Bose-Einstein statistics for a finite number of particles

Pedro Pessoa[®]

Physics Department, University at Albany (SUNY), Albany, New York 12222, USA

(Received 11 August 2021; accepted 5 October 2021; published 25 October 2021)

This paper presents a study of the grand canonical Bose-Einstein (BE) statistics for a finite number of particles in an arbitrary quantum system. The thermodynamical quantities that identify BE condensation—namely, the fraction of particles in the ground state and the specific heat—are calculated here exactly in terms of temperature and fugacity. These calculations are complemented by a numerical calculation of fugacity in terms of the number of particles, without taking the thermodynamic limit. The main advantage of this approach is that it does not rely on approximations made in the vicinity of the usually defined critical temperature, rather it makes calculations with arbitrary precision possible, irrespective of temperature. Graphs for the calculated thermodynamical quantities are presented in comparison to the results previously obtained in the thermodynamic limit. In particular, it is observed that for the gas trapped in a three-dimensional box, the derivative of specific heat reaches smaller values than what was expected in the thermodynamic limit—here, this result is also verified with analytical calculations. This is an important result for understanding the role of the thermodynamic limit in phase transitions and makes possible to further study BE statistics without relying neither on the thermodynamic limit nor on approximations near critical temperature.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.043318

I. INTRODUCTION

In most textbooks on statistical physics, e.g., Refs. [1-3], Bose-Einstein (BE) condensation is taught as one of the first examples of phase transitions. This phase transition is identified by the fact that there is a low value in temperature, namely, critical temperature, for which the specific heat for a noninteracting quantum gas of bosons is not analytical. Physically, the condensation/phase transition happens because a thermodynamically relevant fraction of particles inhabits the quantum state of lowest energy, namely, the ground state. The fraction of particles in the ground state itself also exhibits a nonanalytical behavior at critical temperature, indicative of phase transitions.

BE condensation was observed experimentally in 1995 [4–6]. In these experiments, the number of particles ranged in the order of thousands [4] to millions [6]. This sprung interest in studies of BE statistics that do not rely on the thermodynamic limit (number of particles $N \rightarrow \infty$) [7–14]. It is important to note that the nonanalytical behavior mentioned previously is a consequence of the thermodynamic limit applied to BE statistics and therefore shouldn't be expected for a finite number of particles.

In the present paper, I obtain more general results allowing for the studies of a broader range of quantum systems. Specifically, the present paper studies quantum systems for which the density of states is proportional to a power of the energy [15], $G(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{\eta}$. A *D*-dimensional harmonically trapped gas is identified with $\eta = D - 1$, while the well-studied example of a gas in a box is identified with $\eta = D/2 - 1$.

$$N = \kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{1-\xi},$$
(1)

where β is the unit corrected inverse temperature, $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and Li refers to the polylogarithm family of functions [16]

$$\operatorname{Li}_{\varphi}(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varphi)} \int_{0}^{\infty} du \, \frac{u^{\varphi-1}}{y^{-1}e^{u} - 1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{k}}{k^{\varphi}}, \qquad (2)$$

with $\Gamma(\varphi)$ being the Euler's gamma function. BE statistics assumes $\xi \in [0, 1)$. Note that for fixed β and $\eta > 0$, N is strictly increasing with ξ . Therefore, $\xi(\beta, N)$ is well-defined as the inverse of (1). This, however, has not been written in closed analytical form to the best of my knowledge [17]. This is fundamental for the study of BE statistics for a finite number of particles since the thermodynamical quantities that identify the phase transition—fraction of particles in the ground state and specific heat—can be written exactly in terms of β and ξ , as we will see in the present paper.

Most of the investigations done in BE statistics for finite number of particles [7–12] is restricted to harmonically trapped gases, $\eta = D - 1$. Among the investigations that went beyond the study of harmonically trapped gases, it is important to mention the work of Jaouadi *et al.* [13] that investigated the three-dimensional gas of bosons in a power-law trap and the work of Noronha and Toms [14] investigating the statistics for the Bose gas for a particular set of spaces and potentials, namely, the particle in a box and a three-sphere. Both of these

 $2469 \hbox{-} 9926/2021/104(4)/043318(13)$

The major difficulty halting progress in this investigation comes from the lack of a closed form expression for the fugacity ξ of a BE gas in terms of the number of particles N and temperature T, which is given by

^{*}ppessoa@albany.edu

works, however, rely on approximations taken in the vicinity of critical temperature.

The present paper differs from these aforementioned works since it does not assume a specific quantum system, rather here I presented an exact calculation of thermodynamical quantities in terms of β and ξ for arbitrary η —later it will be explained that one can only identify condensation for $\eta > 1$ —making it general. Moreover, these exact results are complemented by the numerical calculation of $\xi(\beta, N)$, so all thermodynamical quantities can be calculated for a finite number of particles, allowing for reliable results irrespective of temperature.

A numerical implementation of $\xi(\beta, N)$ is found in my GitHub repository [18]. It is important to point out that the calculation of fugacity cannot be simply implemented with the floating point arithmetic built in most computer languages, since for large *N*, ξ is extremely close to unity. This difficulty is avoided by using the MPMATH Python library [19] which allows for arbitrary precision float point arithmetic—roughly speaking, all quantities can be calculated with an arbitrary number of decimal places [20].

From the fugacity obtained numerically, one can calculate the fraction of particles in the ground state, specific heat, and specific-heat first derivative and compare to the results obtained for them in the thermodynamic limit, available in Refs. [1–3,21]. For transparent presentation of the results, graphs for all the calculated quantities are presented for a number of particles ranging from $N = 10^2$ to $N = 10^7$, and its comparison to the thermodynamic limit.

The layout of the present paper is as follows: Section II will review the statistical mechanical description of quantum gases in terms of β and ξ and obtain the parameter η for some well-known models. Section III will present the description of Bose gases in the thermodynamic limit for different values of η and show how these values affect the convergence of critical temperature and the existence of phase transition identified by nonanalytical behavior. Section IV presents exact results for the fraction of particles in the ground state and specific heat in terms of β and ξ . These quantities are graphed in terms of β and N from the numerical implementation of $\xi(\beta, N)$ found in Ref. [18]. These calculations do not specify a value of η and the graphs are presented from $\eta = 1/2$ and $\eta = 2$.

II. SPECTRUM AND DENSITY OF STATES

Quantum statistical mechanics consists of assigning probability distributions for the space of occupancy number of each quantum state—also referred to as Fock space. Mathematically, this means assigning a probability $\rho(x)$ where $x = \{x_i\}$ in which *i* enumerates the quantum states. In this description, x_i is the number of particles occupying the state *i*—for bosons x_i takes positive integer values, $x_i \in [0, 1, 2, ...)$ —and each quantum state is associated to an energy value ϵ_i . The relationship between *i* and ϵ_i is also referred to as the spectrum and is obtained through regular methods in quantum mechanics [22], that means ε_i are the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} defined as

$$\hat{H} \doteq \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \phi(q), \tag{3}$$

where $q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_D\}$ are the system's position coordinates—which, for simplicity, are assumed to be rectangular— \hat{p} is the momentum identified as $\hat{p} = -i\hbar \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\mu}}$ —where \mathbf{e}_{μ} refers to the unit vector in the direction of the μ th dimension—and $\phi(q)$ is the potential, *m* refers to the mass of the confined particles, and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant. For mathematical simplicity, one can shift the energy spectrum so the energy of the ground state is zero, $\min_i \epsilon_i = 0$.

In the grand canonical ensemble, $\rho(x)$ is assigned as the maximum entropy distribution constrained on the total number of particles, $N \doteq \sum_i \langle x_i \rangle$, and the system's internal energy, $U \doteq \sum_i \epsilon_i \langle x_i \rangle$. This leads to the grand canonical Gibbs distribution,

$$\rho(x|\beta,\xi) \propto \prod_{i} e^{-\beta\epsilon_{i}x_{i}}\xi^{x_{i}},\tag{4}$$

where, as mentioned, $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ and the fugacity ξ is identified to the chemical potential μ as $\xi = e^{\beta\mu}$. The number of particles *N* and the internal energy *U* can be calculated from (4) as

$$N = \sum_{i} \frac{\xi}{e^{\beta \epsilon_i} - \xi},\tag{5a}$$

$$U = \sum_{i} \frac{\epsilon_i \,\xi}{e^{\beta \epsilon_i} - \xi}.$$
 (5b)

The details of how the Gibbs distribution (4) is derived as well as how it leads to (5) are presented in Appendix A.

To calculate N and U in terms of β and ξ , one needs the full spectrum of energies. I am not aware of any calculations of the series (5) in closed form for a general spectrum nor any calculation directly from (5) for physically relevant systems.

Because of this, generally the study of quantum statistics mechanics relies on treating the spectrum as a continuous justified when the energy of the system is much larger than the differences of energy in the spectrum, $U \gg \max_{i,j} |\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j|$. In such approximation, the summations in (5) can be substituted as

$$\sum_{i} \longrightarrow \int d\epsilon \; \mathsf{G}(\epsilon) = \kappa \int d\epsilon \; \epsilon^{\eta}, \tag{6}$$

where κ and η are parameters to be calculated from the full spectrum of energies, as will be presented below. Note that κ has units of [energy]^(\eta+1)—or $\kappa^{1/(\eta+1)}$ has units of energy—one can choose a system of units in which $\kappa = 1$, or, equivalently, use $\kappa^{1/(\eta+1)}$ as the unit of energy given by the system.

As a first example of the continuous approximation, one can study the *D*-dimensional gas trapped in a regular box of edge length *L*, related to a potential ϕ of the form: $\phi(q) = 0$ if $\max_{\mu} |q_{\mu}| \leq \frac{L}{2}$ and $+\infty$ otherwise. In this potential, the quantum states will be enumerated by *D* integers— $i = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_D\}$ —and the spectrum of energies is given by [22]

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} \sum_{\mu=0}^{D} n_{\mu}^2.$$
 (7)

In this case, the continuous approximation yields [2,14,21,23,24]

$$\eta = \frac{D}{2} - 1$$
 and $\kappa = \frac{g_s V}{\Gamma(D/2)} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}\right)^{D/2}$, (8)

where V is the volume of the box, $V = L^D$, and g_s is the multiplicity of energy levels of a particle with spin s, $g_s = 2s + 1$.

Another useful example is the *D*-dimensional gas in a harmonic trap, meaning a potential of the form $\phi(q) = \frac{m}{2}\omega^2 \sum_{\mu} q_{\mu}^2$. In this potential, the quantum states will be enumerated by *D* positive integers, $i = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_D\}$, and the spectrum of energies is given by [22]

$$\epsilon_i = \hbar \omega \sum_{\mu=0}^{D} n_{\mu}.$$
(9)

The extra term $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega D$ in the energy of a harmonic trapped particle is ignored to assign zero energy to the ground state $n_{\mu} = 0$ for all μ . In this case, the continuous approximation yields [7,9,21,23,24]

$$\eta = D - 1$$
 and $\kappa = \frac{g_s}{\Gamma(D)} \left(\frac{1}{\hbar\omega}\right)^D$. (10)

Interestingly, it has been verified experimentally by Schmitt *et al.* [25] that the grand canonical noninteracting gases trapped in a harmonic potential are an accurate model of BE condensation of photons in a dye microcavity.

In a third example—useful to describe a more general set of quantum systems—one can calculate the values of κ and η for a potential of the form $\phi(q) = \phi_0 \sum_{\mu} |2\frac{q_{\mu}}{L}|^{\tau}$. Such potential can be understood as an interpolation between a box $(\tau \to \infty)$ and an oscillator $(\tau = 2)$, as described in Ref. [26]. The density of states can be calculated in a semiclassical manner [27] from the phase space volume, meaning

$$\int_0^E d\epsilon \ \mathbf{G}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^D} \int_{\mathcal{A}(E)} d^D p \ d^D q, \qquad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(E) = \{(p,q) : \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}^2 + \phi(q) \leq E\}$ as presented in Refs. [13,28,29]. This yields

$$\eta = \frac{D}{2} + \frac{D}{\tau} - 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{\mathbf{g}_s V}{\Gamma(D/2 + D/\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\pi \hbar^2}\right)^{D/2} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1/\tau + 1)}{\sqrt{2\phi_0}}\right]^D. \quad (12)$$

It is straightforward to see that when the potential $\phi(x)$ is a harmonic oscillator, $\tau = 2$ and $(\frac{2}{L})^2 \phi_0 = \frac{m}{2} \omega^2$, the values of η and κ in (12) match those of (10). On the same note, when $\phi(x)$ reduces to the potential of a particle in a box, $\tau \to \infty$ and $\phi_0 = 1$, the values of η and κ in (12) match those of (8).

As a final example of an even more general quantum system, one could study a potential of the form $\phi(q) = \sum_{\mu} \phi_{\mu} | 2\frac{q_{\mu}}{L_{\mu}} |^{\tau_{\mu}}$, which reduces to the potential treated in the previous paragraph when ϕ_{μ} , L_{μ} , and τ_{μ} are equal for all μ . This is a potential that describes a gas trapped in an anisotropic harmonic (or otherwise) potential or an irregular box. In this case, the continuous approximation yields

[13,28,29]

$$\eta = \frac{D}{2} + \frac{D}{\tau'} - 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{g_s}{\Gamma(D/2 + D/\tau')} \left(\frac{2m}{\pi \hbar^2}\right)^{D/2} \prod_{\mu} L_{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1/\tau_{\mu} + 1)}{(2\phi_{\mu})^{1/\tau_{\mu}}}, \quad (13)$$

where $\tau' \doteq D(\sum_{\mu} {}^{1}/\tau_{\mu})^{-1}$. It is straightforward to see that when $\phi_{\mu} = \phi_{0}, L_{\mu} = L = V^{1/D}$, and $\tau_{\mu} = \tau$ for all μ , the values η and κ in (13) reduce to the ones in (12).

Having the values of η and κ from the energy spectrum, one can calculate the number of particles and the internal energy (5) using the continuous approximation (6), thus obtaining

$$N = \kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) + n_0, \text{ where } n_0 = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi},$$
(14a)

$$U = \kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta + 2)}{\beta^{\eta + 2}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta + 2}(\xi).$$
(14b)

Note that n_0 is the number of particles in the ground state, which needs to be added *ad hoc* since the continuous approximation (6) assigns no particle in the ground state, G(0) = 0. It can also be seen that n_0 is the term in N equivalent to the zero energy state in (5a). As will be discussed in the remainder of the present paper, the addition of this term is fundamental for the study of BE condensation.

Before studying the thermodynamical consequences of (14), two important properties of polylogarithms need attention for future use. First, it is useful to note that from (2) one obtains

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \operatorname{Li}_{\varphi}(y) = \frac{1}{y} \operatorname{Li}_{\varphi-1}(y).$$
(15)

Second, for noninteger ϕ polylogarithms can be written as a series

$$\operatorname{Li}_{\varphi}(y) = \Gamma(1-\varphi)(-\ln(y))^{\varphi-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \zeta(\varphi-k) \frac{(\ln y)^k}{k!},$$
(16)

where ζ refers to the Riemann's zeta function, $\zeta(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\varphi}$. This series expression goes back to Ref. [30] (see also Ref. [31]) and is valid for $|\ln y| < 1$. A similar expression of integer φ is also found in Ref. [30]. Note that for $\varphi > 1$ it implies that $\lim_{y\to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\varphi}(y) = \zeta(\varphi)$ while $\varphi \leq 1$ implies $\lim_{y\to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\varphi}(y) = \infty$. These expressions will be useful in the remainder of the paper.

III. BE STATISTICS IN THE THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT

This section will describe the phase transition for BE statistics in the thermodynamic limit by presenting the nonanalytical form of the fraction of particles in the ground state and the specific heat. Appendix B will show how these quantities derive from the thermodynamical quantities presented in this section follow from the calculations made for finite N in Sec. IV. These calculations will leave η undetermined, they reduce to those found in textbooks [1–3] when $\eta = 1/2$.

When studying BE condensation, β_c defined as

$$\beta_c \doteq \left[\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{N} \lim_{\xi \to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)\right]^{\frac{1}{\eta+1}} \tag{17}$$

is identified as the inverse critical temperature [32]. This definition is motivated as the temperature for which ξ goes to 1 when the ground state particles are ignored in (14a). From (16), it follows that for $\eta \leq 0$, β_c diverges—or the critical temperature goes to the absolute zero. When η is positive, β_c converges and it yields $\beta_c = [\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{N} \zeta(\eta+1)]^{\frac{1}{\eta+1}}$. For the discussion presented here, one can assume $\eta > 0$, guaranteeing a positive critical temperature. Note that this means, per Sec. II, a one- or two-dimensional Bose gas in a box will not have a positive critical temperature. Similarly, a onedimensional Bose gas in a box has divergent β_c .

A sequence of assumptions is applied when studying the Bose gas in the thermodynamic limit. These can be summarized as

(1) For $\beta < \beta_c$: Treat the calculations of thermodynamical quantities as if $n_0 = 0$.

(2) For $\beta \ge \beta_c$: Treat the calculations of thermodynamical quantities as if $\xi = 1$.

This leads to a nonanalytical behavior in thermodynamical quantities as will be presented below. Before presenting the results in the thermodynamic limit, they will be calculated for a finite number of particles in Sec. IV and the thermodynamic limit will be taken in Appendix B. It will also present comparisons in the form of graphs between the quantities calculated in the thermodynamic limit and for a finite number of particles.

The fraction of particle in the ground state is given by

$$\frac{\tilde{n}_0}{N} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \beta < \beta_c \\ 1 - \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{-(\eta+1)} & \text{for } \beta \ge \beta_c. \end{cases}$$
(18)

For the remainder of the present paper, I will use the tilde notation as above to mean that the quantity is calculated in the thermodynamic limit.

Similarly, the specific heat [33] defined as $c_v \doteq$ $\frac{1}{N}(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = -k_B \frac{\beta^2}{N}(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ yields, in the thermodynamic

$$\frac{\tilde{c}_{v}}{k_{B}} = \begin{cases}
(\eta+2)(\eta+1)\frac{\mathrm{Li}_{\eta+2}(\tilde{\xi})}{\mathrm{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi})} - (\eta+1)^{2}\frac{\mathrm{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi})}{\mathrm{Li}_{\eta}(\tilde{\xi})} & \text{for } \beta < \beta_{c} \\
(\eta+2)(\eta+1)\frac{\zeta(\eta+2)}{\zeta(\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_{c}}\right)^{-(\eta+1)} & \text{for } \beta \ge \beta_{c},
\end{cases}$$
(19)

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is the fugacity in the thermodynamic limit for $\beta < \beta$ β_c , which can be obtained as the solution to (14a) with the ground-state particles ignored and substituting β_c defined in (17), namely, $\tilde{\xi}(\beta)$ is the solution to

$$\operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi}) = \zeta(\eta+1) \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{\eta+1}.$$
 (20)

Note that the limit $\beta \to 0$ leads to $\tilde{\xi} \to 0$ and for $\beta \to \beta_c^-$ it follows that $\tilde{\xi} \to 1$. To study the nonanalytical behavior of \tilde{c}_v , it is interesting to define the quantity

$$\frac{\Delta \tilde{c}_{v}}{k_{B}} \doteq \lim_{\beta \to \beta_{c}^{+}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{v}}{k_{B}} - \lim_{\beta \to \beta_{c}^{-}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{v}}{k_{B}} = (\eta + 1)^{2} \lim_{\xi \to 1^{-}} \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)},$$
(21)

which is the discontinuity gap of \tilde{c}_v at $\beta = \beta_c$. For $\eta \leq 1$, it follows that $\lim_{\xi \to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\xi \to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) =$

 $\zeta(\eta+1)$, therefore $\Delta \tilde{c}_v = 0$ while for $\eta > 1$, \tilde{c}_v is discontinuous in β_c , yielding $\Delta \frac{\tilde{c}_v}{k_B} = (\eta+1)^2 \frac{\zeta(\eta+1)}{\zeta(\eta)}$. One can further study the derivative of c_v , using the unitless quantity $\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{\beta}{k_B} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ obtained from differentiating (19), yielding

$$\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = \begin{cases} (\eta+2)(\eta+1)^2 \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+2}(\tilde{\xi})}{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi})} - (\eta+1)^2 \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi})}{\text{Li}_{\eta}(\tilde{\xi})} - (\eta+1)^3 \frac{(\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\tilde{\xi}))^2 \text{Li}_{\eta-1}(\tilde{\xi})}{(\text{Li}_{\eta}(\tilde{\xi}))^3} & \text{for } \beta < \beta_c \\ (\eta+2)(\eta+1)^2 \frac{\zeta(\eta+2)}{\zeta(\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \right)^{-(\eta+1)} & \text{for } \beta \ge \beta_c. \end{cases}$$
(22)

Similarly to (21), one can define the discontinuity gap of the derivative of \tilde{c}_v at $\beta = \beta_c$:

$$\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \Delta \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa} \doteq \lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^+} \frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa} - \lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}.$$
(23)

Calculating the quantity $\Delta(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ for $\eta \leq 0$ is inexpressive, since β_c diverges, on the same understanding calculating $\Delta(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ is not representative for $\eta > 1$ since \tilde{c}_v is already discontinuous. This calculation will focus on values $0 < \eta \leq$ 1. In that regime, it follows from (22) that the limit from the right is given by

$$\lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^+} \frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = (\eta + 2)(\eta + 1)^2 \frac{\zeta(\eta + 2)}{\zeta(\eta + 1)}.$$
 (24)

TABLE I. Diagram relating the convergence of polylogarithms in terms of the density of states exponent η to the convergence of the critical temperature, β_c in (17). It is also presented how, as a consequence, the value of η affects the continuity of specific heat, \tilde{c}_v in (19) and of its derivative in (23).

	$\eta \leqslant 0$	$0 < \eta < 1/2$	$\eta = 1/2$	$1/2 < \eta \leqslant 1$	$\eta > 1$
$\overline{\lim_{\xi \to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}$	Divergent $(+\infty)$	Convergent $\zeta(\eta + 1)$			
$\lim_{\xi \to 1^{-}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)$		Divergent $(+\infty)$			Convergent $\zeta(\eta)$
β_c	Divergent $(+\infty)$	Convergent — see (17)			
\tilde{c}_v	_	Continuous at β_c			Discontinuous at β_c
$\Delta \tilde{c}_v$	-	0			$k_B(\eta+1)^2 rac{\zeta(\eta+1)}{\zeta(\eta)}$
$(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$	_	Continuous at β_c	Discontinuous at β_c		_
$\Delta(rac{\partial ilde{c}_v}{\partial eta})_{N,\kappa}$	-	0	$\approx 3.66 k_B^2 \beta_c$	$+\infty$	-

To calculate the equivalent limit from the left, one has to recall the series expansion in (16). Note that, for the values of η of interest, $\text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)$ scales as $(\ln (\xi))^{\eta-1}$ as $\xi \to 1^-$, while

 $\operatorname{Li}_{\eta-1}(\xi)$ scales as $(\ln(\xi))^{\eta-2}$, while $\operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)$ and $\operatorname{Li}_{\eta+2}(\xi)$ converge to $\zeta(\eta+1)$ and $\zeta(\eta+2)$, respectively. Substituting the series expansion in (22), it follows that

$$\lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = (\eta + 2)(\eta + 1)^2 \frac{\zeta(\eta + 2)}{\zeta(\eta + 1)} - (\eta + 1)^3 (\zeta(\eta + 1))^2 \frac{\Gamma(2 - \eta)}{(\Gamma(1 - \eta))^3} \lim_{\xi \to 1^-} (-\ln \xi)^{1 - 2\eta}.$$
 (25)

For $0 < \eta < 1/2$, the exponent in the last factor in (25) is positive, therefore $\Delta(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = 0$. For $1/2 < \eta \leq 1$, the exponent in the last factor in (25) is negative, therefore $\Delta(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = \infty$. In the particular case of $\eta = 1/2$ —respective to the three-dimensional gas trapped in a box—the exponent vanishes, therefore

$$\lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = \left(\frac{45}{8} \right) \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\Gamma(3/2)} - \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^3 (\zeta(3/2))^2 \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{(\Gamma(1/2))^3} \approx -0.77726, \tag{26}$$

leading to a convergent $\Delta(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} \approx 3.6657 k_B^2 \beta_c$ in accordance to Refs. [1,2].

A summary for the convergence of β_c along with the discontinuities of \tilde{c}_v and $(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ in terms of η are presented in Table I. With the study of the thermodynamic limit for general values of η done, the following section studies BE statistics for a finite number of particles.

IV. BE STATISTICS FOR A FINITE NUMBER OF PARTICLES

To appropriately study BE condensation in terms of N, one needs to express the thermodynamical quantities of interest namely, the fraction of particles in the ground state, specific heat, and its derivative—in a manner that is appropriate to compare to the critical temperature. Since β_c in (17) is defined in terms of N, one has to write n_0 and U in terms of β and N, since these were written in (14) in terms of β and ξ it would suffice to obtain ξ as a function of β and N. From (14a), it is straightforward to see that N is strictly increasing with $\xi \in [0, 1)$, therefore $\xi(\beta, N)$ is well defined as the inverse of (14a).

To the best of my knowledge, $\xi(\beta, N)$ has never been written in closed analytical form. However, as the inverse of a strictly increasing function, $\xi(\beta, N)$ can be implemented through simple numerical algorithms. An implementation of it can be seen in the IGQG Python library, available in my GitHub repository [18]. This implementation is based on the MPMATH library [19] that allows for calculations of arbitrary precision. All thermodynamical quantities of interest can be exactly written in terms of $\xi(\beta, N)$, which will be written only as ξ in this section for simpler notation. Graphs for the thermodynamical quantities obtained from this implementation will be presented below. It is observed that for finite *N*, the nonanalytical behavior disappears, which is in accordance with the fact that *U* and *N* in (14) are continuous for positive β and $0 \leq \xi < 1$.

The fraction of particles in the ground state can be obtained by dividing (14a) by the number of particles N and substituting β_c as in (17), obtaining

$$\frac{n_0}{N} = 1 - \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\zeta(\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{-(\eta+1)}.$$
(27)

Above it is supposed a value of η for which β_c converges, hence $\lim_{\xi \to 1^-} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)$ is taken to be $\zeta(\eta + 1)$. Graphs for $\frac{n_0}{N}$ are presented for the three-dimensional gas in a box $(\eta = 1/2)$ and the three-dimensional harmonically trapped gas $(\eta = 2)$ in Fig. 1 with a comparison to the fraction of particles calculated in the thermodynamic limit (19). The specific heat, $c_v \doteq \frac{1}{N} (\frac{\partial U}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = -k_B \frac{\beta^2}{N} (\frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$, can be calculated from the direct differentiation of U in (14b), obtaining

$$\frac{c_v}{k_B} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \frac{\Gamma(\eta+2)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \bigg[(\eta+2) \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+2}(\xi) - \frac{\beta}{\xi} \bigg(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \bigg)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) \bigg],$$
(28)

where $(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ can be obtained from the implicit differentiation of N in (14a) with respect to β , yielding

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta}\right)_{N,\kappa} = \frac{\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+2)}{\beta^{\eta+2}} \mathrm{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \mathrm{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)^2}}.$$
(29)

Note that the ground-state contribution to c_v appears in the second term of the denominator of (29). Graphs for c_v are presented for $\eta = 1/2$ and $\eta = 2$ in Fig. 2. The comparison to the thermodynamic limit is obtained from (19). For $\beta \ge \beta_c$, it follows that \tilde{c}_v can be calculated directly in terms of β directly, for $\beta < \beta_c$ the graphed values of \tilde{c}_v are based on the numerical implementation of the solution of (20) also found in Ref. [18]. It is particularly interesting to notice, in Fig. 2, how the discontinuity in \tilde{c}_v for $\eta = 2$ is approached from the continuous values calculated for finite N.

To further compare the study of BE statistics for finite N to the one in the thermodynamic limit, it is important to study the derivative of c_v . Again, this can be done by the study of the unitless quantity $\frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{\beta}{k_B} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ which can be obtained from differentiating (28), yielding

$$\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{N} \frac{\Gamma(\eta+2)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \left[(\eta+2)(\eta+1) \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+2}(\xi) - \frac{\beta}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} 2(\eta+1) \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\beta}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \right)^2 \left(\operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) - \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) \right) + \frac{\beta^2}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) \right], \tag{30}$$

where $(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ was already calculated in (29) and $(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2})_{N,\kappa}$ can similarly be obtained from the second implicit differentiation of N in (14a) with respect to β , yielding

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} = -\left[\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+3)}{\beta^{\eta+3}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi) - \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} 2\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+2)}{\beta^{\eta+2}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) + \left(\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \right)^2 \kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \left(\operatorname{Li}_{\eta-1}(\xi) - \operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) \right) + 2\left(\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \right)^2 \frac{\xi^2}{(1-\xi)^3} \right] \times \left[\kappa \frac{\Gamma(\eta+1)}{\beta^{\eta+1}} \operatorname{Li}_{\eta}(\xi) + \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)^2} \right]^{-1}.$$
(31)

Graphs for the unitless quantity $\frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ are presented for $\eta = 1/2$ and $\eta = 2$ in Fig. 3.

An interesting nonintuitive behavior becomes clear in Fig. 3. For $\eta = 1/2$, it can be seen that the value of $\frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ grows smaller than the minimum possible value obtained in the thermodynamic limit, given as $\lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ in (26). Such behavior is not observed for $\eta < 1/2$ —since at those values $\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ is continuous. It is also not observed for $\eta > 1/2$ —since, per (25), it follows that $\lim_{\beta \to \beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ goes to negative infinite.

This implies that the thermodynamic limit, as presented in Sec. III, misses interesting physical behavior. Mainly, the calculation of \tilde{c}_v was made assuming that there are no particles in the ground state for $\beta < \beta_c$, as is also the case for all calculations made in Sec. III. The fact that $(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ obtained this way is strictly decreasing for $\beta < \beta_c$ indicated that the discontinuity at β_c is approached from above. The behavior found in Fig. 3 indicates that accounting for n_0 above the critical temperature leads to a smaller value of $(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$. Therefore, the discontinuity found in the thermodynamic limit is approached from below, not above.

Interestingly, this result is supported by analytical calculations. For $\eta = 1/2$, the minimal value of $(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ —calculated without assuming $n_0 = 0$ below β_c —is related to N as

$$z(N) \doteq \min_{\beta} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa} = z_m + \bar{z}N^{-1/3} + o(N^{-1/3}), \quad (32)$$

where

$$z_m \approx -0.97337$$
 and $\bar{z} \approx 3.5881$, (33)

and where *o* stands for the smaller order notation, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{o(N^{-1/3})}{N^{-1/3}} = 0$. Note that, as expected from Fig. 3, $\lim_{N\to\infty} z(N) = z_m < \lim_{\beta\to\beta_c^-} \frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} (\frac{\partial \tilde{c}_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ calculated in (26). The analytical calculation proving (32) is presented in Appendix C. A comparison between the values of Z(N)calculated numerically compared to the ones given by (32) in the order of $N^{-1/3}$ is presented in Fig. 4.

Other interesting properties can be observed from the study of Bose gases in finite N. As commented in Sec. III, c_v and its



FIG. 1. Fraction of particles in the ground state (27) for $\eta = 1/2$ (above) and $\eta = 2$ (below). In both cases, it can be seen how the fraction of particle in the ground state, for finite *N*, approach, smoothly, the nonanalytical curve for the thermodynamic limit.

derivative are continuous for $0 < \eta < 1/2$. The same numerical investigation used in Figs. 1–3 can also illustrate an important difference in qualitative behavior for this regime. In Fig. 5, the graphs for c_v and $(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ are presented for $\eta = 1/4$, which is equivalent, per (12), to a quartic interaction ($\tau = 4$) in a two-dimensional gas. It is interesting to see that for $\eta = 1/4$, the specific heat at $\beta = 0$ is larger than for $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c^-$. In this case, c_v is increasing for small β —in accordance to (19) but as β increases, it reduces smoothly—as expected from Table I—so no nonanalytical behavior is observed for c_v or its first derivative at β_c .

V. CONCLUSIONS

The present paper presents a complete description of BE statistics that does not rely on the thermodynamic limit. This is made possible from the numerical calculation of $\xi(\beta, N)$ as the inverse of (14a). From this, all thermodynamical quantities can be written in terms of β and N, allowing for a direct comparison to β_c .

The thermodynamical quantities that identify the BE condensation were calculated here. The fraction of particles in the ground state is calculated exactly, for arbitrary η , in terms of $\xi(\beta, N)$ in (27). Supplemented by the numerical inversion,



FIG. 2. Specific heat of a Bose gas (28) for $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ (above) and $\eta = 2$ (below). In the first picture is seen that the quantity is continuous, albeit not smooth, as β approaches β_c in the thermodynamic limit. In the second one is seen how, in the thermodynamic limit, the value diverges and is discontinuous when β approaches β_c from the left. In both cases, it can be seen how the nonanalytical curve is approached from the curves of specific heat for finite *N*.

 β/β_c

graphs of this quantity for a gas trapped in a regular box $(\eta = 1/2)$ and in a harmonic potential $(\eta = 2)$ are presented in Fig. 1. Similarly, the specific heat is calculated in (28) and the numerical results are presented in Fig. 2. Finally, the derivative of specific heat is calculated in (30) and presented in Fig. 3.

In all of these figures, the thermodynamical quantities were calculated for values of N raging from 10^2 to 10^7 —in accordance to the numbers found in the experimental observation of BE condensation—where significant differences are observed in comparison to the calculations made in the thermodynamic limit. A summary for the convergence and continuity of these quantities in the thermodynamic limit is presented in Table I. These graphs by themselves are an important visualization of the role of the thermodynamic limit for the nonanalytical behavior, indicating the phase transition in BE gases, hence an important pedagogical tool for the study of phase transitions.

Particularly in Fig. 3, a fundamental difference in the qualitative behavior of specific-heat derivative is observed. Considering particles in the ground state below critical temperature, the minimum value of this quantity is smaller than



FIG. 3. Graphs for $(\frac{\partial c_{\upsilon}}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ of a Bose gas (30) $\eta = 1/2$ (above) and $\eta = 2$ (below). In the first image, it is seen that the quantity becomes smaller than the one expected from calculations in the thermodynamic limit. In the second one is seen how in the thermodynamic limit, the value diverges to $-\infty$ when β approaches β_c from the left.

the one given in the thermodynamic limit. This result is supported by analytical calculations (32) and it is found—both by numerical results in Fig. 4 and analytical calculations in (32)—that such minimum value scales with $N^{-1/3}$.

With the numerical inversion of (14a) established and available in Ref. [18], further studies on BE condensation



FIG. 4. Graph for the value of *z*, defined in (32), calculated numerically for *N* ranging from 10^2 to 10^8 (scattered blue points) and the approximation in order of $N^{-1/3}$ (solid orange line)—meaning $z(N) = z_m + \bar{z}N^{-1/3}$ as in (32).



FIG. 5. Graphs for c_v in (28) (above) and $\left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ in (30) (below) of a Bose gas for $\eta = 1/4$. Unlike in the previous pictures, we see that both quantities are smooth for this value of η .

for a broad range of quantum systems—whenever the density of states exponent η can be identified—are now possible without relying on the thermodynamic limit nor on specific approximations—the method presented here obtains calculations with arbitrary precision for any value of β and N.

Future work may entail a precise calculation for other definitions of BE critical temperature [13,14] and the information geometry of quantum gases [24,34]. Another possible venue for future work is to study interactive Bose gases, as the inversion of (14a) presented here (for which the code is available in Ref. [18]) allows for calculating both the number of particles in the ground state and out of the ground state, coupling collisions coefficients between particles in these—as defined in Refs. [35–37]—can be calculated in the grand canonical ensemble.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the much appreciated assistance of D. Robbins, with whom the calculations in Appendix C were performed. D. Robbins was also the first person to introduce me to the polylogarithm series expansion presented in (16). I would also like to thank B. Arderucio Costa, A. Caticha, C. Cafaro, and R. Correa da Silva for important discussions during the development of the present paper.

APPENDIX A: MAXIMUM ENTROPY IN FOCK SPACES

This Appendix will derive the grand canonical Gibbs distribution (4) for BE statistics and obtain the expected values for the number of particles and internal energy found in (5). Probabilities in statistical mechanics [38,39] are assigned by finding the probability $\rho(x)$ that maximizes entropy, $\rho(x) =$ $\arg \max_{p} S[p|q]$, where

$$S[p|q] = -\sum_{x} p(x) \ln\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right), \tag{A1}$$

with constraints on the form of expected values of the sufficient statistics, a_{α} ,

$$A_{\alpha} = \sum_{x} p(x)a_{\alpha}(x), \qquad (A2)$$

and normalization. This maximization is achieved by the Gibbs distribution

$$\rho(x|\lambda) = \frac{q(x)}{Z(\lambda)} \exp\left(-\sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(x)\right), \quad (A3)$$

where each λ_{α} is the Lagrange multiplier related to the expected value constraints in a_{α} . $Z(\lambda)$ is the partition function, a normalization factor independent of x. The expected values in (A2) can be recovered as $A_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{\alpha}} \ln Z$.

In Fock spaces, $x = \{x_i\}$, the measure is given as $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x_2=0}^{\infty} \dots$, and q(x) in uniform. In the grand canonical ensemble, the sufficient statistics are chosen as the energy $a_1(x) = \sum_i \epsilon_i x_i$ and the total number of particles $a_2(x) =$ $\sum_{i} x_{i}$. The Gibbs distribution is, therefore, of the form

$$\rho(x|\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = \frac{1}{Z(\lambda)} \prod_i e^{-\lambda_1 \epsilon_i x_i} e^{-\lambda_2 x_i}, \qquad (A4)$$

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \left[(\eta+2)(\eta+1) \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\operatorname{Li}_{\theta}(\xi)} - (\eta+1)^2 \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{\theta}(\xi)}{\operatorname{Li}_{\theta}(\xi)} \right]}$$

equivalent to (31) in the thermodynamic limit for $\beta < \beta_c$. Substituting (B1) into (28), one obtains (19) for $\beta < \beta_c$ and substituting (B1) and (B2) into (30) one obtains (22) for $\beta < \beta_c$, completing the calculation.

ξ

As $\beta \ge \beta_c$ it implies $\xi = 1$ in the thermodynamic limit. Substituting β_c in (17) into (27) becomes (18) for $\beta \ge \beta_c$. Analogously, substituting $\xi = 1$ into (29) and (31), it follows directly that $\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta}\right)_{N,\kappa} = 0$. Therefore, (28) becomes (19) for $\beta \ge \beta_c$ and from the direct differentiation of (19) one obtains (22) for $\beta \ge \beta_c$, completing the calculation.

APPENDIX C: MINIMUM VALUE OF $(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$

This Appendix will derive (32) analytically by an expansion of (30), thus explaining the observance of values of $\left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ for $\eta = 1/2$ smaller than those found in the thermodynamic limit in (22)—as presented from numerical calculations in Fig. 3. This is done by calculating $\left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}$, finding its minimum in a large N approximation [40].

Two variables will be important for this calculation. The first, β^* , is the argument to the minimum value of the quantity of interest—abscissa of the minimum values for each N in where λ_1 is identified as β and λ_2 is identified as $-\beta\mu$ or, equivalently, $\xi = e^{-\lambda_2}$, leading to (4). The normalization factor is identified as

$$Z(\beta,\xi) = \sum_{x} \prod_{i} e^{-\beta\epsilon_{i}x_{i}} \xi^{x_{i}} = \prod_{i} (1 - e^{-\beta\epsilon_{i}}\xi)^{-1}.$$
 (A5)

Leading to the expected values (A2),

$$A_1 = U = \sum_i \xi \ \epsilon_i (e^{\beta \epsilon_i} - \xi)^{-1},$$
 (A6a)

$$A_2 = N = \sum_i \xi (e^{\beta \epsilon_i} - \xi)^{-1},$$
 (A6b)

which are equivalent to (5b) and (5a), respectively.

APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS IN THE THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT

This Appendix will derive the thermodynamical quantities of interest—calculated for finite N in (27), (28), and (30) reduce to the ones presented in the thermodynamic limit, respectively, (18), (19), and (22).

As explained in Sec. III, $\beta < \beta_c$ implies $n_0 = 0$ in the thermodynamic limit. It follows directly that $\frac{n_0}{N} = 0$, reducing to (18) for $\beta < \beta_c$. From implicit differentiation of (14a), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} = \frac{1}{\beta} (\eta + 1) \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)}, \tag{B1}$$

which is equivalent to (29) in the thermodynamic limit for $\beta < \beta_c$. Similarly, it follows from the second differentiation of (14a) that

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[(\eta + 2)(\eta + 1) \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)} - (\eta + 1)^2 \frac{\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi)}{\text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)} - (\eta + 1)^2 \frac{(\text{Li}_{\eta+1}(\xi))^2}{(\text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi))^3} (\text{Li}_{\eta-1}(\xi) - \text{Li}_{\eta}(\xi)) \right], \quad (B2)$$

Fig. 3—meaning, $\beta^* \doteq \arg \min_{\beta} \frac{1}{k_R^2 \beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$. The second, ξ^* , is defined as the fugacity at the minimum value of the quantity of interest, meaning $\xi^* \doteq \xi(\beta^*, N)$. From this, two other variables can be constructed: the reduced inverse temperature at the minimum $\gamma^* \doteq \frac{\beta^* - \beta_c}{\beta_c}$, and $\lambda_2^* \doteq -\ln \xi^*$, whose notation λ_2 is inspired by it being the second Lagrange multiplier at the minimum, as explained in Appendix A.

If one assumes a scaling relation between β^* and λ_2^* of the form

$$\gamma^* = \bar{\gamma} N^{-\psi}$$
 and $\lambda_2^* = \bar{\lambda}_2 N^{-\phi}$ (C1)

in the leading order of N—where $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ are constants and ψ and ϕ are positive. Substituting those variables in (14a) and β_c in (17), one obtains

$$N = N(1 + \gamma^*)^{-3/2} \frac{\text{Li}_{3/2}(e^{-\lambda_2^*})}{\zeta^{(3/2)}} + \frac{1}{e^{\lambda_2^*} - 1}.$$
 (C2)

Note that accounting for only the first term would yield the regular calculation in the thermodynamic limit-expressed previously in (20).

Using the scaling relations in (C1), the series expansion for polylogarithms in (16) and $e^{\lambda_2^*} = 1 + \lambda_2^* + o(\lambda_2^*)$ one obtains, in the leading terms,

$$0 = -\frac{3}{2}\bar{\gamma}N^{1-\psi} - 2\frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2}N^{1-\phi/2} + \bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}N^{\phi}.$$
 (C3)

A result that depends on both β^* and λ_2^* requires that the first and at least one other term in (C3) must contribute to the highest order in *N*. If only the first two terms contribute, the result would ignore the particles in the ground state, leading to the same results in the thermodynamic limit — equivalent to (20). If only the first and last term in (C3) contribute, one would find $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1}$. This result, however, is undesirable physically—as observed in Fig. 3, we can expect $\beta^* < \beta_c$ and, consequentially, $\bar{\gamma} < 0$; and for BE statistics one must have $\xi^* \leq 1$, implying $\bar{\lambda}_2 > 0$. Therefore, it follows that all terms in (C3) must contribute to the highest order, accounting for these terms one obtains $1 - \psi = 1 - \frac{\phi}{2} = \phi$, hence $\psi = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\phi = \frac{2}{3}$ —later these values will be verified numerically.

To obtain the values of z(N), one needs to substitute β^* and ξ^* in (30). To do so, it is necessary to first substitute these values in $\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ in (29) and $\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2}\right)_{N,\kappa}$ in (31) as an expansion in terms of *N*. The parameters $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ will later be identified by imposing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_w}{\partial T}\right)_{N,\kappa}\right]|_{\beta=\beta^*} = 0$. These will be done in the following subsections.

1. Expanding $\frac{1}{\epsilon} (\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta})_{N,\kappa}$

One can expand the numerator of (29), Q_n , as

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{\beta_c} \frac{3}{2} N + o(N), \qquad (C4)$$

where *o* stands for the smaller order notation, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{o(f(N))}{f(N)} = 0$. Similarly, for the denominator of (29), Q_d , is expanded as

$$Q_d = aN^{4/3}(1 + bN^{-1/3}) + o(N),$$
(C5)

where

$$a = \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2^2} + \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(1/2)} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \tag{C6a}$$

$$b = \frac{1}{a} \left[\frac{\zeta(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2}} \right].$$
(C6b)

Therefore, using $\frac{1}{\xi} (\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa} = \frac{Q_n}{Q_d}$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \Big|_{\beta = \beta^*} = \frac{1}{\beta_c} \bar{q} N^{-1/3} + o(N^{-1/3}), \qquad (C7)$$

where

$$\bar{q} = \frac{3}{2a}.$$
 (C8)

Note that the second term for Q_d in (C5) does not appear in (C7). The importance of calculating the second term in Q_d will be shown to be relevant when other quantities are calculated from it, as will be done in the following subsection.

2. Expanding $\frac{1}{\xi} (\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2})_{N,\kappa}$

One can expand the numerator of (31), F_n , as

$$F_n = -\frac{a}{\beta_c^2} r_m N^{4/3} + \frac{a}{\beta_c^2} \bar{r} N + o(N),$$
(C9)

where

$$r_m = -\frac{1}{a^3} \left[\frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{3/2}} \right] \quad \text{and}$$
(C10a)

$$\bar{r} = \frac{1}{a^3} \left[-\frac{15}{4}a^2 + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{a}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2}} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{b}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{3/2}} + \frac{27}{2} \frac{b}{\bar{\lambda}_2^3} \right] \\ + \frac{39}{4} \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{3/2}} + \frac{45}{2} \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\lambda}_2^3} - 9 \frac{\Gamma(-1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2^{5/2}} \\ - 3 \frac{\Gamma(-1/2)\Gamma(5/2)}{(\zeta(3/2))^2} \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_2} \right].$$
(C10b)

Note that the denominator of (31) is the same as Q_d , expanded in (C5). Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} \Big|_{\beta = \beta^*} = \frac{r_m}{\beta_c^2} + \frac{\bar{r}}{\beta_c^2} N^{-1/3} + o(N^{-1/3}).$$
(C11)

3. Expanding $\frac{1}{k_p^2\beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$

The quantity of interest, $\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa}$ in (30), can be expressed by substituting β_c in (17) as

$$\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta^{(3/2)}} \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \right)^{-3/2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Li}_{5/2}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{\beta_c}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\beta_c}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta} \right)_{N,\kappa} \right)^2 \left(\operatorname{Li}_{1/2}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. - \operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) \right) + \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\beta_c^2}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) \right],$$
(C12)

using the expression for polylogarithms (16) and the results of the previous subsections—(C7) and (C11)—this can be expanded as

$$\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} \Big|_{\beta = \beta^*} = z_m + \bar{z} N^{-1/3} + o(N^{-1/3}), \quad (C13)$$

where

$$z_{m} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta(3/2)} \bigg[r_{m} \zeta(3/2) + \frac{15}{4} \zeta(5/2) \bigg] \text{ and } (C14a)$$

$$\bar{z} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta(3/2)} \bigg[\bar{q}^{2} \Gamma(1/2) \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-1/2} - 3 \bar{q} \zeta(3/2) + \bar{r} \zeta(3/2) + \frac{1}{2} r_{m} \bar{\gamma} \zeta(3/2) + r_{m} \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-1/2} \Gamma(-1/2) - \frac{45}{8} \bar{\gamma} \zeta(5/2) \bigg],$$

(C14b)



FIG. 6. Graph for the values of γ^* (left) and λ_2^* (right) calculated numerically for N ranging from 10² to 10⁸ (scattered blue points) and the approximation in order of $N^{-1/3}$ and $N^{-2/3}$ (solid orange line), respectively, meaning $\gamma^*(N) = \bar{\gamma}N^{-1/3}$ and $\lambda_2^*(N) = \bar{\lambda}_2 N^{-2/3}$ as in (C1), with $\bar{\lambda}_2$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ given by (C22).

thus obtaining the scaling of $\frac{1}{k_B^2\beta} (\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T})_{N,\kappa}$ expressed in (32). To complete the goals of this Appendix, one needs to obtain the values of $\bar{\gamma}$, $\bar{\lambda}_2$, a, b, \bar{q} , r_m , and \bar{r} and substitute those in (C14). This will be done in the next two subsections.

4. Obtaining $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$

Substituting the values of ψ and ϕ in (C3), it follows that

$$\frac{3}{2}\bar{\gamma} + 2\frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)}\bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2} - \bar{\lambda}_2^{-1} = 0.$$
(C15)

By implicit derivation of the equation above, one finds

$$\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}} = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{\lambda}_2^{-2} + \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \bar{\lambda}_2^{-1/2} \right)^{-1},$$
(C16a)

$$\frac{d^{2}\bar{\lambda}_{2}}{d\bar{\gamma}^{2}} = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_{2}}{d\bar{\gamma}}\right)^{3} \left(2\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-3} + \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{\zeta(3/2)}\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-3/2}\right), \quad (C16b)$$

$$\frac{d^3\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}^3} = -\frac{4}{9} \left(\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}} \right)^5 \left(6\bar{\lambda}_2^{-6} + \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \bar{\lambda}_2^{-9/2} \right). \quad (C16c)$$

The minimum occurs when $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\frac{1}{k_B^2 \beta} \left(\frac{\partial c_v}{\partial T} \right)_{N,\kappa} \right] |_{\beta = \beta^*} = 0$, which means

$$0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\beta_c^{-1}}{\zeta^{(3/2)}} \bigg[-\bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{-5/2} \frac{5}{2} \bigg(\frac{3}{2}\bigg)^2 \operatorname{Li}_{5/2}(\xi) + \bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{-3/2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\beta_c}{\xi} \bigg(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\beta}\bigg)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) + \bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{-1/2} \frac{5}{2} \bigg(\frac{\beta_c}{\xi}\bigg(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\beta}\bigg)_{N,\kappa}\bigg)^2 (\operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) - \operatorname{Li}_{1/2}(\xi)) - \bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{-1/2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{\beta_c^2}{\xi} \bigg(\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial\beta^2}\bigg)_{N,\kappa} \operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) + \bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{1/2} \bigg(\frac{\beta_c}{\xi}\bigg(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\beta}\bigg)_{N,\kappa}\bigg)^3 \times (2\operatorname{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) - 3\operatorname{Li}_{1/2}(\xi)) + \operatorname{Li}_{-1/2}(\xi)) - \bigg(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\bigg)^{1/2} 3\bigg(\frac{\beta_c}{\xi}\bigg(\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial\beta^2}\bigg)_{N,\kappa}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{\beta_c^2}{\xi}\bigg(\frac{\partial^2\xi}{\partial\beta^2}\bigg)_{N,\kappa}\bigg)$$

$$\times (\mathrm{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) - \mathrm{Li}_{1/2}(\xi)) + \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta_c}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\beta_c^3}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^3 \xi}{\partial \beta^3}\right)_{N,\kappa} \mathrm{Li}_{3/2}(\xi) \bigg].$$
(C17)

To solve (C17), one may have to expand $\frac{1}{\xi} (\frac{\partial^3 \xi}{\partial \beta^3})_{N,\kappa}$, as done for $\frac{1}{\xi} (\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \beta})_{N,\kappa}$ in Appendix C 1 and $\frac{1}{\xi} (\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2})_{N,\kappa}$ in Appendix C 2. However, a less laborious manner to perform this calculation involves identifying from (C1) that

$$\frac{\partial_c}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial\beta}\right)_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}} N^{-1/3} + o(N^{-1/3}), \quad (C18a)$$

$$\frac{\partial_c^2}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \beta^2} \right)_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{d^2 \bar{\lambda}_2}{d \bar{\gamma}^2} + o(1), \quad \text{and} \qquad (C18b)$$

$$\frac{\beta_c^3}{\xi} \left(\frac{\partial^3 \xi}{\partial \beta^3} \right)_{N,\kappa} = -\frac{d^3 \bar{\lambda}_2}{d \bar{\gamma}^3} N^{1/3} + o(N^{1/3}).$$
(C18c)

Later it will be shown that $\bar{q} = -\frac{d\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}}$, as expected from (C7), and $r_m = -\frac{d^2\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}^2}$, as expected from (C11) [41].

Expanding (C15), it follows that

$$0 = -\frac{d^3\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}^3} N^{1/3} \zeta(3/2) + o(N^{1/3}).$$
(C19)

Hence, the minimum condition implies $\frac{d^3\bar{\lambda}_2}{d\bar{\gamma}^3} = 0$, which is equivalent, per (C16c), to

$$6\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-6} + \frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)}\bar{\lambda}_{2}^{-9/2} = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{2} = \left[\frac{1}{6}\frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)}\right]^{-2/3}, \quad (C20)$$

and applying this into (C15), it follows that

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{2}{3} \left[\bar{\lambda}_2^{-1} - 2 \frac{\Gamma(1/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \bar{\lambda}_2^{1/2} \right],$$
(C21)

leading to the values

$$\bar{\lambda}_2 \approx 5.1804$$
 and $\bar{\gamma} \approx -1.9303$. (C22)

The numerical verification of (C1) with these values of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ is presented in Fig. 6.

5. Obtaining $a, b, \bar{q}, r_m, \bar{r}, z_m$, and \bar{z}

Substituting the values of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ from (C22) into (C6a), one obtains

 $a \approx 0.33536$ and $b \approx 0.90686$. (C23)

Sequentially applying these values in (C8) yields

$$\bar{q} \approx 4.47284.$$
 (C24)

- L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics—Course of Theoretical Physics*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, UK, 1980), Vol. 5.
- [2] H. Robertson, *Statistical Thermophysics* (Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993).
- [3] S. Salinas, *Introduction to Statistical Physics* (Springer, New York, NY, 2000).
- [4] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in a dilute atomic vapor, Science 269, 198 (1995).
- [5] C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet, Evidence of Bose-Einstein Condensation in an Atomic Gas with Attractive Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995).
- [6] K. B. Davis, M. O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Gas of Sodium Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [7] W. Ketterle and N. J. van Druten, Bose-Einstein condensation of a finite number of particles trapped in one or three dimensions, Phys. Rev. A 54, 656 (1996).
- [8] R. Napolitano, J. De Luca, V. S. Bagnato, and G. C. Marques, Effect of a finite number of particles in the Bose-Einstein condensation of a trapped gas, Phys. Rev. A 55, 3954 (1997).
- [9] R. K. Pathria, Bose-einstein condensation of a finite number of particles confined to harmonic traps, Phys. Rev. A 58, 1490 (1998).
- [10] Y. A. Elivanov and E. D. Trifonov, Bose-Einstein distribution of a system with a finite number of particles, in *IRQO '99: Quantum Optics*, edited by V. V. Samartsev (International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE), Bellingham, WA, 2000), Vol. 4061, pp. 28–32.
- [11] V. N. T. Pham, T. D. Anh-Tai, H. H. Huy, N. H. Tung, N. D. Vy, and T. Yamakoshi, A procedure for high-accuracy numerical derivation of the thermodynamic properties of ideal Bose gases, Eur. J. Phys. 39, 055103 (2018).
- [12] M. Serhan, Bose-Einstein condensation and thermodynamics of a finite number of bosons confined in a harmonic trap, Adv. Studies Theo. Phys. 15, 61 (2021).
- [13] A. Jaouadi, M. Telmini, and E. Charron, Bose-Einstein condensation with a finite number of particles in a power-law trap, Phys. Rev. A 83, 023616 (2011).
- [14] J. Noronha and D. Toms, Bose–Einstein condensation in the three-sphere and in the infinite slab: Analytical results, Physica A 392, 3984 (2013).

Note that substituting the value of $\bar{\lambda}_2$ from (C22) into (C16a) implies $\bar{q} = -\frac{d^2 \bar{\lambda}_2}{d \bar{\gamma}^2}$ in accordance to (C18a). Similarly, applying (C24), (C23), and (C22) into (C10) yields

$$r_m \approx -2.5746$$
 and $\bar{r} \approx -6.1656$. (C25)

Note that substituting the value of $\bar{\lambda}_2$ from (C22) into (C16b) implies $r_m = -\frac{d^2 \bar{\lambda}_2}{d \bar{\gamma}^2}$ in accordance to (C18b). Finally, substituting (C22), (C24), and (C25) into (C14), one obtains z_m and \bar{z} as in (33) completing the calculation.

- [15] This relationship between the density of states and energy is known as dispersion relations. For further studies that investigate BE statistics for general dispersion relations see, e.g., Refs. [21,24,28].
- [16] E. W. Weisstein, Polylogarithm from MathWorld, -a Wolfram web resource (2009), https://mathworld.wolfram.com/ Polylogarithm.html.
- [17] Progress has been made [42] by defining polyexponential functions as the inverse of (2). However, to the best of my knowledge, these polyexponentals were only written in closed analytical form for integer values of φ .
- [18] P. Pessoa, Information geometry of quantum gases (IGQG), GitHub repository (2021), https://github.com/PessoaP/IGQG.
- [19] F. Johansson, P. Peterson, M. Pernici, O. Certik, V. Steinberg, N. Telang, M. Taschuk, C.V. Horsen, J. Baayen, C. Smith *et al.*, MPMATH: a Python library for arbitrary-precision floating-point arithmetic, Version 1.1.0 (2018), https://github.com/fredrikjohansson/mpmath.
- [20] The graphs presented here are based on a calculation with 50 decimal places.
- [21] V. C. Aguilera-Navarro, M. de Llano, and M. A. Solís, Bose-Einstein condensation for general dispersion relations, Eur. J. Phys. 20, 177 (1999).
- [22] J. J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, CA, 2011).
- [23] S. Chatterjee and P. Diaconis, Fluctuations of the Bose-Einstein condensate, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47, 085201 (2014).
- [24] P. Pessoa and C. Cafaro, Information geometry for Fermi–Dirac and Bose–Einstein quantum statistics, Physica A 576, 126061 (2021).
- [25] J. Schmitt, T. Damm, D. Dung, F. Vewinger, J. Klaers, and M. Weitz, Observation of Grand-Canonical Number Statistics in a Photon Bose-Einstein Condensate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 030401 (2014).
- [26] P. W. Courteille, V. S. Bagnato, and V. I. Yukalov, Bose-Einstein condensation of trapped atomic gases, Laser Phys. 11, 659 (2001), available at https://www.ifsc.usp.br/~strontium/ Publication/Papers/Publi[11]LP11,659.pdf.
- [27] Here semiclassical means that it will be supposed that the density of states arising from classical mechanics is the same as the one obtained from quantum states in the continuous approximation.
- [28] M. Li, L. Chen, and C. Chen, Density of states of particles in a generic power-law potential in any dimensional space, Phys. Rev. A 59, 3109 (1999).
- [29] X. Wang, Volumes of generalized unit balls, Math. Mag. 78, 390 (2005).

- [30] J. E. Robinson, Note on the Bose-Einstein integral functions, Phys. Rev. 83, 678 (1951).
- [31] H. Cohen, L. Lewin, and D. Zagier, A sixteenth-order polylogarithm ladder, Exp. Math. **1**, 25 (1992).
- [32] Other definitions for critical temperature were studied before, see, e.g., Refs. [7,43,44]. These studies, however, are beyond the scope of the present paper.
- [33] In many texts, c_v is referred to as specific heat at constant volume, as explained in Sec. II, κ condenses the dependence with volume. That is why our derivatives in (19) and (22) are taken under constant κ .
- [34] J. López-Picón and J. M. López-Vega, Information geometry for the strongly degenerate ideal Bose–Einstein fluid, Physica A 580, 126144 (2021).
- [35] E. Zaremba, T. Nikuni, and A. Griffin, Dynamics of trapped Bose gases at finite temperatures, J. Low Temp. Phys. 116, 277 (1999).
- [36] A. Griffin, T. Nikuni, and E. Zaremba, *Bose-Condensed Gases at Finite Temperatures* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, UK, 2009).

- [37] E. D. Gust and L. E. Reichl, Collision integrals in the kinetic equations of dilute Bose-Einstein condensates, arXiv:1202.3418.
- [38] E. T. Jaynes, Information theory and statistical mechanics. I, Phys. Rev. 106, 620 (1957).
- [39] E. T. Jaynes, Gibbs vs Boltzmann entropies, Am. J. Phys. 33, 391 (1965).
- [40] The calculation presented in this appendix was done in collaboration with D. Robbins.
- [41] Note that this does not invalidate the work done in Sec. C1 and C2, since (C18b) does not obtain the second term in (C11).
- [42] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, A note on polyexponential and unipoly functions, Russian J. Math. Phys. 26, 40 (2019).
- [43] J. Noronha, Finite-size effects on the Bose–Einstein condensation critical temperature in a harmonic trap, Phys. Lett. A 380, 485 (2016).
- [44] R. Cheng, Q.-Y. Wang, Y.-L. Wang, and H.-S. Zong, Finite-size effects with boundary conditions on Bose-Einstein condensation, Symmetry 13, 300 (2021).