Erratum: Subspace stabilization analysis for a class of non-Markovian open quantum systems [Phys. Rev. A 101, 042327 (2020)]

Shikun Zhang¹, Kun Liu, Daoyi Dong, Xiaoxue Feng, and Feng Pan

(Received 5 July 2021; published 26 July 2021)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.019902

In Sec. IV of our paper, the proof of Theorem 2 (Subspace attractivity) should be corrected. The article text starting from "The negative definiteness of..." to the end of the proof of Theorem 2 should be replaced as follows: Since

$$-2\gamma(0)L_P^{\dagger}L_P + \int_t^{\infty} \|\Omega(\tau,t)\| d\tau I \leqslant \alpha I,$$

it holds that $\dot{V}(t, \sigma(\cdot)) \leq \operatorname{tr}[\mathcal{K}(t)\sigma_0]$. Because $\gamma \in L^1[0, \infty)$ and other time-dependent terms are oscillatory and bounded, $\operatorname{tr}[\mathcal{K}(t)\sigma_0]$ is an L^1 function on $[0, \infty)$. According to Lemma 2,

$$2\gamma(0)L_P^{\dagger}L_P - \int_t^{\infty} \|\Omega(\tau,t)\| d\tau I \ge |\alpha|I,$$

where $|\alpha| > 0$. Therefore,

$$|\alpha|\operatorname{tr}(\sigma) \leqslant -\dot{V}(t,\sigma(\cdot)) + \operatorname{tr}[\mathcal{K}(t)\sigma_0].$$

Next, for $\forall t > 0$:

$$|\alpha| \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}[\sigma(s)] ds \leqslant -V(t, \sigma(\cdot)) + \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}[\mathcal{K}(s)\sigma_0] ds.$$

Therefore, $F(t) \triangleq \int_0^t tr[\sigma(s)] ds$ admits a finite limit when t tends to infinity. Because of the boundedness of density matrices, $\ddot{F}(t) = tr[\dot{\sigma}]$ is bounded. Barbalat's lemma then says that $\dot{F}(t) = tr[\sigma] \to 0$. It follows that $\sigma(t) \to 0$. The proof is complete.