## **Rapid-cycle Thouless pumping in a one-dimensional optical lattice**

K. J. M. Schouten<sup>®\*</sup> and V. Cheianov

Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, P.O. Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

(Received 13 October 2021; accepted 3 December 2021; published 21 December 2021)

An adiabatic cycle around a degeneracy point in the parameter space of a one-dimensional band insulator is known to result in an integer-valued noiseless particle transport in the thermodynamic limit. Recently, it was shown that in the case of an infinite bipartite lattice the adiabatic Thouless protocol can be continuously deformed into a fine-tuned finite-frequency cycle preserving the properties of noiseless quantized transport. In this paper, we numerically investigate the implementation of such an ideal rapid-cycle Thouless pumping protocol in a one-dimensional optical lattice. It is shown that the rapidity will cause first-order corrections due to next-to-nearest-neighbor hopping and second-order corrections due to the addition of a harmonic potential. Lastly, the quantization of the change in center of mass of the particle distribution is investigated and shown to have corrections in the first order of the potential curvature.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.063315

## I. INTRODUCTION

The past few decades have been marked by the discovery of various systems where topological properties of the quasiparticle spectrum are connected with the quantization of particle transport, for example, Thouless pumping [1] or the integer quantum Hall effect [2,3]. In Thouless pumping, this integer-valued particle transport is achieved by performing a noncontractible adiabatic loop through a nondegenerate parameter space. The amount of pumped charge can then be expressed by the Chern number associated with the Berry or the Zak phase [4–6]. Although the original mathematics of the Thouless pumping dates back 30 years, the effect has only recently been observed directly using ultracold bosonic atoms in an optical superlattice [7,8].

The adiabacity of the noncontractible loop is required to ensure the topological robustness of the Thouless pump. Generally, corrections to the quantization of particle transport arise when the parameter space is traversed at a finite frequency [9,10]. For special cases of Thouless pumping, such as parametric pumps [11-15], these nonadiabatic effects were studied [9,10,16–20]. In order to minimize corrections, strategies such as dissipation-assisted pumping [21], non-Hermitian Floquet engineering [22,23], and adiabatic shortcuts by external control [24,25] were proposed. Recently however, a family of finite-frequency protocols on the Rice-Mele insulator have been constructed, in which all the quasiexcitations disappear altogether at the end of a rapid cycle, resulting in a perfectly quantized and noise-free particle transport outside of the adiabatic limit [26]. Although this resolves the issue of nonadiabatic breaking of topological quantization in an ideal homogeneous system, there might still be finite-size corrections [27] or corrections due to perturbations in the insulator, such as inhomogeneity due to an external potential. Understanding of such corrections is important in the context of experimental realization of the rapid cycle pump, for example, in an ultracold atomic system.

In this paper, starting from the Rice-Mele insulator as the zeroth-order approximation, we investigate the corrections in the expectation value of the pumped charge with respect to quantization due to performing a rapid-cycle protocol inside a one-dimensional optical lattice. Specifically, we investigate finite-size corrections, introduce next-to-nearestneighbor hopping and add a weak harmonic potential to the system. A lattice variant of the Weyl transform [28] is constructed to retrieve analytical relations between the corrections and the potential curvature. It is shown that all the corrections decay exponentially with the protocol defining parameters, which could also be chosen such that the corrections would vanish completely. Lastly, a discussion is given on the change of center of mass after a rapid pumping cycle. It is shown that the corrections due to the rapid-cycle protocol under the harmonic potential are most pronounced in the change in center of mass, which is the current proposed and used method of measuring the charge pump [7-9].

### **II. RAPID-CYCLE THOULESS PUMPING**

We begin with a recapitulation on the Rice-Mele model [29]. This is a tight-binding chain consisting of 2N atoms, on which there are orthonormal positional states  $|\alpha\rangle$  which are subject to periodic boundary conditions, i.e.,  $|\alpha + 2N\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$ . In the single-particle subspace, the Hamiltonian of this model is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\rm RM}(p) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} [m(|2\alpha\rangle\langle 2\alpha| - |2\alpha+1\rangle\langle 2\alpha+1|) + (t_1|2\alpha\rangle\langle 2\alpha+1| + t_2|2\alpha-1\rangle\langle 2\alpha| + {\rm H.c.})],$$
(1)

where  $p = (m, t_1, t_2)$  are the tight-binding parameters. A graphical representation of this model is shown in Fig. 1. The periodicity ensures that the Hamiltonian (1) can be written in

2469-9926/2021/104(6)/063315(9)

<sup>\*</sup>koen.schouten@student.uva.nl



FIG. 1. The tight-binding chain of the Rice-Mele model.

the reciprocal space:

$$\hat{H}_{\rm RM}(k,p) := \begin{bmatrix} m & t_1 e^{\frac{ik}{2}} + t_2^* e^{-\frac{ik}{2}} \\ t_1^* e^{-\frac{ik}{2}} + t_2 e^{\frac{ik}{2}} & -m \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $k \in \mathscr{B} = \{-\pi + n\frac{2\pi}{N} \mid 0 \leq n < N\}$ , the discretized Brillouin zone. This Hamiltonian has two quasienergies,  $\epsilon_{\pm}(k, p)$ , with the property  $\epsilon_{-}(k, p) = -|\epsilon_{+}(k, p)|$ . This creates two distinct energy bands which are separated by the energy gap  $E_{gap} = 2\sqrt{m^2 + \delta^2}$ , where  $\delta = |t_1| - |t_2|$ . If we consider the parameter space  $\mathscr{P} = \{(m, t_1, t_2) \mid E_{gap} > 0\}$ where this energy gap is strictly positive, then this space has a nontrivial fundamental group. Considering a noncontractible loop  $p : [0, T) \to \mathscr{P}$  through this parameter space, we can look at the evolution of the lower-energy Bloch states  $|u_{-}(k, \tau)\rangle$  according to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{d\tau}|u_{-}(k,\tau)\rangle = \hat{H}_{\rm RM}[k,p(\tau)]|u_{-}(k,\tau)\rangle, \text{ with}$$
$$\hat{H}_{\rm RM}[k,p(0)]|u_{-}(k,0)\rangle = \epsilon_{-}[k,p(0)]|u_{-}(k,0)\rangle.$$
(3)

If the path through the parameter space is adiabatic, i.e., infinitely slowly, the Bloch states are ensured to be the lower eigenstates of the instantaneous Hamiltonian at all times and therefore no excitations will occur [30]. It can be shown that in the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the noncontractibility of the loop through the parameter space will then result in a nonzero pumped charge which is equal to the winding number of this loop around the degeneracy point [6]. This pumped charge can be directly related to the first Chern number associated with the Berry connection form [4,6].

Outside of the adiabatic limit, i.e., at finite frequencies, there is generally a correction to this integer-valued pumped charge [10]. Recently, however, a family of protocols was constructed which resulted in noise-free integer-valued Thouless pumping at finite frequencies [26]. Here, we investigate one such protocol. Consider the space  $\{(x, y)\} = \mathbb{R}^2$  and a real oscillating function  $\phi(y)$  which is a solution to

$$\partial_{\nu}^2 \phi + \sinh \phi = 0. \tag{4}$$

The integrated form of this differential equation is given by

$$(\partial_{\nu}\phi)^{2} + 2\cosh\phi = 2\varepsilon, \qquad (5)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and the period of  $\phi$  will be denoted by  $T_{\phi}(\epsilon)$ . As the initial condition, we choose  $\phi(0) = 0$ . It can be shown that this differential equation is equivalent to the zero-curvature condition

$$\partial_{\mathbf{y}}\hat{A}_{\mathbf{x}} - \partial_{\mathbf{x}}\hat{A}_{\mathbf{y}} + [\hat{A}_{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{A}_{\mathbf{y}}] = 0 \tag{6}$$

for the anti-Hermitian matrix-valued vector fields

$$\hat{A}_x = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} i\partial_y \phi & 2\cosh\left(\frac{\phi-ik}{2}\right) \\ -2\cosh\left(\frac{\phi+ik}{2}\right) & -i\partial_y \phi \end{bmatrix},$$
(7)

$$\hat{A}_{y} = \frac{i}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2\sinh\left(\frac{\phi-ik}{2}\right) \\ 2\sinh\left(\frac{\phi+ik}{2}\right) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where *k* is a real-valued parameter. The zero-curvature condition (6) implies the existence of two orthonormal globally well-defined solutions  $|F_{\pm}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$  of the system of equations:

$$\partial_x |F_{\pm}\rangle = \hat{A}_x |F_{\pm}\rangle, \quad \partial_y |F_{\pm}\rangle = \hat{A}_y |F_{\pm}\rangle.$$
 (9)

It should be noted that  $|F_{\pm}\rangle$  depends on *x*, *y*, and *k*, which will not be written down explicitly in the rest of this paper. Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T = \frac{2\pi}{b}$ , and the differentiable path  $\gamma : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$\gamma_x(\tau) = \tau, \quad \gamma_y(\tau) = \frac{T_\phi(\epsilon)}{2\pi} [b\tau - \sin(b\tau)], \quad (10)$$

$$H_{\gamma}(k,\tau) = i\dot{\gamma}_x A_x + i\dot{\gamma}_y A_y, \qquad (11)$$

which coincides with the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian in reciprocal space (2). The solutions of the system of Eqs. (9) will now evolve along the path  $\gamma$  according to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{d\tau}|F_{\pm}\rangle = \hat{H}_{\gamma}|F_{\pm}\rangle. \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, since  $\dot{\gamma}_y(0) = \dot{\gamma}_y(T) = 0$ , the solutions  $|F_{\pm}\rangle$  at  $\tau = 0$  and at  $\tau = T$  are the eigenstates of  $\hat{H}_{\gamma}$ , where we choose  $|F_{-}\rangle$  to correspond to the lower eigenvalue. This protocol will result in a noncontractible loop in  $\mathscr{P}$ , such that the energy gap remains positive. This energy gap does, however, change during the evolution. Therefore, we consider the function

$$s(\tau) = \int_0^\tau d\tau' \, E_{\rm gap}(\tau')$$

and reparametrize the path  $\gamma$  in Eq. (10) by

$$\gamma(\tau) \mapsto \gamma(s^{-1}(\tau)) \text{ and } T \mapsto s(T),$$
 (13)

such that  $E_{gap} = 1$  at all times. The noncontractibility of the loop in  $\mathscr{P}$  and the fact that  $|F_{-}\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian at the start and end of the protocol will now result in a nonzero integer-valued particle transport in the thermodynamic limit [26].

Since this protocol works at finite frequencies, there are excitations of quasiparticles during the evolution. However, it makes sure that all of these excitations vanish at the end, such that the final state after a rapid cycle is the exact same as with an adiabatic cycle. This is true for all values of b and  $\varepsilon$ , which are the only two parameters the protocol depends on. The parameter  $\varepsilon$  determines the width of the valence band and the conduction band, i.e.,

$$\max\{|\epsilon_{\pm}(k)|\} - \min\{|\epsilon_{\pm}(k))|\} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{\varepsilon-1}} - 1 \right], \quad (14)$$

where it can be seen that the width of the bands becomes infinitely large in the limit  $\varepsilon \downarrow 1$  and vanishes in the limit

 $\varepsilon \to \infty$ . The parameter *b* determines the steepness and therefore the period of the path  $\gamma$  as in Eq. (10). A larger value for *b* will also result in a more rapid pumping cycle. One should note that the angular frequency is in fact a function of both *b* and  $\varepsilon$ , since the reparametrization (13) depends on  $\varepsilon$ . In the rest of this paper, we investigate this specific protocol. It should be noted that other protocols could result in different specific properties. It is, however, expected that the general properties are similar for all rapid-cycle protocols.

### **III. FINITE-SIZE CORRECTIONS**

The proposed protocol gives a quantized particle transport outside of the adiabatic limit, but still only works in the thermodynamic limit. There are, in general, corrections to the pumped charge which decrease exponentially with N [27]. Here, we investigate those corrections for the rapid-cycle protocol specifically. If we consider the pumped charge per k-number, then because of its periodicity in k, it can be written as a Fourier series, i.e.,

$$\Delta Q(k) := \int_0^T \langle F_- | \partial_k \hat{H}_\gamma | F_- \rangle d\tau = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \Delta Q_n e^{ink}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\Delta Q_n$  are the Fourier coefficients. In systems with a size  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the total pumped charge becomes

$$\Delta Q := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \in \mathscr{B}} \Delta Q(k) = \Delta Q_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta Q_{nN} + \Delta Q_{-nN}, \quad (16)$$

which reduces to  $\Delta Q = \Delta Q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  in the limit  $N \to \infty$ . Therefore, in finite-sized systems, the corrections are due to the additional Fourier coefficients. Note that these finite-sized corrections vanish if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\Delta Q_n = -\Delta Q_{-n}$ or  $\Delta Q_n = \Delta Q_{-n} = 0$ . It might be possible to construct a protocol in which this is true. In general, however, this is not the case and there are still finite-sized corrections. In the discussed rapid-cycle protocol, there is an analytical expression for the pumped charge per *k*-number. Namely, the pumped charge after one cycle due to the state  $|F_-\rangle$  can be derived to be

$$\Delta Q(k) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_\phi(\epsilon)} \frac{\cosh\left(\phi(y)\right) + \cos(2k)}{\sqrt{2\epsilon + 2\cos(2k)}} dy.$$
(17)

Note that this is an even function, such that  $\Delta Q_{-n} = \Delta Q_n$ . Furthermore, it can be demonstrated that in the limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ , we get  $\Delta Q_n \ll 1$  for all  $n \ge 2$ . So in the limit where the width of the bands vanishes and the dispersion relation becomes flat, all finite-size corrections vanish for systems with  $N \ge 2$ . In Fig. 2(a), the Fourier coefficients have been plotted for different values of  $\varepsilon$ . It can indeed be seen that in the limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$  most Fourier coefficients are negligible. In Fig. 2(b), the finite-size corrections are shown as function of N. It can be seen that as both  $\varepsilon$  and N increase, the finite-size corrections start to vanish and become negligible with respect to the numerical errors. Therefore, even for small systems it is possible to have a close to integer-valued rapid-cycle Thouless pumping, where the corrections are actually independent on the rapidity.



FIG. 2. (a) Numerical calculation of the Fourier coefficients of the pumped charge for different values of  $\varepsilon$ . (b) Numerical calculation of the finite-size corrections as a function of *N*. Both plots have been made with  $\varepsilon = 1.1$  (solid line),  $\varepsilon = 5$  (dashed line), and  $\varepsilon = 1000$  (dotted line).

#### IV. NN-HOPPING ON THE OPTICAL SUPERLATTICE

Thouless pumping can be realized experimentally in a double-well optical superlattice of the form

$$V(x,\tau) = -V_S(\tau)\cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi x}{d}\right) - V_L(\tau)\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi x}{d} - \phi(\tau)\right),$$
(18)

where *d* is the lattice constant,  $V_s$  and  $V_L$  are the depth of the short and long lattices, respectively, and  $\phi$  is the phase difference between the two lattices [7–9,31,32]. In the discussion of this lattice, we use the unit of energy to be the recoil energy  $E_R := \hbar^2/(8md^2)$ , where *m* is the mass of the used atom. In the deep tight-binding limit, the two lowest-energy bands of this model (18) can be approximated by those of the RM Hamiltonian (1). Generally, however, there is a slight difference between these two models. The band structure of the optical lattice can then be fully captured by considering higher hopping terms to the RM Hamiltonian. Here, we only consider the next-to-nearest-neighbor-hopping terms, namely,

$$\hat{H}_{\rm NN} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} t_3 |2\alpha\rangle \langle 2\alpha + 2| + t_4 |2\alpha - 1\rangle \langle 2\alpha + 1| + \text{H.c.},$$
(19)

which is added to the RM Hamiltonian. With the addition of the NN-hopping terms, the two lower bands of the optical lattice coincide with those in the tight-binding approximation in sufficiently deep lattices, where the energy gap is much larger than the width of the bands. These extra NN-hopping terms will, in general, result in a deviation in the pumped charge. Specifically, when  $|t_4 - t_3| \ll E_{gap}$ , these corrections to integer-valued pumped charge are linearly dependent on the difference  $|t_4 - t_3|$ . For the ease of calculation, we assume that the NN-hopping terms stay constant during the protocol. Although this is not generally true, this does give an idea of the order of magnitude, or at least an upper bound of the corrections due to the additional terms. In the rapid-cycle protocol, the deviation from integer-valued pumped charge after one cycle is calculated as a function of  $\varepsilon$  and 1/b and is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the corrections are oscillatory in 1/b and  $\varepsilon$ , which means there are lines where the corrections vanish completely. Moreover, the amplitude of these oscillations decrease exponentially with both  $\varepsilon$  and 1/b. This means that in the adiabatic limit  $b \rightarrow 0$  and in the



FIG. 3. Numerical calculation of the corrections to integervalued pumped charge due to the NN-hopping terms as a function of  $\varepsilon$  and 1/b.

limit of a flat dispersion  $\varepsilon \to \infty$  there are no corrections to integer-valued pumped charge due to NN-hopping terms.

In order to calculate actual corrections, we should consider the magnitude of  $|t_4 - t_3|$  in the optical lattice (18). For simplicity, however, we only calculate the magnitude of the sum of the NN-hopping terms, i.e.,  $|t_3 + t_4|$ , since this sum can be easily calculated by making use of the fact that

$$\epsilon_{-}(k) + \epsilon_{+}(k) = 2\operatorname{Re}[(t_{3} + t_{4})e^{ik}], \qquad (20)$$

where  $\epsilon_{\pm}(k)$  are the quasienergies of the RM Hamiltonian with NN-hopping terms. In order to calculate the magnitude of the difference  $|t_4 - t_3|$ , one would need some fitting procedure for the bands. It is, however, expected that the order of magnitude of the difference  $|t_4 - t_3|$  is similar to the order of magnitude of the sum  $|t_3 + t_4|$ . In Fig. 4, the magnitude of the sum of the NN-hopping terms per energy gap is plotted against  $V_S$  and  $V_L$  where  $\phi = 0$ . It can be seen that this magnitude decreases exponentially with both  $V_S$  and  $V_L$ . Moreover, on the line  $V_S = V_L^2/(16E_R)$ , the NN-hopping terms are maximal, and this region should therefore be avoided to keep the NNhopping terms to a minimum. As  $\phi$  is varied, the absolute NN-hopping terms do not change significantly, while the energy gap does change. This will result in the ratio between the hopping constants and the energy gap changing during the protocol, which already shows that the assumption that the NN-hopping terms stay constant is not true. The parameters  $V_S$ and  $V_L$  could also be varied during the protocol to overcome this problem.

Although the rapid-cycle protocol removes the finitefrequency corrections of adiabatic cycles, the NN-hopping terms introduce new corrections, whereas the topological quantization is still ensured in adiabatic cycles. Therefore, the parameters of the optical lattice should be chosen to minimize the NN-hopping terms. Also, to get the full characteristics of the optical lattice, even higher hopping terms should be considered. These are, however, expected to be negligible with respect to the NN-hopping terms. Since we have not computed the exact mapping of the whole rapid-cycle protocol onto the optical lattice, we have not actually calculated the exact corrections that would occur in an optical lattice experiment,



FIG. 4. Numerical calculation of the NN-hopping terms per energy gap for the lower two bands of the optical lattice (18) as a function of  $V_S$  and  $V_L$  where  $\phi = 0$ . The dashed line is given by  $V_S = V_L^2/(16E_R)$ . The realization of Thouless pumping by Nakajima *et al.* [8] was done in an optical lattice with  $(V_S, V_L) = (20, 30)E_R$ , which is given by the star.

where there are most certainly varying NN-hopping terms. However, one should expect the order of magnitude of the corrections to be similar.

#### **V. EFFECT OF HARMONIC POTENTIAL**

In the optical lattice, the particles get trapped inside a harmonic potential, laid in the length of the lattice. In the single-particle subspace, this harmonic potential is given by

$$\hat{V} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} \xi(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2 (|2\alpha\rangle \langle 2\alpha| + |2\alpha + 1\rangle \langle 2\alpha + 1|), \quad (21)$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is analogous to the spring constant in a classical system, and  $\alpha_0 = \frac{N-1}{2}$  is the center of the lattice. We from now on consider *N* to be odd, such that there is actually a center unit cell where the added potential vanishes. This added potential has the effect of localizing the eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\rm RM} + \hat{V}$ . Here, we do not consider the NN-hopping terms, and we suppose the potential is smooth with  $\xi \ll 1$  and the size of the system *N* is large enough such that for the states

$$S = \{ |\psi\rangle : \hat{H} |\psi\rangle = E |\psi\rangle \text{ and } E < 0 \},$$
(22)

the amplitudes at the edges of the system become negligible. Here, S is the set of vacuum states in the zero-temperature limit with a chemical potential  $\mu = 0$ . Using the fact that the potential is weak and smooth, the lattice looks locally unperturbed and periodic. Therefore, the noncontractible loop through  $\mathscr{P}$  using the rapid-cycle protocol will still result in a nonzero particle transport. This particle transport is also close to integer as shown in Fig. 5, with some corrections due to the harmonic potential. To get these corrections, we analyze the system in the phase space by introducing a lattice



FIG. 5. (a) Numerical calculation of the transported charge through the center of the lattice  $\alpha_0$  in a system with  $\xi = 0.005$ . (b) The path taken through the parameter space  $\{(m, \delta)\}$  in the rapid-cycle protocol with b = 1 and  $\varepsilon = 2$ .

variant of the Weyl transform [28]. Namely, we define the Weyl transform of an operator  $\hat{A}$  by the 2 × 2 matrix  $\tilde{A}(n, k)$  given by

$$\langle \alpha | A(n,k) | \beta \rangle$$
  
=  $\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} e^{-ik\left(2x + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} \langle 2(n+x) + \alpha | \hat{A} | 2(n-x) + \beta \rangle,$  (23)

with  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ . More details and properties of this transformation are given in Appendix A. This Weyl transform can be applied to our system containing the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian (1) and the added harmonic potential (21), such that the Weyl transform of the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\tilde{H}(n,k)(\tau) = \hat{H}_{\gamma}(k,\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\xi n^{2}\mathbb{I}_{2},$$
(24)

which is the sum of the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian in reciprocal space (11) and a scalar matrix associated with the harmonic potential, translated such that the center of the lattice lies at n = 0. The Weyl transform, therefore, simplifies to a two-dimensional problem, in which we consider the Weyl-transformed Liouville-von Neumann equation

$$i\frac{\partial\widetilde{\rho}}{d\tau} = \widetilde{H\rho} - \widetilde{\rho}\widetilde{H}.$$
(25)

As discussed in Appendix B, this gives rise to an expansion of the local vacuum density matrix in the insulating region, given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(n) = \tilde{\rho}_0 + \xi(\tilde{\rho}_1 + n\tilde{\rho}_2) + \xi^2(\tilde{\rho}_3 + n\tilde{\rho}_4 + n^2\tilde{\rho}_5) + O(\xi^3),$$
(26)

where  $\tilde{\rho}_0$  is the local density matrix of the unperturbed lattice and the subsequent terms are corrections due to the harmonic potential. Equation (26) shows the general dependence of the density matrix on *n* and  $\xi$ . Here,  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_4$  are scalar matrices and also the only correction terms which have nonzero trace. This gives that in the limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$  the trace of the local density matrix is given by

$$tr(\tilde{\rho}(n)) = 1 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}(\xi + 3n\xi^2) + O(\xi^3) > 1, \quad (27)$$

meaning that inside the insulating region, the amount of particles per unit cell is greater than 1 and there is a slight crossover with the conduction band in the vacuum state which scales inversely proportional to  $\varepsilon$ , as shown in Fig. 8(b).



FIG. 6. The Rice-Mele chain under a harmonic potential. The current between atoms is calculated through the dashed lines as a function of the unit cell.

We are now interested in the corrections to the total pumped charge due to the harmonic potential. The expansion of the density matrix (26) gives the general dependence of the corrections in pumped charge on the position *n* and the spring constant  $\xi$ . Here, it should be noted that  $\tilde{\rho}_1$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_4$ are scalar matrices and therefore have no contribution to the pumped charge. Moreover, since the position dependence is defined per unit cell, we have to distinguish between the current through a unit cell and between adjacent unit cells, as shown in Fig. 6. We can write the correction to the pumped charge after one cycle through unit cell *n* as

$$\Delta Q(n) - \Delta Q_0 = n\xi (A_1 + B_1) + n^2 \xi^2 (A_2 + B_2) + \xi^2 (A_3 + B_3) + O(\xi^3)$$
(28)

and the correction between unit cells n and n + 1 as

$$\Delta Q \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \Delta Q_0 = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \xi (A_1 - B_1) + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \xi^2 (A_2 - B_2) + \xi^2 (A_3 - B_3) + O(\xi^3), \quad (29)$$

where  $\Delta Q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the unperturbed integer-valued pumped charge and the terms  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  depend on the path through the parameter space, i.e., depend on  $\varepsilon$  and b. Here, the  $A_i$  terms can be thought of as the average pumped charge between each of the atoms, while the  $B_i$  terms describe the polarization within the unit cells. In Fig. 7, the numerical calculations of these coefficients are shown. It can be seen that that the behavior of these functions is oscillatory, with minima where the coefficients become exactly equal to 0. The amplitude of these functions decays exponentially with both  $\varepsilon$  and 1/b. The nonzero  $B_i$  coefficients cause a polarization in each unit cell, resulting in a change in energy. In the limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ , it can be derived that the change in local energy is given by

$$\Delta E(n) = 2n\xi B_1 + n^2 \xi^2 \left( 2B_2 - \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - B_1) \right) + \xi^2 \left( 2B_3 - \frac{1}{4}(A_2 - B_2) \right) + O(\xi^3).$$
(30)

This shows that in the rapid-cycle protocol, local excitations start to appear due to the harmonic potential. Interestingly, it possible to have a local correction to the pumped charge while the expectation value of the local energy does not change. This suggests that there is additional noise on the energy and pumped charge, which could be investigated in further research.

Similar to the addition of NN hopping, we can see that the rapid-cycle protocol in a harmonic potential creates



FIG. 7. Numerical calculation of the pumped charge correction coefficients  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  as a function of  $\varepsilon$  and 1/b. The  $A_i$  terms represent the average pumped charge between each atom, while the  $B_i$  terms describe the polarizing effect within the unit cells.

additional corrections to an integer-valued charge pump, whereas an adiabatic protocol only has finite-frequency corrections [7,8,10]. At the center of the lattice, the corrections in a rapid-cycle scale with  $\xi^2$ , which makes them quite small for weak harmonic potentials and could even be negligible with respect to the correction due to NN hopping in the optical lattice. When we go off-center, there are corrections which only scale linearly with  $\xi$ . However, since these corrections also scale linearly with *n*, the average pumped charge of a bulk around the center again scales quadratically with the potential curvature.

# VI. CHANGE IN DENSITY DISTRIBUTION

In the optical lattice experiment, it is not actually the pumped charge through a point which is measured, but rather the change in center of mass of the whole density distribution [7-9]. As seen in Fig. 8(a), the density distribution, and therefore also the center of mass, shifts after a pumping cycle. This measurement technique makes use of the fact that the pumping is close to integer inside the whole insulating region. However, as seen in Eqs. (28) and (29), the pumped charge inside the insulating region depends on the position. By the continuity equation, this will result in a change in density distribution inside the insulating region after a pumping cycle. In Fig. 8(b), this change in density distribution per unit cell is



FIG. 8. Numerical calculation of the density distribution per unit cell at  $\tau = 0$  (dashed line) and  $\tau = T$  (solid line) over the whole lattice (a) and zoomed in near the center of the lattice (b) for  $\xi = 0.005$ , b = 1, and  $\varepsilon = 100$ .

plotted after one cycle. Besides a change in density per unit cell, there is also the change in polarization in each unit cell. These two effects will result in a correction to the change in center of mass with respect to integer value. Moreover, one should note that there are additional corrections due to the compressible region.

As discussed at the end of Sec. V, the average pumped charge in the bulk of the insulating region scales with  $\xi^2$ . It should be noted, however, that the width of the insulating region also depends on  $\xi$  and scales with  $\xi^{-1/2}$ . This will cause corrections to the change in center of mass to be linearly dependent on  $\xi$ . Specifically, using the continuity equation, Eqs. (28) and (29), it can be demonstrated that the correction to the change in center of mass with respect to the integer value of the insulating region is given by

$$|\Delta_{\text{COM}} - \Delta Q_0| \approx \frac{2}{3} \xi A_2 + O(\xi^2) \tag{31}$$

in the limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ . In addition to the corrections due to the insulating region, the compressible region will also give some corrections. Although the compressible region is minimal in the same limit  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ , it does not vanish. In Fig. 9(a), it can be seen that the relation between the correction to the change in center of mass as a function of  $\xi$  is staggered. This can be explained by the fact that the width of both the insulating and the compressible region is always integer-valued. Therefore, a small variation in  $\xi$  will not directly result in a variation in the width of the compressible region. When the variation in  $\xi$  is large enough, however, the compressible region will jump to the next atom, which causes the staggered behavior. In Fig. 9(b), it can be seen that Eq. (31) gives a good approxi-



FIG. 9. (a) The change in center of mass of the density distribution after a pumping cycle as a function of  $\xi$  with b = 1 and  $\varepsilon = 100$ . (b) The change in center of mass of the density distribution and  $\frac{2}{3}A_2$ as a function of  $\varepsilon$  with b = 1 and  $\xi = 0.005$ .

mation for  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ , where there are some slight corrections due to the compressible region.

The finite-frequency corrections to the pumped charge in adiabatic cycles scale with  $\omega^2$  [10], and the potential corrections in a rapid-cycle protocol scale with  $\xi^2$ . However, the corrections to the change in center of mass scale only linearly with  $\xi$ . The rapid-cycle protocol might, therefore, introduce more corrections to the center-of-mass method than the adiabatic cycle would have given. Moreover, using this method, one also needs to take the corrections to the pumped charge due to the compressible region into account. Therefore, one might want to consider other methods in order to directly measure the actual pumped charge.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated various corrections that would occur for a specific rapid-cycle Thouless pumping protocol inside a one-dimensional optical lattice. First, it was shown that the finite-sized corrections to an integer-valued pumped charge decay exponentially with the size of the system, as seen in Fig. 2, and that these corrections vanish completely for systems with flat energy bands, such that the pumping is ideal even in systems of size 2.

Second, we gave some discussion on the order of magnitude of the corrections that would occur when we add NN-hopping terms to the RM Hamiltonian (1), which would occur in a realistic optical lattice. It was shown that these corrections vanish in the adiabatic limit, but that the rapidity of the cycle introduces new corrections, which are oscillatory and exponentially dependent on the rapidity and the width of the energy bands and linearly dependent on the NN-hopping terms, as seen in Fig. 3.

Third, we discussed the corrections with the addition of a harmonic potential to the band insulator. We constructed a lattice variant of the Weyl transform (23) to get the dependence of the corrections on the position and the potential curvature. These corrections can be split into an average effect and a polarizing effect, Eqs. (28) and (29), which are both oscillatory and exponentially dependent on the rapidity and the width of the bands, as seen in Fig. 7. Moreover, at the center of the lattice, the corrections to integer-valued pumped charge scale quadratically with the potential curvature.

Last, we gave a brief discussion on the change in center of mass of the particle distribution under the rapid-cycle protocol. Here, it was shown that the corrections in the change in center of mass are larger than the correction in actual pumped charge. Namely, these corrections are linear in the potential curvature. Moreover, the compressible regions also create additional corrections to the pumped charge, as seen in Fig. 9.

These investigated corrections give some insight for the realization of the rapid-cycle Thouless pumping protocol in an optical superlattice. It should be noted that this paper does not actually contain any numerical calculations of an optical superlattice, but rather discusses each correction separately. We have also not taken thermal effects or interactions between particles into account, which might play an important role due to the intermediate excited states. The next step would, therefore, be to actually implement this rapid-cycle protocol onto an optical lattice.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication is part of the project Adiabatic Protocols in Extended Quantum Systems, Project No 680-91-130, which is funded by the Dutch Research Council (NWO). We would like to thank Savvas Malikis for helpful discussions.

## APPENDIX A: THE DOUBLED-LATTICE WEYL TRANSFORM

Here, we give a discussion on the Weyl transform on a lattice with periodicity 2. Such a Weyl transform has actually already been constructed [33]. However, it turned out to be not applicable to our system [34], and therefore, a reformulation is needed. Here, we only give a brief summary of this definition and more specific details will be reported elsewhere.

We consider a doubled lattice of N unit cells, where it is important that N is odd valued. The intuitive reason for this is that we want a center unit cell, i.e., a 0 coordinate. For a  $2N \times 2N$  operator, the Weyl transform is the  $2 \times 2$  matrix given by Eq. (23). Using the momentum basis, consisting of

$$|k(\alpha)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{ik(2n+\alpha)}{2}} |2n+\alpha\rangle$$
(A1)

for  $k \in \mathscr{B}$  and  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ , this can also be rewritten in the momentum basis, where the Weyl transform is given by

$$\langle \alpha | \hat{A}(n,k) | \beta \rangle = \sum_{p \in \mathscr{B}} e^{ip(2n + \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2})} \langle (k+p)(\alpha) | \hat{A} | (k-p)(\beta) \rangle.$$
(A2)

Note the similarity with the one-dimensional and continuous Weyl transform [28], where the biggest difference with the transformation given by Fialkovsky and Zubkov [33] is that this transformation actually returns a matrix, just like a normal Fourier transformation on a doubled lattice would. The inverse of this transformation in the momentum basis is then given by

$$\langle p(\alpha)|\hat{A}|q(\beta)\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\frac{\beta-q}{2}(2n+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2})} \langle \alpha|\tilde{A}\left(n,\frac{p+q}{2}\right)|\beta\rangle.$$
(A3)

A key property of the Weyl transform is that the trace of two operators,  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ , can be computed using the trace of the Weyl transforms, that is,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k \in \mathscr{B}} \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{A}(n,k)\tilde{B}(n,k)].$$
(A4)

Moreover, one can show that in the thermodynamic limit, the Weyl transform of the product of two operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  is

given by

$$\langle \alpha | \widetilde{AB}(n,k) | \beta \rangle = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{1} \langle \alpha | \widetilde{A}(n,k) | \gamma \rangle e^{\frac{i}{2} \left[ \overleftarrow{\partial}_{n} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{k} - \frac{i}{2} (\beta - \gamma) \right) - \overrightarrow{\partial}_{n} \left( \overleftarrow{\partial}_{k} + \frac{i}{2} (\alpha - \gamma) \right) \right]} \langle \gamma | \widetilde{B}(n,k) | \beta \rangle \tag{A5}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ . Note the similarity with the Moyal product [33]. The fact that  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are matrices will, however, result in additional correction terms in the exponential. This form really only has meaning if the exponent is expanded in a power series. We add a formal parameter  $\lambda$  to this expansion to keep track of the order in the expansion, which will be set to 1 later. The expansion of the Weyl transform of the product is then given by

$$\widetilde{AB} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=0}^{1} \frac{\lambda^{m}}{m!} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha | \tilde{A} | \gamma \rangle \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \left[ \overleftarrow{\partial}_{n} \left( \overrightarrow{\partial}_{k} - \frac{i}{2} (\beta - \gamma) \right) - \overrightarrow{\partial}_{n} \left( \overleftarrow{\partial}_{k} + \frac{i}{2} (\alpha - \gamma) \right) \right] \right\}^{m} \langle \gamma | \tilde{B} | \beta \rangle \langle \beta |$$

$$=: \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{m} f_{m} (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}),$$
(A6)

where we have introduced the functions  $f_m(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$ , which are the *m*th order expansion terms. This expansion of the product Weyl transformation now gives rise to an expansion of the vacuum state of a perturbed doubled lattice, as we show in Appendix B. This expansion has been shown to give correct predictions using numerical calculations, therefore suggesting that this definition of the Weyl transform is correct and useful. However, some more investigation on this transformation will be done and reported elsewhere.

## APPENDIX B: EXPANSION OF THE WEYL-TRANSFORMED VACUUM DENSITY MATRIX

We now consider the density matrix

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{S}} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|, \tag{B1}$$

with S as in Eq. (22). When we consider the unperturbed Rice-Mele chain, so when  $\xi = 0$ , this density matrix is just the sum over the outer products of the Bloch states of the lower band. The addition of a weak harmonic potential (21) with  $\xi \ll 1$ will then result in small corrections to the density matrix. In particular, it will result in corrections to the Weyl transform of the density matrix. We can expand the Weyl transform of the density matrix according to the same formal parameter  $\lambda$  as in Eq. (A6), i.e.,

$$\tilde{\rho}(n,k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m \tilde{\rho}_m(n,k),$$
(B2)

where  $\tilde{\rho}_0 = |F_-\rangle \langle F_-|$ , the vacuum density matrix of the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian (11). Importantly, the density matrix is idempotent, i.e.,  $\tilde{\rho\rho} = \tilde{\rho}$ . Therefore, it needs to satisfy the condition

$$\tilde{\rho}_m = \sum_{r+s+t=m} f_r(\tilde{\rho}_s, \tilde{\rho}_t).$$
(B3)

Moreover, it needs to commute with the Hamiltonian, i.e.,  $\widetilde{H\rho} - \widetilde{\rho H} = 0$ . Defining  $g_m(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = f_m(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) - f_m(\tilde{B}, \tilde{A})$  will then give the additional requirement

$$[\tilde{H}, \tilde{\rho}_m] = -\sum_{\substack{r+s=m\\s(B4)$$

Finally, we can make use of the fact that

$$\tilde{\rho}_m \tilde{\rho}_0 + \tilde{\rho}_0 \tilde{\rho}_m = \tilde{\rho}_m + \frac{1}{\epsilon_-} (2\tilde{\rho}_m \hat{H}_\gamma + [\tilde{H}, \tilde{\rho}_m])$$
(B5)

and combine it with Eqs. (B3) and (B4) to get that the *m*th order correction term in the Weyl transform of the density matrix is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_m = \frac{1}{2} \left[ |\epsilon_-| \sum_{\substack{r+s+t=m\\s,t< m}} f_r(\tilde{\rho}_s, \tilde{\rho}_t) + \sum_{\substack{r+s=m\\s< m}} g_r(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\rho}_s) \right] \hat{H}_{\gamma}^{-1}$$
(B6)

which is a function of all the previous order correction terms, such that each correction term can be calculated through iteration. In the limit where  $\xi \ll 1$  and  $\varepsilon \gg 1$ , the correction terms up to second order in  $\xi$  can then be calculated to be

$$\tilde{\rho}_0 = |F_-\rangle\langle F_-|,\tag{B7}$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_1 = \frac{n\xi(1+e^{ik})}{\sqrt{16\varepsilon(1+\cos(k))}} |F_+\rangle\langle F_-| + \text{H.c.} + O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (B8)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{2} = \frac{\xi}{8\varepsilon} (|F_{-}\rangle\langle F_{-}| + |F_{+}\rangle\langle F_{+}|) + \frac{n^{2}\xi^{2}}{8\varepsilon} (|F_{+}\rangle\langle F_{+}| - |F_{-}\rangle\langle F_{-}|) + \left(\frac{n^{2}\xi^{2}(1+e^{ik})}{\sqrt{16\varepsilon(1+\cos(k))}}|F_{+}\rangle\langle F_{-}| + \text{H.c.}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$
(B9)
$$\tilde{\rho}_{3} = \frac{3n\xi^{2}}{8\varepsilon} (|F_{-}\rangle\langle F_{-}| + |F_{+}\rangle\langle F_{+}|) + O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} + O(\xi^{3}),$$
(B10)

$$\tilde{\rho}_4 = \frac{3\xi^2}{222} (|F_+\rangle\langle F_+| - |F_-\rangle\langle F_-|), \tag{B11}$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_m = O(\xi^3) \text{ for } m \ge 5.$$
 (B12)

It can be seen that this expansion results in an expansion of the density matrix in  $\xi$ . This shows the dependence of the local density matrix on *n* and  $\xi$  as given in Eq. (26), and the trace of the density matrix as given in Eq. (27).

- D. J. Thouless, Quantization of particle transport, Phys. Rev. B 27, 6083 (1983).
- [2] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Quantized Hall Conductance in a Two-Dimensional Periodic Potential, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [3] Q. Niu and D. J. Thouless, Quantised adiabatic charge transport in the presence of substrate disorder and many-body interaction, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17, 2453 (1984).
- [4] M. V. Berry, Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes, Proc. R. Soc. London 392, 45 (1984).
- [5] J. Zak, Berry's Phase for Energy Bands in Solids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2747 (1989).
- [6] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, Berry phase effects on electronic properties, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1959 (2010).
- [7] M. Lohse, C. Schweizer, O. Zilberberg, M. Aidelsburger, and I. Bloch, A Thouless quantum pump with ultracold bosonic atoms in an optical superlattice, Nat. Phys. 12, 350 (2015).
- [8] S. Nakajima, T. Tomita, S. Taie, T. Ichinose, H. Ozawa, L. Wang, M. Troyer, and Y. Takahashi, Topological Thouless pumping of ultracold fermions, Nat. Phys. 12, 296 (2016).
- [9] L. Wang, M. Troyer, and X. Dai, Topological Charge Pumping in a One-Dimensional Optical Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 026802 (2013).
- [10] L. Privitera, A. Russomanno, R. Citro, and G. E. Santoro, Nonadiabatic Breaking of Topological Pumping, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 106601 (2018).
- [11] M. Switkes, C. M. Marcus, K. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, An adiabatic quantum electron pump, Science 283, 1905 (1999).
- [12] P. W. Brouwer, Scattering approach to parametric pumping, Phys. Rev. B 58, R10135 (1998).
- [13] B. L. Altshuler and L. I. Glazman, Pumping electrons, Science 283, 1864 (1999).
- [14] Y. Levinson, O. Entin-Wohlman, and P. Wölfle, Pumping at resonant transmission and transferred charge quantization, Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) 302, 335 (2001).
- [15] O. Entin-Wohlman, A. Aharony, and Y. Levinson, Adiabatic transport in nanostructures, Phys. Rev. B 65, 195411 (2002).
- [16] J. Ohkubo, The stochastic pump current and the non-adiabatic geometrical phase, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P02011.
- [17] J. Ohkubo, Current and fluctuation in a two-state stochastic system under nonadiabatic periodic perturbation, J. Chem. Phys. 129, 205102 (2008).

- [18] F. Cavaliere, M. Governale, and J. König, Nonadiabatic Pumping through Interacting Quantum Dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 136801 (2009).
- [19] C. Uchiyama, Nonadiabatic effect on the quantum heat flux control, Phys. Rev. E 89, 052108 (2014).
- [20] K. L. Watanabe and H. Hayakawa, Non-adiabatic effect in quantum pumping for a spin-boson system, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2014, 113 (2014).
- [21] L. Arceci, L. Kohn, A. Russomanno, and G. E. Santoro, Dissipation assisted Thouless pumping in the Rice–Mele model, J. Stat. Mech. (2020) 043101.
- [22] B. Höckendorf, A. Alvermann, and H. Fehske, Topological origin of quantized transport in non-hermitian floquet chains, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023235 (2020).
- [23] Z. Fedorova, H. Qiu, S. Linden, and J. Kroha, Observation of topological transport quantization by dissipation in fast thouless pumps, Nat. Commun. 11, 3758 (2020).
- [24] K. Takahashi, K. Fujii, Y. Hino, and H. Hayakawa, Nonadiabatic Control of Geometric Pumping, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 150602 (2020).
- [25] K. Funo, N. Lambert, F. Nori, and C. Flindt, Shortcuts to Adiabatic Pumping in Classical Stochastic Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 150603 (2020).
- [26] S. Malikis and V. Cheianov, An ideal rapid-cycle Thouless pump, arXiv:2104.02751 (2021).
- [27] R. Li and M. Fleischhauer, Finite-size corrections to quantized particle transport in topological charge pumps, Phys. Rev. B 96, 085444 (2017).
- [28] W. B. Case, Wigner functions and Weyl transforms for pedestrians, Am. J. Phys 76, 937 (2008).
- [29] M. J. Rice and E. J. Mele, Elementary Excitations of a Linearly Conjugated Diatomic Polymer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1455 (1982).
- [30] M. Born and V. Fock, Beweis des adiabatensatzes, Z. Phys. 51, 165 (1928).
- [31] S. Peil, J. V. Porto, B. Laburthe Tolra, J. M. Obrecht, B. E. King, M. Subbotin, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, Patterned loading of a Bose-Einstein condensate into an optical lattice, Phys. Rev. A 67, 051603 (2003).
- [32] Y. Qian, M. Gong, and C. Zhang, Quantum transport of bosonic cold atoms in double-well optical lattices, Phys. Rev. A 84, 013608 (2011).
- [33] I. Fialkovsky and M. Zubkov, Precise Wigner-Weyl calculus for lattice models, Nucl. Phys. B 954, 114999 (2020).
- [34] F. A. Buot, Comments on the Weyl-Wigner calculus for lattice models, arXiv:2103.10351 (2021).