Hydrodynamic generation of skyrmions in a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate

Kyoshiro Sakaguchi, Keisuke Jimbo, and Hiroki Sait[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3234-103X)

Department of Engineering Science, University of Electro-Communications, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan

(Received 3 November 2021; accepted 3 January 2022; published 14 January 2022)

When an obstacle is moved in a superfluid faster than a critical velocity, quantized vortices are generated behind the obstacle. Here we propose a method to create more complicated topological excitations, three-dimensional skyrmions, behind a moving obstacle. We numerically show that, in a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate, component-dependent obstacle potentials can generate skyrmions in the wake, made up of quantized vortex rings in different components that are linked with each other. The lifetime of generated skyrmions can be prolonged by a guiding potential, which enables the formation of a skyrmion train.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.105.013312](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.013312)

I. INTRODUCTION

Skyrmions are particlelike topological excitations of fields. They were originally proposed to describe mesons and baryons in nuclear physics [\[1\]](#page-5-0) and were later applied to other physical systems, such as quantum Hall systems [\[2–4\]](#page-5-0), magnetic materials [\[5\]](#page-5-0), liquid crystals [\[6\]](#page-6-0), and multicomponent Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [\[7,8\]](#page-6-0). In these systems, skyrmions can be generated by various means. For example, in a magnetic film, two-dimensional skyrmions can be created by applying a magnetic field perpendicular to the surface [\[9\]](#page-6-0). Using the tip of a scanning tunneling microscope, magnetic skyrmions can be written or deleted in a controlled manner [\[10\]](#page-6-0).

Here we focus on the generation schemes of skyrmions in a multicomponent BEC. Experimentally, two-dimensional skyrmions have been created by Raman transitions [\[11\]](#page-6-0) and by magnetic-field-induced spin rotations [\[12\]](#page-6-0). Threedimensional skyrmions were recently realized in a spin-1 BEC by spin rotation with a controlled magnetic field [\[13\]](#page-6-0). Theoretically, several schemes to generate skyrmions in multicomponent BECs have been proposed: Rabi transitions with topological phases [\[7\]](#page-6-0), spin rotation by a fictitious or real magnetic field [\[8,14–16\]](#page-6-0), capillary instability [\[17\]](#page-6-0), spin-orbit coupling [\[18,19\]](#page-6-0), decay of domain walls [\[20\]](#page-6-0), and light-matter coupling [\[21\]](#page-6-0). The stability and dynamics of skyrmions have also been studied [\[22–34\]](#page-6-0). In this paper, we propose an alternative scheme to generate three-dimensional skyrmions in a two-component BEC: hydrodynamic generation of skyrmions behind an obstacle moving in the BEC. This scheme is reminiscent of that in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-6-0), in which trefoil and linked vortices are created in water using hydrofoils with special shapes. Such generation schemes of topological excitations explore an interdisciplinary field of hydrodynamics and topology, paving the way for understanding such phenomena as the topological excitations in quantum turbulence [\[36\]](#page-6-0).

A quantized vortex is the simplest topological excitation in a BEC and can be generated by an external potential moving in a BEC [\[37–39\]](#page-6-0). Such hydrodynamic generation of quantized vortices has been realized in experiments, where pairs of vortices and antivortices (vortex dipoles) were created behind Gaussian laser beams swept through BECs [\[40–43\]](#page-6-0). The successive generation of vortices by a moving obstacle potential forms a periodic pattern, which is a quantum analog of the Bénard–von Kármán vortex street [\[44,45\]](#page-6-0). In a spinor or two-component BEC, a moving obstacle potential can be used to generate half-quantum vortices [\[46,47\]](#page-6-0). Quantized vortices have also been observed in exciton-polariton super-fluids flowing around obstacle potentials [\[48\]](#page-6-0). Thus, so far, the hydrodynamic generation of topological excitations in superfluids has been restricted to quantized or half-quantized vortices, which are essentially topological structures in two dimensions.

The aim of the present study is to generate more intriguing topological excitations, three-dimensional skyrmions, by an obstacle potential moving in a miscible two-component BEC. We propose a special configuration of external potentials that depend on the components and move them in the two-component BEC. We show that quantized vortex rings generated in different components are linked with each other. Such a structure is characterized by a nonzero integer winding number and is regarded as a skyrmion. As in the case of quantized-vortex generation, successive generation of skyrmions is possible in this method. When the generated skyrmions are kept stable, they form a skyrmion train, just like a vortex street behind an obstacle.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II reviews structures of skyrmions in a twocomponent BEC and defines a topological structure to be created hydrodynamically. Section [III A](#page-2-0) proposes potential configurations for creating skyrmions and numerically demonstrates skyrmion generation in an ideal uniform system. Section [III B](#page-4-0) studies a realistic system confined in a harmonic potential. Section [IV](#page-5-0) provides a summary and conclusions of this study.

II. SKYRMION IN A TWO-COMPONENT BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE

We consider a two-component BEC at zero temperature, described by the macroscopic wave functions $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\psi_2(r, t)$ in the mean-field approximation. The two-component wave functions can generally be written as

$$
\Psi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(r) \\ \psi_2(r) \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\rho(r)} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1(r) \\ \zeta_2(r) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}
$$

where $\rho = |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2$ is the total density and $|\zeta_1|^2 +$ $|\zeta_2|^2 = 1$. (We assume $\rho \neq 0$ to avoid discontinuities in ζ .) The vector (ζ_1, ζ_2) can be regarded as a state of pseudospin $\frac{1}{2}$, which has the SU(2) manifold. A skyrmion in a twocomponent BEC is defined as a state in which the physical space r is continuously mapped onto the $SU(2)$ manifold in a topologically nontrivial manner. In this mapping, (ζ_1, ζ_2) must go to a common state at infinity $(r \to \infty)$. Mathematically, the topology of this map is represented by the third homotopy group $\pi_3[SU(2)] = \mathbb{Z}$ and the skyrmion is characterized by an integer winding number.

A simple expression of a skyrmion is given by

$$
\Psi(r) = \sqrt{\rho} e^{-i\chi(r)\sigma \cdot n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \chi - i \sin \chi \cos \theta \\ -i \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}
$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ is the vector of the Pauli matrices and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ is a unit vector pointing in the radial direction with polar coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) . The continuous function $\chi(r)$ must be integer multiples of π at $r = 0$ and $r = \infty$ in order that the state does not depend on θ and ϕ at the origin and infinity. If we impose the boundary condition χ (0) = 0 and χ (∞) = π, exp($-i\chi \sigma \cdot n$) covers whole elements of SU(2). In fact, Eq. (2) runs over whole spin states. It is apparent from the real and imaginary parts of each component in Eq. (2) that the manifold corresponds to the surface of a unit sphere in four dimensions with an area $2\pi^2$. The winding number is defined by the number of times that the sphere is wrapped around:

$$
W = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\chi(\infty)} d\chi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sin^2 \chi \sin \theta. \quad (3)
$$

When $\chi(r)$ changes from $\chi(0) = 0$ to $\chi(\infty) = \ell \pi$ with an integer ℓ , $W = \ell$.

The variables χ , θ , and ϕ in Eq. (2) can be regarded as functions of the Cartesian coordinates $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$. Let us allow continuous deformation of these functions, which we denote by $\alpha(r)$, $\beta(r)$, and $\gamma(r)$. A general form of the wave function can then be expressed as

$$
\Psi(r) = \sqrt{\rho(r)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha(r) - i \sin \alpha(r) \cos \beta(r) \\ -i \sin \alpha(r) \sin \beta(r) e^{i\gamma(r)} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4}
$$

Changing the integration variables from those in Eq. (3) to the Cartesian coordinates, we obtain a general form of the winding number as

$$
W = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d\mathbf{r} \sin^2 \alpha(\mathbf{r}) \sin \beta(\mathbf{r}) \det \left(\frac{\partial(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\partial(x, y, z)} \right), \quad (5)
$$

where det(\cdots) is the Jacobian. The winding number in Eq. (5) is an integer as long as the wave function is continuous.

The macroscopic wave functions obey the coupled Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equations given by

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_1} \nabla^2 + V_1 + g_{11} |\psi_1|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_2|^2 \right) \psi_1,
$$
\n(6a)
\n
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_2} \nabla^2 + V_2 + g_{22} |\psi_2|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_1|^2 \right) \psi_2,
$$
\n(6b)

where m_j is the atomic mass of component *j*, $V_j(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the external potential for component *j*, and $g_{jj'} = 2\pi \hbar^2 a_{jj'} / m_{jj'}$ is the interaction coefficient with $a_{ji'}$ and $m_{ji'}$ the *s*-wave scattering length and reduced mass between components *j* and *j* , respectively. Here, for simplicity, we assume that the atoms in both components have the same mass $m \equiv m_1 = m_2$ and the same intracomponent interaction $g \equiv g_{11} = g_{22}$. In this section, we consider the case in which $V_1 = V_2 = 0$. In numerical simulations, the real-time and imaginary-time evolutions of Eq. (6) are solved using the pseudospectral method [\[49\]](#page-6-0). In the results shown in this section and in Sec. [III A,](#page-2-0) length, time, energy, and density are normalized by $\hbar/(2mgn_0)^{1/2}$, $\hbar/(gn_0)$, *gn*₀, and n_0 , respectively, where n_0 is the uniform density far from the skyrmion.

Let us consider a skyrmion structure in Eq. (2) with a winding number $W = 1$. This state consists of a quantized vortex ring in component 1 and a toroidal-shaped component 2 with a quantized circulation along the torus [\[7\]](#page-6-0), as shown in Figs. $1(a)-1(c)$. The core of the vortex ring of component 1 is filled with component 2 and the total density is almost constant. Numerically, this skyrmion state is obtained by a short imaginary-time evolution (duration of ∼100) of the GP equation starting from an initial state in Eq. (2) with an appropriate $\chi(r)$. Since the skyrmion state is not a local minimum of the energy, long imaginary-time evolution eliminates the skyrmion or expands it to infinity. After the short imaginary-time evolution, a small numerical noise is added to break the numerically exact symmetry, followed by the real-time evolution. The dynamics of the skyrmion for $g = g_{12}$ shown in the video provided in the Supplemental Material $[50]$ indicates that the skyrmion is stable.¹ Since the vortex ring has a momentum along the axis of the ring (*z* direction), the skyrmion moves at a constant velocity in a uniform system [\[33\]](#page-6-0). We therefore use the frame of reference moving with the skyrmion by adding a term *to the* right-hand side of Eq. (6). The velocity v_z is chosen to be 0.08 in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) so that the skyrmion is at rest in the real-time evolution.

In most previous studies, the structure as shown in Figs. $1(a)-1(c)$ has been studied as a skyrmion in a twocomponent BEC, which is also referred to as a vorton [\[20\]](#page-6-0). Alternatively, topologically equivalent states can be obtained by rotating the state in the pseudospin space, since the winding number *W* is unchanged by the global spin rotation. Figures $1(d)$ – $1(f)$ show a state that is obtained by the spin

¹The energy of the skyrmion is conserved and the shrinkage does not occur in the real-time evolution.

FIG. 1. (a)–(c) Skyrmion state obtained by imaginary-time prop-agation of the GP equation starting from Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0), where $g_{12} = g$. (a) Isodensity surface of component 2 ($|\psi_2|^2 = 0.5$). (b) Density and phase (inset) profiles of component 1 on the plane of $x = 0$. (c) Density and phase (inset) profiles of component 2 on the plane of $z = 0$. (d)–(f) Spin state of (a)–(c) rotated by $\pi/2$ using Eq. (7). (d) Isodensity surfaces of both components $(|\psi_1|^2 = |\psi_1|^2 = 0.2)$. (e) and (f) Density and phase (insets) profiles of components 1 and 2 on the plane of $x = 0$. The white arrows indicate the positions of quantized vortex cores. (g) Time evolution of the isodensity surfaces for $g_{12} = 0.95g$. The size of the boxes in (a), (d), and (g) is $128 \times 128 \times 128$ in units of the healing length. See the Supplemental Material for videos of the real-time dynamics in (a), (d), and (g) $[50]$.

rotation of the state in Figs. $1(a)-1(c)$ by $\pi/2$ around the *y* axis,

$$
\exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\sigma_y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (7)

In this skyrmion state, both components contain vortex rings, and the two vortex rings in components 1 and 2 link with each other $[51]$, as shown in Fig. $1(d)$. The dynamics of this skyrmion state is shown in the video provided in the Supplemental Material [\[50\]](#page-6-0). The linked vortices slowly revolve about the *z* axis at a frequency of $\sim 10^{-3}$. This rotation can be understood from the fact that, in the original state in Figs. $1(a)-1(c)$, the torus-shaped component 2 and the surrounding component 1 have different chemical potentials $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, resulting in an increase in the relative phase between the two components as $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)t/\hbar$. The relative phase also increases with the angle ϕ around the *z* axis, since the torus of component 2 has a quantized vortex, as shown in Figs. $1(a)$ and 1(c), and thus the relative phase is $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)t/\hbar - \phi$. Thus, the spin structure in Fig. 1(d) revolves about the *z* axis at a frequency $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/h$, since the relative phase between the two components before the spin rotation determines the spin structure of Fig. $1(d)$. The stability of the state in Figs. $1(d)$ –1(f) is the same as that of Figs. $1(a)$ –1(c), since the GP equation is invariant with respect to the spin rotation for $g = g_{12}$.

We examine the stability of the skyrmion state for $g_{12} < g$. Figure $1(g)$ shows the time evolution of the skyrmion state with linked vortices for $g_{12} = 0.95g$. The initial state is prepared by a short imaginary-time evolution of the state in Fig. $1(d)$ with $g_{12} = 0.95g$. In Fig. $1(g)$, the linked vortices are first stretched at $t = 1600$ and nearly divide into individual vortex rings, which are however kept linked after that. The link survives at least until $t = 3200$. Through the time evolution, the winding number *W* is kept to almost unity. If the state in Fig. 1(d) (prepared with $g_{12} = g$) is used as the initial state of the time evolution for $g_{12} = 0.95g$ (without the short imaginary-time evolution), the linked vortices are unlinked at $t \approx 800$ (data not shown) because of the excess energy due to the change of *g*12, which was reduced by the short imaginarytime evolution in Fig. $1(g)$. These results imply that there is an energy barrier to unlink the vortex rings. This is because there must appear a phase singularity with zero density $[U(1)]$ vortex] at which the vortices are unlinked.

For the present purpose, i.e., hydrodynamic generation of skyrmions by a moving obstacle, the skyrmion state as in Figs. $1(d)-1(g)$ (linked vortices) is more suited than that in Figs. $1(a)-1(c)$ (vorton). The vorton state cannot be created just by moving an obstacle in component 1, because N_2 is conserved within the GP equation; a localized component 2 must be added to create a vorton. On the other hand, for the linked-vortex state generation, such an extra procedure is not needed, since both components exist from the start. In the next section, we aim to produce the linked-vortex state by a moving obstacle.

III. SKYRMION GENERATION BY A MOVING OBSTACLE

A. Uniform system

In this section, we consider an ideal uniform system without a trapping potential. We use an obstacle potential that is different for components 1 and 2, $V_1 \neq V_2$. Such spindependent potentials are realized by nearly resonant laser beams [\[52,53\]](#page-6-0). Here we propose an obstacle potential given by $(j = 1, 2)$

$$
V_j(\mathbf{r}, t) = V_0(t)e^{-[(x+\delta_j)^2 + \eta^2 + (4\xi)^2]/R^2},
$$

\n
$$
\eta = y \cos \lambda_j - z \sin \lambda_j,
$$

\n
$$
\zeta = z \cos \lambda_j + y \sin \lambda_j,
$$
\n(8)

where V_0 is the strength of the oblate Gaussian potential, and δ ^{*j*} and λ ^{*j*} are the spin-dependent shift in the *x* direction and rotation angle about the *x* axis, respectively. The shape of this potential is depicted in Fig. $2(a)$, where the parameters are taken to be $V_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 3$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/16$, and $R = 14$. The two oblate potentials for components 1 and 2 are tilted and shifted in opposite directions and they partly overlap with each other. An important point in this potential configuration is that the circular edges of these spheroids are linked with each other, so they resemble the configuration of the linked vortex rings in the skyrmion in Fig. $1(d)$.

FIG. 2. (a) Isosurfaces of the obstacle potentials $(V_1 = V_2$ $0.5V_0$) in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0). The parameters of the potential are $V_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 3$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/16$, and $R = 14$. (b)–(f) Dynamics of skyrmion generation for $g_{12} = 0.98g$. The potential in (a) is moved at a velocity $v_z = 0.18$, which is linearly ramped down and vanishes at $t = 100$. Isodensity surfaces of both components $(|\psi_1|^2 = |\psi_2|^2 =$ 0.2) are shown, where the densities are smaller inside the surfaces. The frame of reference is moved at $v_z = 0.18$ in the *z* direction. The size of the boxes in (b)–(f) is $128 \times 128 \times 128$ in units of the healing length. See the Supplemental Material for a video of the dynamics in (b)–(f) [\[50\]](#page-6-0). (g) Time evolution of the winding number *W* defined in Eq. (5) . (h) Critical velocity v_c above which skyrmions are generated. The parameters of the potential are $V_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 3$, and $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/16$.

In the numerical simulation of the GP equation, the ground state in the presence of the potential in Fig. $2(a)$ is prepared by the imaginary-time evolution. In the subsequent real-time evolution, the term *is introduced in the right-hand* side of the GP equation, which corresponds to the situation in which the potential starts to move in the *z* direction at a velocity v_z . At the same time, the strength of the potential *V*₀(*t*) is linearly ramped down from $V_0(0) = 1$ to $V_0(100) = 0$. After $t = 100$, the potential is kept at zero.

Figures $2(b)-2(f)$ demonstrate the real-time dynamics of the skyrmion generation behind the obstacle potential. In these figures, the tubelike surfaces contain vortex lines of the corresponding component filled with the other component, i.e., they indicate half-quantum vortex lines. First, half-quantum vortex lines emerge from the upstream potential edges [Fig. $2(c)$]. After the potential vanishes ($t > 100$), the linked vortex rings remain [Figs. $2(d)$ and $2(e)$], which is a skyrmion similar to that in Fig. [1\(d\).](#page-2-0) The winding number *W* increases from 0 to \approx 1, as shown in Fig. [1\(g\).](#page-2-0) The mechanism of the skyrmion generation is quite simple: The vortex line for each component is generated near the circular edge of the oblate potential, where the flow velocity exceeds the critical velocity of vortex generation. The skyrmion is thus formed from the linked configuration of the circular edges of the oblate potentials. The skyrmion survives for a long time, as shown in Fig. $2(f)$. The lifetime of a generated skyrmion is typically \sim 1000. In Figs. 2(b)–2(f), the velocity of a skyrmion moving in the $+z$ direction is slower than v_z and it travels in the −*z* direction in the moving frame of reference.

In Fig. $2(h)$, we show the critical velocity v_c above which skyrmions are generated. The parameters δ_i and λ_j are the same as those in Figs. $2(a)-2(g)$ and the strength of the potential $V_0 = 1$ is kept constant. To determine the critical velocity for each *R*, we start from the ground state for $v_z = 0$ and gradually increase v_z from zero in the real-time evolution. It is found from Fig. $2(h)$ that the critical velocity v_c decreases with an increase in the obstacle size *R*.

Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows the sequential generation of skyrmions, where the strength V_0 of the potential is kept constant. In this case, after the skyrmion is generated behind the potential [Fig. $3(a)$], the two linked vortex rings are stretched [Fig. $3(b)$] and then detach from each other at $t \simeq 1300$ [Fig. [3\(c\)\]](#page-4-0). When the linked vortex rings are detached, the winding number *W* is decreased by \approx 1. Although the skyrmions are created sequentially with a period of ∼1000, they are broken with a lifetime of ∼1000, resulting in an oscillation of *W* , as shown in Fig. $3(e)$. We examined various parameters and found that the skyrmions always have finite lifetimes, which makes it difficult to maintain three or more skyrmions at the same time.

To enhance the stability of the created skyrmions, we introduce a cylindrical potential

$$
V_{\text{cyl}}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r_{\perp} \leq R_c \\ -gn_0, & r_{\perp} > R_c, \end{cases}
$$
(9)

where $r_{\perp} = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$. This potential makes the density at $r_{\perp} > R_c$ large. The vortices tend to be confined within the cylinder of $r_{\perp} \le R_c$, which can prevent the stretching and detaching of vortices in the transverse direction, as shown in Figs. $3(b)$ and $3(c)$. Figures $3(f)-3(i)$ show the dynamics in the presence of the cylindrical potential with $R_c = 32$. The wave functions are normalized in such a way that the density inside the cylinder is almost the same as that without the cylinder. We see that the cylindrical potential serves as a guide for the skyrmions and the skyrmions generated behind the obstacle potential are maintained, forming a skyrmion train. The winding number *W* thus increases monotonically, as shown in Fig. $3(j)$. In the longer time evolution, although the skyrmion generated first accidentally detaches and disappears at $t \approx 5000$, the other skyrmions survive for a long time, forming a train of eight skyrmions at $t = 10000$ (data not shown).

FIG. 3. Sequential generation of skyrmions for $g_{12} = 0.95g$, $v_z = 0.16$, $V_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 0.5$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/24$, and $R = 10$. The strength of the potential V_0 is constant. (a)–(e) Uniform system. (f)–(j) Cylindrical potential in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-0) with $R_c = 32$ is added. In (a)–(d) and (f)–(i), isodensity surfaces of both components $(|\psi_1|^2 =$ $|\psi_2|^2 = 0.2$) are shown. The size of the boxes is $128 \times 128 \times 256$ in units of the healing length. In (f) – (i) , the radius of the cylinder is shown by shading. See the Supplemental Material for videos of the dynamics in (a)–(d) and (f)–(i) $[50]$. (e) and (j) Time evolution of the winding number *W* without and with the cylindrical potential.

Next we study the case of small shifts δ_i and rotation angles λ_i of the potentials in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0), i.e., the ellipsoidal potentials in the two components almost overlap. In this case, unlike Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and 3, the vortex lines generated in different components almost overlap. Figure 4 shows the dynamics of vortices generated by the potential with $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 0.5$ and $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/200$, which are much smaller than those in Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and 3. Since the vortex cores generated in the two components initially overlap, they practically form a vortex ring with the U(1) vortex line and therefore the thickness of the vortex core is smaller than those of half-quantum vortex lines in a skyrmion, as shown in Fig. 4(a). As time elapses, the small deviation between the two vortex rings grows $[Fig. 4(b)]$ and they develop into linked half-quantum vortex rings, i.e.,

FIG. 4. Growth of a skyrmion from overlapped vortex rings for $g_{12} = 0.95g$ at (a) $t = 100$, (b) $t = 1800$, and (c) $t = 2800$. The vortex rings are generated by the potential in Eq. (8) with $V_0 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -\delta_2 = 0.5$, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2 = \pi/200$, $R = 14$, and $v_z = 0.35$. The potential is linearly ramped down and vanishes at $t = 100$. Isodensity surfaces of both components $(|\psi_1|^2 = |\psi_2|^2 = 0.2)$ are shown. The size of the boxes is $128 \times 128 \times 128$ in units of the healing length. See the Supplemental Material for a video of the dynamics [\[50\]](#page-6-0).

a skyrmion. This result implies that a U(1) vortex ring as in Fig. $4(a)$ is dynamically unstable against splitting into two half-quantum vortex rings. This instability is similar to that in a $U(1)$ vortex in a two-dimensional system [\[54,55\]](#page-6-0). Such dynamical instabilities in a vortex ring and decay into skyrmions merit further study.

B. Trapped system

We consider a realistic system, in which a BEC of ⁸⁷Rb atoms is confined in a harmonic potential. For simplicity, we assume that the two components experience the same isotropic harmonic potential given by $V_{trap}(r) = m\omega^2(x^2 +$ $y^2 + z^2$)/2 with a trap frequency $\omega = 2\pi \times 100$ Hz. For components 1 and 2, we assume the hyperfine states $|F = 1, m_F =$ 1) and $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ of an ⁸⁷Rb atom, where *F* is the hyperfine spin and *mF* is the magnetic sublevel. The *s*wave scattering lengths are therefore $a_{11} = a_{12} = 100.4a_B$ and $a_{22} = 100.86a_B$, with a_B the Bohr radius [\[56,57\]](#page-6-0), and the miscible condition $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}^2$ is satisfied. The numbers of atoms are $N_1 = N_2 = 3 \times 10^5$. In this section, we normalize the length, time, and density by $a_{ho} = (\hbar/m\omega)^{1/2}$, ω^{-1} , and N_j/a_{ho}^3 , respectively.

A three-dimensional isolated Gaussian potential as in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) is difficult to realize in experiments if laser beams penetrating through the BEC are used to produce the potential. Instead, we use a potential produced by four Gaussian laser beams crossed with an angle $\pi/4$ as

$$
f_{\rm cross}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{n=0}^{3} e^{-\{[x\cos(n\pi/4) - y\sin(n\pi/4)]^2 + (4z)^2\}/R^2}.
$$
 (10)

Using these crossed Gaussian beams, we can increase the peak height of the potential, suppressing the effect of the incoming and outgoing beams, which mimics an isolated potential as in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0). We tilt the potential in Eq. (10) about the *x* axis by an angle $\pm \lambda$ and shift it in the *x* direction by $\pm \delta$, giving

$$
f_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) = f_{\text{cross}}(x \pm \delta, \eta, \zeta),
$$

$$
\eta = y \cos \lambda \mp z \sin \lambda,
$$

$$
\zeta = z \cos \lambda \pm y \sin \lambda.
$$
 (11)

FIG. 5. (a) Isosurfaces of the obstacle potentials $V_1^{\text{obs}} = V_2^{\text{obs}} =$ 2*V*₀ (inner) and 1.05*V*₀ (outer) in Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-4-0)–(12) with $R = 3a_{ho}$, $\lambda = \pi/12$, $\delta = 0.5a_{ho}$, $b_1 = b_2 = 0.85$, and $V_0 = 10\hbar\omega$. (b)–(d) Dynamics of skyrmion generation, where $N_1 = N_2 = 3 \times 10^5$ atoms are confined in an isotropic harmonic potential with a frequency $\omega = 2\pi \times 100$ Hz: (b) $\omega t = 4$, (c) $\omega t = 6$, and (d) $\omega t = 18$. The obstacle potentials in (a) are moved at a velocity $v_z = 0.5a_{\text{ho}}\omega$ and the strength V_0 is linearly ramped down and vanishes at $\omega t = 3$. The isodensity surfaces of both components $(|\psi_1|^2 = |\psi_2|^2 = 10^{-4} N_j / a_{\text{ho}}^3)$ are shown, where the surfaces at $r > 6a_{\text{ho}}$ are made transparent. The size of the boxes in (b)–(d) is $(17.92a_{\text{ho}})^3$. See the Supplemental Material for a video of the dynamics in (b) –(d) $[50]$.

In general, using nearly resonant laser beams, the fields $f_{+}(\mathbf{r})$ generated by laser frequencies ω_{\pm} produce different potentials for components 1 and 2 $[52,53]$ and we assume an obstacle potential of the form

$$
V_1^{\text{obs}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_0[f_+(\mathbf{r}) + b_1f_-(\mathbf{r})],
$$

\n
$$
V_2^{\text{obs}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_0[f_-(\mathbf{r}) + b_2f_+(\mathbf{r})],
$$
\n(12)

where V_0 , b_1 , and b_2 are constants. Figure $5(a)$ shows the isosurfaces of the potentials in Eq. (12) with $R = 3a_{\text{ho}}$, $\lambda =$ $\pi/12$, $\delta = 0.5a_{\text{ho}}$, $b_1 = b_2 = 0.85$, and $V_0 = 10\hbar\omega$. Although the outer surfaces $(V_1^{\text{obs}} = V_2^{\text{obs}} = 1.05V_0)$ are star shaped, reflecting the superposition of the four Gaussian beams, the inner surfaces $(V_1^{\text{obs}} = V_2^{\text{obs}} = 2V_0)$ have oblate shapes, similar to those of the oblate potentials in Fig. $2(a)$. Replacing *z* with $z(t) = z - z_0 - v_z t$ in Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-4-0)–(12), the obstacle potential can be moved in the *z* direction at a velocity v_z . The total potential in the GP equation thus has the form $V_j(r, t) = V_j^{\text{obs}}(r, t) + V_{\text{trap}}(r).$

Figures $5(b)$ – $5(d)$ show the dynamics of skyrmion generation (see also a video in the Supplemental Material [\[50\]](#page-6-0)), where the initial state is the ground state with the obstacle potential in Fig. $5(a)$ at $z_0 = -2$. In the time evolution, the obstacle potential is moved at a velocity $v_z = 0.5a_{ho}\omega$ and at the same time the magnitude V_0 is linearly ramped down and vanishes at $\omega t = 3$. We can see that vortex rings are generated in both components and they are linked with each other, forming a skyrmion. The skyrmion moves in the $+z$ direction, and when it reaches the edge of the BEC, the vortex rings expand and move back in the −*z* direction along the periphery of the BEC. The skyrmion is then destroyed by the excitations left in the BEC.

IV. CONCLUSION

We proposed a method to generate three-dimensional skyrmions behind an obstacle moving in a two-component BEC. The linked-vortex configuration of a skyrmion in Fig. $1(d)$ is more suitable than that in Fig. $1(a)$ for hydrodynamic generation. We proposed a shape of an obstacle potential in which two oblates bite into each other, as shown in Fig. $2(a)$, and numerically demonstrated that it can create a skyrmion, as shown in Figs. $2(b)-2(g)$. We also showed that skyrmions are released behind the obstacle successively and these skyrmions can be stabilized by a guiding potential (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0). Such skyrmion generation can be realized in a realistic experimental system with a feasible number of atoms $(6 \times 10^5$ atoms of ⁸⁷Rb), as shown in Fig. 5.

The potential used in Fig. 5 needs eight Gaussian laser beams, which requires considerable experimental effort. If we optimize the parameters, it may be possible to reduce the number of laser beams in experiments. Considering that a vortex ring in a single-component BEC can be generated by a single Gaussian laser beam [\[58\]](#page-6-0), it may be possible to generate a skyrmion by only two laser beams. Machine-learning techniques will be useful in determining an optimized protocol [\[58\]](#page-6-0). Also, through machine-learning optimization, we expect that the lifetime of skyrmions after generation can be prolonged by optimizing the potential shapes, the manner of moving the potentials, and other parameters. If successive long-lifetime skyrmions can be generated and if some symmetry-breaking instability arises in the flow near the obstacle, alternate generation of skyrmions and antiskyrmions might occur, resulting in a Bénard–von Kármán–like skyrmion street.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank A. Yamamoto for contributing to the early stage of this work. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20K03804.

- [1] T. H. R. Skyrme, [Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1961.0018) **260**, 127 (1961); Nucl. Phys. **31**[, 556 \(1962\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0029-5582(62)90775-7)
- [4] A. Schmeller, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.4290) **75**, 4290 (1995).
- [2] D.-H. Lee and C. L. Kane, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.1313) **64**, 1313 (1990).
- [3] L. Brey, H. A. Fertig, R. Côté, and A. H. MacDonald, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.2562) **75**, 2562 (1995).
- [5] A. N. Bogdanov and U. K. Rößler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 037203 [\(2001\); U. K. Rößler, A. N. Bogdanov, and C. Pfleiderer,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.037203) [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05056) **442**, 797 (2006).
- [6] [A. N. Bogdanov, U. K. Rößler, and A. A. Shestakov,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.67.016602) Phys. Rev. E **67**, 016602 (2003).
- [7] [J. Ruostekoski and J. R. Anglin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3934) Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3934 (2001).
- [8] [U. Al Khawaja and H. Stoof,](https://doi.org/10.1038/35082010) Nature (London) **411**, 918 (2001).
- [9] X. Z. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J. H. Park, J. H. Han, Y. [Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09124) Nature (London) **465**, 901 (2010).
- [10] N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, [K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1240573) Science **341**, 636 (2013).
- [11] L. S. Leslie, A. Hansen, K. C. Wright, B. M. Deutsch, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**[, 250401 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.250401)
- [12] [J.-Y. Choi, W. J. Kwon, and Y.-I. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.035301) Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 035301 (2012).
- [13] W. Lee, A. H. Gheorghe, K. Tiurev, T. Ollikainen, M. Möttönen, and D. S. Hall, Sci. Adv. **4**[, 3820 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao3820)
- [14] K. Tiurev, T. Ollikainen, P. Kuopanportti, M. Nakahara, D. S. Hall, and M. Möttönen, New J. Phys. **20**[, 055011 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aac2a8)
- [15] [R. Zamora-Zamora and V. Romero-Rochin,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aaa324) J. Phys. B **51**, 045301 (2018).
- [16] H.-B. Luo, L. Li, and W.-M. Liu, Sci. Rep. **9**[, 18804 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54856-x)
- [17] [K. Sasaki, N. Suzuki, and H. Saito,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.053606) Phys. Rev. A **83**, 053606 (2011).
- [18] T. Kawakami, T. Mizushima, M. Nitta, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**[, 015301 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.015301)
- [19] G. Chen, T. Li, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **91**[, 053624 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.053624)
- [20] M. Nitta, K. Kasamatsu, M. Tsubota, and H. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 053639 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.053639)
- [21] C. D. Parmee, M. R. Dennis, and J. Ruostekoski, [arXiv:2109.13927.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2109.13927)
- [22] [U. Al Khawaja and H. T. C. Stoof,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.64.043612) Phys. Rev. A **64**, 043612 (2001).
- [23] [R. A. Battye, N. R. Cooper, and P. M. Sutcliffe,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.080401) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 080401 (2002).
- [24] Y. Zhang, W.-D. Li, L. Li, and H. J. W. Müller-Kirsten, Phys. Rev. A **66**[, 043622 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.043622)
- [25] [E. Babaev, L. D. Faddeev, and A. J. Niemi,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.100512) Phys. Rev. B **65**, 100512(R) (2002).
- [26] [H. Zhai, W. Q. Chen, Z. Xu, and L. Chang,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.043602) Phys. Rev. A **68**, 043602 (2003).
- [27] [C. M. Savage and J. Ruostekoski,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.010403) Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 010403 (2003).
- [28] J. Ruostekoski, Phys. Rev. A **70**[, 041601\(R\) \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.041601)
- [29] [S. Wüster, T. E. Argue, and C. M. Savage,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.043616) Phys. Rev. A **72**, 043616 (2005).
- [30] [I. F. Herbut and M. Oshikawa,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.080403) Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 080403 (2006).
- [31] A. Tokuno, Y. Mitamura, M. Oshikawa, and I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. A **79**[, 053626 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053626)
- [32] [H. M. Price and N. R. Cooper,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.061605) Phys. Rev. A **83**, 061605(R) (2011).
- [33] T. Kaneda and H. Saito, Phys. Rev. A **93**[, 033611 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.033611)
- [34] [A. Samoilenka, F. N. Rybakov, and E. Babaev,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.013614) Phys. Rev. A **101**, 013614 (2020).
- [35] D. Kleckner and W. T. M. Irvine, Nat. Phys. **9**[, 253 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2560)
- [36] R. G. Cooper, M. Mesgarnezhad, A. W. Baggaley, and C. F. Barenghi, Sci. Rep. **9**[, 10545 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47103-w)
- [37] [T. Frisch, Y. Pomeau, and S. Rica,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1644) Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1644 (1992).
- [38] [B. Jackson, J. F. McCann, and C. S. Adams,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.3903) Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3903 (1998).
- [39] [C. Nore, C. Huepe, and M. E. Brachet,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2191) Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2191 (2000).
- [40] S. Inouye, S. Gupta, T. Rosenband, A. P. Chikkatur, A. Görlitz, T. L. Gustavson, A. E. Leanhardt, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**[, 080402 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.080402)
- [41] T. W. Neely, E. C. Samson, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, and B. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**[, 160401 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.160401)
- [42] [W. J. Kwon, G. Moon, S. W. Seo, and Y. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.053615) Phys. Rev. A **91**, 053615 (2015).
- [43] [W. J. Kwon, S. W. Seo, and Y.-I. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.033613) Phys. Rev. A **92**, 033613 (2015).
- [44] [K. Sasaki, N. Suzuki, and H. Saito,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.150404) Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 150404 (2010).
- [45] [W. J. Kwon, J. H. Kim, S. W. Seo, and Y. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.245301) Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 245301 (2016).
- [46] [S. W. Seo, S. Kang, W. J. Kwon, and Y.-I. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.015301) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 015301 (2015).
- [47] [S. W. Seo, W. J. Kwon, S. Kang, and Y. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.185301) Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 185301 (2016).
- [48] G. Nardin, G. Grosso, Y. Léger, B. Pietka, F. Morier-Genoud, and B. Deveaud-Plédran, Nat. Phys. **7**[, 635 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1959)
- [49] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [50] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.013312) 10.1103/PhysRevA.105.013312 for videos of the dynamics.
- [51] S. B. Gudnason and M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. D **101**[, 065011 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.065011)
- [52] [J. H. Kim, D. Hong, and Y. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.061601) Phys. Rev. A **101**, 061601(R) (2020).
- [53] [J. H. Kim, D. Hong, K. Lee, and Y. Shin,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.095302) Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 095302 (2021).
- [54] P. Kuopanportti, S. Bandyopadhyay, A. Roy, and D. Angom, Phys. Rev. A **100**[, 033615 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.033615)
- [55] J. Han, K. Kasamatsu, and M. Tsubota, $arXiv:2111.02684$.
- [56] E. G. M. van Kempen, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, D. J. Heinzen, and B. J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**[, 093201 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.093201)
- [57] A. Widera, F. Gerbier, S. Fölling, T. Gericke, O. Mandel, and I. Bloch, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/8/8/152) **8**, 152 (2006).
- [58] H. Saito, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.89.074006) **89**, 074006 (2020).