Coherent control of a local phonon in trapped ions using dynamical decoupling

Ryutaro Ohira, ^{1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6073-8985)} Shota Kume,¹ and Kenji Toyoda $\mathbb{Q}^{2,*}$

¹*Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, 1-3 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan* ²*Center for Quantum Information and Quantum Biology, International Advanced Research Institute, Osaka University, 1-3 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan*

(Received 15 August 2022; accepted 22 September 2022; published 4 October 2022)

In this article, we present a dynamical decoupling (DD) technique to coherently control the dynamics of a single local phonon in trapped ions. A 2π rotation at a motional sideband transition flips the sign of the relevant local-phonon state, resulting in cancellation of the phonon dynamics. In this work, we implement DD using resonant blue-sideband pulses to control the hopping of a single local phonon between two ${}^{40}Ca⁺$ ions in a linear Paul trap. Our proposed DD technique can be used to engineer coupling between local-phonon modes.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042603)

I. INTRODUCTION

Local phonons in trapped ions are considered bosonic systems consisting of individual harmonic oscillators coupled with each other. To date, phonon propagation has been experimentally observed in ions trapped in a double-well potential $[1-3]$, in a harmonic potential of a linear Paul trap $[4-11]$, and in a lattice potential of a two-dimensional trap $[12-14]$.

A system of local phonons possesses certain advantages for implementing quantum computation and quantum simulation. For instance, local phonons are particularly applicable to Hubbard-type quantum simulations, such as the Bose-Hubbard model $[15,16]$, the Jaynes-Cummings-Hubbard (JCH) model [\[17–22\]](#page-7-0), and the Rabi-Hubbard model [\[23\]](#page-7-0).

A local-phonon system is analogous to photons propagating in a linear optical circuit [\[24\]](#page-7-0). A local-phonon system guarantees the deterministic generation of a single or multiple phonons and highly efficient detection of the motional state. In this context, scalable boson sampling with local phonons in trapped ions [\[25,26\]](#page-7-0) and continuous-variable quantum computation [\[27\]](#page-7-0) have been proposed.

An essential concept in these applications is a phonon circuit or a local-phonon-based quantum simulator (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0), analogous to a photonic circuit. A local-phonon-based quantum simulator consists of the following three components: (1) state preparation, (2) interaction between different phonon modes, and (3) detection of the output state. The first step is state preparation, where the motional state can be initialized using ground-state cooling [\[28\]](#page-7-0) and engineered in many ways [\[29–31\]](#page-7-0). After preparation, each local-phonon mode experiences unitary evolution, and the output state can then be detected. To date, projective measurement for a single ion [\[31–35\]](#page-7-0) or multiple ions [\[9\]](#page-6-0) has been developed.

An advantage of using local-phonon modes in an ion string, instead of collective motional modes, is the straightforward scalability. The local-phonon modes are a collection of radial motional modes associated with each ion [\[15\]](#page-7-0). Increasing the number of ions in a string results in spectral crowding of the collective motional modes. While it is necessary to combine the addressing of particular ions with precise tuning in the frequency domain for the efficient addressing of a particular collective motional mode, local-phonon modes can be addressed separately by illuminating the corresponding ion with a laser beam.

Preparing and measuring states in local-phonon modes have been demonstrated as relatively direct extensions of the case for a single motional mode. What is important and still needs to be explored is tuning the couplings between localphonon modes in a versatile manner.

A few attempts have been made to control the quantum dynamics of local phonons using optical pulses. A phonon blockade $[8,11]$ is an example. The violation of energy conservation due to off-resonantly-coupled oscillators prevents the individual bosons from hopping to other ion sites.

In this work, we present the dynamical decoupling (DD) [\[36–38\]](#page-7-0) of local-phonon modes coupled to an internal degree of freedom. Our work is inspired by a method proposed in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-7-0). In the method in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-7-0), local phonons evolve under the Hamiltonian \hat{H} for a period of *t*. An instantaneous off-resonant motional sideband pulse is then applied, inducing a π phase shift to a particular local-phonon mode. Accordingly, the local phonons evolve under the Hamiltonian −*H*ˆ for the next period of *t*, being subject to a cancellation of the dynamics for the first and second half periods. However, if practical experimental parameters are considered, the possible interaction rate of the phase-shift operation is comparable to or slower than the typical hopping rate.

Note that the DD sequence is effective for canceling first-order dynamics induced by time-independent interaction terms, and this is the case for the hopping interaction terms treated in this paper. We should note that not only adjacent couplings but also those for longer ranges should be taken into account. Shen *et al.* argue in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-7-0) that the order of such long-range interaction terms can be reduced step by step by

^{*}toyoda@qiqb.osaka-u.ac.jp

FIG. 1. Local-phonon-based quantum simulator (phonon circuit). The three steps of the process are shown: (1) motional state preparation, (2) unitary evolution, and (3) detection of the phonon number distribution of the output state.

concatenating DD sequences. Although it should be assumed that DD pulses are applied sufficiently fast with sufficiently high fidelity, such a concatenated method enables at least in principle the decoupling of a finite number of those hopping interaction terms including long-range ones.

Here, as a step toward the full implementation of DD as proposed in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-7-0), we demonstrate the coherent control of a local phonon using optical pulses and thereby realize DD of two local-phonon modes in the presence of a single local phonon. The ions are driven with resonant motional sideband pulses instead of off-resonant pulses. The resonant excitation allows for the realization of fast local-phonon manipulation. In the experiments, we manipulate a single local phonon. Since the number of ions does not limit our DD technique, the scheme can be applied to a long ion chain provided individual addressing is realized in that system. Dynamical decoupling techniques have previously been applied to spin or qubit systems [\[39,40\]](#page-7-0). The DD technique demonstrated here can be applied to manipulating local phonons in trapped ions.

II. DYNAMICAL DECOUPLING OF LOCAL PHONONS IN TRAPPED IONS

A. General idea

Here we describe the general idea of DD of local-phonon modes in trapped ions. We consider local-phonon dynamics in a two-ion chain to explain the scheme, but the scheme can be applied to an *N*-ion chain.

In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian for the localphonon mode along the radial direction is written as

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{hop}} = \frac{\kappa_{12}}{2} (\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} + \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2), \tag{1}
$$

where κ_{12} is the hopping rate between ion 1 and ion 2 and \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} (\hat{a}_2^{\dagger}) and \hat{a}_1 (\hat{a}_2) are the creation and annihilation operators of the local-phonon mode along the radial direction of ion 1 (2). The basic idea of the DD method is as follows. First, we prepare two ions in a quantum state

$$
|\psi(0)\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle , \qquad (2)
$$

where

$$
|\psi_{1(2)}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N} c_{n,1(2)} |n\rangle.
$$
 (3)

Here $c_{n,1}$ and $c_{n,2}$ are the probability amplitudes of $|n\rangle$ of ions 1 and 2, respectively, satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{N} |c_{n,1(2)}|^2 = 1$. Next we let the system evolve under the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{hop} for a period *t*:

$$
|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{hop}}t} |\psi(0)\rangle.
$$
 (4)

Then a phase-shift operation is applied to a particular localphonon mode (here it is assumed to be the *k*th mode, where $k = 1, 2$

$$
\hat{U} = e^{i\theta_k \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k},\tag{5}
$$

where θ_k is the phase shift. This operator transforms \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} and \hat{a}_k as

$$
\hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{U} = \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} e^{-i\theta_k}, \tag{6}
$$

$$
\hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k \hat{U} = \hat{a}_k e^{i\theta_k}, \tag{7}
$$

respectively [\[41\]](#page-7-0).

When $\theta_k = \pi$, the transformed operators are $-\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}$ and $-\hat{a}_k$. Accordingly, the sign of the Hamiltonian is flipped. Therefore, the hopping dynamics is time reversed during the next period of *t*:

$$
|\psi(2t)\rangle = e^{i\hat{H}_{\text{hop}}t}e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{hop}}t}|\psi(0)\rangle.
$$
 (8)

We should note that the phase-shift operation introduced here is applied to only one of the phonon modes and not to both of them. Therefore, we need to choose to which ion we apply the operation. The choice of applying it to either ion 1 or ion 2 gives essentially the same result (up to a global phase). The index k ($k = 1, 2$) introduced above represents such a unique choice of the ion, to which the phase-shift operation is applied.

B. Physical implementation of dynamical decoupling

Here we describe how we can implement the DD of localphonon modes in trapped ions.

1. Dynamical decoupling based on a dispersive Jaynes-Cummings interaction

First, we briefly describe the scheme proposed by Shen *et al.* [\[25\]](#page-7-0) as a reference for comparison against our scheme. The phase shift of the local-phonon mode is induced by the dispersive Jaynes-Cummings interaction between the ions with an off-resonant sideband pulse. It is assumed that the two internal states $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ are used. When the *k*th ion is excited by a resonant red-sideband pulse, the resulting Hamiltonian for the ion is [\[42\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{RSB}} = g_r (\hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{a}_k \hat{\sigma}^+), \tag{9}
$$

where the raising and lowering operators for the *k*th ion are defined as $\hat{\sigma}^+ = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|$ and $\hat{\sigma}^- = |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow|$, respectively, and 2*gr* is the Rabi frequency at the red-sideband transition.

Now an ion in a two-ion chain is driven with an off-resonant red-sideband pulse whose detuning from the

FIG. 2. Single-local-phonon dynamics with and without a π phase shift. (a) Free hopping of a single local phonon. (b) Singlephonon dynamics with a phase-shift operation with the parameters of $\chi/\kappa_{12} = 50$. In the simulation, the phase-shift operator is applied to the second ion at $t = \pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s$ (vertical dashed line).

sideband transition is Δ . For $\Delta \gg 2g_r\sqrt{n}$, where *n* is the phonon number, this off-resonant excitation results in a dispersive interaction between the phonon mode and the internal states [\[43\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\hat{H} = \chi \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{a}_k^{\dagger} \hat{a}_k, \tag{10}
$$

where $\chi = g_r^2/\Delta$ and $\sigma_z = |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| - |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|$. Using this interaction, a phase-shift operation on the local-phonon mode is realized:

$$
\hat{U} = e^{i\theta_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k}.\tag{11}
$$

Here $\theta_k = \chi T$ is the phonon-number-dependent phase shift, with *T* the pulse duration.

The effect of a phase-shift operation on single-localphonon propagation is shown in Fig. 2. Here we employ the Liouville equation for the density matrix with the parameter $\kappa_{12}/2\pi = 2$ kHz. As an initial state, the quantum states of the ions are prepared in $|\psi_{init}\rangle = |\downarrow_1, 1\rangle \otimes |\downarrow_2, 0\rangle \equiv |1, 0\rangle$. For simplicity, no decoherence process is incorporated in the results of Fig. 2. The blue dot-dashed and black solid curves represent the probabilities of finding $|1, 0\rangle$ (P_{10}) and $|0, 1\rangle$ (P_{01}), respectively. A numerically calculated result of free hopping is shown in Fig. $2(a)$. The phase-shift effect on

FIG. 3. Two-local-phonon dynamics with and without a π phase shift. (a) Free hopping of two local phonons. (b) Two-phonon dynamics with a phase-shift operation with the parameters of $\chi/\kappa_{12} = 50$. In the simulation, the phase-shift operator is applied to the second ion at $t = \pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s$ (vertical dashed line).

single-local-phonon propagation is shown in Fig. 2(b). The parameter $\chi/\kappa_{12} = 50$ is used in Fig. 2(b). In the simulation, the phase-shift operation is applied to the second ion at $t =$ $\pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s.$

The dynamics of multiple local phonons can also be controlled with the phase-shift operation. Here we again employ the Liouville equation for the density matrix with the parameter $\kappa_{12}/2\pi = 2$ kHz. As an initial state, the quantum states of the ions are prepared in $|\psi_{init}\rangle = |2, 0\rangle$. In Fig. 3 the blue dot-dashed, black solid, and red dashed curves represent the probabilities of finding $|2, 0\rangle$ (*P*₂₀), $|1, 1\rangle$ (*P*₁₁), and $|0, 2\rangle$ (*P*02), respectively. A numerically calculated result of free hopping is shown in Fig. $3(a)$. The phase-shift effect on two-local-phonon propagation is shown in Fig. $3(b)$ using the parameter $\chi/\kappa_{12} = 50$. In the simulation, the phase-shift operation is applied to the second ion at $t = \pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s$.

In this way, DD of local phonons can be realized. However, in reality, phase-shift operations may not be applied almost instantly, as assumed above, but may take a non-negligible time. This time is sufficiently short if $\chi \gg \kappa_{12}$. The typical values for the parameters obtained with the present conditions of our experiments ($\chi/2\pi \sim 0.50-1.25$ kHz and $\kappa_{12}/2\pi \sim$ $1.0-10$ kHz, where $2g_r/2\pi \sim 20-50$ kHz and $Δ = 10 \times 2g_r$ are used) do not satisfy this condition. Therefore, it is not

realistic in the current conditions to implement the phase-shift operation based on the dispersive interaction.

2. Dynamical decoupling based on resonant sideband pulses

In our scheme, we employ a resonant sideband pulse instead of an off-resonant pulse. We assume that only the motional Fock states up to the first excited state $(|n = 1\rangle)$ are populated, and the internal state is in $|\downarrow\rangle$. If a red-sideband pulse is applied to an ion, the probability amplitude of $|\downarrow, 1\rangle$ undergoes a Rabi cycle, while that of $|\downarrow, 0\rangle$ remains unchanged. If the length of the red-sideband pulse is adjusted so that the probability amplitude of $|\downarrow, 1\rangle$ completes a Rabi cycle via $|\uparrow, 0\rangle$ (2π rotation in that specific red-sideband transition), its phase is changed by π . This phase change can be interpreted as a geometric phase acquired in the resonant Rabi cycle within the two-level system $\{|\downarrow, 1\rangle, |\uparrow, 0\rangle\}$. In contrast, the probability amplitude of $|\downarrow, 0\rangle$ undergoes no phase change. The overall effect of the red-sideband pulse is equivalent to applying \hat{U} in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-0) with $\theta_k = \pi$ provided the initial state is limited to within the manifold spanned by $\{|\downarrow, 0\rangle, |\downarrow, 1\rangle\}$, thus enabling DD involving similar timereversed dynamics.

The clear advantage of this scheme is that the use of a resonant sideband pulse leads to a faster implementation of DD compared with the case when dispersive phase shifts are used. The disadvantage is that it is not applicable to motional Fock states with a quantum number higher than 1. We could avoid this disadvantage by limiting the application of this scheme to initially unoccupied motional modes.

The rotation operation for the red-sideband transition for the *k*th ion is expressed as

$$
\hat{R}(\theta,\phi) = \exp\left(i\frac{\theta}{2}(e^{i\phi}\hat{a}_k^{\dagger}\hat{\sigma}^- + e^{-i\phi}\hat{a}_k\hat{\sigma}^+)\right),\qquad(12)
$$

where θ and ϕ denote the angle of the qubit rotation and the azimuth angle of the rotation axis, respectively. Assuming that the state of the ion is $|\downarrow, 1\rangle$, the state evolves into $-|\downarrow, 1\rangle$ $[= \hat{R}(2\pi, \phi) | \downarrow, 1 \rangle]$ after a 2π rotation at the red-sideband transition. (In fact, the pulse area depends on the initial motional Fock state; here and hereafter, the first red-sideband transition $\{|\downarrow, 1\rangle, |\uparrow, 0\rangle\}$ or the first blue-sideband transition $\{|\downarrow, 0\rangle, |\uparrow, 1\rangle\}$ is taken as the reference transition for determining the pulse area of a sideband pulse.) Note that the discussion here also applies to the blue-sideband interaction provided the two internal states $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ are swapped. In the present study, we use the blue-sideband interaction for the local-phonon manipulation instead of the red-sideband interaction because it provides better phonon manipulation fidelity for a technical reason.

Single-local-phonon propagation with and without a 2π red-sideband pulse is shown in Fig. 4. As an initial state, the quantum states of the ions are prepared in $|\psi_{init}\rangle \equiv |1, 0\rangle$. The blue dot-dashed and black solid curves represent the probabilities of finding $|1, 0\rangle$ (P_{10}) and $|0, 1\rangle$ (P_{01}), respectively. A numerically calculated result of free hopping $(\kappa_{12}/2\pi =$ 2 kHz) is shown in Fig. 4(a). The parameter $2g_r/\kappa_{12} = 25$ is used in Fig. 4(b). In the simulation, a 2π red-sideband pulse is applied to the second ion at $t = \pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s$.

In principle, our scheme is not limited by the number of ions. However, due to the phonon-number dependence on the

FIG. 4. Single-local-phonon dynamics with and without a 2π red-sideband pulse. (a) Free hopping of a single local phonon. (b) Single-phonon dynamics with a 2π red-sideband pulse with the parameter $2g_r/\kappa_{12} = 25$. In the simulation, a 2π red-sideband pulse is applied to the second ion at $t = \pi/4\kappa_{12} = 62.5 \,\mu s$ (vertical dashed line).

sideband Rabi frequency, our scheme does not work in the presence of multiple local phonons in a trapped-ion chain. We discuss the scalability of phase-shift operations in terms of the number of ions and that of phonons in Sec. [IV.](#page-4-0)

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Experimental setup

We perform experiments with two ${}^{40}Ca⁺$ ions trapped in a linear Paul trap. The frequencies for harmonic confinement along the radial (*x* and *y*) and axial (*z*) directions for two ions are $(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)/2\pi = (3.0, 2.8, 0.11)$ MHz, where an rf voltage is stabilized using a method similar to that given in [\[44\]](#page-7-0). The internal states $|S_{1/2}, m_j = -1/2\rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle$ and $|D_{5/2}, m_j = -1/2\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle$ are used to encode the two-level system.

Each experiment starts with Doppler cooling using 397-nm $(S_{1/2} - P_{1/2})$ and 866-nm $(D_{3/2} - P_{1/2})$ lasers. Then ground-state cooling of the radial motional modes (*x* and *y*) is carried out using resolved sideband cooling with a 729-nm laser $(S_{1/2}$ - $D_{5/2}$). In the present experiment, we employ the local-phonon mode in the *y* direction, and the average motional number for the *y* direction is 0.04.

FIG. 5. (a) Experimental sequence. After sideband cooling (SBC), the phonon state $|1, 0\rangle$ is prepared by applying a carrier π pulse π_c to ion 2 and a blue-sideband (BSB) π pulse to ion 1. The hopping time τ is varied to observe the phonon dynamics. We wait for a duration $t_{wait} = 100 \mu s$ and then a 2π BSB pulse is applied to ion 1. To perform fluorescence detection (Detect), BSB π pulses are applied to both ions to map the probability amplitude onto the internal state. (b) Results of the DD with a single sideband pulse. The solid curves are numerically calculated results.

B. Dynamical decoupling of a single local phonon

We implement single-local-phonon control with a single blue-sideband 2π pulse for two ions. The experimental sequence is given in Fig. $5(a)$. After the sideband cooling, we prepare the ions in the state $|\psi_{init}\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle = |\uparrow_1, 1\rangle \otimes$ $|\uparrow_2, 0\rangle \equiv |1, 0\rangle$. This state is generated by applying a carrier π pulse to ion 2, which is followed by a blue-sideband π pulse to ion 1. The hopping time τ is varied to observe the phonon dynamics. After preparing the ions in $|1, 0\rangle$, a fixed wait time $t_{\text{wait}} = 100 \ \mu s$ is applied and then a blue-sideband 2π pulse is applied to ion 1. The time evolution of the phonon state after this pulse in the transformed basis is governed by the transformed Hamiltonian −*Ĥ*_{hop}. Note that this scheme also works in the case of applying a 2π sideband pulse to ion 2, as seen earlier.

After waiting for τ , a blue-sideband π pulse is applied to both ions to map the probability amplitude of $|\uparrow_i, 1\rangle$ onto $|\psi_i, 0\rangle$. The ions are then illuminated with lasers at 397 and 866 nm to collect the state-dependent fluorescence with a CCD camera. We count the events when one ion fluoresces while the other does not, to calculate the probability of detecting $|1, 0\rangle$ (*P*₁₀) [$|0, 1\rangle$ (*P*₀₁)].

The results are shown in Fig. $5(b)$. The blue and orange data are the probabilities of detecting the states $|1, 0\rangle$ and $|0, 1\rangle$, respectively. Each data point is an average of 50 measurements. The time step for each data is $5 \mu s$. The solid curves in Fig. $5(b)$ are numerically calculated results using the Lindblad master equation with the parameter $\kappa_{12}/2\pi = 1.9$ kHz. The parameter κ_{12} is obtained by fitting the free-hopping result with a sinusoidal function. The imperfection of state preparation and the dephasing of carrier and blue-sideband transitions are also included in the numerical calculation. The imperfection of state preparation includes the imperfect motional ground-state cooling and the infidelity of carrier and blue-sideband π pulses due to the dephasing. The imperfection of motional ground-state cooling is inferred from the average phonon number after the sideband cooling. To include the second factor, we fit the carrier and blue-sideband Rabi oscillations with the Lindblad master equation for the density matrix so that we extract the decay rates for both excitations. The experimental data show agreement with the numerically calculated results.

We also demonstrate DD using multiple sideband pulses. The experimental sequence is shown in Fig. $6(a)$. In this experiment, we apply multiple blue-sideband 2π pulses to ion 2 so that the phonon localizes to a particular ion site. After preparing the ions in $|\psi_{init}\rangle = |1, 0\rangle$, we wait for a duration of $t_{\text{wait2}} = 50 \mu s$ and then apply a blue-sideband 2π pulse to ion 1. In the experiment, we sequentially apply a blue-sideband 2π pulse so that the phonon is localized to ion 1.

The result is shown in Fig. $6(b)$. The blue and orange data are the probabilities of detecting $|1, 0\rangle$ and $|0, 1\rangle$, respectively. Each data point is an average of 50 measurements. Here a hopping rate of $\kappa_{12}/2\pi \approx 1.76$ kHz is used, which is obtained by fitting the free-hopping result with a sinusoidal function. The data points shown between $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = t_{\text{wait2}} = 50 \,\mu s$ and the free-hopping part at the last $(440-1000 \mu s)$ are collected with a time step of 10 μ s, while other data points shown in Fig. $6(b)$ are collected with a time step of 5 μ s. The dashed curves are numerically calculated results using the Lindblad master equation as in the former experiment. As seen in Fig. $6(b)$, a single phonon stays in ion 1 and the experimental data show a similarity to the numerically calculated results. The deviation of the measured P_{10} may be explained by miscalibration of the BSB pulse applied to ion 1. Due to the misalignment of the optical beam or drift of the ion's position during the experiment, the pulse area can be changed. The deviation along the *x* axis from the simulation is also observed. We speculate that the inaccurate evaluation of phonon-hopping rate contributes to this deviation. We often observe the change of the phonon-hopping rate during the experiment due to the fluctuation of the rf voltage and the drift of the ion's position.

IV. DISCUSSION

The blue-sideband π pulse infidelity (\sim 0.08) limits the contrast of the experimental data. Several factors are expected to contribute to this, and one of the largest contributions may be that caused by ac Stark shifts. We assume that the ac Stark shifts may cause this infidelity due to the off-resonant coupling to the carrier transition of the sideband transition [\[45\]](#page-7-0). We find that the 729-nm beam illuminating one of the ions interferes with the tail of another beam directed at the other ion around the plane in which the axis for the ion string resides. We speculate that this beam interference causes fluctuations in the beam intensity experienced by the ions, resulting in a variation of ac Stark shifts for the relevant transitions. Therefore, an ac Stark compensator may improve

FIG. 6. (a) Experimental sequence for controlling a local phonon with multiple sideband pulses. After preparing the ions in $|1, 0\rangle$, the sequence of 2π pulses at the blue sideband is applied to ion 2. The duration between each BSB 2π pulse is set to be $2t_{\text{waitz}}$. During the hopping period, four 2π BSB pulses are applied. Finally, a mapping pulse is applied, followed by the detection. (b) Results of the DD technique with multiple sideband pulses. The dashed curves are numerically calculated results. Each data point is an average of 50 measurements.

the overall contrast of the data. See also the Appendix for the guidelines for estimating sideband pulse infidelity.

Then we discuss the scalability of the presented method in terms of (1) the number of ions and (2) the number of phonons, provided a single trap as in our current experiment is used. The former can be judged with respect to the minimal distance between the ions. Whether the method presented here is applicable depends on the ratio between the speed of manipulating local phonons and each hopping rate, where the hopping rate scales in proportion to the inverse of the cube of the interion distance [\[15\]](#page-7-0). Even if the number of ions in the trap is increased, as long as the minimum distance between the ions is longer than a certain value, the method is applicable. It should be noted that this requires increasingly shallow axial potentials for large numbers of ions in a single linear trap, as well as single-ion addressability. By using an array of independent single-ion traps and local phonons in a system [\[12,13\]](#page-7-0), we can avoid the use of shallow potentials and increase the number of ions. We should note that the control of local phonons in such a system at the level of a single quantum is yet to be demonstrated.

As for the scalability in terms of the number of phonons, as noted earlier, operations on multiple local phonons cannot be performed with resonant sideband pulses and may require dispersive shifts, as assumed in the scheme proposed in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-7-0). The discussion of the experimental implementation of the phase-shift operator using practical parameters is left for future work. As described above, the condition $\chi \gg \kappa_{ij}$ must be satisfied for the phase-shift operation. This condition can be realized by (1) increasing the sideband Rabi frequency 2*gr*, (2) decreasing the detuning Δ , or (3) decreasing the hopping rate κ*i j*.

Increasing the sideband Rabi frequency is a straightforward way to enhance the dispersive interaction strength. The sideband Rabi frequency is practically limited by the offresonant excitation of the carrier transition [\[46\]](#page-7-0), and the typical value is less than 100 kHz. Likewise, the detuning Δ is also determined by the off-resonant excitation of the sideband transition. When an ion is irradiated with an off-resonant red-sideband pulse with Δ detuning from the sideband transition, the off-resonant excitation probability is $P_{\Delta} \sim 4g_r^2/\Delta^2$, where $2g_r$ is the Rabi frequency at the red-sideband transition. This relation indicates that to suppress the excitation error below 1%, the detuning Δ needs to be larger than $10 \times 2g_r$. Then we get $\chi = g_r^2 / \Delta = g_r^2 / (10 \times 2g_r) = 2g_r / 40 = 2\pi \times$ 2.5 kHz, where $2g_r$ is assumed to be $2\pi \times 100$ kHz. Therefore, in practice, we need to decrease the hopping rate to realize $\chi \gg \kappa_{ij}$. However, decreasing the hopping rate sacrifices the speed of operations that arise from the couplings of local-phonon modes. One possible idea to overcome this problem is to temporarily suppress the phonon hopping while applying a phase-shift operation. This can be realized by combining the existing technical components that are established in the trapped-ion experiments. For instance, separating and combing ion crystals using time-dependent voltage controls for trapping potential allows the decrease of the hopping rate, which is inversely proportional to the cubed ion distance. An array of independent single-ion traps enables controls of individual motional modes, resulting in the suppression of the phonon hopping [\[12,13\]](#page-7-0). An optical control technique, such as phonon blockade, significantly suppresses the propagation of local phonons [\[8,11\]](#page-6-0).

As the last topic in this section, we discuss the possibility of extending the DD scheme introduced in this work to a more general category of coherently controlling phonons using optical pulses. In the DD scheme, via a 2π sideband rotation, a minus sign is imparted to a local-phonon wave packet. This phase shift can be chosen flexibly, by changing the optical phase between the first and second halves of the sideband pulse. This could lead to implementing schemes capable of controlling the phases and flows of local phonons. Pulsed techniques developed for the manipulation of qubitlike systems, such as composite pulses [\[47\]](#page-7-0) and adiabatic passages [\[48,49\]](#page-7-0), can also be applied to the combined system of internal states and phonons so that the system may acquire such properties as robustness to parameter variations and inhomogeneities. The coherent control of phonons as mentioned above has not been applied to the system of multiple local motional modes in trapped ions.

V. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated dynamical decoupling of localphonon modes in a two-ion chain. A 2π pulse at the blue-sideband transition induces a sign flip of a single-localphonon state, reversing the dynamics of the local phonon. Our technique can be useful for maintaining the motional coherence of the local phonons and may also provide a tool for engineering local-phonon couplings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Clément Chamboulive for his contribution in the early stage of this work. This work was supported by MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program Grant No. JPMXS0118067477. R.O. was supported by JSPS KAK-ENHI Grant No. JP21J10054.

APPENDIX: GUIDELINES FOR ESTIMATING SIDEBAND PULSE INFIDELITY

In Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) we explained that intensity fluctuations can be converted to those of the resonance frequency when substantial ac Stark shifts exist. Here we evaluate the effect of such frequency fluctuations, as well as other factors, to the infidelity of sideband pulses.

The effect of ac Stark shifts on the $S_{1/2}$ - $D_{5/2}$ qubit transition of ${}^{40}Ca⁺$ caused by a nearly resonant laser is thoroughly investigated in Ref. [\[45\]](#page-7-0). The sum of ac Stark shifts Δ_{ac} can be described as

$$
\Delta_{ac} = -2 \frac{a_{-1/2,-1/2}}{\delta - \delta_{-1/2,-1/2}} \frac{\Omega_0^2}{4} + 2b \frac{\Omega_0^2}{4}
$$

$$
- \frac{\Omega_0^2}{4} \sum_{m_{j'}=-5/2,-3/2,+1/2,+3/2} \frac{a_{-1/2,m_{j'}}}{\delta - \delta_{-1/2,m_{j'}}}
$$

$$
- \frac{a_{+1/2,-1/2}}{\delta - \delta_{+1/2,-1/2}} \frac{\Omega_0^2}{4} + \Delta_{SB}.
$$
 (A1)

Here $a_{m,m'}$ is the proportionality factor related to the contribution from the $S_{1/2}(m_j = m) - D_{5/2}(m_{j'} = m')$ Zeeman component; δ is the laser detuning from the $S_{1/2}$ - $D_{5/2}$ center; $\delta_{m,m'}$ is the frequency of the $S_{1/2}(m_j = m)$ - $D_{5/2}(m_{j'} = m_j)$ m') component with reference to the $S_{1/2}$ - $D_{5/2}$ center; Ω_0 is the laser carrier Rabi frequency for the $S_{1/2}(m_i = -1/2)$ - $D_{5/2}(m_{i'}=-1/2)$ component which is used as the qubit transition; *b* is the proportionality factor related to the contributions from relevant dipole-allowed transitions, including those at 397, 393, and 854 nm; and Δ_{sb} represents the contributions from sideband transitions.

For our current excitation scheme including the magnetic-field direction, $a_{-1/2,-5/2} = 0.278$, $a_{-1/2,-1/2} = 1$, and $a_{-1/2,+3/2} = 0.0556$, whereas $a_{-1/2,-3/2} = a_{-1/2,+1/2} =$ $a_{+1/2,-1/2} = 0$. We assume that the laser is resonant to the blue-sideband transition of the qubit transition, the Rabi frequency Ω_0 is equal to $2\pi \times 675$ kHz, and the magnetic-field strength is 3.85×10^{-4} T. Under these conditions, the frequency shift Δ_{ac} is calculated to be $-2\pi \times 60$ kHz. This includes the contributions from the five terms on the right-hand side of Eq. $(A1)$, which amount to $2\pi \times (-81, 21, -1.4, 0, 1.7)$ kHz, respectively.

We then explain the guidelines for evaluating the infidelity of a blue-sideband π pulse in the presence of such a frequency shift, as well as other factors. We perform the simulation of the JCH dynamics for two ions to obtain the infidelity of a bluesideband π pulse, assuming that one ion is illuminated with a blue-sideband pulse, whose Rabi frequency is $2\pi \times 29$ kHz. As an example for the evaluation of infidelity, if we assume relative intensity fluctuations with a standard deviation of 0.11, then the infidelity due to intensity fluctuations is estimated to be ∼0.01. In the presence of ac Stark shifts, which are assumed to be of the same magnitudes as evaluated in the preceding paragraph, the intensity fluctuations are converted to frequency fluctuations, resulting in an additional infidelity of ∼0.04. This infidelity may be removed if an ac Stark compensator is introduced in the experiment. The infidelity due to the residual thermal distribution (the average motional quantum number of ∼0.04) is estimated to be ∼0.01. Other factors of imperfections include the fluctuations of the radial secular frequencies (actively stabilized to the order of a few hundred hertz), heating (below ten quanta per second), magnetic-field fluctuations, and 729-nm laser frequency fluctuations.

- [1] M. Harlander, R. Lechner, M. Brownnutt, R. Blatt, and W. Hänsel, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09800) **471**, 200 (2011).
- [2] K. R. Brown, C. Ospelkaus, Y. Colombe, A. C. Wilson, D. [Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09721) Nature (London) **471**, 196 (2011).
- [3] A. C. Wilson, Y. Colombe, K. R. Brown, E. Knill, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13565) **512**, 57 (2014).
- [4] S. Haze, Y. Tateishi, A. Noguchi, K. Toyoda, and S. Urabe, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 031401\(R\) \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.031401)
- [5] [M. Ramm, T. Pruttivarasin, and H. Häffner,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063062) New J. Phys. **16**, 063062 (2014).
- [6] [K. Toyoda, R. Hiji, A. Noguchi, and S. Urabe,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15735) Nature (London) **527**, 74 (2015).
- [7] A. Abdelrahman, O. Khosravani, M. Gessner, A. Buchleitner, H.-P. Breuer, D. Gorman, R. Masuda, T. Pruttivarasin, M. [Ramm, P. Schindler, and H. Häffner,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15712) Nat. Commun. **8**, 15712 (2017).
- [8] S. Debnath, N. M. Linke, S.-T. Wang, C. Figgatt, K. A. [Landsman, L.-M. Duan, and C. Monroe,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.073001) Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 073001 (2018).
- [9] [R. Ohira, T. Mukaiyama, and K. Toyoda,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.060301) Phys. Rev. A **100**, 060301(R) (2019).
- [10] [M. Tamura, T. Mukaiyama, and K. Toyoda,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.200501) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 200501 (2020).
- [11] R. Ohira, S. Kume, K. Takayama, S. Muralidharan, H. Takahashi, and K. Toyoda, Phys. Rev. A **103**[, 012612 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.012612)
- [12] F. Hakelberg, P. Kiefer, M. Wittemer, U. Warring, and T. Schaetz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**[, 100504 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.100504)
- [13] P. Kiefer, F. Hakelberg, M. Wittemer, A. Bermúdez, D. Porras, [U. Warring, and T. Schaetz,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.213605) Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 213605 (2019).
- [14] [D. An, A. M. Alonso, C. Matthiesen, and H. Häffner,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.063201) Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 063201 (2022).
- [15] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**[, 263602 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.263602)
- [16] [X.-L. Deng, D. Porras, and J. I. Cirac,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033403) Phys. Rev. A **77**, 033403 (2008).
- [17] A. D. Greentree, C. Tahan, J. H. Cole, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, Nat. Phys. **2**[, 856 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys466)
- [18] [M. J. Hartmann, F. Brandao, and M. B. Plenio,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys462) Nat. Phys. **2**, 849 (2006).
- [19] P. A. Ivanov, S. S. Ivanov, N. V. Vitanov, A. Mering, M. [Fleischhauer, and K. Singer,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.060301) Phys. Rev. A **80**, 060301(R) (2009).
- [20] K. Toyoda, Y. Matsuno, A. Noguchi, S. Haze, and S. Urabe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**[, 160501 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.160501)
- [21] [R. Ohira, S. Kume, H. Takahashi, and K. Toyoda,](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abecd1) Quantum Sci. Technol. **6**, 024015 (2021).
- [22] [S. Muralidharan, R. Ohira, S. Kume, and K. Toyoda,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.104.062410) *Phys. Rev.* A **104**, 062410 (2021).
- [23] Q.-X. Mei, B.-W. Li, Y.-K. Wu, M.-L. Cai, Y. Wang, L. Yao, Z.- C. Zhou, and L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**[, 160504 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.160504)
- [24] A. Peruzzo, M. Lobino, J. C. F. Matthews, N. Matsuda, A. Politi, K. Poulios, X.-Q. Zhou, Y. Lahini, N. Ismail, K. Wörhoff, Y. Bromberg, Y. Silberberg, M. G. Thompson, and J. L. O'Brien, Science **329**[, 1500 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193515)
- [25] [C. Shen, Z. Zhang, and L.-M. Duan,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.050504) Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 050504 (2014).
- [26] S. Aaronson and A. Arkhipov, *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (ACM, New York, 2011), pp. 333–342.
- [27] [A. Serafini, A. Retzker, and M. B. Plenio,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/2/023007) New J. Phys. **11**, 023007 (2009).
- [28] C. Monroe, D. M. Meekhof, B. E. King, S. R. Jefferts, W. M. [Itano, D. J. Wineland, and P. Gould,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4011) Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4011 (1995).
- [29] D. Kienzler, H.-Y. Lo, B. Keitch, L. de Clercq, F. Leupold, F. Lindenfelser, M. Marinelli, V. Negnevitsky, and J. P. Home, Science **347**[, 53 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261033)
- [30] S. C. Burd, R. Srinivas, J. J. Bollinger, A. C. Wilson, D. J. Wineland, D. Leibfried, D. H. Slichter, and D. T. C. Allcock, Science **364**[, 1163 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw2884)
- [31] J. Zhang, M. Um, D. Lv, J.-N. Zhang, L.-M. Duan, and K. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**[, 160502 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.160502)
- [32] S. An, J.-N. Zhang, M. Um, D. Lv, Y. Lu, J. Zhang, Z.-Q. Yin, H. Quan, and K. Kim, Nat. Phys. **11**[, 193 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3197)
- [33] M. Um, J. Zhang, D. Lv, Y. Lu, S. An, J.-N. Zhang, H. Nha, M. Kim, and K. Kim, Nat. Commun. **7**[, 11410 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11410)
- [34] Y. Shen, Y. Lu, K. Zhang, J. Zhang, S. Zhang, J. Huh, and K. Kim, Chem. Sci. **9**[, 836 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7SC04602B)
- [35] F. Wolf, C. Shi, J. C. Heip, M. Gessner, L. Pezzè, A. Smerzi, M. [Schulte, K. Hammerer, and P. O. Schmidt,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10576-4) Nat. Commun. **10**, 2929 (2019).
- [36] L. Viola and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A **58**[, 2733 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.58.2733)
- [37] [L. Viola, E. Knill, and S. Lloyd,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.2417) Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2417 (1999).
- [38] [L. M. K. Vandersypen and I. L. Chuang,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.1037) Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 1037 (2005).
- [39] M. J. Biercuk, H. Uys, A. P. VanDevender, N. Shiga, W. M. Itano, and J. J. Bollinger, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07951) **458**, 996 (2009).
- [40] [S. Kotler, N. Akerman, Y. Glickman, and R. Ozeri,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.110503) Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 110503 (2013).
- [41] H.-K. Lau and D. F. V. James, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 062329 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.062329)
- [42] [D. Leibfried, R. Blatt, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.281) Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 281 (2003).
- [43] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [44] K. G. Johnson, J. D. Wong-Campos, A. Restelli, K. A. [Landsman, B. Neyenhuis, J. Mizrahi, and C. Monroe,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4948734) Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87**, 053110 (2016).
- [45] H. Häffner, S. Gulde, M. Riebe, G. Lancaster, C. Becher, J. [Eschner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, and R. Blatt,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.143602) Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 143602 (2003).
- [46] A. Steane, C. F. Roos, D. Stevens, A. Mundt, D. Leibfried, F. Schmidt-Kaler, and R. Blatt, Phys. Rev. A **62**[, 042305 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.042305)
- [47] M. H. Levitt, [Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6565(86)80005-X) **18**, 61 (1986).
- [48] N. V. Vitanov, T. Halfmann, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, [Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.52.1.763) **52**, 763 (2001).
- [49] N. V. Vitanov, A. A. Rangelov, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.015006) **89**, 015006 (2017).