674	78	5.5	32086	32102	32120	32137	32155	32173	32190
31	1.5	689	689	690	690	691	692	694	79	3.5	32320	32319	32317	32316	32314	32313	32311
32	2.5	689	689	690	690	691	692	694	80	4.5	32320	32319	32317	32316	32314	32312	32311
33	0.5	690	691	693	695	699	703	707	81	3.5	32320	32321	32322	32323	32325	32328	32331
34	1.5	690	691	693	695	699	703	707	82	2.5	32320	32321	32322	32323	32325	32328	32331
35	0.5	690	691	694	697	701	707	713	83	1.5	32321	32323	32325	32329	32334	32339	32346
36	0.5	713	715	717	720	724	729	735	84	2.5	32321	32322	32325	32329	32334	32339	32346
37	0.5	714	715	717	721	725	730	736	85	0.5	32321	32323	32327	32333	32339	32347	32355
38	1.5	713	715	717	721	725	730	736	86	1.5	32321	32323	32327	32333	32339	32347	32355
39	1.5	714	715	718	722	726	732	738	87	0.5	32321	32324	32328	32334	32341	32350	32359
40	2.5	714	715	718	722	727	732	737	88	3.5	32342	32344	32347	32351	32356	32362	32368
41	3.5	714	716	719	723	727	732	737	89	4.5	32342	32344	32347	32351	32356	32362	32368
42	2.5	714	716	719	723	727	732	738	90	2.5	32342	32344	32348	32353	32359	32366	32374
43	2.5	31846	31834	31820	31806	31792	31778	31763	91	3.5	32342	32344	32348	32353	32359	32366	32374
44	3.5	31846	31834	31821	31807	31792	31778	31763	92	0.5	32342	32344	32348	32353	32360	32368	32376
45	1.5	31849	31841	31832	31822	31811	31800	31788	93	1.5	32342	32344	32348	32353	32360	32368	32377
46	2.5	31849	31841	31832	31822	31811	31800	31789	94	0.5	32342	32345	32348	32354	32360	32368	32377
47	0.5	31851	31848	31843	31837	31830	31823	31815	95	1.5	32342	32345	32348	32354	32360	32368	32377
48	1.5	31851	31848	31843	31837	31830	31823	31815	96	2.5	32342	32345	32348	32353	32360	32368	32377

- M. S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, Derek F. Jackson Kimball, A. Derevianko, and C. W. Clark, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 025008 (2018).
- [2] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5, 24 (1967).
- [3] R. Alarcon, J. Alexander, V. Anastassopoulos, T. Aoki, R. Baartman, S. Baeßler, L. Bartoszek, D. H. Beck, F. Bedeschi, R. Berger *et al.*, arXiv:2203.08103.
- [4] M. Kozlov and L. Labzowsky, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 28, 1933 (1995).
- [5] J. S. M. Ginges and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rep. 397, 63 (2004).
- [6] C. Abel, S. Afach, N. J. Ayres, C. A. Baker, G. Ban, G. Bison, K. Bodek, V. Bondar, M. Burghoff, E. Chanel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 081803 (2020).
- [7] M. D. Swallows, T. H. Loftus, W. C. Griffith, B. R. Heckel, E. N. Fortson, and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. A 87, 012102 (2013).
- [8] S. A. Murthy, D. Krause, Jr., Z. L. Li, and L. R. Hunter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 965 (1989).
- [9] B. C. Regan, E. D. Commins, C. J. Schmidt, and D. DeMille, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 071805 (2002).
- [10] J. J. Hudson, D. M. Kara, I. J. Smallman, B. E. Sauer, M. R. Tarbutt, and E. A. Hinds, Nature (London) 473, 493 (2011).
- [11] J. Baron, W. C. Campbell, D. DeMille, J. M. Doyle, G. Gabrielse, Y. V. Gurevich, P. W. Hess, N. R. Hutzler, E. Kirilov, I. Kozyryev *et al.*, Science **343**, 269 (2014).
- [12] W. B. Cairneross, D. N. Gresh, M. Grau, K. C. Cossel, T. S. Roussy, Y. Ni, Y. Zhou, J. Ye, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 153001 (2017).
- [13] V. Andreev, D. Ang, D. DeMille, J. Doyle, G. Gabrielse, J. Haefner, N. Hutzler, Z. Lasner, C. Meisenhelder, B. O'Leary *et al.*, Nature (London) **562**, 355 (2018).
- [14] D. N. Gresh, K. C. Cossel, Y. Zhou, J. Ye, and E. A. Cornell, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **319**, 1 (2016).
- [15] P. Aggarwal, H. L. Bethlem, A. Borschevsky, M. Denis, K. Esajas, P. A. B. Haase, Y. Hao, S. Hoekstra, K. Jungmann, T. B. Meijknecht *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. D **72**, 197 (2018).
- [16] I. B. Khriplovich and S. K. Lamoreaux, CP Violation without Strangeness. The Electric Dipole Moments of Particles, Atoms, and Molecules (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997).
- [17] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamanaka, Phys. Rev. D 103, 013001 (2021).
- [18] I. Kozyryev and N. R. Hutzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 133002 (2017).
- [19] T. A. Isaev, A. V. Zaitsevskii, and E. Eliav, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 50, 225101 (2017).
- [20] A. Petrov and A. Zakharova, Phys. Rev. A 105, L050801 (2022).
- [21] B. L. Augenbraun, Z. D. Lasner, A. Frenett, H. Sawaoka, C. Miller, T. C. Steimle, and J. M. Doyle, New J. Phys. 22, 022003 (2020).
- [22] D. E. Maison, L. V. Skripnikov, V. V. Flambaum, and M. Grau, J. Chem. Phys. 153, 224302 (2020).

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 106, 062827 (2022)

- [23] R. Kaewuam, A. Roy, T. R. Tan, K. J. Arnold, and M. D. Barrett, J. Mod. Opt. 65, 592 (2018).
- [24] I. Kurchavov and A. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A 106, 062806 (2022).
- [25] D. E. Maison, L. V. Skripnikov, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A 100, 032514 (2019).
- [26] A.-M. Mårtensson-Pendrill and P. Öster, Phys. Scr. 36, 444 (1987).
- [27] E. Lindroth, B. W. Lynn, and P. G. H. Sandars, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 22, 559 (1989).
- [28] I. B. Khriplovich, Parity Non-Conservation in Atomic Phenomena (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1991).
- [29] O. P. Sushkov and V. V. Flambaum, Sov. Phys. JETP 48, 608 (1978).
- [30] K. C. Cossel, D. N. Gresh, L. C. Sinclair, T. Coffey, L. V. Skripnikov, A. N. Petrov, N. S. Mosyagin, A. V. Titov, R. W. Field, E. R. Meyer *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **546**, 1 (2012).
- [31] T. D. Crawford and H. F. Schaefer III, Rev. Comput. Chem. 14, 33 (2007).
- [32] R. J. Bartlett, Theor. Chim. Acta 80, 71 (1991).
- [33] R. J. Bartlett and M. Musiał, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 291 (2007).
- [34] A. S. P. Gomes, K. G. Dyall, and L. Visscher, Theor. Chim. Acta 127, 369 (2010).
- [35] T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 90, 1007 (1989).
- [36] R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning, Jr., and R. J. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 6796 (1992).
- [37] K. G. Dyall, Theor. Chem. Acc. 135, 128 (2016).
- [38] L. V. Skripnikov, D. E. Maison, and N. S. Mosyagin, Phys. Rev. A 95, 022507 (2017).
- [39] L. V. Skripnikov and A. V. Titov, J. Chem. Phys. 142, 024301 (2015).
- [40] DIRAC, a relativistic *ab initio* electronic structure program, Release DIRAC19 (2019), written by A. S. P. Gomes, T. Saue, L. Visscher, H. J. A. Jensen, and R. Bast (see http://diracprogram. org) (accessed on 4 February 2022).
- [41] R. Saue, T. Bast, A. S. P. Gomes, H. J. A. Jensen, L. Visscher, I. A. Aucar, R. Di Remigio, K. G. Dyall, E. Eliav, E. Fasshauer, T. Fleig *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 204104 (2020).
- [42] L. V. Skripnikov, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 214301 (2016).
- [43] L. V. Skripnikov, A. V. Titov, and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. A 95, 022512 (2017).
- [44] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1977).
- [45] A. N. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A 83, 024502 (2011).
- [46] P. Pyykkö, Mol. Phys. 106, 1965 (2008).
- [47] N. Stone, Table of nuclear magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments, INDC(NDS)–0658, International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (2014).
- [48] A. Zakharova and A. Petrov, J. Chem. Phys. 157, 154310 (2022).
- [49] C. Zhang, B. L. Augenbraun, Z. D. Lasner, N. B. Vilas, J. M. Doyle, and L. Cheng, J. Chem. Phys. 155, 091101 (2021).
- [50] T. N. Taylor, J. O. Island, and Y. Zhou, arXiv:2108.06797.